

Racialized Network Structures of Clinical Interactions in the ED

Tommy Flynn^{*,a}, Kate Yeager^a, Ymir Vigfusson^a, David Wright^a, Dian Evans^a,
Vicki Hertzberg^a

^a 1520 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA, 30322

Abstract

Network science methodologies offer unique opportunities to study real-world human interactions in diverse contexts. The emergency department (ED) is a complex dynamic environment in which clinical care and patient experience depend on the quality of clinician-patient and clinician-clinician encounters, or clinical interactions. Clinical interactions (CIs) are multidimensional social phenomena embedded at the center of healthcare services and one of the primary pathways thought to cause racial healthcare disparities. Evidence of racial disparities in the quality of care provided to Black patients compared to White patients in U.S. EDs is strong. One roadblock to understanding social and clinical mechanisms is the need for research methods suited for dynamic complexity inherent to clinical interactions in the ED. The purpose of this study is to describe a network analysis of clinical interactions (NACI) and the effects of patient race on structural network variables in an urban ED in the Southern United States. We conducted a secondary analysis of observational clinical data to describe how patients' racial affiliations relate to CI network structures. Network data were generated passively with radiofrequency identification (RFID) tags worn by patients and clinicians during their time in the ED. Clinical interactions were measured as RFID tag proximity within 1 m. Patient demographic and clinical data points were gathered from medical records.

Understanding healthcare disparities is an ongoing research priority for leading institutions and universities in the United States. Network science draws on the perspectives, philosophies, and methods of various qualitative and quantitative research traditions to understand relationships between objects embedded in complex systems. Focusing a network science lens on clinical interactions in the complex ED environment may add to current evidence of patient-clinician dimensions of racial healthcare disparities. The purpose of this paper is to report a secondary data analysis of clinical interactions in the Emergency Department of a large academic hospital in the Southern U.S. using social network analyses of ED clinical interactions between patients and healthcare personnel. We report a secondary analysis of longitudinal ED contact network data. Data were collected previously using a prospective longitudinal observational study design. The purpose of the parent study was to describe contact characteristics among patients and staff in the ED of a busy urban

^{*}Corresponding Author
Preprint submitted to International Journal of Nursing Studies February 28, 2022
Email addresses: tommy.flynn@emory.edu (Tommy Flynn), kyeager@emory.edu (Kate Yeager), ymir@emory.edu (Ymir Vigfusson), david.wright@emory.edu (David Wright), dian.evans@emory.edu (Dian Evans), vhertzberg@emory.edu (Vicki Hertzberg)

21 hospital to help inform cross-infection control measures (Lowery-North et al.,
 22 2013). Finding Meaning in Social Network Structure
 23 The meaning of network structures depends on researchers' decisions about how
 24 to define individual actors (i.e., nodes) and their inter-relational connections
 25 (i.e., ties). In fact, the research question under investigation is considered the
 26 guiding force behind conceptual and operational interpretations of networks.
 27 For example, consider network data generated by a novel contact tracing app
 28 designed to track viral exposures in a given population. This hypothetical
 29 network data would consist of individuals at risk of exposure (nodes), proximity
 30 to other nodes (ties), and exposure status (attribute). As node n_j 's number
 31 of social contacts (degree centrality) increase, n_j 's risk of exposure increases.
 32 As more attribute data are available, say vaccination status, the meanings of
 33 network structures (e.g., degree centrality) are reconsidered. When studying
 34 ED network data, we can assume that a tie between patient and clinician nodes
 35 is a part of service delivery: specifically, a patient-clinician interactions (i.e.,
 36 clinical interactions). In some healthcare settings, clinical interactions are the
 37 building blocks of clinical relationships with broader implications for quality
 38 and equity. Clinical relationships are, however, beyond the purview of this
 39 paper. By defining network connections as clinician-patient interactions, its
 40 centrality is a measure of—at the least—quantity of direct clinical care, and—we
 41 hypothesize—important dimensions of quality clinical care like clinician-patient
 42 communication, changes in patient acuity, and ED throughput. Passive Location
 43 Collection with Radiofrequency Identification (RFID) RFID systems are used
 44 in a number of hospitals nationwide (Page, 2007), commonly for supply chain
 45 management, passive patient identification, safe medication administration,
 46 patient tracking, and asset tracking (Yao et al., 2012). RFID systems consist of
 47 small tags or badges that emit radio-frequencies that are picked up by sensors
 48 located strategically so that every RFID badge is always identifiable to at least
 49 3 sensors for location by triangulation (Yao et al., 2012). The presence of RFID-
 50 enabled healthcare facilities nationwide will allow for replication of this research,
 51 and the evaluation of equity improvement interventions can be done with some
 52 minor alterations to existing hardware. Compared to other technologies used
 53 for locating resources, the inexpensive and unobtrusive design of RFID tags
 54 make them ideal for healthcare applications. Additionally, person-to-person
 55 proximity data, such as ours, is likely the most informative sensor-generated
 56 data for mapping and studying human networks (Pentland, 2012). Method This
 57 secondary analysis and its parent study independently received ethics approval by
 58 institutional review board. Sampling strategy and data collection methods were
 59 described previously (Lowery-North et al., 2013) Sampling Sampling strategies
 60 in network research are defined by the purpose of the research at hand.(Borgatti
 61 et al., 2013) Sample size calculations have not yet been developed for a study
 62 designed to compare the networks of various individuals. In addition, most
 63 network analysis research to date does not use random sampling, instead often
 64 describing entire populations (Borgatti et al., 2013). When studying networks,
 65 sampling is more concerned with defining the bounds of the network and the
 66 nature of the relationships between nodes (Borgatti et al., 2013) The data were

67 collected with a random sampling of one day shift and one night shift from a
 68 single academic urban ED every week over the course of one year. A total of 104
 69 shifts of data were collected for the original study (Lowery-North et al., 2013).
 70 The sampling strategy was selected to minimize sampling bias related to seasonal
 71 or weekly fluctuations in census, acuity, and ED staffing changes (Lowery-North
 72 et al., 2013). Due to various technical complications and personnel issues during
 73 the year, data from a number of shifts scheduled for data collection were deemed
 74 inadequate for analyses. 81 12-hours shifts were available for the current study.

75 **References**

- 76 Borgatti, S.P., Everett, M.G., Johnson, J.A., 2013. Analyzing social networks.
 77 Sage Publications Inc, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- 78 Lowery-North, D.W., Hertzberg, V.S., Elon, L., Cotsonis, G., Hilton, S.A.,
 79 Vaughns 2nd, C.F., Hill, E., Shrestha, A., Jo, A., Adams, N., 2013. Mea-
 80 suring social contacts in the emergency department. PLoS One 8, e70854.
 81 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070854
- 82 Page, L., 2007. Hospitals tune in to RFID. Materials management in health care.
 83 16, 18–20.
- 84 Pentland, A., 2012. The new science of building great teams. Harvard Business
 85 Review 90, 60–69.
- 86 Yao, W., Chu, C.-H., Li, Z., 2012. The adoption and implementation of RFID
 87 technologies in healthcare: A literature review. Journal of Medical Systems
 88 36, 3507–3525. doi:10.1007/s10916-011-9789-8