

CS 5830

Cryptography

Instructor: Tom Ristenpart

TAs: Yan Ji, Sanketh Menda



CORNELL
TECH

HOME OF THE
JACOBS
INSTITUTE



Crypto primitives we've seen

Symmetric primitives:

- Authenticated encryption (with associated data)
- Message authentication (rarely used standalone)
- Hash functions


Asymmetric primitives:

- Key exchange
- Public-key encryption
- Digital signatures

Application-layer crypto

- So far focused on TLS as running example
 - Transport Layer Security
 - Provides network socket style stream interface
- What about if an application wants to encrypt discrete messages (as opposed to stream)?
 - Email
 - Text messages
 - Etc.

Messaging



What we want:

- Message may be large (body of email, PDF, image, etc.)
- Ideally: asynchronous communications (both parties need not be online)
- Desire confidentiality and authenticity (including replay resistance)

How would we design this given primitives we know?

Public-key encryption (RSA or Diffie-Hellman), digital signatures, symmetric encryption

ElGamal public-key encryption

g is generator for group of order p

K_g outputs $pk = (g, X = g^x)$ and $sk = (g, x)$

Enc((g, X) , M)

$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

$C_1 = g^r$

$C_2 = X^r * M$

Return C_1, C_2


Dec((g, x) , C_1, C_2):

Return $C_2 * C_1^{-x}$

This is only at most chosen-plaintext attack secure. CCA attacks?

Only encrypts messages of size up to about $\log p$ bits

Hybrid encryption (KEM/DEM)



KEM = key encapsulation mechanism
Randomized public-key primitive

DEM = data encapsulation mechanism
One-time secure authenticated encryption

HybEnc(pk, M)

$\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ $K, C_{kem} \leftarrow \$ KEM(pk)$

$\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ $C_{dem} \leftarrow Enc(K, M)$

Return C_{kem}, C_{dem}

HybDec(sk, C_{kem}, C_{dem})

$\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ $K \leftarrow KEM^{-1}(sk, C_{kem})$

$\xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}}$ $M \leftarrow Dec(K, C_{dem})$

Return M

ElGamal KEM


Kg outputs $pk = (g, X = g^x)$ and $sk = (g, x)$
g is generator for group of order prime p

EG-KEM((g, X)):
 $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$
 $C_{\text{kem}} \leftarrow g^r$
Return $H(X^r), C_{\text{kem}}$

EG-KEM-
Dec($(g, x), C_{\text{kem}}$):
Return $H(C_{\text{kem}}^x)$

Secure if computational Diffie-Hellman assumption holds in group

{ DHIES (Diffie-Hellman Integrated Encryption Scheme) is an example of
public-key encryption using this type of KEM }




[Abdalla et al. 2001]

<https://www.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/papers/dhies.pdf>

DHIES with CBC and HMAC

Recipient public key is g^x

$$K1 \parallel K2 = \text{SHA256}(g^{xr})$$




DHIES security intuition


- Confidentiality for passive adversaries (IND-CPA)?
 - Symmetric encryption prevents

- Chosen-ciphertext attack security?
 - Change $g^r \Rightarrow K1 || K2$ will be different
 - Change C_{dem} or $T \Rightarrow$ tag check fails

- Can formally show security implied by:
 - IND-CPA security of symmetric encryption, message authenticity of tag, and (hashed) Diffie-Hellman assumption:

$(\underline{g^r}, \underline{g^x}, \underline{H(g^{rx})})$ indistinguishable from $(\underline{g^r}, \underline{g^x}, \underline{U})$ (U uniform random bits)







Want to use DHIES to encrypt message

How do we get recipient's public key?


How do we trust recipient's public key?

- 1) Out-of-band verification by users
- {2) Key transparency mechanisms}

Verifying public keys



Messaging



Say we verify recipient public key

What attacks does using just DHIES still allow?

No authenticity! Service provider can inject arbitrary messages

No repeat protection! Service provider can replay ciphertexts


Need digital signatures and some replay resistance mechanisms

PGP history

- Phil Zimmerman released “Pretty Good Privacy” in 1991 on a USENET post marked as “US only”
- 1993: Criminal investigation by US government for munitions export without a license.
 - Printed PGP source code into a book. First amendment gambit

OpenPGP overview

- Standard for PGP is RFC 4880
- Key encapsulation mechanism:
 - RSA PKCS#1 v1.5 encryption
 - ElGamal over finite field or elliptic curve
- Digital signatures:
 - RSA PKCS#1 v1.5 signatures
 - DSA
- Symmetric encryption:
 - Password-based key derivations using iterated hashing
 - CFB mode using block cipher (variant of CBC mode)



OpenPGP overview

- Security problems:
 - Padding oracle attacks against CFB & PKCS#1 v1.5
 - Attacks against home-brewed integrity checks (modification detection check, MDC)
 - Subject lines always in the clear
- Usability problems:
 - Users must manage their own keys
 - Copying private keys to each device
 - Checking validity of other recipient's public key

Web of trust



The Switch

Yahoo's plan to get Mail users to encrypt their e-mail: Make it simple

A Print 14 Save for Later Reading List


A red arrow points from the end of the headline "Yahoo's plan to get Mail users to encrypt their e-mail: Make it simple" towards the "Reading List" button in the footer.

End-to-end (E2E) Encrypted messaging

- TextSecure by Open Whisper Systems (2013)
 - Trevor Perrin and Moxie Marlinspike (Levchin award winners)
 - Later became Signal
 - Developed Signal Protocol, which was adopted elsewhere (most notably: WhatsApp)
- Protocol provides:
 - “... confidentiality, integrity, authentication, participant consistency, destination validation, forward secrecy, post-compromise security (aka future secrecy), causality preservation, message unlinkability, message repudiation, participation repudiation, and asynchronicity.”

Signal Protocol (X3DH)

<https://signal.org/docs/specifications/x3dh/>



Verify σ

$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$; $EK_A \leftarrow g^r$

DH(PK_1, PK_2) is means output $g^{sk_1 * sk_2}$

$$DH1 = DH(IK_A, SPK_B)$$

$$DH2 = DH(EK_A, IK_B)$$



$$DH3 = DH(EK_A, SPK_B)$$

$$DH4 = DH(EK_A, OPK_B)$$

$$SK = KDF(DH1 || DH2 || DH3 || DH4)$$

$$C \leftarrow AEAD(SK, message)$$


IK_A , EK_A , key identifiers, C



Signal Double Ratchet

<https://signal.org/docs/specifications/doubleratchet/> ↪



- Use X3DH to establish shared secret SK
- To improve forward secrecy, shouldn't use SK forever
- Ratcheting refers to deriving new key material to allow deleting old key material
- Asymmetric ratchet:
 - New ephemeral DH values sent along with messages
- Symmetric ratchet:
 - Use KDFs to generate new symmetric keys
- Key complexity: asynchronous communications




Encrypted messaging big topic

- Message Layer Security (MLS) in-progress standard
 - Helps handle group messaging, which has complexities
- Google, Facebook (Messenger & WhatsApp), Apple, Signal, Keybase, Skype, ... have implemented some E2E encryption
- Adds complexity to trust and safety features (e.g., abuse reporting)

End-to-end encrypted messaging and abuse




End-to-end encrypted messaging and abuse




[Facebook 2016]:

- Provide cryptographic proof of message contents when reporting abuse
- Called technique ***message franking***

Facebook's message franking protocol



Facebook's message franking protocol




Sender *cryptographically commits* to message: $C_B = \text{HMAC}(K_B, "!\%$#!")$

Encrypt message along with K_B (called the opening)

Receiver decrypts, retrieves K_B , and verifies C_B

Facebook's message franking protocol




To report abuse, send message as well as K_B, C_B

Provider can verify that C_B was previously sent (and by whom)

Recompute $HMAC(K_B, “!%$#!”)$ and check that it equals C_B

Security goals for message franking




- 1) **Receiver binding:** receiver can't open a message not sent
- 2) **Sender binding:** can't send a message that can't be reported
- 3) End-to-end confidentiality/authenticity for messages not reported

~~Our~~ analysis:

Facebook's scheme achieves this for messages,
but not for image/video attachments

An attack against Facebook moderation

“Abusive” JPEG seen by receiver



Vulnerability due to weakness in standard authenticated encryption (AES-GCM)

- Find two keys K, K' that decrypt *same* ciphertext to *distinct* images

More recently: exploit this weakness to recover secrets [Len et al. Security 2021]

Abuse mitigation motivated finding fundamental weakness in encryption tools

- New cryptographic theory to guide design of better encryption
- New, secure algorithms

[Grubbs et al. Crypto 2017]

[Dodis et al. Crypto 2018]

Summary

- Hybrid encryption uses combination of asymmetric and symmetric cryptography
 - Key encapsulation mechanisms (KEM) based on secure PKE, (elliptic curve) Diffie-Hellman
 - Use an authenticated encryption scheme for data encapsulation mechanism (DEM)
- PGP is historical example (and still somewhat widely used)
- End-to-end messaging for IM, chat hotter topic, now widely deployed

Signal Protocol



Verify σ

$$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p ; EK_A \leftarrow g^r$$

$$DH1 = DH(IK_A, SPK_B)$$

$$DH2 = DH(EK_A, IK_B)$$

$$DH3 = DH(EK_A, SPK_B)$$

$$DH4 = DH(EK_A, OPK_B)$$

$$SK = KDF(DH1 || DH2 || DH3 || DH4)$$

$$C \leftarrow AEAD(SK, message)$$

IK_A, EK_A , key identifiers, C

$IK_B, SPK_B, \sigma, OPKi_B$

DH(PK_1, PK_2) is means output $g^{sk_1 * sk_2}$

Mutual authentication

Forward secrecy

Identity key



Service provider

Signed pre-key

Signature of SPK_B using secret key associated to IK_B

One-time pre-keys



$IK_B, SPK_B, \sigma, OPK1_B, \dots, OPKn_B$

$IK_B, SPK_B, OPKi_B$ all public DH keys, recipient retains secret keys

IK_A, EK_A , key identifiers, C

Summary

- Hybrid encryption uses combination of asymmetric and symmetric cryptography
 - Key encapsulation mechanisms (KEM) based on secure PKE, (elliptic curve) Diffie-Hellman
 - Use an authenticated encryption scheme for data encapsulation mechanism (DEM)
- PGP is historical example (and still somewhat widely used)
- End-to-end messaging for IM, chat hotter topic, now widely deployed

Summary

- Elliptic curves are specially constructed groups where DLP is conjectured to be hard
- These are faster than RSA or DLP over \mathbb{Z}_p^*
- Being used widely in practice
 - EC-DSA (bitcoin)
 - TLS EC-DHE (elliptic curve ephemeral DH)
- Post-quantum crypto studies new asymmetric primitives conjectured to resist quantum computers