

Pricing the knock-out option of SABR model transform Hyperbolic Brownian Motion

Tomohiro Matsumoto

2017.2.17

1 The Problem

1 Introduction

In this paper , we consider pricing the knock-out barrier option of SABR model transform Hyperbolic Brownian Motion.

SABR model

The SABR model is a stochastic volatility model , and it is a two factor model with the dynamics given by a system of two stochastic differential equations. The state variables of the model can be thought of as the forward price of an asset , and volatility parameter. The dynamics of the forward in the SABR model is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}dF_t &= \Sigma_t C(F_t) dW_t \\ d\Sigma_t &= v \Sigma_t dZ_t\end{aligned}$$

where W_t and Z_t are Brownian motions with $E[dW_t dZ_t] = \rho dt$. F_t is the forward rate process , and W_t and Z_t are Brownian Motions with $E[dW_t dZ_t] = \rho dt$ where the correlation ρ is assumed constant.

Barrier option

Barrier option is a type of option , if the rate is not the underlying exceeds a certain price , it is nearing the Maturity. On the other hand , if the rate is the underlying exceeds a certain price , the right occurs or the right disappears.

In this paper , in the case of the right disappears. It calls knock-out barrier option . This barrier option solved by symmetrization .

1-1 SABRmodelにおける knock out barrier option について
Let (F_t, Σ_t) be the solution of the stochastic differential equation :

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t &= \Sigma_t C(F_t) dW_t \\ d\Sigma_t &= v \Sigma_t dZ_t \end{aligned}$$

where W_t and Z_T are Brownian motions with $E[dW_t dZ_t] = \rho dt$.

Let S be a unique (weak) solution to the following stochastic differential equation ;

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= V_t \sigma_1(S_t) (\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2) + r S_t dt \\ dV_t &= \sigma_2(V_t) dW_t^1 + \mu(V_t) dt \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_i = 1, 2$ and μ are smooth functions on the half line, and μ is a constant in $[-1, 1]$, and (W^1, W^2) is a standard 2-dim Brownian motions defined on a filtered probability space. Let K be a positive constant smaller than V_0 and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_K^1 &= \pi_K^{spot} := \inf\{t > 0 : V_t < K\} \\ \pi_K^2 &= \pi_K^{integ} := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t V_s ds > K\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi_K^3 = \pi_K^{abinteg} := \inf\{t > 0 : \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t V_s ds > K\}$$

We are interested in numerical calculation of the following quantities:

$$\pi^i(K, T, F) := \mathbb{E}[F(S_T) 1_{\{\pi_K^i < T\}}] \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,$$

which stand for the price of timer options, the timer being spot volatility for $i=1$, the integrated volatility for $i=2$, and the time-average of the integrated volatility for $i=3$. Here $T \geq 0$ means the maturity, and $F \in D([0, \infty))$ is the pay-off function, of the options.

2 Symmetrization for the spot timer

We apply the symmetrization technique proposed in [1] to calculate (2). Instead of explaining it in a general situation, we describe in detail of the case $i = 1$, where the technique works properly.

Put

$$\Sigma(x, y) := \begin{pmatrix} \rho y \sigma_1(x) & \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} y \sigma_1(x) \\ \sigma_2(y) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and define}$$

Then there exist a right-continuous non-decreasing function F on \mathbb{R} such that $0 \leq F \leq 1$ and a subsequence (n_i) such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x) = F(x) \text{ at every point of continuity } F.$$

Proof

Let $C = (c_1, c_2, \dots)$ be a countable dense set of \mathbb{R} .

対角線論法によって、

$n_i = n_{ii}$ とおくとき。

$$H(c) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(c), \quad c \in C. \text{ が成り立つ。}$$

Obviously

$$0 \leq H \leq 1, \quad H \text{ is non-decreasing function on } C.$$

ここで、

$$F(x) = \inf_{c > x} H(c) \text{ とおくと、 } F \text{ is non-decreasing function on } \mathbb{R}.$$

よって残りは、

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x) \leq F(x) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x)$$

を示せばよい。

$$\underline{\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x) \leq F(x)}$$

$\forall c > x$ に対して、

$$F_{n_j}(x) \leq H(c) \text{ より、}$$

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_j}(x) \leq \limsup F_{n_j}(c) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_j}(c) = H(c)$$

従って、

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_j}(x) \leq \inf_{c > x} H(c) = F(x)$$

$$\underline{F(x) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x)}$$

$\forall \epsilon > 0, c > x$ に対して、

$$F(x - \epsilon) = \inf_{c > x - \epsilon} H(c) \leq \inf_{c \in (x - \epsilon, x)} H(c)$$

ここで、 $H(x) = \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(c) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x)$ より、

$$F(x - \epsilon) \leq \inf_{c \in (x - \epsilon, x)} H(c) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x)$$

F の left-continuous 性より、 $\epsilon \nearrow 0$ として、

$$F(x) \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{n_i}(x) \text{ を得る。}$$