Assessing the Value of Professional Body Accreditation of Computer Science Degree Programmes: A UK Case Study

Tom Crick Swansea University Swansea, UK

Tom Prickett Northumbria University Newcastle upon Tyne, UK thomas.crick@swansea.ac.uk tom.prickett@northumbria.ac.uk masjhd@bath.ac.uk

James H. Davenport University of Bath Bath, UK

Alastair Irons Sunderland University Sunderland, UK alastair.irons@sunderland.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

This poster presents a model for the value provided by professional body accreditation of computer science degree programmes in the United Kingdom (UK). We introduce how one large UK professional computing body – BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT – addresses degree accreditation, as well as recent changes to content and process. Whilst comparable accreditation regimes exist in a number of other jurisdictions, we provide the opportunity for exploring future extensions to, and the portability of, the UK model.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Social and professional topics → Accreditation; Computing education programs; Employment issues.

KEYWORDS

Accreditation, Professional Body, Curricula Design

ACM Reference Format:

Tom Crick, Tom Prickett, James H. Davenport, and Alastair Irons. 2020. Assessing the Value of Professional Body Accreditation of Computer Science Degree Programmes: A UK Case Study. In 2020 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (ITiCSE'20), June 15-19, 2020, Trondheim, Norway. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. https://doi.org/10.1145/XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

THE MODEL

The value of professional body degree accreditation regimes as a kite-marking exercise (or to support a globally-portable and recognised workforce) remains high [6]. Equally, the respective national (or otherwise) regimes are criticised for being perceived to be unnecessarily bureaucratic and potentially constraining innovation in learning and teaching [5]; generating revenues streams in their own right rather than for the benefit of a discipline or wider society [6]; or colonial and paternalistic in nature [7]. The model presented in this poster was generated by insights obtained from the views of UK higher education institutions (HEIs) through a range of formal and informal events organised by BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT: canvassed as part of 18 formal accreditation visits (from September 2018-September 2019); workshops run between November

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a $fee.\ Request\ permissions\ from\ permissions@acm.org.$

ITiCSE '20, June 15-19, 2020, Trondheim, Norway © 2020 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-6874-2/20/06...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.1145/XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

2018 and November 2019 as part of the operation of BCS Academic Accreditation Committee (AAC); and survey-based feedback gained from BCS Academic Assessors, attendees of the 2020 ACM Computing Education Practice Conference in Durham, UK [4] and the readership of ITNow [3], the 'the voice of the BCS' which publishes articles on all aspects of computing and IT. The proposed model represents a commitment to continuously review and enhance practices in response to criticism (e.g. by removing bureaucracy, further supporting graduate employment, etc.) and to enhance the overall value provided. The following are aspects of the current value to accredited HEIs.

- Raising output standards, essentially performing a kite-marking function.
- Employ internationally-recognised standards and memoranda (e.g. Seoul Accord, Washington Accord, EOANIE) to promote the global parity of computer science education and hence the mobility of graduates.
- Ensuring curricula relevance e.g. coverage of cybersecurity [2], team working and professional environment
- Identifying and disseminating practice highlights either directly [1] or via other means such as conferences (e.g. ACM CEP Conference [4])
- Industry relevance by mandating the inclusion of industrialist upon accreditation panels
- Accrediting work experience in degree programmes.

FUTURE WORK

The feedback to date indicates that generic criticisms of professional body accreditation regimes not withstanding, the developed model is broadly accepted in the UK jurisdiction. The next step is to explore the portability and compare the applicability of this model to other jurisdictions.

REFERENCES

- [1] BCS, The Chartered Institute for IT. 2020. Practice Highlights. https://www.bcs.org/deliver-and-teach-qualifications/university-accreditation/ practice-highlights/ Accessed: 2020-02-29.
- Tom Crick, James Davenport, Alastair Irons, and T. Prickett. 2019. A UK Case Study on Cybersecurity Education and Accreditation. In Proc. IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference. IEEE, USA.
- [3] Tom Crick, James H Davenport, Paul Hanna, Alastair Irons, Sally Pearce, and Tom Prickett. 2020. Repositioning BCS Degree Accreditation. ITNOW 62, 1 (2020).
- [4] Tom Crick, James H. Davenport, Paul Hanna, Alastair Irons, and Tom Prickett. 2020. Computer Science Degree Accreditation in the UK: A Post-Shadbolt Review Update. In Proc of 4th Conf. on Computing Education Practice. Article 6.
- [5] Lee Harvey. 2004. The power of accreditation: views of academics. Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management 26, 2 (2004), 207-223.
- Jane Knight. 2015. The International Race for Accreditation. International Higher Education 40 (2015), 2-3,
- [7] Sybert Mutereko. 2017. Analysing the accreditation of engineering education in South Africa through Foucault's panopticon and governmentality lenses. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education 43 (2017), 235-247.