CSC-421 Applied Algorithms and Structures Winter 2019

Instructor: Iyad Kanj

Office: CDM 832

Phone: (312) 362-5558

Email: ikanj@cs.depaul.edu

Office Hours: Monday & Wednesday 4:00-5:30 Course Website: https://d2l.depaul.edu/

Sample Midterm Exam

- I. Give an $O(n \log n)$ -time algorithm that takes an array A of n numbers that may contain duplicates (elements that are equal), and removes all duplicates from A. That is, each number in A should appear **exactly once** in the resulting array, after the duplicates have been removed.
- II. Given an array A of n numbers, we would like to find the minimum and the maximum numbers in A. Assume that n is a power of 2, that is, $n = 2^k$ for some nonnegative integer k.
 - 1. Design a divide-and-conquer algorithm for the problem that makes at most 3n/2 comparisons, and analyze its running time.
 - 2. Design an iterative algorithm for the problem that makes at most 3n/2 comparisons, and analyze its running time.
- III. For each of the five questions below, circle the correct answer.
 - (i) The most efficient algorithm for sorting an arbitrary/general array of n numbers has a worst-case running time of:
 - (A) $\Theta(\lg n)$
 - (B) $\Theta(n)$
 - (C) $\Theta(n \lg n)$
 - (D) $\Theta(n^2)$
 - (E) None of the above

- (ii) The most efficient algorithm for searching (for a given number) a sorted array of n (arbitrary) numbers has a worst-case running time of:
 - (A) $\Theta(\lg n)$
 - (B) $\Theta(n)$
 - (C) $\Theta(n \lg n)$
 - (D) It depends on whether the array is sorted in increasing or decreasing order
 - (E) None of the above
- (iii) The most efficient algorithm for searching (for a given number) an arbitray/general array of n numbers has a worst-case running time of:
 - (A) $\Theta(\lg n)$
 - (B) $\Theta(n)$
 - (C) $\Theta(n \lg n)$
 - (D) It depends on whether the array is sorted in increasing or decreasing order
 - (E) None of the above
- (iv) If the Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) is solvable in polynomial time then P=NP.
 - (A) True
 - (B) False
 - (C) One cannot make a conclusion based on the above statement
- (v) Each of Independent Set and Travelling Salesman Problem is polynomial-time reducible to the other. (That is, Independent Set \leq_P Travelling Salesman Problem and Travelling Salesman Problem \leq_P Independent Set.)
 - (A) True
 - (B) Only Independent Set is polynomial-time reducible to Trav-Elling Salesman Problem
 - (C) Only Travelling Salesman Problem is polynomial-time reducible to Independent Set
 - (D) None of the two problems is polynomial-time reducible to the other
- IV. Let A[1..n] and B[1..n] be two sorted arrays. We can easily output the k-th smallest element in A in constant time by just outputting A[k].

Similarly, we can find the k-th smallest element in B. Give a $O(\lg k)$ time divide-and-conquer algorithm to find the k-th smallest element overall; that is, the k-th smallest in the union of A and B.

V. Let X be a finite set and \mathcal{F} a family of subsets of X such that every element of X appears in at least one subset in \mathcal{F} . We say that a subset C of \mathcal{F} is a set cover for X if $X = \bigcup_{S \in C} S$ (that is, the union of the sets in C is X). The cardinality of a set cover C is the number of elements in C. (Note that an element of C is a subset of X.) The SET COVER problem is: Given an instance (X,\mathcal{F}) , and a nonnegative integer k, decide if X has a set cover C in \mathcal{F} of cardinality k. For example, if $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\mathcal{F} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}\}$, and k = 2, then the answer is Yes because X has a set cover C in \mathcal{F} of cardinality X, namely X and X are a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X, namely X and X are a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X, namely X and X are a set cover X has a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X, namely X and X has a set cover X has a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X namely X of cardinality X has a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X namely X has a set cover X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X namely X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X namely X has a set cover X in X of cardinality X namely X in X

Show that the Set Cover problem is NP-complete. (**Hint.** Reduce from Vertex Cover.)