PHYSICS 234A String Theory — # 3

Jonathan Gleason

18 October 2013

Problem 1.

(1.1). Let $A: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given, let V be a real vector space with basis $\{L_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and equip V with a Lie bracket¹ by defining

 $[L_m, L_n] := (m-n)L_{m+n} + A(m)\delta_{m+n,0}.$ (1)

(i). Theorem. There exist a basis $\{K_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of V such that

$$[K_m, K_n] = (m-n)K_{m+n} + B(m)\delta_{m+n,0},$$

where $B: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that B(1) = 0.

Proof. From the definition of the Lie bracket on V, in particular, we have that

$$[L_1, L_{-1}] = 2L_0 + A(1) = 2\left(L_0 + \frac{1}{2}A(1)\right).$$

This motivates us to define $K_0 := L_0 + \frac{1}{2}A(1)$ and $K_m := L_m$ for $m \neq 0$. Another way of writing this is

$$K_m := L_m + \frac{1}{2}A(1)\delta_{m,0}.$$

Then.

$$[K_m, K_n] = \left[L_m + \frac{1}{2}A(1)\delta_{m,0}, L_n + \frac{1}{2}A(1)\delta_{n,0}\right] = [L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + A(m)\delta_{m+n,0}$$

$$= (m-n)K_{m+n} - \frac{m-n}{2}A(1)\delta_{m+n,0} + A(m)\delta_{m+n,0} = (m-n)K_{m+n} + (A(m)-mA(1))\delta_{m+n,0}$$

$$= (m-n) + B(m)\delta_{m+n,0},$$

where we have defined

$$B(m) := A(m) - mA(1).$$

Trivially, we see that B(1) = 0.

(ii). Theorem. Let $\{K_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a basis for V such that

$$[K_m, K_n] = (m - n)K_{m+n} + B(m)\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{2}$$

where $B: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that B(1) = 0. Then, $\{K_{-1}, K_0, K_1\}$ generate a subalgebra of V. Proof. Let S be the subspace of V spanned by $\{K_{-1}, K_0, K_1\}$. However, simply applying (2), we find that

$$[K_0, K_{-1}] = K_{-1}; [K_1, K_0] = K_1; [K_1, K_{-1}] = 2K_0 + B(1) = 2K_0.$$

Thus, S is closed under the Lie bracket, and hence yields a subalgebra of V.

 $^{^{1}}$ Not just any old function A will make this definition actually yield a Lie bracket. For the moment, we assume that A is chosen so that this definition actually does in fact give us a Lie bracket.

(1.2). Theorem. If (1) defines a Lie bracket and A(1) = 0, then

$$A(m) = \frac{A(2)}{6}(m^3 - m). \tag{3}$$

Proof. Suppose that (1) defines a Lie bracket and that A(1) = 0. Antisymmetry of the bracket implies that

$$-(m-n)L_{m+n} + A(-m)\delta_{m+n,0} = [L_n, L_m] = -[L_m, L_n] = -((m-n)L_{m+n} + A(m)\delta_{m+n,0}),$$

from which it follows that

$$A(-m) = -A(m).$$

In particular, A(0) = 0, and we need only prove (3) for positive m. However, we have assumed that A(1) = 0, so in fact we need only prove (3) for $m \ge 2$, which we prove by induction (after first deriving a recursive formula).

We see that the Jacobi identity implies

$$\begin{split} 0 &= \left[\left[L_k, L_l \right], L_m \right] + \left[\left[L_m, L_k \right], L_l \right] + \left[\left[L_l, L_m \right], L_k \right] \\ &= \left[\left(k - l \right) L_{k+l} + A(k) \delta_{k+l,0}, L_m \right] + \left[\left(m - k \right) L_{m+k} + A(m) \delta_{m+k,0}, L_l \right] + \left[\left(l - m \right) L_{l+m} + A(l) \delta_{l+m,0}, L_k \right] \\ &= \left(k - l \right) \left[L_{k+l}, L_m \right] + \left(m - k \right) \left[L_{m+k}, L_l \right] + \left(l - m \right) \left[L_{l+m}, L_k \right] \\ &= \left(k - l \right) \left(\left(k + l - m \right) L_{k+l+m} + A(k+l) \delta_{k+l+m,0} \right) + \left(m - k \right) \left(\left(m + k - l \right) L_{m+k+l} + A(m+k) \delta_{m+k+l,0} \right) \\ &+ \left(l - m \right) \left(\left(l + m - k \right) L_{l+m+k} + A(l+m) \delta_{l+m+k,0} \right) \\ &= \left(\left(k - l \right) A(k+l) + \left(m - k \right) A(m+k) + \left(l - m \right) A(l+m) \right) \delta_{k+l+m,0} \end{split}$$

Replacing in the coefficient of $\delta_{k+l+m,0}$ m with -(k+l), we find that this becomes

$$0 = (k-l)A(k+l) - (2k+l)A(-l) + (k+2l)A(-k) = (k-l)A(k+l) + (2k+l)A(l) - (k+2l)A(k).$$

Plugging in l=1 and using the assumption that A(1)=0, this simplifies to

$$0 = (k-1)A(k+1) - (k+2)A(k).$$

For $k \geq 2$, this gives us that

$$A(k+1) = \frac{k+2}{k-1}A(k).$$

From here, we proceed by induction. The result (3) is trivially true for m = 2, so suppose it is true for m. Then,

$$A(m+1) = \frac{m+2}{m-1}A(m) = \frac{m+2}{m-1}\frac{A(2)}{6}(m^3-m) = \frac{A(2)}{6}\left((m+1)^3 - (m+1)\right),$$

which completes the proof.

Problem 2. Let $P := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \neq 0\}$ denote the punctured complex plane, let $X : P \to \mathbb{R}$ be a field, and define an action³

$$S(X) := \mu \int d^2z \, \partial X \bar{\partial} X, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\mathrm{d}^2z := \mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}$$

and

$$\partial := \partial_z : \bar{\partial} := \partial_{\bar{z}}$$
.

²The point of the previous part was to show that we can always assume this (i.e. that A(1) = 0) without loss of generality.

³ μ is for mass density.

Free Boson CFT

Consider a theory of a single free boson $X(z,\overline{z})$ on a plane,

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z \, \partial X \, \overline{\partial} X \quad \text{where} \quad \partial = \partial_z, \, \overline{\partial} = \partial_{\overline{z}}$$

2.1 Normal Ordering

Work out the relationship between

$$X(z_1,\overline{z}_1)X(z_2,\overline{z}_2)$$
 and $:X(z_1,\overline{z}_1)X(z_2,\overline{z}_2):$

Then compute

$$\left\langle X \left(z_{_{1}}, \overline{z}_{_{1}}\right) X \left(z_{_{2}}, \overline{z}_{_{2}}\right) \right
angle$$
 Implicitly in vacuum.

Recall also that, in writing the product of operators, time ordering is implicit: we write operators at earlier times to the right of those at later times. In going from the cylinder to the plane, the slices of constant time are slices of constant |z|.

Solution

The mode expansion for X on the plane comes from a variable substitution into the result from problem 2 last time (after Wick rotation $\tau \rightarrow -i\tau$)

$$\begin{split} X_{\pm}\left(\tau\mp\theta\right) &= \frac{x}{2} + \alpha'\frac{p}{2}\left(\tau\mp\theta\right) + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\alpha_{n}e^{-in(\tau\mp\theta)} & x, p \ are \ operators \ too \\ &\to \frac{x}{2} - \frac{i\alpha'}{2}p\left(\tau\mp i\theta\right) + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\alpha_{n}e^{-n(\tau\mp i\theta)} & Wick \ rotation \end{split}$$

We take

$$z = e^{\tau - i\theta}$$
, $\overline{z} = e^{\tau + i\theta}$

$$\begin{split} X_R(z) &= \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{i}{2} \alpha' p \ln z + i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n z^{-n} \\ X_L(\overline{z}) &= \frac{1}{2} x - \frac{i}{2} \alpha' p \ln \overline{z} + i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\alpha}_n \overline{z}^{-n} \\ X(z, \overline{z}) &= x - \frac{i}{2} \alpha' p \ln z \overline{z} + i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n} \left(\alpha_n z^{-n} - \alpha_{-n} z^n + \tilde{\alpha}_n \overline{z}^{-n} - \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} \overline{z}^n \right) \end{split}$$

This leaves the α unchanged, along with their commutation relations.

Normal ordered is p to right of x

$$\begin{split} & \left[\alpha_{n},\alpha_{-m}\right] = \left[\alpha_{n},\alpha_{m}^{\dagger}\right] = n\delta_{n,m} \\ & \alpha_{n}\alpha_{n}^{\dagger} = \alpha_{n}^{\dagger}\alpha_{n} - n \\ & X(z_{1},\overline{z_{1}})X(z_{2},\overline{z_{2}}) =: X(z_{1},\overline{z_{1}})X(z_{2},\overline{z_{2}}): \\ & -\frac{i}{2}\alpha'[p,x]\log(z\overline{z}) - \frac{\alpha'}{2}\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left[\alpha_{n},\alpha_{-n}\right]\left(\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)^{n} + \left[\tilde{\alpha}_{n},\tilde{\alpha}_{-n}\right]\left(\frac{\overline{z_{2}}}{\overline{z_{1}}}\right)^{n} \right) \\ & X(z_{1},\overline{z_{1}})X(z_{2},\overline{z_{2}}) =: X(z_{1},\overline{z_{1}})X(z_{2},\overline{z_{2}}): \\ & -\frac{i}{2}\alpha'[p,x]\log(z\overline{z}) + \frac{\alpha'}{2}\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{n}\left(\left(\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}\right)^{n} + \left(\frac{\overline{z_{2}}}{\overline{z_{1}}}\right)^{n}\right) \end{split}$$

I recognize the Taylor series for $\log -\log(1-a) = \sum a^n / n$

$$X(z_1,\overline{z_1})X(z_2,\overline{z_2}) =: X(z_1,\overline{z_1})X(z_2,\overline{z_2}) : -\frac{1}{2}\alpha' \left[\log(z\overline{z}) + \left(\log\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right) + \log\left(1 - \frac{\overline{z_2}}{\overline{z_1}}\right) \right) \right]$$

Simplifying the log expression

[x,p]=i

$$X(z_1,\overline{z}_1)X(z_2,\overline{z}_2) =: X(z_1,\overline{z}_1)X(z_2,\overline{z}_2) :- \frac{1}{2}\alpha' \log((z_1-z_2)(\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_2))$$

Evaluating the propagator using the above normal ordering result

$$\langle X(z_1,\overline{z}_1)X(z_2,\overline{z}_2)\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha'\log((z_1-z_2)(\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_2))$$

I'll do it the long way too just to be sure ...

I remember this technique from David Tong's notes. We know that the expectation value of a single insertion is 0.

$$0 = \langle X(z_2, \overline{z}_2) \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}X \ e^S X(z_2, \overline{z}_2) \quad with \ S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int d^2w \ \partial X \ \overline{\partial}X$$

I form the two point function by taking a functional derivative

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{\delta X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})} \int \mathcal{D}X e^{S} X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2})$$

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{\delta X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})} \int \mathcal{D}X \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})} e^{S}\right) X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2}) + e^{S} \frac{\delta}{\delta X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})} X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2})$$

$$0 = \int \mathcal{D}X e^{S} \left[\frac{2}{2\pi\alpha'} \left(\partial \overline{\partial}X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})\right) X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2}) + \delta(z_{2} - z_{1}) \delta(\overline{z}_{2} - \overline{z}_{1})\right]$$

$$\left\langle \partial_{z_{1}} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{z}_{1}} X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1}) X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2})\right\rangle = -\pi\alpha' \delta(z_{2} - z_{1}) \delta(\overline{z}_{2} - \overline{z}_{1})$$

$$\partial_{z_{1}} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{z}_{1}} \left\langle X(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1}) X(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2})\right\rangle = -\pi\alpha' \delta(z_{2} - z_{1}) \delta(\overline{z}_{2} - \overline{z}_{1})$$

It is probably best to retreat to ordinary coordinates for the plane now.

$$\begin{split} \partial_{z_1} \overline{\partial}_{\overline{z}_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big(\partial_{\sigma_1} - i \, \partial_{\sigma_2} \Big) \frac{1}{2} \Big(\partial_{\sigma_1} + i \, \partial_{\sigma_2} \Big) = \frac{1}{4} \Big(\partial_{\sigma_1}^2 + \partial_{\sigma_2}^2 \Big) \\ \delta(z) \delta(\overline{z}) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta(\sigma_1) \delta(\sigma_2) \quad because \quad dz d\overline{z} = 2 d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 \quad and \quad normalization \end{split}$$

$$\left(\partial_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{\sigma_{2}}^{2}\right)\!\left\langle X\!\left(\sigma_{1},\sigma_{2}\right)\!X\!\left(\omega_{1},\omega_{2}\right)\right\rangle =-2\pi\alpha'\delta\!\left(\sigma_{1}-\omega_{1}\right)\delta\!\left(\sigma_{2}-\omega_{2}\right)$$

In polar coordinates about $(\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})$ this is

$$\partial_r^2 f(r) = -2\pi\alpha'\delta(r) \quad \text{where} \quad f(r) = \left\langle X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)X(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right\rangle$$

The standard result we need is $4\pi\delta(r) = \partial^2\log(r^2)$. Away from r=0 we have $\partial_r^2\log(r^2) = 0$. Mathematica will do this quickly for you, but will miss the delta function spike at r=0. To see it, we have to use Stokes theorem.

$$\int dA \,\partial_r^2 \ln(r^2) = -2 \int dA \frac{1}{r^2} = 2 \oint d\ell \,\frac{1}{r} = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} r \,d\theta \,\frac{1}{r} = 4\pi$$

Using this result, we have

$$\langle X(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) X(\omega_1, \omega_2) \rangle = -\alpha' \log(r^2) = -\alpha' \log((\sigma_1 - \omega_1)^2 + (\sigma_2 + \omega_2)^2)$$

$$= -\frac{\alpha'}{2} \log((z_1 - z_2)(\overline{z}_1 - \overline{z}_2))$$

Which agrees with the normal ordering result

2.2 Compute

$$\langle : \exp(ikX(z_1,\overline{z_1})) : : \exp(ik'X(z_2,\overline{z_2})) : \rangle = \langle 0 \mid : \exp(ikX(z_1,\overline{z_1})) : : \exp(ik'X(z_2,\overline{z_2})) : \mid 0 \rangle$$

The field X is a linear combination of operators that fail to commute in pairs and for which the commutation relations in those pairs result in numbers, not operators.

Let a and a be such a pair, then using Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff

$$e^{\alpha a^{\dagger} + \beta a} = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta a} e^{-(1/2)\alpha\beta \left[a^{\dagger}, a\right]} = e^{\beta a} e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{+(1/2)\alpha\beta \left[a^{\dagger}, a\right]}$$
$$e^{\beta a} e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta a} e^{-\alpha\beta \left[a^{\dagger}, a\right]}$$

The normal order of $\exp\left[\alpha a^{\dagger} + \beta a\right]$ can be computed by expanding. (This points out that normal ordering is tricky. Rewriting the expression as above, evaluating the commutator and then applying normal ordering yields a different result.)

$$: e^{\alpha a^{\dagger} + \beta a} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} : (\alpha a^{\dagger} + \beta a)^{n} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} (\alpha a^{\dagger})^{m} (\beta a)^{n-m}$$

$$= \sum_{m \le n} \frac{1}{m!(n-m!)} (\alpha a^{\dagger})^{m} (\beta a)^{n-m} = e^{\alpha a^{\dagger}} e^{\beta a}$$

The above sum can be recognized by expanding and merging the product $e^A e^B$.

I will also need:
$$\langle X_1 X_2 \rangle = \langle 0 \mid (\alpha_1 a^\dagger + \beta_1 a)(\alpha_2 a^\dagger + \beta_2 a) \mid 0 \rangle = -\beta_1 \alpha_2 [a^\dagger, a]$$

Suppose I have two such linear combinations of the creation and annihilation operators $X_1=\alpha_1 a^\dagger+\beta_1 a,~and~X_2=\alpha_2 a^\dagger+\beta_2 a$. Then I can show that

And we have equality. If I expand X_1 and X_2 to now include many such pairs of operators, which commute pairwise, then by linearity, the same relationship holds.

$$:e^{ikX(z_1)}::e^{ik'X(z_2)}:=:e^{ikX(z_1)+ik'X(z_2)}:e^{-kk'\langle X(z_1)X(z_2)\rangle}$$

In problem 2.1 we computed the expectation value

$$\langle X(z_1)X(z_2)\rangle = -\frac{\alpha'}{2}\log((z_1-z_2)(\overline{z_1}-\overline{z_2}))$$

The final exponential becomes $e^{kk'\frac{lpha'}{2}\log((z_1-z_2)(\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_2))}=\left(\left(z_1-z_2\right)\left(\overline{z}_1-\overline{z}_2\right)\right)^{\frac{lpha'}{2}kk'}=\left|z_1-z_2\right|^{lpha'kk'}$

Now I need the expectation value for $\left\langle \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & : e^{ikX(z_1) + ik'X(z_2)} : & 0 \end{array} \right\rangle$

In terms of the mode expansion, the normal ordered exponential has the form

$$\begin{split} X(z,\overline{z}) &= x - \frac{i}{2}\alpha'p\ln z\overline{z} + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{n}\Big(\alpha_nz^{-n} - \alpha_{-n}z^n + \tilde{\alpha}_n\overline{z}^{-n} - \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}\overline{z}^n\Big) \\ ikX(z_1) + ik'X(z_2) &= i(k+k')x - \frac{i}{2}\alpha'p\big(ik\ln z_1\overline{z}_1 + ik'\ln z_2\overline{z}_2\big) \\ &- \sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n>0}\frac{1}{n}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n\big(kz_1^{-n} + k'z_2^{-n}\big) - \alpha_{-n}\big(kz_1^n + k'z_2^n\big) \\ +\tilde{\alpha}_n\big(k\overline{z}_1^{-n} + k'\overline{z}_2^{-n}\big) - \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}\big(k\overline{z}_1^n + k'\overline{z}_2^n\big) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

We want

$$\left(: \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} : \left(i(k+k')x + \frac{1}{2}\alpha' p(k \ln z_{1}\overline{z}_{1} + k' \ln z_{2}\overline{z}_{2}) \right)^{j} : \right) \\
\times \prod_{n>0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} : \left(i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(\alpha_{n} \left(kz_{1}^{-n} + k'z_{2}^{-n} \right) - \alpha_{-n} \left(kz_{1}^{n} + k'z_{2}^{n} \right) \right) \right)^{j} : \right) \\
\times \prod_{n>0} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} : \left(i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \left(\alpha_{n} \left(k\overline{z}_{1}^{-n} + k'\overline{z}_{2}^{-n} \right) - \alpha_{-n} \left(k\overline{z}_{1}^{n} + k'\overline{z}_{2}^{n} \right) \right) \right)^{j} : \right) : \right)$$

Where I have separated the operators into non-commuting pairs. I added an outer normal ordering to finish the job of moving annihilation operators all the way to the right. This has no real effect since they commute with everything to the right anyway.

In the second and third lines, the generated operators will annihilate against the vacuum leaving the only contribution from j=0, which is just 1.

Now we are left with only the x-p piece. All terms with operator p will annihilate leaving the simple sum.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left(i \left(k + k' \right) x \right)^j = e^{i(k+k)x}$$

In problem 2.3 we show that this yields a state of momentum k+k', so we know that

$$\langle 0 \mid e^{i(k+k')x} \mid 0 \rangle = \delta(k+k')$$

The net result is:
$$\langle :e^{ikX(z_1)}::e^{ikX(z_2)}: \rangle = |z_1 - z_2|^{\alpha'kk'} \delta(k+k')$$

What constraint do k and k' have to satisfy for this to be non vanishing?

These operations create momentum states so k + k' = 0

2.3 Operator-State Correspondence

Show that operator-state correspondence relates : $\exp(ikX(z,\overline{z}))$: to the eigenstate $|k\rangle$ of space-time momentum p.

We want the limit as $z\rightarrow 0$

$$\lim_{z \to 0} : e^{ikX(z,\overline{z})} : |0\rangle = \lim_{z \to 0} : \exp\left(\frac{ikx + \frac{1}{2}kp\alpha'\log(z\overline{z})}{-k\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n > 0} \frac{1}{n}(\alpha_n z^{-n} - \alpha_{-n} z^n + \tilde{\alpha}_n z^{-n} - \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} z^n)}\right) : |0\rangle$$

The creation operators α_{-n} are suppressed by $z{\to}0$, and the annihilation operators a_n (and p) cancel out against the vacuum. This leaves

$$\lim_{z\to 0}: e^{ikX(z,\overline{z})}: |0\rangle = exp(ikx)|0\rangle$$

Now, whatever this state is, if I hit it with the momentum operator $-i\partial_x$, then I get

 $-i\partial_x e^{ikx}|0\rangle = -i(ik)e^{ikx}|0\rangle = ke^{ikx}|0\rangle$, so $e^{ikx}|0\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of the momentum operator with eigenvalue k.

OPE of Some field and guess - every pensor may be donned from themy beginning the groper transformation law for this field and onformal transformation. It becomes easy of the freld is primary. If we know the Conformed weights of primary field we are determine OPE of this field

$$T(2) \overline{E}(\omega, \overline{\omega}) = \frac{h}{(2-\omega)^2} \overline{E}(\omega, \overline{\omega}) + \frac{1}{2-\omega} \partial \overline{E}(\omega, \overline{\omega}) e \cdots$$

flore, \$\overline{\pi}(z) = \times(z) (we deal with right - movers) and T (2) = -2 - 2x - 2x.

the Green function for x is:

Clary Wide's theven, we am now calculate

$$T(a) \chi^{\mu}(\omega) = -2: \partial k \cdot \partial k \ k^{\mu}: - \alpha \partial k^{\mu}(a) \ \langle \partial k_{\mu}(a) \ \chi^{\mu}(\omega) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(a)}{\partial k^{\mu}(a)} + \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{\partial k^{\mu}(\omega)} + \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{\partial k^{\mu}(\omega)}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(a)}{\partial k^{\mu}(a)} + \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{\partial k^{\mu}(\omega)} + \frac{\partial \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{\partial k^{\mu}(\omega)}$$

we are conclude that her is a conformed dimension of pronoung field xill

(i). Congridering the w, w-differentiation of

· Carsequently, we get:

$$T(z) \partial x^{\mu}(w) = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 x^{\mu}(w)}{z-w} + \cdots$$

$$T(2) \frac{\partial^{2} \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{(2-\omega)^{3}} + \frac{2 \frac{\partial^{2} \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{(2-\omega)^{2}} + \frac{2^{3} \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{2-\omega} + \frac{2^{3} \chi^{\mu}(\omega)}{2-\omega}$$

Considering

ine get

$$f(\bar{z}) f''(w,\bar{w}) = \frac{\bar{j} \chi''(\bar{w})}{(\bar{z} - \bar{w})^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \chi''(\bar{w})}{\bar{z} - \bar{w}} + \cdots$$

4. CURRELATION FUNCTION OF PRIMARY OPERATORS IN A CFT

4.1 [BBS] 3.7

Laurent expansion of Tizz reads

While a conformal field I(2) of (h, o) expands as

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi_n}{z^{n+h}} \Rightarrow \Phi_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dz \ z^{n+h-1} \Phi(z)$$
Such that
$$\Phi'(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi_n^{+}}{z^{n+h}} = \frac{1}{z^{2h}} \Phi(\frac{1}{z})$$

Such that
$$\Phi^{\dagger}(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\Phi_n}{\mathbb{R}^{n+h}} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{R}^{2h}} \Phi(\frac{1}{2})$$

The above construction is required to make the operator-state correspondence compatible.

which is then well defined

So that

$$= [(h-1)m-n] \phi_{m+n}$$

ZIQI YAN > [[m, dn] = [m(h-1)-n] Amen 4.2 IBBS] 3.8 Pn10>=0, n>-h, w/ 10> defining the conformal vacuum We now denote by Ih the highest-weight state, w/ Lolh> = h/h> Meanwhite, we define the asymptotic state Ih = \$10010> The consistency can be checked by applying [[m, 4] = hcm+1) Wm p(w) + wm+1 2 p(w) > Lo P(0) 10> - P(0) Lo10> = h P(0) 10) = h | K) Considering that 10> is supposed to be in. under global conformal trast's, i.e. Lolo>=0, L, lo>= L, lo>=0. >> L. IN>= N N> = IN> = IN> is compatible Now we show the physical meaning of Ih. In order to have \$18710> well-defined as 2-10, we require An 10>=0 for n>-h. => \$\phi(0) 10> = lim = 2 2n-1 p-n 10> = \$p-n 10> => | d>= +-10>= 1h> i.e. 14> is the highest-weight state. Meanwhile, Lulu> = Lntehlo> = A-nLn+[nch-1)+h] punh (10) =0 for n>0 while Ln 10>=0 should be adapted in order to make

while Ln10>=0 should be adapted in order to make Ti2110> well-defined as 2->0. Thus Ih> gives the ground grate of the Hamiltonian +12.00 L.

4.3 [BBS] 3.9 (i) For field \$\phi_1(2)\$ that is holomorphic, 4:(2) = 5 40 , 40 10> =0 for n>-hi, 1h) = 4-hjo> $[L_m, \varphi_n] = [m(h;-1)-n]\phi_{m+n}$ [L-1, fn] = (-h:+1+n) f-1-n > p-n-1 |0> = 1 L-1 p-n |0> $\Rightarrow \varphi_{-n} | o \rangle = \frac{1}{(n-h_i)!} (L_{-1}) \varphi_{-h_i} | o \rangle \qquad \text{for } n > h_i$ $=\frac{1}{(n-h_i)!}\left(L_{-1}\right)^{n-h_i}|h_i\rangle$ ·[Lo, L-1] | h> = L-1 | h> = (h+1) | h> > L-1 | h> = Colh+1> > <h | L, L-1 | h> = co > co= 12h since L, | h> =0 So far we obtained (L-1 lh) = 12h | h+1> 1 L, 1h>=0 (Lolh) = h/h> Simslarly, L-1/h+1) = C1/h+2> = ~2(2h+1)/h+2> L, 1h+1 = ci 1h = Jzh 1h> L, |h+1> = L, L-, 1h> = 1= (L-, L, +2Lo) |h> = 12h |h> > 6,2 = <h+1 | L, L-1 | h+1 > = . C/2 + 2(h+1) = 2(2h+1) And L-1 | h+3 > = (s | h+5+1) L, | h+s> = C's | h+s-1> Lilh+s>= LiL-1/h+s-1> * = == == (L-1/h+s-1) = Cs-1 L-1(Cs-1 | h+s-2>) + 2(h+s-1) | h+s-1> = . Cs-1 [Cs-1 Cs-2 + 2 (h+S-1)] |h+S-1> > Cs. Cs-1 - Cs-1 Cs-2 = 2(k+s-1) \Rightarrow $C_{S}(S-1) = 2Ch+S-1) + 2Ch+S-2) + ... + 2h = <math>\frac{2(2h+S-1)S}{2} = S \cdot (2h+S-1)$ ⇒ (cs Cs-1 = S(2h+S-1)

$$\Rightarrow C_{s}^{2} = \langle h+s | L_{1} L_{-1} | h+s \rangle$$

$$= \langle h+s | L_{-1} L_{1} + 2 L_{0} | h+s \rangle = C_{s'}^{2} + 2(h+s).$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} C_S = \sqrt{(2h+S)(S+1)} \\ C_{S'} = \sqrt{8(2h+S-1)} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{L_{-1} | h+s \rangle = \sqrt{(2h+s)(s+1)} | h+s+1 \rangle$$

$$|L_{1} | h+s \rangle = \sqrt{s(2h+s-1)} | h+s-1 \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow L_{-1}^{n-h} | h \rangle = (n-h)! \frac{(n+h-1)!}{(2h-1)!} | n \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 0 | \phi_{n}^{(i)} \phi_{-m}^{(j)} | 0 \rangle \qquad n > h_{i}, m > h_{j}$$

$$= \langle h_{i} | L_{1}^{n-h_{i}} \frac{1}{(n-h_{i})!} \frac{1}{(m-h_{j})!} L_{-1}^{m-h_{j}} | h_{j} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{(n-h_i)! (m-h_j)!}{(n-h_i)! (m-h_i)!} \sqrt{(m-h_j)! \frac{(m+h_j-1)!}{(2h_j-1)!}} \sqrt{(m-h_j)!} \sqrt{(m-h_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 0 | \phi_{i}(z) \phi_{j}(w) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{\substack{n \geq h_{i} \\ m \geq -h_{j}}} \frac{1}{Z^{n+h_{i}} w^{m+h_{j}}} \langle 0 | \phi_{n}^{(i)} \phi_{m}^{(j)} | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \delta_{ij} \sum_{\substack{n \geq h_{i} \\ n \geq h_{i}}} \frac{(n+h_{i}-1)!}{(n-h_{i})! (2h_{i}-1)!} \frac{1}{Z^{n+h_{i}} w^{-n+h_{i}}} (h_{i} \rightarrow h_{i} \text{ for simplicity})$$

$$= \delta_{ij} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+2h-1)!}{n!(2h-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{2h}} \left(\frac{W}{2}\right)^{n}.$$

$$= gij \frac{5sy}{1 - \frac{5}{N}} \frac{(1 - \frac{5}{N})_{5y}}{1} = \frac{(5 - N)_{5y}}{(5 - N)_{5y}}$$

which gives the correct 2-point function

We now stake a defour to derive a more generic differential equation for correlators.

$$\begin{aligned} & (z) \not = (z-w)^{-n-2} L_{K}(w) \not = (k_n A)(w). \\ & A_n & \text{whiteony} & = \dots + \frac{k_n A(w)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{2A(w)}{z-w} + \dots \\ & f(z-z) + \frac{k_n A(w)}{z-w} + \frac{2A(w)}{z-w} + \dots \\ & f(z-z) + \frac{k_n A(w)}{(z-w)^3} + \frac{2A(w)}{z-w} + \dots \\ & f(z-w)^3 & = (k_n A)(w) + \frac{2k_n A(w)}{z-w} + \dots \\ & f(z-w)^3 & = (k_n A)(w) + \frac{2k_n A(w)}{(z-w)^{n-2}} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{k_n A(w)}{(w)} & \times = (k_n A)(w) + \frac{k_n A(w)}{(w)} & \times = \frac{1}{(z-w)^{n-2}} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)^{n-2}} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)^{n-2}} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(z-w)^{n-2}} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \times & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}{(w)} & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) & \text{(i.e., } \varphi(w)) \\ & + \frac{1}$$

$$\frac{h_{1}}{(N-N_{1})^{2}} + \frac{1}{N-N_{1}} \partial_{1}f + \frac{h_{2}}{(N-N_{2})^{2}} f + \frac{1}{N-N_{3}} \partial_{2}f - \frac{3}{2(2h+1)} \frac{3f}{\partial N^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{h_{1}}{(N-N_{1})^{2}} + \frac{1}{N-N_{3}} \partial_{1}f - \frac{3}{2(2h+1)} \frac{3f}{\partial N^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{h_{1}}{(N-N_{1})^{2}} + \frac{1}{N-N_{3}} \partial_{1}f - \frac{3}{2(2h+1)} \frac{3f}{\partial N^{2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{h_{1}}{(N-N_{1})^{2}} + \frac{1}{N-N_{3}} \partial_{1}f - \frac{3}{2(2h+1)} \partial_{1}f - \frac{3}{2(2h+$$

Otherwise, the correlator should be drivially zero. I conformal symm. Hence the 3-point function can be completely determined up to an overall coefficient Cijk, when (xx) is satisfied. Generally other sources are required to determine Cijk, for instance via the conformal bootstrap. Diff there is a solution (c> 25 or c<1) 4.4 [BBS] 3.10 (i) < \$| [Ln, L-n] | \$> = < \$| Ln L-n | \$> - < \$| L-n Ln | \$>\$ $= \langle h | 2n L_0 + \frac{c}{12} (n^3 - n) | h \rangle$ $= 2nh + \frac{c}{12}(n^3-n)$ For N>0, Ln | \$> =0 > < \$ | Ln L-n | \$> >0 = 2nh+ = cn3-n) Set n=1 > 2h 20 > [h 20] If <<0, for sufficiently large n > N, 2nh+ (2 (n²-n) <0, giving nonunitary representations > [c>0] (ii) If 14>=10> =10>=10> [Lm, P-n] 10> = [mch-1)+h] Im-n 10> Lmlo>- 9-1 Lmlo> = [mch-1)+h] +m-10> Set m=0 > 0= h =- h 10> = h 10> = h = 01 Similarly for the anti-holomorphic part > K=0.1 of h=0 > [Lm, Φ-1] 10> = -m Am-x 10> Set m=0 > L. P. 10>=0 > P. 10>=10> > 1+(2)>=10> since | Lo | 1 >= 0 iff 1 1 >= 10> where $|\lambda\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_N |N+h\rangle$, Lo $|N+h\rangle = (N+h)|N+h\rangle$. Similarly for the anti-holomorphic part. $\Rightarrow |\phi(\overline{z})\rangle = |0\rangle$. > | h = 7 =0 (>) | \$\phi > =0