## THE PLAN FOR TOMORROW

Compile-Time Extension Points for C++ Libraries and Applications





## EXTENSION GOALS: ADDING FUNCTIONALITY...

- beyond what was initially considered by application / library authors
  - Callback functions with void\* userdata in C libraries

- to perform some semantically-expected task for types outside author's purview
  - std::swap

- to endow a class with a specific, compatible interface
  - virtual protected functions in iostreams

# WELL-KNOWN EXTENSION METHODS

A brief overview of compile-time and runtime hybrid extension technology

### WELL-KNOWN: VIRTUAL METHODS

No surprises here: create base class and stuff it with virtual methods

```
struct animal {
    virtual std::string sound () const = 0;
};

struct dog : public animal {
    virtual std::string sound () const override {
        return "woof";
    }
};
```

## WELL-KNOWN: VIRTUAL METHODS USAGE

- Used extensively up to ~2008, less so now in place to static polymorphism
  - Many game engines: Ogre, Irrlicht, Doom, etc...
  - Qt: QObject and the entire class tree
  - Clang: ASTMatchers and extension points
  - C++ standard library: iostream customization points
  - One too many C++ university classes

#### **BENEFITS**

- Can work with super class (base class) at compile-time
  - calls the right method at runtime
  - no need to bookkeep function pointers and similar
- Heavily optimized by compiler writers to de-virtualize simple cases
  - E.g.: current-gen non-user-specialized iostreams, C++ XAML, and more

#### DRAWBACKS

- ABI-brittle
  - adding a function to class might append to virtual table, but may insert in middle of derived class's virtual table
  - difficult to detect mismatches
- Runtime efficiency
  - Does "X" need to be virtual? Must decision be delayed to runtime?
- Implementation-controlled Virtual Tables / Slicing Problem
  - Base classes must be handled as pointers / references or risk slicing

#### CALLBACKS WITH USERDATA

- Function which takes a strongly-typed function pointer and a void\* userdata
  - Staple of C APIs everywhere, including some C standard library functions
  - Highly flexible
- Used to let (application) developer do things beyond what was envisioned
  - e.g., serialize data into to a std::vector instead of a FILE\*

```
typedef int (*lua_Writer)(lua_State*, const void*, size_t, void*);
int lua_dump(lua_State* L, lua_Writer writer, void* userdata, int strip_symbols);
```

## WELL-KNOWN: CALLBACKS WITH USERDATA USAGE

- Literally every C library, ever...
  - Lua, libclang,
  - libpng, libjpeg
  - jansson, libev, freetype
  - Win32: everywhere
  - C Standard Library: qsort

#### EASY TO WRAP IN C++

Typical C call, wrapped in C++

```
template <typename Callback>
int dump_handler(lua_State* L, const void* data, size_t data_size, void* userdata) {
    Callback& callback = *static_cast<Callback*>(userdata);
    return callback(L, data, data_size);
}

template <typename Callback>
void dump_with(lua_State* L, Callback&& callback, bool strip_symbols = true) {
    lua_Writer writer = &dump_handler<std::remove_reference_t<Callback>>;
    void* userdata = static_cast<void*>(std::addressof(callback));
    lua_dump(L, writer, userdata, static_cast<int>(strip_symbols));
}
```

#### EASY TO WRAP IN C++: NO TEMPLATES

• Fix the interface to save on template duplication for every callable...

```
using dump_function = std::function<lua_State*, const void*, size_t>;
int dump_handler(lua_State* L, const void* data, size_t data_size, void* userdata) {
    dump_function& callback = *static_cast<dump_function*>(userdata);
    return callback(L, data, data_size);
}

void dump_with(lua_State* L, dump_function callback, bool strip_symbols = true) {
    lua_Writer writer = &dump_handler;
    void* userdata = static_cast<void*>(std::addressof(callback));
    lua_dump(L, writer, userdata, strip_symbols);
}
```

## EASY TO WRAP IN C++: NO TEMPLATES

```
std::function is expensive

    Higher efficiency, low cost for (maybe) C++20: std::function_ref

using dump function = std::function ref<lua State*, const void*, size t>;
int dump_handler(lua_State* L, const void* data, size_t data_size, void* userdata) {
   dump_function& callback = *static_cast<dump_function*>(userdata);
   return callback(L, data, data size);
void dump_with(lua_State* L, dump_function callback, bool strip_symbols = true) {
    lua Writer writer = &dump handler;
   void* userdata = static cast<void*>(std::addressof(callback));
   lua dump(L, writer, userdata, strip symbols);
```

## EASY...?

- Inline and synchronous execution:
  - No need for storage
  - No need to manage lifetime
- Non-inline execution:
  - Callling it later (events in Qt, libev, etc.)? Need storage.
  - Multithreading? Need storage.
  - Storage means lifetime...

## **BENEFITS**

- Space and time efficient
  - especially if callback never needs to be stored
  - function pointers are cheap

- ABI-hardy
  - difficult to break ABI unless the actual callback interface changes
  - user can place extra data into void\* for their needs at no cost to library

#### DRAWBACKS

- Exception/early exit issues
  - if stored, is the callback called when an exception is tossed/failure is reported?
- In-lining optimizations for compiled code becomes restricted
  - qsort(...) vs.std::sort(...)
  - link time optimizations helps here
- Lifetime issues, when
  - storing the callback to call "at a later date"
  - multithreading concerns

## COMPILE-TIME EXTENSION

Picking and choosing work to execute using compile time choices

## **EXTENSION METHODOLOGIES**



- Compiler-Assisted
  - (Partial) Class Template Specializations
  - "Koenig"/Argument-Dependent Lookup (ADL)
    - Static friend functions
  - Template functions + Overloading
- Author Mandated
  - Traits/Policy/Agent templates

## **CLASS TEMPLATES + SPECIALIZATION**

- Uses a class template
- User then (partially) specializes a class for this template
- Case studies: sol2, std::hash
  - Base template, user specialized templates
  - Using this class:

```
struct two_things {
    int a;
    bool b;
};
```

## SOL2 AND STD::HASH

case studies in class template specializations

## SOL::STACK::GETTER<T, C>

#### FULL SPECIALIZATION

## PARTIAL SPECIALIZATION

## DRAWBACK: MUTUAL EXCLUSION

- Fail to separate base implementation versus user implementation
  - · All user customization points must occupy the same finite code space
  - All full and partial specializations must not collide (mutual exclusion principle)



 Bad design in sol2 led to a few annoying collisions/fighting with user specializations

## DRAWBACK: SPECIALIZATION COLLISIONS

```
namespace sol { namespace stack {
      template <typename T>
      struct getter<T, std::enable_if_t<std::is_integral_v<T>>> {
            // ^ ERROR: ambiguous specialization
            static T get(lua_State*, int, record&) {
                  /* implementation for integers */
      };
} // namespace sol::stack
```

## DRAWBACK: SPECIALIZATION COLLISIONS

```
namespace sol { namespace stack {
      template ♦
      struct getter<std::string> {
            // ^ ERROR: multiple matches (sol2 has one already)
            static std::string get(lua_State*, int, record&) {
                  /* implementation for std::string */
      };
} // namespace sol::stack
```

## DRAWBACK: SPECIALIZATION COLLISIONS 🕱



```
user.hpp
                 lib.hpp
                                                     template <typename T>
template <typename T>
                                                     struct custom_point<</pre>
struct custom_point<T,</pre>
                                                         my vector<T>
    std::enable_if_t<</pre>
        has_begin_end_v<T>
    /*... */
```

## DRAWBACK: VISIBILITY AND DEFAULTS

- Is the class template specialization...
  - visible in all possible translation units where it may be used?
  - body dependent on macros that are not defined for the entire build (and its dependencies?)
- Silent ODR violation that compiles, links and runs on all known compilers.
  - Problematic with all compile-time extension points where default is not a noisy error
  - Specialization must be tightly packaged with class when used!
  - https://thephd.github.io/oh-dear-odr-trap

## VISIBILITY AND DEFAULTS FIX?

- Undefined base template instantiation
  - Errors users when nothing matches with (cryptic) "there is no defined class here"
  - Impossible when you have a default implementation!

```
template <typename T>
struct my_customization_point; // undefined base
```

## FIX: SLIGHTLY BETTER

- Define base template, but static assert to give better error than compiler
  - Still impossible when you have a default implementation!

```
template <typename T>
struct my_customization_point {

   static_assert(always_false<T>::value,
        "no customization point was picked up; "
        "please define one or check your code!");
};
```

## DRAWBACK: EXTRA TEMPLATE ARGS

 Extra template argument is needed on every extension point for SFINAE traits to be applied

```
template <typename T>
struct custom_point<T, /* SFINAE here */> {
    /* ... */
};
```

- SFINAE is messy
  - decltype() and is\_detected SFINAE slightly less ugly than std::enable\_if\_t
  - introduces mutual exclusion principle problems

## QUICK C++20 FIX: CONCEPTS

Concepts allow for simpler partial specialization and remove SFINAE parameter

```
template <ContainerLike T> // concept-constrained
struct custom_point<T> {
    /* ... */
};
```

## DRAWBACK: "ARCANE" KNOWLEDGE

- "The code isn't working"
  - navigating the syntax and rules of template instantiations means glazed over looks and general confusion
  - when providing support, usually teach user about partial template specialization (or just give them an example)
- Typical C++ users just want to write simple code
  - Classes (with or without static functions):
  - Functions (exported, inline, etc.):
  - Templates (rules of ODR, visibility of specialization at time of use, etc.):

## DRAWBACK: HEADER BLOAT &

- Header contamination becomes a real problem to avoid ODR issues
  - entirety of sol2 comes along for the ride
- produces longer build times
  - avoided with careful forward declaration of every required template and class
  - unfortunately, the standard itself does not provide forward-declaring headers
  - "modules will solve it?" unfortunately, little tangible evidence I can personally provide

## STD::HASH<T>

- Employs same struct specialization technique, but
  - is substantially simpler
  - has only one template argument
- Well-used, so this simple case has become idiomatic
  - lack of pre-C++20 SFINAE makes it easier to teach

## STD::HASH<T> EXAMPLE

```
namespace std {
      template<>
      struct hash<two_things> {
            size_t operator()(const two_things& tt) const noexcept {
                  auto h1(std::hash<int>{}(tt.a));
                  auto h2(std::hash<bool>{}(tt.b));
                  return my_hash_mix(h1, h2); // boost::hash_combine
} // namespace std
```

### BENEFITS AND DRAWBACKS

- Good: avoids arcane knowledge requirements by
  - being extraordinarily simple (write a function call operator)
  - not having a SFINAE parameter (avoids mutual exclusion)
- Bad: takes core specializations away from user
  - Pre-defined for enum types, integral types, etc.
  - No opt-out or overriding of those defaults
- Same visibility / header contamination issues
  - <functional> comes along for the ride 📆, no forward declarations!

### C++20 CONCEPTS: DRAWBACK?!



Previously non-constrainable generic templates like std::hash are now...
 constrainable?!

```
namespace std {
      template <Conceptified T>
      struct hash<T> {
            size_t operator()(const T&) const noexcept {
                  /* what have we done...? */
} // namespace std
```

#### FREQUENT LIBRARY VENDOR COMPLAINT

- "They are opening up namespace std / my namespace
  - paper to solve this presented in Rapperswil, Switzerland, 2018; <u>p0665</u>
  - · allows a user to specialize outside classes in the namespace where the class is defined
- Library vendors are hyper-sensitive to users opening up namespace std
  - people have done all sorts of interesting things in their code bases
  - required all large stdlib implementations to employ \_\_ugly \_Identifiers for realsies

### (TEMPLATE) FUNCTIONS

Friendship and Overloading and ADL, oh my!

### (TEMPLATE) FUNCTION OVERLOADING

- Step into the namespace of the function and add a similar name
  - does not depend on Name Lookup to "find" the function in associated namespaces

- Usually explicitly blessed by library author as "possible"
  - old usage: viable way to customize std:: swap

- Case study
  - Boost.Serialization

# ADDING OVERLOAD INTO BOOST.SERIALIZATION:

```
namespace boost { namespace serialization {
    template <class Archive>
    void serialize(Archive& ar, two_things& tt, unsigned int version) {
        ar & tt.a;
        ar & tt.b;
    }
} // namespace boost::serialization
```

## OVERLOADING: BENEFITS AND DRAWBACKS

- Direct additions to namespace separate extension point from target
  - benefit: if optional and not required, user can move customization function to independent header / implementation files
  - drawback: if required and not optional, then separation may not be desired and causes boilerplate/errors ("I forgot to include the special header for serialization")
- Same complaint from library vendors
  - opening up other namespaces 💢!
  - potential for name collisions and similar

#### INCREASING ENCAPSULATION: FRIEND

```
struct two_things {
private:
      friend class boost::serialization::access;
      template <class Archive>
      void serialize(Archive& ar, unsigned int version) {
            ar & a;
            ar & b;
public:
      int a;
      bool b;
```

### FRIEND: BENEFITS AND DRAWBACKS

- Tight coupling!
  - benefit: if required, desirable to make it inseparable
  - drawback: compilation times for demanding Boost.serialization come along with the main header
- Makes library vendors happy
  - Titus Winters and the abseil team are smiling down at us (https://abseil.io/tips/99)

### ARGUMENT-DEPENDENT LOOKUP 🔛



- Complicated set of rules
- Rely on namespaces of arguments to add additional symbols to unqualified calls
  - primary intentional use: "generic" (templated) code to work with arbitrary types
  - primary unintentional use: operators to "just find the right call" for a == b
- Case studies:
  - std::swap (the wrong way)
  - std::ranges / range-v3 (the right way)

#### ARGUMENT-DEPENDENT LOOKUP: SWAP

- swap(a, b) // invokes ADL because call name is unqualified
  - looks in the namespace of a and b, as well as the current scope's namespace
  - · likely a bug in generic algorithm if written outside std/a or b are not std
- std::swap(a, b) // does not invoke ADL because call name is qualified
  - looks only in namespace std
  - likely a bug if used in a generic algorithm
- Proper way:

```
using std::swap;
swap(a, b);
```



# "STD SWAP TWO-STEP": VERBOSITY IS FAILURE

"The problem with the Two-Step is that it forces users to type *more* to do the right thing. FAIL. Most damning, it requires users to either blindly memorize and regurgitate the Two-Step pattern, or worse: understand two-phase name lookup in templates."

– Eric Niebler, October 2014, <a href="http://ericniebler.com/2014/10/21/customization-point-design-in-c11-and-beyond/">http://ericniebler.com/2014/10/21/customization-point-design-in-c11-and-beyond/</a>





# ARGUMENT DEPENDENT LOOKUP: RANGE-V3

- Create a callable function object which does the two-step with an internal detail namespace's swap
  - Invokes ADL but prevents qualified call to ns :: swap(a, b) being a bug
  - ADL is done "for you": function object takes care of it

#### ADL DONE RIGHT™

```
namespace std { namespace detail {
      template <Swappable A, Swappable B> // important!
      void swap (A& a, B& b) { /* default implementation */ }
      struct swap_func {
             template <Swappable A, Swappable B>
             void operator()(A& a, B& b) const noexcept {
                    swap(a, b); // default swap already in scope
             };
      };
}} // namespace std::detail
```

#### ADL DONE RIGHT™

Create a constexpr object of the proper name sitting in the namespace

```
namespace std {
    // C++17: inline variables clue compiler in to avoid ODR
    inline constexpr const auto swap = detail::swap_func{};
} // namespace std
```

### ADL DONE RIGHT™ (C++14 AND BELOW)

 Create a constexpr object of the proper name sitting in the namespace • C++17: inline constexpr to avoid ODR issues, rather than \_\_static\_const trick namespace std { // older standards: template <typename T> struct \_\_static\_const { static constexpr T value{}; } template <typename T> constexpr \_\_static\_const<T>::value; constexpr const auto& swap = \_\_static\_const<detail::swap\_func>::value; } // namespace std

#### ADL DONE RIGHT™: VERY SIMPLE

```
struct two_things {
    int a;
    bool b;

    // just this
    friend void swap (two_things& left, two_things& right);
};
```

### WAIT, IS THAT A FRIEND FUNCTION?

- Friend functions contain a few encapsulation benefits and help avoid name collisions
- friend functions are the same as free functions, but:
  - hidden from qualified (my\_namespace:: func\_name) calls due to being inside the class
  - Findable (only) by calls which invoke ADL

#### FRIEND FUNCTIONS CASE STUDY: ABSEIL

```
    Abseil uses this extensively for its customization points

    • in particular, AbslHashValue
struct Circle {
   template <typename H>
   friend H AbslHashValue(H h, const Circle& c) {
      return H::combine(std::move(h), c.center_, c.radius_);
private:
   std::pair<int, int> center_;
   int radius_;
```

#### BENEFITS: ADL DONE RIGHT™

- No Two-Step;
  - no subtle missed bugs in generic code
  - no inconsistency in "always qualify your calls"
  - Customization point writer gets there "first"
    - impose initial base-level concepts on the type

- Allows user to define swap in namespace next to class / as friend function
  - just a function: easy to write and read

#### DRAWBACK: OVERLOADING CATCH-ALLS

- Base implementation provided by author must SFINAE away or it will catch all calls and hard-error everything
  - must use decltype SFINAE, concept, trailing return type with decltype or std::enable\_if\_t
- Users may not properly constrain their overloads and write catch-alls
  - If users write a "generic" catch-all and do not properly constrain, the extension point is ruined for everyone

#### DRAWBACK: HIGH COLLISIONS

- Does your function take perfect forwarding references?
  - prepare to cry: overloads in the same space may consume more calls than intended
  - worse: they might even unintentionally work but do the non-performant / wrong thing!

```
namespace std {
   template <class Pointer, class Smart, class... Args>
   auto out_ptr(Smart& s, Args& ... args) noexcept;
} // namespace std
```

#### DRAWBACK: HIGH COLLISIONS

- Basically working with a black hole
  - Avoid ADL for variadic forwarding functions: not a good time

```
namespace std {

template <class Pointer, √class Smart, class... Args>
auto out_ptr(Smart& s, Args&&... args) noexcept;

} // namespace std
```

## MUST CONSTRAIN BASE IMPLEMENTATION!

```
namespace std { namespace detail {
      template <typename A, typename B>
      void swap (A& a, B& b) -> decltype(a.swap(b)) { /* ... */ }
      template <Swappable A, Swappable B>
      void swap (A& a, B& b) { /* ... */ }
      // and more...
}} // namespace std::detail
```

# BUT EVERYONE WILL PROPERLY CONSTRAIN!

And other lies I told myself after I read Eric's blog post...



They will not constrain it.

- They will not use "only concrete types".
- The world is not full of only experts.



#### ThePhD 02/02/2019

No idea why that trait is triggering and causing the error. I'll have to try to construct a small, reproducible error at some point, but my fix should get you going at least. Oh.

That's why.

tgui::to\_string is templated, and lying.

This has nothing to do with any of the classes or anything you wrote.



#### linbob 02/02/2019

i didnt think so



#### ThePhD 02/02/2019

tgui::to\_string is a templated function that invokes operator<<(YourClass&) in order to serialize a string.

sol2 picks that up when it goes through its complicated list of "does this thing have a to string function".

tgui did not properly SFINAE-constrict its overload, so it says it takes ContextMenu when it, in fact, does not and the code inside cannot.

Templates were a mistake...

ADL was a mistake too.

Either way, nothing you or I can do about it. tgui would need to add some proper SFINAE to their templated function.

(Or just, you know. Not write a function like that.)

#### ADL DONE... RIGHT™?

- "The Best Anyone Could Have Done With The Tools At Hand."
- range-v3 niebloids (begin, end, iter\_move, dereference, etc.) contain no opt-out mechanism
  - does not prevent the ADL problem for unintentionally bad actors
  - makes it even more apparent when it does happen
  - cannot call "just the basic {begin/end/swap}" because it exists in an implementationdefined detail namespace now

# ADL AND OVERLOADING: BIGGER PROBLEMS

- Functions can catch unintended calls
  - even if they are not templated
  - consider void\* pointer conversions, derived -> base conversions, and more
- Results in a huge problems for ADL and overloading
  - unintended "catches" of base types and other things a user would find surprising

Case study: sol3



#### ADL EXTENSION POINTS



```
    Associated extension points (not named the same!)

     sol::stack::get a value maps to sol_lua_get
     sol::stack::check a type maps to sol_lua_check
     • sol::stack::push maps to sol_lua_push
int sol_lua_push(sol::types<two_things>, lua_State* L,
   const two_things& things);
two_things sol_lua_get(sol::types<two_things>, lua_State* L,
   int index, sol::stack::record& tracking)
template <typename Handler>
bool sol lua check(sol::types<two_things>, lua_State* L,
   int index, Handler&& handler, sol::stack::record& tracking)
```

# "DID YOU CUSTOMIZE THIS": TYPE TRAIT IMPLEMENTATION

```
template <typename ... Args>
using adl_sol_lua_push_test_t = decltype(sol_lua_push(
      static_cast<lua_State*>(nullptr), std::declval<Args>()...
));
template <typename ... Args>
inline constexpr bool is_adl_sol_lua_push_v =
      is_detected_v<adl_sol_lua_push_test_t, Args ... >;
```

## SOL<sub>3</sub>: ADL EXTENSION POINT FUNCTION CALL

```
template <typename T, typename ... Args>
int push(lua_State* L, T& t, Args& ... args) {
      if constexpr (is_adl_sol_lua_push_v<T, Args...>) {
            return sol_lua_push( ... );
      else {
            /* hit default if constexpr internals */
```

### SOL3: AN EXAMPLE OF OLD PROBLEMS

```
• Why type tags?

    To solve conversion problems; for example, pointer conversion rules

int sol_lua_push(lua_State* L, void* vp);
struct unrelated {};
int main (int, char*[]) {
   sol::state lua{};
   unrelated obj{};
   unrelated* some_pointer = &obj;
   // calls the above, not the default!
   sol::stack::push(lua, some_pointer);
   return 0;
```

#### DRAWBACKS: ADL AND OVERLOADS

- Must guard against conversions
  - can develop smarter and more complicated traits
  - prefer a type tag if the space of the ADL is unconstrained
- Have templated functions that take multiple perfect-forwarding arguments?
  - just do not bother here; overload resolution will drive users crazy

### TRAITS TYPES

Injecting compile-time extensions into the type system

# TRAITS/POLICIES/AGENTS: TEMPLATED CLASSES



```
    Deployed for classes which need customizability

            std::basic_string<CharType, TraitsType>
            std::basic_ostream<CharType, TraitsType>
            std::vector<T, Allocator>
            std::map<Key, Value, Predicate, Allocator>
            glm::mat<Rows, Colums, Type, Precision>
            nlohmann::basic_json
            MapType, ArrayType, StringType, BoolType, SignedIntegerType, UnsignedIntegerType, FloatingType, Allocator, Serializer
            ;
```

#### TRAITS: BAD REPUTATION

- Interfaces for char\_traits, allocators, and more from the standard
  - early designs using new features in the standard
  - not thoroughly vetted
  - imbued in things it had no business being in (IO and friends)

assign [static]	assigns a character (public static member function)
eq lt <sup>[static]</sup>	compares two characters (public static member function)
move [static]	moves one character sequence onto another (public static member function)
copy [static]	copies a character sequence (public static member function)
compare [static]	lexicographically compares two character sequence (public static member function)
length [static]	returns the length of a character sequence (public static member function)
find[static]	finds a character in a character sequence (public static member function)
to_char_type [static]	converts int_type to equivalent char_type (public static member function)
to_int_type [static]	converts char_type to equivalent int_type (public static member function)
eq_int_type [static]	compares two int_type values (public static member function)
eof [static]	returns an <i>eof</i> value (public static member function)
not_eof [static]	checks whether a character is <i>eof</i> value (public static member function)

#### TRAITS: LATER ITERATIONS SUCCESSFUL

- std::map and std::unordered\_map made better use of traits
  - Predicate and Hash follow guidelines of std::hash
  - Single-responsibility principle for Predicate and Hash
- nlohmann:: json is a templated type with sensible defaults
  - just change template parameter details if you do not like them!

### **NLOHMANN::JSON**

- Does extensibility for its to\_json / from\_json calls by default as adl\_serializer
  - Default serializer is actually really bad: default-constructs object, passes in ref to that object to fill in
  - But... nothing stops you from doing the following:

### **BENEFITS**

- Easier to customize for user's needs
  - "I just need this one behavior in this localized area"
- Follows Chandler's C++ Principle
  - Pretty good performance by default, but then can flip the car hood up and start customizing things

### DRAWBACKS

- Change the template, change the type
  - cannot interoperate with sibling types by default (unless explicitly programmed in)
- Brittle ABI
  - change default template parameters -> change name mangling
  - change template name -> any using/typedefs change name mangling
- "Too much customizability"
  - Need to resist temptation to repeat mistake of std::char\_traits

### SO... WHICH DO WE USE?



- Each scenario has benefits and drawbacks
  - A bit of guidance for the scenarios

# STRUCT SPECIALIZATION: BEST FOR PRECISE MATCHING



- Class SFINAE is some of the most expensive SFINAE one can perform
  - second only to non-concept function SFINAE in template arguments
  - SFINAE done on the return type of a function is faster
  - if constexpr is fastest
- Template matching is very precise and does not do even basic conversions
  - less flexible than overload conversions
  - must define template for base class, first derived, second derived, etc. even if they are all do the same thing
- Guidance: use for precise matching, internal details, no conversions

## ADL: BETTER FOR STATELESS CONSISTENCY



- "pick up and play" feeling
  - works from anywhere, obeys the same rules (however complicated)
  - better for the library developer space

- sol3 picked ADL extension points for many reasons
  - user had to be able to be consistent across translation units
  - harder to have fixed ABI with trait-based state classes
  - sol::state / sol::state\_view can work with underlying VM from anywhere
  - better for handling type-deficient Lua and C coding environment (interop)

## ADL: STATELESS AND OLD CODE & 4







- Guidance: use niebloids (range-v3) style...
  - when you know user does not need access to base implementation
  - when you are confident user will not do things to step on base implementation's toes
  - when you want to make sure someone can pass the functions to higher order functions

- Guidance: use sol3 separate-named-function style...
  - when getting to the default behavior in a well-defined way matters
  - when users are likely to define a large set of customizations
  - when users will be working with types they do not own often

# TRAITS: BETTER FOR MULTITHREADED ENVIRONMENTS



- For when user has more control over the system and does not have to work in existing code
  - each class can have highly customized behavior specific to needs
  - avoids needing to share a single global universe of overload resolution / ADL space with others
  - great for application space
  - great for environments that are already type-rich / generic (C++)
- · Can deploy one trait class in one area, another in a separate area
  - avoids ODR at the cost of having more types
  - highly-tailored needs

### **FUTURE TALKS?**

- Fully Runtime Extension Points for C++ Applications
  - Unassisted Runtime DLL Loading
    - LoadLibrary + GetProcAddress / dlopen + dlsym
  - Hooking
    - mhook / LD\_PRELOAD
  - Hot Reloading
  - Debug Gap Placements to compile new code into
    - Visual C++ debug compilation
- "Versioning" for the purposes of loading/calling code
  - ABI restrictions and friends

### **FUTURE TALKS?**

- "The Future of Customization Points in C++23: Customization Point Functions"
  - Matt Calabrese's p1292: <a href="https://wg21.link/p1292">https://wg21.link/p1292</a>
  - He didn't sign up to do this talk, but he is introducing a paper which makes customization points easy to write, read and reason about
- I think it would be a cool talk.
  - Hint hint.
    - Wink wink.
      - Nudge nudge.

### **FUTURE TALKS?**

- "The Future of Customization Points in C++23: Customization Point Functions"
  - Matt Calabrese's p1292: <a href="https://wg21.link/p1292">https://wg21.link/p1292</a>
  - He didn't sign up to do this talk, but he is introducing a paper which makes customization points easy to write, read and reason about
- I think it would be a cool talk.
  - Hint hint.
    - Wink wink.
      - Nudge nudge.
        - Hey Matt do the talk.

### THANK YOU!

- Eric Fiselier, Titus Winters
  - Challenged me to research generic extension mechanisms for std::out\_ptr (p1132)
- Isabella Muerte
  - "Tell them an ADL customization point is insane" (she was right; overloading concerns were insane)
- Lounge<C++>, include<C++>











### **QUESTIONS?**

@thephantomderp
https://twitter.com/thephantomderp

The Pasture <a href="https://thephd.github.io">https://thephd.github.io</a>

Patreon - thephd https://www.patreon.com/thephd



