Tutorial and Lab Problems # 11 MATH3871/MATH5970

1. **Bayes Factors.** Consider model 1 with likelihood $\pi(x \mid \theta, m = 1)$ and model 2 with $\pi(x \mid \theta, m = 2) = \pi(x \mid \psi^{-1}(\theta), m = 1)$ for an invertible function ψ . If we adopt Jeffrey's priors $\pi_J(\theta)$ and $\pi_J(\psi(\theta))$ for model 1 and 2 respectively, show that the Bayes factor $B_{1|2} = B_{2|1} = 1$.

Answer: From the definition of Jeffrey's prior we know that

$$\pi_{J}(\theta) \propto \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi} \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right)^{2}}$$

$$= \left|\frac{\mathrm{d}\psi}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right| \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\propto \pi_{J}(\psi) |\mathrm{d}\psi/\mathrm{d}\theta|.$$

Therefore, by a change of variable, we have:

$$\pi(x \mid m = 1) = \int \pi(x \mid \theta, m = 1)\pi_J(\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \int \pi(x \mid \psi(\theta), m = 2)\pi_J(\psi(\theta)) \frac{d\psi}{d\theta} d\theta$$

$$= \int \pi(x \mid \psi(\theta), m = 2)\pi_J(\psi(\theta)) d\psi$$

$$= \pi_J(x \mid m = 2).$$

2. Tute/Lab # 10 revisited (this question not examinable). Assume that $\mathbf{D} = \alpha^2 \mathbf{I}_p$, where $\mathbf{I}_p \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$, and that σ^2 is unknown. Let $\mathbf{C} := \mathbf{I}_n + \alpha^2 \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top}$. Show that (use Woodbery matrix identity, see Wikipedia) an alternative formula for $\widehat{\sigma}^2$ is

$$\widehat{\sigma}^2 = \boldsymbol{y}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} / n$$
.

Also, an alternative formula for the log-determinant of $\Sigma = \alpha^2 (\mathbf{I}_p + \alpha^2 \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ is

$$\ln |\Sigma| = p \ln(\alpha^2) - \ln |\mathbf{C}|.$$

Then, for a model with p predictors, we have

$$-2\ln g(\boldsymbol{y} \mid p) = p\ln(\alpha^2) - 2\ln\Gamma(n/2) + n\ln(\pi n\widehat{\sigma}^2) - \ln|\Sigma|$$
$$= n\ln(\pi \boldsymbol{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}) + \ln|\mathbf{C}| - 2\ln\Gamma(n/2).$$

Now suppose that m is the maximum number of predictors that we can include in the linear model and denote them by $v_1, \ldots, v_m (\in \mathbb{R}^n)$. Let z be a binary vector of length m that encodes a particular model under consideration.

For example, with m = 5 and $\mathbf{z} = (0, 1, 0, 1, 1)^{\top}$, this means that $\mathbf{X} := [\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5]$ has three columns (p = 3) and includes only predictors 2, 4, 5. Thus, for a fixed n, the model (as determined by the binary vector \mathbf{z}) with the highest evidence will be the one minimizing the loss over $\mathbf{z} \in \{0, 1\}^m$:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{z}) := n \ln(\boldsymbol{y}^{\top} [\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{z})]^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}) + \ln |\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{z})|,$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{z}) := \mathbf{I}_n + \alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{I}\{z_k = 1\} \boldsymbol{v}_k \boldsymbol{v}_k^{\top}.$$

In fact, assuming uniform prior, the posterior density on the space of all 2^m models with m predictors can be written as:

$$g(\mathbf{z}) \propto e^{-\ell(\mathbf{z})}, \qquad \mathbf{z} \in \{0, 1\}^m.$$

(We may exclude the all zero vector $\boldsymbol{z} = (0, \dots, 0)^{\top}$, if we want at least one predictor.)

To simulate from this posterior we can use Gibbs sampling, whereby sampling from the conditional $g(z_k | \mathbf{z}_{-k})$ consists of flipping a coin to decide if the k-th component is $z_k = 1$ or $z_k = 0$ (equivalent to keeping or removing the k-th predictor). The probability of success of the coin flip is:

$$g(z_k = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{z}_{-k}) = \frac{e^{-\ell(\boldsymbol{z}; z_k = 1)}}{e^{-\ell(\boldsymbol{z}; z_k = 1)} + e^{-\ell(\boldsymbol{z}; z_k = 0)}}$$
.

To be able to implement the Gibbs sampler efficiently we must be able to update the value of $\ell(z)$ after a single change to one of the z's.

In other words, given the inverse \mathbf{C}^{-1} and log-determinant $\ln |\mathbf{C}|$, we want to compute very fast the inverse and determinant of the new updated matrix $\mathbf{C} \pm \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^{\mathsf{T}}$. This is a rank-1 update/change of \mathbf{C} .

Instead of computing $(\mathbf{C} \pm \boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{v}^{\top})^{-1}$ and $\ln |\mathbf{C} \pm \boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{v}^{\top}|$ from scratch (this will take $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ cost), we compute these using the formulas (these take $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ cost):

$$(\mathbf{C} \pm \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^{\top})^{-1} = \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mp \frac{\mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{-1}}{1 \pm \boldsymbol{v}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v}}$$

 $\ln |\mathbf{C} \pm \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}^{\top}| = \ln |\mathbf{C}| + \ln (1 \pm \boldsymbol{v}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{v})$.

This then suggests the following $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ -cost algorithm for updating ℓ when we add a predictor (similarly for removing one).

Algorithm 1 : Updating $\ell(z)$ when we add/remove the predictor v

```
Require: Current values in memory: \mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{C}^{-1}, \mathbf{v}, \ln |\mathbf{C}|
\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \alpha \mathbf{v}
\mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{v}
b \leftarrow 1 \pm \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{v} (minus when removing a predictor)
\ell \leftarrow \mp (\mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{y})^2/b
\ell \leftarrow \ell + \mathbf{y}^{\top}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{y}
\ell \leftarrow n \ln(\ell) + \ln |\mathbf{C}| + \ln(b)
return updated value \ell
```

I can give an numerical experiment/illustration of the full Bayesian model selection algorithm in the tute/lab.