

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1 OF 3			
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001			3. EFFECTIVE DATE		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. See Block 14		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		
6. ISSUED BY DLA ENERGY INSTALLATION ENERGY 8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD FORT BELVOIR VA 22060 USA Initiator: Avril Penn FDD9213 Tel: 571-767-1342 Email: avril.penn@dla.mil			CODE SPE604		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) DLA ENERGY INSTALLATION ENERGY 8725 JOHN J. KINGMAN ROAD FORT BELVOIR VA 22060 USA			CODE SPE604	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)						(X)		9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SP060422Q0404	
								9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 2022 MAY 17	
								10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
								10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE						FACILITY CODE		SPE604	

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

<u>CHECK ONE</u>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103 (b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☒ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

See Continuation Sheet

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

1. **SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD.** EVALUATION OF FACTOR 2: PAST PERFORMANCE is revised. Paragraph 2 is added, as shown below (in red font):

a. Evaluation of Factor 2: Past Performance

Pursuant to FAR Part 8.405-2(c), the Past Performance evaluation factor assesses the degree of confidence the Government has in a Contractor's ability to perform services that successfully meet users' needs, based on a demonstrated record of recent and relevant past performance. The recency, relevancy (including context of the data), and quality (including general trends on Contractor performance and source of the information) shall be considered in evaluating this factor. These considerations will result in one (1) performance confidence assessment rating for each Contractor, as detailed herein.

The Vendor must identify three to five (3-5) recent and relevant past performance references within the last three years (from the issue date of this RFQ). Vendors should relate their Past Performance to Strategic Advisory and Technical Services, concentrating on experiences relevant to the requirements of this RFQ. There is a five (5) page limit for Past Performance. The Vendor shall provide information that demonstrates the relevancy of these experiences to the requirements of this RFQ, as well as to their proposed approach.

Recency is defined as (i) having been completed, or (ii) having been in progress and have a minimum of one year performance history at any point in the last three (3) years from the quote due date of this RFQ. The Government will determine how relevant a recent effort accomplished by the Contractor is to this requirement. Relevancy is defined as past performance that is similar in scope, complexity, and dollar value to the subject procurement.

The Government will review all past performance information collected and/or provided, and determine the quality of the Contractor's performance, general trends, and usefulness of the information and incorporate these into each Contractor's performance confidence assessment rating.

The Government will assess this factor based upon what is presented and may use any other data or information otherwise available that the Contracting Officer (CO) determines to be recent and relevant. The Government reserves the right to consider any information available to it in evaluating an offeror's past performance. This includes, but is not limited to: the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS); past performance questionnaires; past and present customers and their employees, and any others who may have useful information; the Contractor's past performance under DLA Energy Contracts Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), or other databases; interviews with Project Managers, Contracting Officers, and Fee Determining Officials; and the Defense Contract Management Agency. The Past Performance evaluation team will review this past performance information and determine the quality and usefulness as it applies to performance confidence assessment. However, the Government reserves the right not to contact all of the references listed by the offeror. The Government also reserves the right to assess the offeror's past performance based solely on the offeror's performance under an existing DLA Energy contract or a previous DLA Energy contract for work similar to that required by the solicitation.

Past Performance will not be compared to past performance of other Contractors, and subcontractor past performance will not be utilized in determining a Contractor's rating. A record of poor performance may be considered an indication that the offeror has failed to conform to contract requirements and/or to standards of good workmanship, adhere to contract schedules, including the administrative aspects of performance; provide reasonable and cooperative behavior and commitment to customer satisfaction; and/or display a business-like concern for the interests of the customer.

Offerors shall be afforded an opportunity to address unfavorable reports of past performance, and the offeror's response, or lack thereof, will be taken into consideration. Recent contracts may be examined to ensure that corrective action measures have been put in place to prevent the recurrence of past performance problems. Prompt actions taken to correct performance problems may be considered a reflection of management concern for customer satisfaction; however, such action may not mitigate all negative performance trends. Additionally, a record of acceptable past performance will not result in a favorable assessment of an otherwise unacceptable proposal. Negative past performance under prior DLA Energy contracts may result in an overall negative past performance rating.

In the case of a Contractor without a record of recent or relevant past performance or for whom information on past performance is not available or so sparse that no meaningful past performance rating can be reasonably assigned, the Contractor may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on past performance (see FAR 15.305 (a)(2)(iv)). Therefore, Contractors lacking relevant past performance history shall be determined to have unknown past performance and shall receive a "neutral confidence" evaluation rating for past performance.

Past Performance Rating Method:

Recency: For the Past Performance evaluation factor, recency will be evaluated as either Acceptable or Unacceptable and assigned one of the following ratings.

Past Performance Recency rating scale

Rating	Description
Acceptable	Performance is within last three (3) years from the quote due date of this RFQ
Unacceptable	Performance is NOT within the last three (3) years from the quote due date of this RFQ

Relevancy: For the Past Performance evaluation factor, one of the following relevancy ratings will be assigned to the Contractor:

Rating	Description
Very Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this RFQ requires.
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this RFQ requires.
Somewhat Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this RFQ requires.
Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this RFQ requires.

Upon assessing recency and relevancy, the Government will assign an overall confidence assessment rating to each offeror. The overall confidence rating shall be based on the level of confidence the Government has in the Contractor's ability to successfully perform the requirements. The Government will assign one of the following five (5) overall confidence assessment ratings to each Contractor based on the Past Performance Quote:

Past Performance Confidence Assessment rating scale

Rating	Description
Substantial Confidence	Based on the Contractor's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the Contractor will successfully perform the required effort.
Satisfactory Confidence	Based on the Contractor's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the Contractor will successfully perform the required effort.
Neutral Confidence	No recent/relevant performance record is available or the Contractor's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. The Contractor may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on the factor of past performance. In the case of no recent/relevant performance record, the Contractor's past performance is unknown and assigned a performance confidence rating of "neutral."
Limited Confidence	Based on the Contractor's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a low expectation that the Contractor will successfully perform the required effort.
No Confidence	Based on the Contractor's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the Contractor will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

An assessment of past performance information is separate from the responsibility determination required under Subpart 9.1.

2. All other solicitation terms and conditions remain unchanged.