

STAT 431 — Applied Bayesian Analysis — Course Notes

# Conjugate Priors for Count Data Models

Fall 2022

# Conjugacy

Consider a model for data  $\mathbf{Y}$  with densities

$$f(\mathbf{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

parameterized by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . (For the observed data  $\mathbf{y}$ , this is the likelihood function of parameter  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .)

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of data distributions having these densities.

A family  $\mathcal{P}$  of distributions for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is **conjugate** for  $\mathcal{F}$  if

using a prior from  $\mathcal{P}$  always produces a posterior from  $\mathcal{P}$

(no matter what the observed data values are).

# Count Data

Data representing counts take values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

- ▶ If a count  $Y$  has a fixed and known maximum possible value  $n$ , a commonly-used model is

$$Y \mid \theta \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$$

e.g. when, in a sample of size  $n$ ,  $Y$  individuals have a certain characteristic.

- ▶ If a count  $Y$  has no obvious maximum, a commonly-used model is

$$Y \mid \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(N\theta)$$

where  $N$  is a known scaling factor (“exposure”) for rate  $\theta$ .

# Beta-Binomial Conjugacy

For fixed  $n$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of binomial data distributions

$$Y \mid \theta \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$$

has the  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  family as a conjugate family  $\mathcal{P}$  of priors:

As we have seen,

$$\theta \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$$

implies

$$\theta \mid Y = y \sim \text{Beta}(y + \alpha, n - y + \beta)$$

for any  $y \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$

prior  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  leads to  
posterior  $\text{Beta}(y + \alpha, n - y + \beta)$

- ▶ adding 1 to  $\alpha$  is equivalent to adding an extra “success” to the data
- ▶ adding 1 to  $\beta$  is equivalent to adding an extra “failure” to the data

$\alpha$  = “equivalent prior number of successes”

$\beta$  = “equivalent prior number of failures”

(Can we take  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ ? Later.)

# Poisson Rate Model

Let

$Y$  = number of discrete “incidents” of some kind  
observed over a known time period of length  $N$

For random incidents that take place independently of each other and at a steady rate  $\theta$  per unit time,

$$Y \mid \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(N\theta)$$

Want inference about rate  $\theta$ .

## Example: Gravitational Waves

Mergers of black holes and/or neutron stars generate a distinctive gravity wave signal that may be detected by sensitive instruments (LIGO/Virgo).

Let

$Y$  = number of “confident” detections observed  
in  $N$  years

$\sim \text{Poisson}(N\theta)$  ( $\theta$  = rate per year)

A recent observational run (O3b) lasted about 147 days, or  $N = 0.402$  years, and observed  $y = 35$ .

Naively, we might estimate the rate per year  $\theta$  to be the number of detections over the period of observation in years:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{y}{N} = \frac{35}{0.402} \approx 87$$

Call this the sample rate.



# Poisson-Gamma Conjugacy

If

$$Y \mid \theta \sim \text{Poisson}(N\theta) \quad (\text{known } N > 0)$$

then

$$f(y \mid \theta) = \frac{(N\theta)^y \exp(-N\theta)}{y!} \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

so the likelihood is

$$\propto \theta^y \exp(-N\theta) \quad \theta > 0$$

Note: Resembles the kernel of a gamma density (in  $\theta$ ).

Try a gamma prior:

$$\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$\pi(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) \quad \theta > 0$$

Applying Bayes' rule,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta \mid y) &\propto \theta^y \exp(-N\theta) \cdot \theta^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta\theta) \\ &\propto \theta^{y+\alpha-1} \exp(-(N+\beta)\theta) \quad \theta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is the kernel of a  $\text{Gamma}(y + \alpha, N + \beta)$  density:

$$\theta \mid Y = y \sim \text{Gamma}(y + \alpha, N + \beta)$$

Interpret:

$\alpha$  = “equivalent prior number of incidents”

$\beta$  = “equivalent prior time period”

Remark: Since  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$  has mean  $\alpha/\beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\theta \mid y) &= \frac{y + \alpha}{N + \beta} \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N + \beta} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{N}{N + \beta} \cdot \frac{y}{N} \\ &= (1 - w_N) \cdot \mathbb{E}(\theta) + w_N \cdot \hat{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w_N = \frac{N}{N + \beta} \qquad \hat{\theta} = \frac{y}{N}$$

So the posterior mean is a weighted average of the prior mean and the sample rate.

## R Example 2.1:

Poisson Rate — Posterior Inference

Remark:  $N$  could represent something other than time.

For example:

- ▶  $Y$  is the number of bird nests found on an island, and  $N$  is the area of that island.
- ▶  $Y$  is the number of people in a country who have a rare disease, and  $N$  is the population of that country.

In general, we regard  $N$  as an **exposure** variable, perhaps representing an amount of “observational effort.”