## 1 m-reduciblity

**Definition.** Let A and B be languages over alphabet  $\Sigma$ . A is many-to-one reducible to B, written  $A \leq B$ , if there is a Turing machine F that terminates on every input  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , and such that:

$$A = \{ u \in \Sigma^* | F(u) \in B \}$$

Informally, this means that checking  $u \in A$  is no harder than checking  $w \in B$ .

## 1.1 Properties

**Proposition.** Suppose  $A \leq B$ .

- 1. If B is Turing-decidable, so is A
- 2. If B is Turing-recognisable, so is A
- 3. If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq C$ , then  $A \leq C$

Denote  $A \equiv B$  to mean that  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ . Informally, this means that A and B are equally difficult.

## 2 m-completeness

Language A is **m-complete** if:

- 1. A is Turing-recognisable, and
- 2. for every Turing-recognisable language  $B, B \leq A$ .

Informally, if A is m-complete, then A is as hard as any other Turing-recognisable language

Corollary. If A is m-complete and  $A \leq B$ , then B is m-complete.

**Definition.** The Halting language H consists of the words