Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
agreement_determiner_noun-across_1_adjective look at this purple thing . *look at this purple things .	0.85 ± 0.00	0.85 ± 0.00	0.00
agreement_determiner_noun-between_neighbors this color must be white . *this colors must be white .	0.88 ± 0.01	0.88 ± 0.01	0.56
$\label{lem:continuous} \begin{tabular}{ll} agreement_subject_verb-across_prepositional_phrase\\ the lie on the foot is flat .\\ \begin{tabular}{ll} *the lies on the foot is flat .\\ \end{tabular}$	0.62 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.01	0.85
agreement_subject_verb-across_relative_clause the book that i like is poor . *the books that i like is poor .	0.65 ± 0.01	0.67 ± 0.01	0.00
agreement_subject_verb-in_question_with_aux where does the horse go ? *where does the horses go ?	0.76 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.01	0.06
agreement_subject_verb-in_simple_question where is the way ? *where is the ways ?	0.82 ± 0.00	0.80 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_agreement-pronoun_gender will Mark want himself ? *will Mark want herself ?	0.90 ± 0.01	0.88 ± 0.01	0.01
argument_structure-dropped_argument give me the poor boat . *the poor boat gives me .	0.89 ± 0.00	0.91 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 1: Zorro results (1/3). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
argument_structure-swapped_arguments the wolf made her ball they . *they made the wolf her ball .	0.98 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	0.00
argument_structure-transitive Philip thinks . *Philip affected .	0.65 ± 0.00	0.68 ± 0.00	0.00
binding-principle_a Ben thinks about himself calling this fuel *Ben thinks about himself called this fuel		0.77 ± 0.01	0.00
case-subjective_pronoun i brought the wolf my hill . *the wolf brought i my hill .	0.98 ± 0.01	1.00 ± 0.00	0.00
ellipsis-n.bar Mark fixed one worn canal and Roger fi *Mark fixed one canal and Roger fixed m		0.50 ± 0.01	0.57
filler-gap-wh_question_object Laura married the dinner that the wolf *Laura married what the dinner could cle		0.97 ± 0.00	0.02
filler-gap-wh_question_subject Laura ended the finger that can make be *Laura ended who the finger can make be		0.98 ± 0.00	0.75
irregular-verb Michael chose the good one some time of *Michael chosen the good one some time		0.84 ± 0.01	0.00

Table 2: Zorro results (2/3). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
island-effects-adjunct_island who should William have without watching t *who should William have the baby without w		0.66 ± 0.02	0.00
island-effects-coordinate_structure_constraint who must Philip and the dinosaur turn ? *who must Philip turn and the dinosaur ?	0.71 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.03	0.00
local_attractor-in_question_with_aux is the whale getting the person ? *is the whale gets the person ?	0.97 ± 0.00	0.96 ± 0.00	0.00
npi_licensing-matrix_question does her boat ever play with the growth ? *her boat does ever play with the growth ?	0.66 ± 0.02	0.68 ± 0.02	0.08
npi.licensing-only_npi.licensor only Mark ever finds some suit . *even Mark ever finds some suit .	0.62 ± 0.04	0.76 ± 0.04	0.00
quantifiers-existential_there there are many books about soft birds. *there are most books about soft birds.	0.91 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.01	0.16
quantifiers-superlative no pig could stand on top of more than six a *no pig could stand on top of at least six days		0.49 ± 0.03	0.05
overall	0.79 ± 0.00	0.80 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 3: Zorro results (3/3). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
adjunct_island What was Bill keeping after cleaning this book? *What was Bill keeping this book after cleaning?	0.77 ± 0.01	0.80 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_gender_agreement Patricia had found herself. *Patricia had found itself.	0.74 ± 0.01	0.71 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_number_agreement Lisa shouldn't remember herself. *Lisa shouldn't remember themselves.	0.88 ± 0.01	0.86 ± 0.01	0.00
animate_subject_passive Every cafe is found by the best friends. *Every cafe is found by the boxes.	0.42 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01	0.00
animate_subject_trans Adam should take a light. *The gate should take a light.	0.76 ± 0.00	0.79 ± 0.00	0.00
causative Walter hasn't wrinkled a skirt. *Walter hasn't fallen a skirt.	0.69 ± 0.00	0.72 ± 0.00	0.00
complex_NP_island Who didn't every French teacher who isn't working *Who didn't some brothers of the doctor hear every if			
coordinate_structure_constraint_complex_left_branch What bases were a lot of brothers arguing about and *What were a lot of brothers arguing about bases and			
coordinate_structure_constraint_object_extraction What would Mark and Grace shake? *What could Mark shake and Grace?	0.60 ± 0.01	0.59 ± 0.01	0.02
coordinate_structure_constraint_subject_extraction Who and Alice had those ladders confused? *Who had those ladders confused and Alice?	0.21 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.01	0.00

Table 4: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (1/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
determiner_noun_agreement_1 Martha didn't notice that waiter. *Martha didn't notice that waiters.	0.78 ± 0.00	0.81 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_2 Martha passed that river. *Martha passed those river.	0.92 ± 0.00	0.94 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_irregular_1 Marcus wouldn't hate those teeth. *Marcus wouldn't hate those tooth.	0.84 ± 0.01	0.86 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_irregular_2 Partners hadn't attacked those men. *Partners hadn't attacked that men.	0.96 ± 0.00	0.98 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_with_adj_2 Kristin breaks this purple glass. *Kristin breaks these purple glass.	0.86 ± 0.00	0.85 ± 0.00	0.01
determiner_noun_agreement_with_adjective_1 Denise wouldn't visit these tiny hills. *Denise wouldn't visit these tiny hill.	0.78 ± 0.00	0.77 ± 0.00	0.02
determiner_noun_agreement_with_adj_irregular_1 Every guest cleaned these thin feet. *Every guest cleaned these thin foot.	0.89 ± 0.00	0.88 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_with_adj_irregular_2 Some piano teachers keep that smart goose. *Some piano teachers keep those smart goose.	0.90 ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.00	0.01
distractor_agreement_relational_noun The father of many patients has looked to attack *The father of many patients have looked to attack		0.24 ± 0.01	0.00
distractor_agreement_relative_clause That niece that remembered many ladies wasn't *That niece that remembered many ladies weren't			0.00

Table 5: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (2/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
drop_argument Charles visited. *Charles holds.	0.54 ± 0.00	0.54 ± 0.00	0.60
ellipsis_n_bar_1 Students throw no strange dishe *Students throw no dishes and a			
ellipsis_n_bar_2 The doctor grows four tomatoes *The doctor grows four rough to			
existential_there_object_raising Rachel could find there to be an *Rachel could beg there to be an			0.12
existential_there_quantifiers_1 There were some stairs disappe *There were all stairs disappeari		0.92 ± 0.00	0.00
existential_there_quantifiers_2 All public parks weren't there de *There weren't all public parks de			0.00
existential_there_subject_raising There weren't bound to be many *There weren't unable to be many		0.55 ± 0.01	0.00
expletive_it_object_raising Ann's friends will find it to be not *Ann's friends force it to be not			
inchoative That door would shut. *That door would love.	0.60 ± 0.00	0.60 ± 0.00	0.31
intransitive This patient's teacher came. *This patient's teacher heard.	0.51 ± 0.00	0.53 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 6: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (3/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	$p ext{-value}$
irregular_past_participle_adjectives The forgotten partners aren't sick. *The forgot partners aren't sick.	0.69 ± 0.03	0.70 ± 0.02	0.23
irregular_past_participle_verbs Lori wrote. *Lori written.	0.79 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.01	0.49
irregular_plural_subject_verb_agreement_1 The man was bothering every patient. *The man are bothering every patient.	0.81 ± 0.01	0.81 ± 0.00	0.71
irregular_plural_subject_verb_agreement_2 The men skate around these hills. *The man skate around these hills.	0.76 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.01	0.02
left_branch_island_echo_question Dana has noticed what waiter? *What has Dana noticed waiter?	0.79 ± 0.01	0.76 ± 0.01	0.00
left_branch_island_simple_question Which father was this report disgusting? *Which was this report disgusting father?	0.74 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.01	0.13
matrix_question_npi_licensor_present Has Samantha ever stood up? *Samantha has ever stood up.	0.26 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.01	0.00
npi_present_1 Even most dresses certainly twisted. *Even most dresses ever twisted.	0.18 ± 0.01	0.22 ± 0.01	0.00
npi_present_2 Guy often washes. *Guy ever washes.	0.22 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.01	0.05
only_npi_licensor_present Only Thomas has ever pressed this Frence*Even Thomas has ever pressed this Frence			

Table 7: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (4/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	$p ext{-value}$
only_npi_scope Only every child who floor *Every child who only floor		ver fall asleep.	0.35
passive_1 The mother of William wa *The mother of William wa			
passive_2 Sabrina isn't bothered. *Sabrina isn't cried.	0.63 ± 0.01	0.63 ± 0.01	0.48
principle_A_case_1 Stephen hadn't expected th *Stephen hadn't expected th		ose plates.	0.65
principle_A_case_2 Daniel forgot about himsel, *Daniel forgot about himsel,			0.00
principle_A_c_command The associates that escaped *The associates that escaped			
principle_A_domain_1 Derek wouldn't agree that . *Derek wouldn't agree that .			0.00
principle_A_domain_2 Linda won't know Vincent *Linda won't know Vincent			0.00
principle_A_domain_3 Lisa writes Laurie's grand *Laurie's grandfather writes			0.09
principle_A_reconstruction It's himself that George un *It's himself that understood		0.40 ± 0.01	0.00

Table 8: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (5/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
regular_plural_subject_verb_agreement_1 Some doctors are bringing a cart. *Some doctors was bringing a cart.	0.64 ± 0.01	0.65 ± 0.01	0.06
regular_plural_subject_verb_agreement_2 The sister isn't going to the rivers. *The sisters isn't going to the rivers.	0.64 ± 0.01	0.64 ± 0.01	0.58
sentential_negation_npi_licensor_present Sandra can not ever meet a lot of guest *Sandra can really ever meet a lot of guest		0.98 ± 0.00	0.00
sentential_negation_npi_scope Holly's fathers that are scaring all docte *Holly's fathers that are not scaring all decreases.			0.00
sentential_subject_island Who were most adults' bothering Guy d *Who were most adults' bothering distract		0.40 ± 0.01	0.00
superlative_quantifiers_1 No painting looks like more than seven *No painting looks like at least seven rug		0.44 ± 0.02	0.22
superlative_quantifiers_2 That dress has confused at most six guest*No dress has confused at most six guest		0.87 ± 0.01	0.00
tough_vs_raising_1 A husband wasn't fun to work with. *A husband wasn't certain to work with.	0.62 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.01	0.52
tough_vs_raising_2 Renee wasn't about to drive this plane. *Renee wasn't hard work to drive this plane.	0.49 ± 0.01 ane.	0.49 ± 0.01	0.90
transitive Connie cares for Christopher. *Connie escaped Christopher.	0.72 ± 0.00	0.75 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 9: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (6/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
wh_island What hasn't Melanie found out she goe *What hasn't Melanie found out who go		0.59 ± 0.01	0.00
wh_questions_object_gap Many piano teachers question these bes *Many piano teachers question who even			
wh_questions_object_gap_long_distance Some associate couldn't investigate mo *Some associate couldn't investigate who			
wh.questions_subject_gap Those students see the books that hurt *Those students see who the books hurt		0.90 ± 0.01	0.05
wh.questions_subject_gap_long_distance Connie noticed those cars that that doc *Connie noticed what those cars that the			
wh_vs_that_no_gap Christina hasn't found out that a lot of *Christina hasn't found out what a lot of			
wh_vs_that_no_gap_long_distance Gregory might know that all men that *Gregory might know who all men that			
wh_vs_that_with_gap Joseph hasn't remembered what these t *Joseph hasn't remembered that these te		0.34 ± 0.01	0.41
wh_vs_that_with_gap_long_distance Valerie wasn't finding out what the pair *Valerie wasn't finding out that the pain			
overall	0.67 ± 0.00	0.67 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 10: BLiMP $_{\rm CH}$ results (7/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
adjunct_island what does that person keep while dropping those *what does that person keep those hats while drop		0.88 ± 0.01	0.80
adv_order your friend found your teacher quietly. *your friend found quietly your teacher.	0.89 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.01	0.02
anaphor_gender_agreement your brother is n't thinking about himself. *your brother is n't thinking about herself.	0.96 ± 0.00	0.94 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_number_agreement these children heard themselves. *these children heard himself.	0.86 ± 0.01	0.85 ± 0.02	0.14
center_embedding_double_1 my boss your cousins these teachers care for sca *my boss your cousins these teachers care for sin		0.30 ± 0.00	0.58
center_embedding_double_2 this adult my cousins your friends praise listen *this adult my cousins your friends praise listen		0.99 ± 0.00	0.00
center_embedding_single_1 my friend my friends scare reads . *my friend my friends reads .	0.33 ± 0.01	0.33 ± 0.01	0.54
center_embedding_single_2 my cousins the dancer understands cough . *my cousins the dancer understands sings cough	0.97 ± 0.00	0.99 ± 0.00	0.00
complex_np_island who did those doctors who can n't care for the g *who did the guys hear those doctors who can n't		0.33 ± 0.01	0.03
coordinate_structure_constrain_object_extraction who could Julie and Rachel answer ? *who could Julie answer and Rachel ?	0.61 ± 0.02	0.63 ± 0.03	0.38

Table 11: SCaMP_{plausible} results (1/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
determiner_noun_agreement_1 the women worked with this child. *the women worked with this children	0.93 ± 0.00	0.94 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_2 the boy had bothered these men . *the boy had bothered this men .	0.98 ± 0.00	0.98 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_adj_1 the children were n't breaking this of *the children were n't breaking this of		0.92 ± 0.00	0.18
determiner_noun_agreement_adj_2 the teacher did n't talk about these b *the teacher did n't talk about this bo		0.92 ± 0.00	0.82
ellipsis the people have made five old forks; *the people have made five forks, an			
green_ideas confused doctors stretch quietly . *stretch quietly doctors confused .	0.83 ± 0.01	0.83 ± 0.01	0.51
intransitive those doctors smile. *those doctors like.	0.70 ± 0.01	0.70 ± 0.01	0.94
left_branch_island_simple_question which car have the doctors noticed ? *which have the doctors noticed car ?		0.75 ± 0.01	0.07
matrix_question_npi_licensor_present can the teacher ever talk ? *the teacher can ever talk .	0.58 ± 0.02	0.71 ± 0.02	0.00
npi_present this man will always praise the dance *this man will ever praise the dancer		0.43 ± 0.01	0.26

Table 12: $SCaMP_{plausible}$ results (2/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
only_npi_licensor_present only that dancer could ever work *even that dancer could ever work			0.00
only_npi_scope only the mirror that the driver h *the mirror that only the driver h			
pp_order my teacher lost my teacher on the teacher lost on the couch my		0.84 ± 0.01	0.29
principle_A_c_command your grandmother that hears the *your grandmother that hears the		d hate herself.	0.00 es .
principle_A_domain_1 your daughter should n't explain *your daughter should n't explain			0.00
principle_A_domain_2 Sara says that that king had rem *Sara says that that king had rem			0.87
principle_A_domain_3 this woman had n't said that thi: *this man had n't said that this u			
recursion_intensifier_adj_long the dentist was very very very very *the dentist sings very very very very			
recursion_intensifier_adj_medium the adult is very very very very *the adult reads very very very very	very very hung	ry .	0.45
recursion_intensifier_adj_short the customer is happy . *the customer reads happy .	0.95 ± 0.00	0.95 ± 0.00	0.57

Table 13: SCaMP_{plausible} results (3/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
recursion_intensifier_adv_long the driver exercises really really rea *the driver is really really really real			
recursion_intensifier_adv_medium the woman sings really really really *the woman is really really really qu		0.96 ± 0.01	0.00
recursion_intensifier_adv_short the man sings really really slowly . *the man was really really slowly .	0.91 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.01	0.37
0 0 0		•	0.01 r 's cousin 's mother 's daughter the chair . er 's cousin 's mother 's daughter the chair .
recursion_poss_ditransitive_medium the child sent my brother 's doctor *the child cleaned my brother 's doct			0.00
recursion_poss_ditransitive_short your dentist bought my cousin the *your dentist dropped my cousin the		0.85 ± 0.02	0.00
•			0.00 's daughter 's mom 's doctor 's mother is bored . aughter 's mom 's doctor 's mother is bored .
			0.01 n 's grandmother 's cousin is clever . n 's grandmother 's cousin is clever .
recursion_poss_transitive_short Denise thinks that your father is ti *Denise works with your father is ti		1.00 ± 0.00	0.01
			0.10 reet on the table in the school on the ground was awesome . street on the table in the school on the ground was awesome .

Table 14: $SCaMP_{plausible}$ results (4/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
recursion_pp_is_medium the book in the kitchen on the bed on the *the book was in the kitchen on the bed			0.03
recursion_pp_is_short the chair is old. *the chair was is old.	0.96 ± 0.01	0.98 ± 0.01	0.00
			0.19 e bicycle on the ground in the restaurant is dry . icycle on the ground in the restaurant is dry .
recursion_pp_verb_medium the shoe sitting on the table on the table *the shoe sits on the table on the table b			1 0 1 1
recursion_pp_verb_short the button sitting is red. *the button sits is red.	0.83 ± 0.03	0.88 ± 0.03	0.03
sentential_negation_npi_licensor_present this woman can n't ever answer these t *this woman can possibly ever answer th		1.00 ± 0.00	0.52
sentential_negation_npi_scope the doctor who has cleaned the toys has *the doctor who has n't cleaned the toys			0.00
subj_aux_agreement_question_vary_aux was that man talking about those turtle *were that man talking about those turtle		0.84 ± 0.01	0.62
subj_aux_agreement_question_vary_subj have the ladies yelled ? *have the lady yelled ?	0.68 ± 0.01	0.63 ± 0.01	0.00
subj_aux_vary_subj the doctor does n't talk . *the doctors does n't talk .	0.78 ± 0.01	0.79 ± 0.01	0.29

Table 15: $SCaMP_{plausible}$ results (5/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	$p ext{-value}$
subj_aux_vary_verb that guy was fixing thos *that guy were fixing tho		0.89 ± 0.01	0.69
subj_aux_vary_verb_pp the adult near the teach *the adult near the teach			0.00
subj_aux_vary_verb_rc this man who these peo; *this man who these peo;			
subj_verb_vary_verb the king drives to these *the king drive to these		0.63 ± 0.01	0.00
svo_vos these people have passed *have passed that hospite		0.64 ± 0.01	0.00
swapped_ditransitive_1 she sent my doctor the *my doctor sent the hat		0.98 ± 0.00	0.38
swapped_ditransitive_2 we bought Kristen the t *Kristen bought we the t	oy .	0.77 ± 0.01	0.33
transitive this dancer was making *this dancer was laughin		0.96 ± 0.00	0.00
wh_island who does n't the girl lea *who does n't the girl lea			0.00
wh_questions_object_gap your mom did n't know *your mom did n't know	0.70 ± 0.01 the teacher wh who this lady of	0.63 ± 0.01 or this lady can recan n't talk about	0.00 L't talk about .

Table 16: $SCaMP_{plausible}$ results (6/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
wh_questions_object_gap_long_distance those people may see the turtles that th *those people may see what these childre	ese children wh	to these guys we	v v
wh_questions_subject_gap your teachers wo n't remember the men	0.94 ± 0.01	0.95 ± 0.00	0.00
*your teachers wo n't remember who the			
wh_questions_subject_gap_long_distance George had n't remembered that doctor			0.00 fork
*George had n't remembered who that do			
wh_vs_that_no_gap Rachel learned that that dentist likes th *Rachel learned who that dentist likes th	U	0.99 ± 0.00	0.01
wh_vs_that_no_gap_long_distance that guy might remember that that girl *that guy might remember who that girl			v 1 1
wh_vs_that_with_gap my doctors forget what that driver made *my doctors forget that that driver made		0.47 ± 0.02	0.03
wh_vs_that_with_gap_long_distance Andrew has learned what these guys wh *Andrew has learned that these guys who	o that driver d		
overall	0.75 ± 0.00	0.76 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 17: SCaMP_{plausible} results (7/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
adjunct_island_implausible what had this plate dropped without moving the women? *what had this plate dropped the women without moving?	0.83 ± 0.01	0.84 ± 0.01	0.11
adv_order_implausible that ring slowly worked with the plane. *that ring worked with slowly the plane.	0.87 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_gender_agreement_implausible Jessica reads herself . *Jessica reads himself .	0.92 ± 0.01	0.88 ± 0.01	0.00
anaphor_number_agreement_implausible these candles helped themselves . *these candles helped himself .	0.78 ± 0.01	0.75 ± 0.01	0.00
center_embedding_double_1_implausible these bottles that doll that ship understands talks to laugh . *these bottles that doll that ship understands laugh .	0.28 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.01	0.54
center_embedding_double_2.implausible that rug the crayons the toys answer praise talks. *that rug the crayons the toys answer praise practice talks.	0.96 ± 0.00	0.98 ± 0.00	0.00
center_embedding_single_1_implausible this bowl those shoes talk to talks. *this bowl those shoes talks.	0.31 ± 0.01	0.31 ± 0.01	0.30
center_embedding_single_2_implausible that boat these shoes help exercises. *that boat these shoes help stretch exercises.	0.96 ± 0.00	0.99 ± 0.00	0.00
complex_np_island_implausible who do those schools who could n't care for those shoes unde *who do those shoes understand those schools who could n't c		0.56 ± 0.02	0.93
coordinate_structure_constrain_object_extraction_implausible who would n't the bed and the book listen to ? *who would n't the bed listen to and the book?	0.60 ± 0.03	0.62 ± 0.03	0.37

Table 18: SCaMP $_{\rm implausible}$ results (1/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
determiner_noun_agreement_1_implausible the toys do n't teach those boxes. *the toys do n't teach those box.	0.94 ± 0.00	0.94 ± 0.00	0.00
$\label{lem:condition} \begin{array}{l} {\rm determiner_noun_agreement_2_implausible} \\ {\it the~glass~found~that~fork~.} \\ {\it *the~glass~found~those~fork~.} \end{array}$	0.96 ± 0.00	0.98 ± 0.00	0.00
determiner_noun_agreement_adj_1_implausible the chairs helped these nice boats . *the chairs helped these nice boat .	0.90 ± 0.00	0.89 ± 0.00	0.01
determiner_noun_agreement_adj_2_implausible the truck might praise that careful truck . *the truck might praise those careful truck .	0.91 ± 0.00	0.91 ± 0.00	0.19
ellipsis_implausible the rug is making two fine girls, and this car is *the rug is making two girls, and this car is making two girls.		0.61 ± 0.01	0.29
green_ideas_implausible kind cars stretch carefully . *stretch kind carefully cars .	0.72 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	0.49
intransitive_implausible those books smile . *those books hate .	0.62 ± 0.01	0.62 ± 0.01	0.34
left_branch_island_simple_question_implausible what spoon should these boxes know ? *what should these boxes know spoon ?	0.77 ± 0.01	0.79 ± 0.01	0.01
matrix_question_npi_licensor_present_implausible had that museum ever heard this picture ? *that museum had ever heard this picture .	0.54 ± 0.02	0.75 ± 0.03	0.00
npi_present_implausible the boat has often bothered the bicycle. *the boat has ever bothered the bicycle.	0.48 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.01	0.08

Table 19: SCaMP $_{\rm implausible}$ results (2/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
only_npi_licensor_present_implausible only those hats can ever drop that doctor . *even those hats can ever drop that doctor .	0.57 ± 0.05	0.72 ± 0.07	0.00
only_npi_scope_implausible only that shirt who would yell can ever unde *that shirt who would only yell can ever unde			0.00
pp_order_implausible this rocket visited that crayon on the floor. *this rocket visited on the floor that crayon.	0.81 ± 0.01	0.81 ± 0.01	0.61
principle_A_c_command_implausible this man who was saying your mother was u *this man who was saying your mother was u			0.43
principle_A_domain_1.implausible your mother was hoping that the doctors con *your mother was hoping that the doctors con		0.99 ± 0.00	0.00
principle_A_domain_2.implausible the doctors may say that your brother did n' *the doctors may say that your brother did n'			0.46
principle_A_domain_3.implausible this man had said that this queen had said h *this queen had said that this man had said h		0.51 ± 0.00	0.00
recursion.intensifier_adj_long_implausible the crayon is very very very very very very very very			0.00
recursion_intensifier_adj_medium_implausible the plane was really really really really really *the plane waves really really really really rea	strong.	0.76 ± 0.02	0.00
recursion_intensifier_adj_short_implausible the bag is really really important . *the bag sings really really important .	0.89 ± 0.01	0.91 ± 0.01	0.00

Table 20: SCaMP $_{implausible}$ results (3/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
recursion_intensifier_adv_long_implausible the couch cries really		0 1 0	· ·
recursion_intensifier_adv_medium_implausible the shoe talks very very very very very perfectly *the shoe is very very very very very perfectly .	0.91 ± 0.01	0.96 ± 0.01	0.00
recursion_intensifier_adv_short_implausible the ring talks very very perfectly . *the ring was very very perfectly .	0.89 ± 0.01	0.88 ± 0.01	0.69
recursion_poss_ditransitive_long_implausible the ring sent your daughter 's teacher 's son 's *the ring cleaned your daughter 's teacher 's son			
recursion_poss_ditransitive_medium_implausible the bowl bought your father 's boss 's teacher 's *the bowl kept your father 's boss 's teacher 's b			
recursion_poss_ditransitive_short_implausible the shoe sent my dentist 's mom 's shirt the m *the shoe kept my dentist 's mom 's shirt the m		0.73 ± 0.02	0.02
recursion_poss_transitive_long_implausible the bed says that my father 's friend 's doctor *the bed works with my father 's friend 's doctor			
recursion_poss_transitive_medium_implausible the plane thinks that your son 's grandmother *the plane scares your son 's grandmother 's con			
recursion_poss_transitive_short_implausible the doll thinks that your cup is angry . *the doll scares your cup is angry .	1.00 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	0.03
recursion_pp_is_long_implausible the boat on the couch on the couch in the school *the boat was on the couch on the couch in the school			

Table 21: SCaMP $_{\rm implausible}$ results (4/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	p-value
recursion_pp_is_medium_implausible the boat on the floor on the floor in the school on *the boat is on the floor on the floor in the school of			0.03
recursion_pp_is_short_implausible the ring by the rocket was busy . *the ring is by the rocket was busy .	0.97 ± 0.01	0.99 ± 0.00	0.00
recursion_pp_verb_long_implausible the glass sitting in the school in the school in the school in the hos			
recursion_pp_verb_medium_implausible the glass sitting on the couch on the couch by the *the glass sits on the couch on the couch by the shi			0.54
recursion_pp_verb_short_implausible the bicycle sitting on the table is boring . *the bicycle sits on the table is boring .	0.84 ± 0.03	0.88 ± 0.04	0.08
sentential_negation_npi_licensor_present_implausible those toys did n't ever exercise . *those toys did probably ever exercise .	1.00 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	0.74
sentential_negation_npi_scope_implausible this toy who had waved can n't ever praise that spe *this toy who had n't waved can ever praise that spe		0.47 ± 0.02	0.04
subj_aux_agreement_question_vary_aux_implausible does that hat lose ? *do that hat lose ?	0.84 ± 0.01	0.82 ± 0.01	0.00
subj_aux_agreement_question_vary_subj_implausible are n't the spoons waving ? *are n't the spoon waving ?	0.68 ± 0.00	0.64 ± 0.01	0.00
subj_aux_vary_subj_implausible the doll does n't visit this chair. *the dolls does n't visit this chair.	0.73 ± 0.01	0.72 ± 0.01	0.02

Table 22: SCaMP $_{implausible}$ results (5/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
subj_aux_vary_verb_implausible the boxes were running . *the boxes was running .	0.84 ± 0.01	0.84 ± 0.01	0.67
subj_aux_vary_verb_pp_implausible that bicycle near the ladies was n't t *that bicycle near the ladies were n't			0.00
subj_aux_vary_verb_rc_implausible these candles who work with that box *these candles who work with that box			0.00
subj_verb_vary_verb_implausible that ship reads . *that ship read .	0.60 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.01	0.00
svo_vos_implausible the hats might know this park . *might know this park the hats .	0.43 ± 0.01	0.39 ± 0.01	0.00
swapped_ditransitive_1_implausible they showed that bicycle the chair . *that bicycle showed the chair they .	1.00 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	nan
swapped_ditransitive_2_implausible he showed this bottle the shirts . *this bottle showed he the shirts .	0.98 ± 0.00	1.00 ± 0.00	0.00
transitive_implausible the plate likes the shirts. *the plate talks the shirts.	0.89 ± 0.00	0.91 ± 0.00	0.00
wh_island_implausible who had n't these candles realized th *who had n't these candles realized who		0.50 ± 0.02	0.81
wh_questions_object_gap_implausible this rug had n't seen the plate that to *this rug had n't seen what this muse			0.00

Table 23: SCaMP_{implausible} results (6/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.

Category	Standard	Prior-trained	<i>p</i> -value
wh_questions_object_gap_long_distance_implausible the cup wo n't see the guys who this museum who c *the cup wo n't see who this museum who dropped th	dropped those d	octors would wo	
wh_questions_subject_gap_implausible these spoons have n't seen this patient who worried *these spoons have n't seen who this patient worried		0.95 ± 0.00	0.00
wh_questions_subject_gap_long_distance_implausible those windows would see the teachers that were n't *those windows would see what the teachers that were	growing that h		0.00
wh_vs_that_no_gap_implausible this shirt learned that this shirt confused that crayo *this shirt learned who this shirt confused that crayo		1.00 ± 0.00	0.59
wh_vs_that_no_gap_long_distance_implausible the window noticed that those hats who are n't fixin *the window noticed who those hats who are n't fixin		ld n't hate those	
wh_vs_that_with_gap_implausible the buttons can n't remember what this couch has t *the buttons can n't remember that this couch has to		0.31 ± 0.02	0.03
wh_vs_that_with_gap_long_distance_implausible this toy had realized what the bottles who are n't dr *this toy had realized that the bottles who are n't dro			
overall	0.73 ± 0.00	0.75 ± 0.00	0.00

Table 24: SCaMP $_{implausible}$ results (7/7). For each model, the table shows the mean and a 95% confidence interval. For each category, there is one example of a minimal pair used to evaluate the category; a model is considered correct on an example if it assigns a higher probability to the sentence without an asterisk than the sentence with an asterisk.