```
1
```

```
<< Local `QFTToolKit2`
Get[NotebookDirectory[] <>
    "1204.0328 ParticlePhysicsFromAlmostCommutativeSpacetime.3.out"];
$defCInv =
  {};
"Notational definitions"
"Note that in the text the symbols may reference
  different Hilbert spaces. This has caused confusion in some of the
  calculations. To address this problem we will try to label the
  variables by subscripts to designate the applicable Hilbert space.
  NOTE: Need to do notational change for .1,.2 notebooks."
rghtA[a_] := Superscript[a, o]
cl[a_] := \langle a \rangle_{cl};
clB[a_] := {a}_{cl};
ct[a_] := ConjugateTranspose[a];
cc[a_] := Conjugate[a];
star[a]:= Superscript[a, "*"];
cross[a_] := Superscript[a, "x"];
deg[a_] := |a|;
it[a_] := Style[a, Italic]
iD := it[D]
iA := it[A]
iI := it["I"]
C∞ := C<sup>"∞</sup>"
B_x := T[B, "d", \{x\}]
("\nabla"^{S})_{n} := T["\nabla"^{S}, "d", \{n\}]
disjointQ[b_{, c_{,}} free\_List: \{u, d, e, v, L, R\}] :=
  Apply[Or, Map[FreeQ[b, #] && ! FreeQ[c, #] &, free]];
accumDef[item_] := Block[{}, $defall = tuAppendUniq[item][$defall];
accumStdMdl[item_] := Block[{}, $defStdMdl = tuAppendUniq[item][$defStdMdl];
accumCInv[item_] := Block[{}, $defCInv = tuAppendUniq[item][$defCInv];
   ""];
selectStdMdl[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] :=
  tuRuleSelect[$defStdMdl][Flatten[{heads}]] //
     Select[\#,\,tuHasAllQ[\#,\,Flatten[\{with\}]]\,\&\,]\,\&\,//\,If[all===\,Null,\,Last[\#],\,\#]\,\&\,;
selectGWS[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][Flatten[{heads}]] //
     Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, Flatten[{with}]] &] & // If[all === Null, Last[#], #] &;
selectDef[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defall][Flatten[{heads}]] //
     Select[\#, \, tuHasAllQ[\#, \, Flatten[\{with\}]] \, \& \, // \, If[all === \, Null, \, Last[\#], \, \#] \, \&;
selectCInv[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defCInv][Flatten[{heads}]] //
     Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, Flatten[{with}]] &] & // If[all === Null, Last[#], #] &;
Clear[expandDC];
expandDC[sub_: {}, scalar_: {}] :=
 tuRepeat[{sub, tuOpDistribute[Dot], tuOpSimplify[Dot, scalar],
   tuOpDistribute[CircleTimes]}, tuCircleTimesSimplify]
Clear[expandCom]
expandCom[subs_: {}][exp_] := Block[{tmp = exp},
   tmp = tmp //. tuCommutatorExpand // expandDC[];
   tmp = tmp /. toxDot //. Flatten[{subs}];
   tmp = tmp // tuMatrixOrderedMultiply // (# /. toDot &) // expandDC[];
```

```
tmp
   ];
(**)
$sgeneral := {
   T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \rightarrow Product[T[\gamma, "u", \{\mu\}], \{\mu, 4\}],
   T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \cdot T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \rightarrow 1,
   ConjugateTranspose[T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}]] -> T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}],
   CommutatorP[T[\gamma, "d", {5}], T[\gamma, "u", {\mu}]] \rightarrow 0,
   T["\forall", "d", \{ \}][1_n] \to 0, a . 1_n \to a, 1_n . a \to a\}
$sgeneral // ColumnBar
Clear[$symmetries]
$symmetries := {tt: T[g, "uu", {\mu, \gamma}] :> tuIndexSwap[{\mu, \gamma}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{\gamma, \mu}],
     tt: T[F, "uu", {\mu_{-}, \nu_{-}}] \Rightarrow -tuIndexSwap[{\mu, \nu}][tt]/; OrderedQ[{\nu, \mu}],
     tt: T[F, "dd", {\mu_{-}, \nu_{-}}] \Rightarrow -tuIndexSwap[{\mu, \nu}][tt]/; OrderedQ[{\nu, \mu}],
     CommutatorM[a_, b_] \Rightarrow -CommutatorM[b, a] /; OrderedQ[\{b, a\}],
     CommutatorP[a_, b_] \Rightarrow CommutatorP[b, a] /; OrderedQ[{b, a}],
     tt: T[\gamma, "u", \{\mu\}] \cdot T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] :> Reverse[tt]
   };
$symmetries // ColumnBar
εRule[KOdim Integer] := Block[{n = Mod[KOdim, 8],
     table =
       \{\{1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1\}, \{1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1\}, \{1, , -1, , 1, , -1, \}\}\}
   \{\varepsilon \rightarrow table[[1, n+1]], \varepsilon' \rightarrow table[[2, n+1]], \varepsilon'' \rightarrow table[[3, n+1]]\}
 1
\varepsilon Rule[6]
\frac{1}{2}$transformVar = Map[# -> #&, {B, \Phi, \Lambda, s, g, C, F, iD}];
$transformVar // ColumnBar
Notational definitions
Note that in the text the symbols may reference different Hilbert spaces. This has
   caused confusion in some of the calculations. To address this problem we will try
   to label the variables by subscripts to designate the applicable Hilbert space.
   NOTE: Need to do notational change for .1,.2 notebooks.
 \gamma_5\,\rightarrow\,\gamma^1\,\,\gamma^2\,\,\gamma^3\,\,\gamma^4
 \gamma_5 \cdot \gamma_5 \rightarrow 1
 (\gamma_5) ^\dagger \rightarrow \gamma_5
 \{\gamma_5, \gamma^{\mu}\}_+ \rightarrow 0
 \triangledown\_\text{ [ }1_{n\_}\text{] }\rightarrow 0
 (a_{\underline{\phantom{a}}}).1_{n_{\underline{\phantom{a}}}} \rightarrow a
1_{n}.(a_) \rightarrow a
 tt: g^{\mu_{-}} \rightarrow tuIndexSwap[\{\mu, \nu\}][tt] /; OrderedQ[\{\nu, \mu\}]
 tt: F^{\mu_{-}\nu_{-}} :\rightarrow -tuIndexSwap[\{\mu, \nu\}][tt]/; OrderedQ[\{\nu, \mu\}]
 tt: F_{\mu_{-}\nu_{-}} \Rightarrow -\text{tuIndexSwap}[\{\mu, \nu\}][\text{tt}] /; \text{OrderedQ}[\{\nu, \mu\}]
 [a_, b_] \rightarrow -[b, a] /; OrderedQ[{b, a}]
 \{a, b\}_+ := \{b, a\}_+ /; OrderedQ[\{b, a\}]
tt: \gamma^{\mu} \cdot \gamma_5 \Rightarrow \text{Reverse[tt]}
\{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1, \ \varepsilon' \rightarrow 1, \ \varepsilon'' \rightarrow -1\}
```

■ 7. Conformal invariance

• 7.1 Conformal Invariance

7.1.1 Conformal Transformation

7.1.2 Conformal gravity

```
PR["*Weyl action: ",
 sweyl = \{Sw[g]\}
      xIntegral[\sqrt{Det[g]}] T[C, "dddd", \{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}] T[C, "uuuu", \{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}], x \in M],
    T[C, "uddd", \{\mu, \vee, \rho, \sigma\}][CG["Weyl tensor"]],
    T[C, "uddd", \{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}] \rightarrow T[C, "uddd", \{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}]\},
 accumCInv[$sWeyl];
 NL, "•Proposition 7.1: Weyl action is conformally invariant for dim[M] > 4. ",
 line,
 NL, "Examine ", $ = $sWeyl // tuExtractIntegrand,
 NL, "•Since ",
 \$ = \{T[C, "dddd", \{\mu, \vee, \rho, \sigma\}] \rightarrow T[g, "dd", \{\mu, \alpha\}] T[C, "uddd", \{\alpha, \vee, \rho, \sigma\}] /.
      $transformVar),
 accumCInv[$];
 " and ", $s = {
       \{ selectCInv[T[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}, "dd", \{\_, \_\}]] \} \ // \ tuAddPatternVariable[\{\mu, \, \forall\}], 
      tuRuleSelect[$sWeyl][T[C, "uddd", \{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}]] // First // Reverse //
       tuAddPatternVariable[\{\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma\}]} // Flatten;
 $s // ColumnBar,
 Yield, $ = $ /. $s,
 Yield, $1 = $ = $ // tuMetricContractAll[g]; $1 // Framed,
 NL, "Since ",
 s = T[g, "uu", \{\mu 1, \mu\}] T[g, "uu", \{v 1, v\}] T[g, "uu", \{\rho 1, \rho\}] T[g, "uu", \{\sigma 1, \sigma\}]
       T[C, "dddd", {\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma}] \rightarrow T[C, "uuuu", {\mu1, \nu1, \rho1, \sigma1}] //
    tuAddPatternVariable[\{\mu, \nu, \sigma, \rho, g\}],
 NL, "Apply common multiplier, definition of g, contraction,: ",
 Yield, $ =
   (T[g, "uu", \{\mu 1, \mu\}] T[g, "uu", \{v 1, v\}] T[g, "uu", \{\rho 1, \rho\}] T[g, "uu", \{\sigma 1, \sigma\}] /. g \rightarrow \tilde{g})
       # & /@$,
 Yield, [[1]] = [[1]] // tuMetricContractAll[\tilde{g}]; $,
 Yield, \$ = \$ / . \{ selectCInv[T[\tilde{q}, "uu", { , }]] / tuAddPatternVariable[{\mu, \varphi}] \},
 Yield, $2 = $ = $ // tuMetricContractAll[g];
 \$2 = \$ = \$ /. \{\mu1 \rightarrow \mu, \forall 1 \rightarrow \forall, \rho1 \rightarrow \rho, \sigma1 \rightarrow \sigma\}; \$2 // Framed,
 NL, "From ",
 $ = ($d = Det[g] \rightarrow T[\in, "uuuu", {\mu, \vee, \rho, \sigma}] T[g, "dd", {1, \mu}]
          T[g, "dd", \{2, v\}] T[g, "dd", \{3, \rho\}] T[g, "dd", \{4, \sigma\}]) /. g \rightarrow \tilde{g},
 Yield, \$ = \$ / . (selectCInv[T[\tilde{g}, "dd", { , }]] / tuAddPatternVariable[{<math>\mu, \forall}]),
 Yield, $ = $ /. Reverse[$d],
 Yield, $3 = $ = Sqrt[#] & /@ $ // PowerExpand,
 Yield, \$ = \{\$1, \$2, \$3\}; \$ // ColumnBar,
 NL, "Taking the product ",
 $ = $ // tuRuleOp[Times]; $ // Framed,
 accumCInv[{$, $1, $2, $3}]
```

```
*Weyl action: \{S_W[g_] \rightarrow \left[ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ C^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma}[Weyl tensor], \ C^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma} \rightarrow \widetilde{C}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho\sigma} \} \right]
     •Proposition 7.1: Weyl action is conformally invariant for dim[M]→4.
  Examine \{1, 2, 1\} \rightarrow \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ \mathbf{C}_{\mu \vee \rho \sigma} \mathbf{C}^{\mu \vee \rho \sigma}
  •Since \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{\mu\,\nu\,\rho\,\sigma} \to \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^{\alpha}_{\ \nu\,\rho\,\sigma} \, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\mu\,\alpha} and \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\mu\,\nu\,} \to \Omega^2 \, \mathbf{g}_{\mu\,\nu} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^{\mu}_{\ \nu\,\rho\,\sigma} \to \mathbf{C}^{\mu}_{\ \nu\,\rho\,\sigma} \end{vmatrix}
     \rightarrow \ \widetilde{C}_{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \rightarrow \Omega^2 \ C^{\alpha}_{\ \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \ g_{\mu \, \alpha}
  Since C_{\mu_{\nu}, \rho_{\sigma}} g_{\mu 1 \mu} - g_{\nu 1 \nu} - g_{\mu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \nu} - g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} - g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho} + g_{\nu 1 \rho} g_{\nu 1 \rho}
Apply common multiplier, definition of g, contraction,:
 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\mu1\mu} \tilde{g}^{\nu1\nu} \tilde{g}^{\rho1\rho} \tilde{g}^{\sigma1\sigma} \rightarrow \Omega^2 C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\mu1\mu} \tilde{g}^{\nu1\nu} \tilde{g}^{\rho1\rho} \tilde{g}^{\sigma1\sigma} 
 \rightarrow \tilde{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tilde{g}^{\mu1\mu} \tilde{g}^{\nu1\nu} \tilde{g}^{\rho1\rho} \tilde{g}^{\sigma1\sigma} \tilde{g}
  \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{C}}^{\mu 1 \, \nu 1 \, \rho 1 \, \sigma 1} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \, \mathbf{g}^{\mu 1 \, \mu} \, \mathbf{g}^{\nu 1 \, \nu} \, \mathbf{g}^{\rho 1 \, \rho} \, \mathbf{g}^{\sigma 1 \, \sigma}}{\mathbf{g}^{\mu 1 \, \mu} \, \mathbf{g}^{\nu 1 \, \nu} \, \mathbf{g}^{\rho 1 \, \rho} \, \mathbf{g}^{\sigma 1 \, \sigma}}
   \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{From} & \textbf{Det[}\, \boldsymbol{\tilde{g}}\, \boldsymbol{]} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mu\, \vee\, \rho\, \sigma} \,\, \boldsymbol{\tilde{g}_{1\, \mu}} \,\, \boldsymbol{\tilde{g}_{2\, \vee}} \,\, \boldsymbol{\tilde{g}_{3\, \rho}} \,\, \boldsymbol{\tilde{g}_{4\, \sigma}} \end{array} 
  \rightarrow Det[\tilde{g}] \rightarrow \Omega^8 g_{1\mu} g_{2\nu} g_{3\rho} g_{4\sigma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}
  → Det[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \Omega^8 Det[\mathfrak{g}]
  → \sqrt{\text{Det}[\mathfrak{g}]} → \Omega^4 \sqrt{\text{Det}[\mathfrak{g}]}
                                                \tilde{C}_{\mu \vee \rho \sigma} \rightarrow \Omega^2 C_{\mu \vee \rho \sigma}
\rightarrow \qquad \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{\text{m A b b}}}_{\text{L} \text{M b b}} \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathbf{G}_{\text{m A b b}}}_{\text{L} \text{M b b}}
                                                \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]} \to \Omega^4 \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]}
Taking the product
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 \sqrt{\text{Det[$\widetilde{g}$]}} \ \ \widetilde{\textbf{C}}_{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \, \widetilde{\textbf{C}}^{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \rightarrow \sqrt{\text{Det[$g$]}} \ \ \textbf{C}_{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma} \, \textbf{C}^{\mu \, \nu \, \rho \, \sigma}
```

• 7.2 Conformal symmetry breaking

```
PR["*For field transforming as ", \{\phi \to \Omega^{-1} \phi, \phi \in \mathbb{R}\},
    NL, "Invariant action for \phi: ",
    saction = \{S[T[g, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}], \phi] \rightarrow xIntegral[\sqrt{Det[g]}]\}
               (\text{tuDPartial}[\phi, \mu] \text{ tuDPartialu}[\phi, \mu] / 2 + s \phi^2 / 12 + \lambda \phi^4), x \in M], s < 0, \lambda > 0\},
    NL, "Minimum of Potential: ", $lagrange = $ = $action // tuExtractIntegrand,
    Yield, \$ = V \rightarrow \$[[2]] // (\#/. \{\sqrt{\_} \rightarrow 1, tuDPartial[\phi, \mu] \rightarrow 0\}) \&,
    Yield, \$ = (\# \rightarrow 0 \&) / @ (tuDPartial [\#, \phi] \& / @ \$),
    imply, \$ = \$[[2]] // tuDerivativeExpand[\{s, \lambda\}] // tuRuleSolve[#, <math>\phi] & // Last,
    NL, "Vacuum expectation value: v \rightarrow ", $vev = $ = Map[#^2 &, $];
    $ // Framed
  ];
   *For field transforming as \{\phi \rightarrow \frac{\phi}{2}, \phi \in \mathbb{R}\}
    \text{Invariant action for } \phi \colon \; \{ \mathtt{S}[\mathtt{g}_{\mu\,\vee},\,\phi] \to \int\limits_{\mathtt{v} \in \mathtt{M}} \sqrt{\mathtt{Det}[\mathtt{g}]} \; (\; \frac{\mathtt{s}\; \phi^2}{12} + \lambda\; \phi^4 \; + \; \frac{1}{2} \; \frac{\partial}{-\mu} \; [\phi] \; \frac{\partial^{\mu}[\phi])}{-}, \; \mathtt{s} < \mathtt{0} \; , \; \lambda > \mathtt{0} \} 
  Minimum of Potential: \{1, 2, 1\} \rightarrow \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \left(\frac{s \phi^2}{12} + \lambda \phi^4 + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\partial}_{\mu} [\phi] \partial^{\mu} [\phi]\right)
  \rightarrow V \rightarrow \frac{s \phi^2}{12} + \lambda \phi^4
  \rightarrow (\underline{\partial}_{\phi}[V] \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow \underline{\partial}_{\phi}[\frac{s \phi^{2}}{12} + \lambda \phi^{4}] \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \frac{i \sqrt{s}}{2 \sqrt{6} \sqrt{\lambda}}
  Vacuum expectation value: v \rightarrow \boxed{\phi^2 \rightarrow -\frac{s}{24 \ \lambda}}
PR["*If scalar curvature(s) not constant, kinetic terms of Lagrangian need to be
        consider to find vacuum expectation value: ", L = = L -> [2, 2],
    NL, "Direct solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation to find
```

```
extremum(apply Mathematica EulerLagrange): ",
 Yield, \$ = \$L /. \phi \rightarrow \phi[x] /. tuDPartial[a_, \mu_] :> D[a, x] /.
   tuDPartialu[a_, \mu_] :> D[a, x],
 Yield, (\$ = EulerEquations[\$[[2]], \phi[x], x]) // D2xPartialD[] //
  (\# /. \phi[x] \rightarrow \phi /. x \rightarrow \mu) \&,
 NL, "Mathematica's solution is complex: ", "POFF",
 Yield, DSolve[\$, \phi[x], x]
1;
```

```
*If scalar curvature(s) not constant, kinetic terms of Lagrangian need to be
      consider to find vacuum expectation value: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \frac{s \phi^2}{12} + \lambda \phi^4 + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\partial}_{\mu} [\phi] \partial^{\mu} [\phi]
Direct solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation to find
      extremum(apply Mathematica EulerLagrange):
\rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{S} \, \phi[\mathbf{x}]^2 + \lambda \, \phi[\mathbf{x}]^4 + \frac{1}{2} \phi'[\mathbf{x}]^2
\rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{s}\,\phi}{\epsilon} + 4\,\lambda\,\phi^3 - \underline{\partial}_{\mu}[\underline{\partial}_{\mu}[\phi]] = 0
Mathematica's solution is complex:
```

```
PR["*Apply conformal invariance of the Higgs potential: ",
 NL, "If we apply: ", s = \{s \to \Omega^2 s_0 [CG["constant"]]\},
 Yield, \$ = L = L / . tuRule[\$s]; CR[\$, back, "\phi is now transformed."],
 NL, "Then the vacuum expectation value (minimum potential) of: ",
 Yield, \$ = V \rightarrow \$[[2]] /. \{tuDPartial[\_, \_] \rightarrow 0\},
 Yield, \$ = (\# \rightarrow 0 \&) / (tuDPartial \#, \phi) \& / (\$),
 imply, \$ = \$[[2]] // tuDerivativeExpand[\{s_0, \lambda, \Omega\}] // tuRuleSolve[#, <math>\phi] & // Last,
 NL, "Vacuum expectation value: ", vev =  = Map[#^2 &, $] /. \phi \rightarrow v_0;
 $ // Framed, CR[" not constant?"],
 NL, "Investigate transformed: ", \$s = \phi \rightarrow (v_0 + h) / \Omega,
 Yield, $ = $Lt /. $s,
 NL, "Constants: ", $s = \{s_0, v_0, \Omega\},
 Yield, $ = $ // tuDerivativeExpand[$s],
 NL, "Letting: ", s = \{tuRuleSolve[svev, s_0], \Omega \rightarrow 1\} // Flatten,
 Yield, \$ = \$ //. \$s // Expand // Collect[#, <math>\lambda] &; \$ // Framed
]
```

```
*Apply conformal invariance of the Higgs potential: If we apply: \{s \to \Omega^2 s_0[\text{constant}]\}
\to \mathcal{L} \to \lambda \, \phi^4 + \frac{1}{12} \, \phi^2 \, \Omega^2 \, s_0 + \frac{1}{2} \, \underline{\partial}_\mu [\phi] \, \partial^\mu [\phi] \, \longleftrightarrow \phi \text{ is now transformed.}
Then the vacuum expectation value (minimum potential) of:
\to V \to \lambda \, \phi^4 + \frac{1}{12} \, \phi^2 \, \Omega^2 \, s_0
\to (\underline{\partial}_\phi [V] \to 0) \to \underline{\partial}_\phi [\lambda \, \phi^4 + \frac{1}{12} \, \phi^2 \, \Omega^2 \, s_0] \to 0 \Rightarrow \phi \to \frac{i \, \Omega \, \sqrt{s_0}}{2 \, \sqrt{6} \, \sqrt{\lambda}}
Vacuum expectation value: v_0^2 \to -\frac{\Omega^2 \, s_0}{24 \, \lambda} not constant?

Investigate transformed: \phi \to \frac{h + v_0}{\Omega}
\to \mathcal{L} \to \frac{1}{12} \, s_0 \, (h + v_0)^2 + \frac{\lambda \, (h + v_0)^4}{\Omega^4} + \frac{1}{2} \, \underline{\partial}_\mu [\frac{h + v_0}{\Omega}] \, \underline{\partial}^\mu [\frac{h + v_0}{\Omega}]
Constants: \{s_0, v_0, \Omega\}
\to \mathcal{L} \to \frac{1}{12} \, s_0 \, (h + v_0)^2 + \frac{\lambda \, (h + v_0)^4}{\Omega^4} + \frac{\underline{\partial}_\mu \, (h) \, \underline{\partial}^\mu [h]}{2 \, \Omega^2}
Letting: \{s_0 \to -\frac{24 \, \lambda \, v_0^2}{\Omega^2}, \, \Omega \to 1\}
\to \mathcal{L} \to \lambda \, (h^4 + 4 \, h^3 \, v_0 + 4 \, h^2 \, v_0^2 - v_0^4) + \frac{1}{2} \, \underline{\partial}_\mu \, [h] \, \underline{\partial}^\mu [h]
```

• 7.3 Conformal transformations of the spectral action

```
PR["*From (2.16-.17): ", CO[$ = {selectDef[T[$\gamma$, "d", {5}] $\otimes_{\sigma}$] /. ($\epsilon Rule[KOdim] /. selectStdMdl[KOdim]) //. tuOpDistribute[CircleTimes] // Reverse, selectDef[T[$\gamma$, "u", {$\mu$}] $\otimes_{\sigma}$] /. ($\epsilon Rule[KOdim] /. selectStdMdl[KOdim]) // Reverse }],
```

```
NL,
   e^{216x7} =  =  T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \otimes \Phi \rightarrow
              T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \otimes \mathcal{D}_F + T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \otimes \phi + J.(T[\gamma, "d", \{5\}] \otimes \phi).ct[J],
           T[\gamma, "u", \{\mu\}] \otimes T[B, "d", \{\mu\}] \rightarrow T[\gamma, "u", \{\mu\}] \otimes
                 (T[A, "d", {\mu}] - J_F. T[A, "d", {\mu}] . ct[J_F]);
   $ // ColumnBar,
  NL, "With Conformal transformations: ",
   \mathsf{sct} = \{\mathsf{T}[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{"d"}, \{\mu\}] - \mathsf{T}[\mathsf{B}, \mathsf{"d"}, \{\mu\}], \Phi \to \Phi / \Omega, \Lambda \to \Lambda / \Omega, (**)
        tuDUp[iD][a_, b_] \rightarrow tuDUp[iD][a, b] / \Omega^2,
        tuDDown[iD][a_, b_] -> tuDDown[iD][a, b],
        tuExtractIntegrand($sWeyl)[[2]] -> tuExtractIntegrand($sWeyl)[[2]],
        T[F, "uu", {\mu, \nu}] \rightarrow \Omega^{-4} T[F, "uu", {\mu, \nu}],
        T[F, "dd", {\mu, \nu}] \rightarrow T[F, "dd", {\mu, \nu}]
     };
   t = Map[(\#[[1]] /. transformVar) \rightarrow \#[[2]] \&, transformVar)
   $ct = Append[$ct, selectCInv[Sqrt[Det[g]]]];
   $ct // ColumnBar,
  line,
  NL, "•Proposition 7.3: Conformal transform of the spectral action is: ",
  NL, \mathcal{L}[T[\tilde{g}, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}], T[\tilde{B}, "d", \{\mu\}], \tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Lambda}] \rightarrow
     \mathcal{L}[T[g, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}], T[B, "d", \{\mu\}], \Phi, \Lambda] +
        N f_2 \Lambda^2 / (\Omega^2 4 \pi^2) tuDsu["\nabla"][\Omega, \beta] tuDs["\nabla"][\Omega, \beta] \sqrt{Det[g]}
PR["• Proof: Transform the \mathcal L terms of Proposition 3.7 ",
      tuRuleSelect[$p37][\mathcal{L}[\_]][[1]],
      line,
      NL, CO[">The Transform of (3.19): "],
      CR["(ignoring topological and boundary terms in Proposition 3.7)"],
      \$ = \$ = \$e319 = \mathcal{L}_{M}[T[g, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}]] \rightarrow f_{4} \wedge^{4} / (2 \pi^{2}) - s f_{2} \wedge^{2} / (24 \pi^{2}) - s f_{3} \wedge^{2} / (24 \pi^{2}) -
                    f[0] / (480 \pi^2) T[C, "dddd", {\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma}] T[C, "uuuu", {\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma}];
      = Sqrt[Det[g]] # & /@ $ // Expand;
     $ = $ /. $transformVar;
      Imply, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. tuRule[$e71] //. $ct // Expand // tuDerivativeExpand[];
      $ // ColumnSumExp, (**)
      NL, "Let: ", $s = {m \rightarrow 4},
      Yield, \$ = MapAt[#/. \$s \&, \$, 2],
      NL, "Subtract 3.19 to evaluate difference: ", s = \sqrt{Det[g]} \# \& / @ se^{319},
      Yield, $ = tuRuleSubtract[{$, $s}] // Expand // Simplify;
      $ // ColumnSumExp // Framed;
      NL, "The Transformed (3.19): ",
      $a1 = $ = tuRuleSolve[$, $[[1, 2]]][[1]] // Expand; $ // Framed
   ];
PR[CO[">The Transform of (3.19): "], = tuRuleSelect[$p37][L_B[_]][[1]];
      \$ = (\$0 = \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \# \& / \$) /. \$ transform Var // Expand,
     Yield, \{[2]\} = \{[2]\} /. $ct /. selectCInv[Sqrt[Det[]]] // tuTrSimplify[\{\Omega\}\};
     Yield, a2 =  = $ /. Reverse[$0]; $ // Framed
   1;
PR[(*for Consistent notation*)
        \{T[iD\_, "u", \{\mu\_\}][a\_] \rightarrow tuDUp[iD][a, \mu], T[iD\_, "d", \{\mu\_\}][a\_] \rightarrow tuDDown[iD][a, \mu]\};
      CO[">The Transform of: "], $= tuRuleSelect[$p37][L_H[__]][[1]] /.s[x] \rightarrow s;
```

1

```
\$0 = \$ = \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \# \& / \$ / . \$ Dc;
  $ = $ /. $transformVar,
  NL, "For ", $s0 = \{\triangle[\underline{\hspace{1cm}}] \rightarrow 0, m \rightarrow 4\},
  Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. tuRule[$e71] /. selectCInv[Sqrt[Det[]]];
  [[2]] = [[2]] /. $s0 //. $ct /. \beta \rightarrow \mu //. tuOpSimplify[Dot, \{\Omega\}] //
      tuTrSimplify[\{\Omega\}] // Expand;
  $1 = $;
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  NL, ". Examine the difference between the transformed
     and untransformed equations, ignoring boundary terms: ",
  Yield, $ = tuRuleSubtract[{$1, $0}] /. $s0 // Expand // Simplify;
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  Yield, \$ = \$ // tuDerivativeExpand[] // Simplify // tuOpSimplifyF[Dot, <math>\{\Omega\}];
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  NL, "Simplify ",
  $ = $ /. Dot \rightarrow Times //. tuOpSimplify[Tr, {\Omega}] // ExpandAll;
  $ = $ /. Tr[a_] :> Tr[tuIndexDummyOrdered[a]] //. tuOpDistribute[Tr] // Simplify;
  NL, "Assume differential equivalent ", s = " \forall " \rightarrow iD,
  Yield, \$ = \$ /. \$s //. tuOpSimplify[Tr, {\Omega}, tuDUp[_][\Omega}, \mu], tuDDown[_][\Omega}, \mu]}];
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  $ = $ /. { Tr[\Phi (dd : tuDUp[])[\Phi, \mu]] \rightarrow Tr[dd[\Phi^2, \mu]] / 2} // Expand;
  $ = $ // Collect[#, Sqrt[_] f[0]] &;
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  NL, "The total differential of ",
  s = tuDUp[iD][Tr[\Phi^2] tuDDown[iD][\Omega, \mu] / \Omega, \mu];
  $s = 0 \rightarrow ($s // tuDerivativeExpand[]);
  $s = tuRuleSolve[$s, $s[[2, 1, 2;; -1]]],
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s // Expand;
  $ = $ /. \{tuDUp[iD][Tr[a_], \mu_] \rightarrow Tr[tuDUp[iD][a, \mu]] \} // tuIndexDummyOrdered,
  a3 = = [[1, 2]] \rightarrow -[[1, 1]];
  $ // ColumnSumExp
 1;
PR["• Summing these term: ", $ = {$a1, $a2, $a3} // Flatten // tuRuleAdd;
 $ = $ /. $s // tuIndexDummyOrdered // ColumnSumExp,
 NL, "Proves the Proposition."
```

```
*From (2.16-.17):  \{ \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi \to \gamma_5 \otimes \phi + \gamma_5 \otimes J_F, \phi \cdot (J_F)^\dagger + \gamma_5 \otimes D_F, \gamma^\mu \otimes B_\mu \to \gamma^\mu \otimes (-J_F, A_{M\mu}, (J_F)^\dagger + A_{M\mu}) \}   \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi \to \gamma_5 \otimes \phi + \gamma_5 \otimes \mathcal{D}_F + J. (\gamma_5 \otimes \phi) . J^\dagger   \gamma^\mu \otimes B_\mu \to \gamma^\mu \otimes (-J_F, A_\mu, (J_F)^\dagger + A_\mu)   | \vec{B}_\mu \to B_\mu | \vec{\Phi} \to \frac{\delta}{\alpha}   \vec{\Lambda} \to \frac{\Lambda}{\alpha}   | \vec{D}^b = [a_-] \to \frac{p^b [a]}{\sigma^2}   | \vec{D} = [a_-] \to D [a]   -b_- - b_- - b_-   \sqrt{\text{Det}[\vec{g}]} \vec{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \vec{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \to \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \vec{C}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \vec{C}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}   | \vec{F}^\mu \to F_{\mu\nu} \to F_{\mu\nu} \to F_{\mu\nu}   \sqrt{\text{Det}[\vec{g}]} \to \Omega^4 \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]}   | \vec{D} = [a_-] \to D [a]   \vec{D} = [a_-] \to D [a]
```

```
• Proof: Transform the \mathcal L terms of Proposition 3.7
              \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{g}_{\mu\,\vee}\,,\;\mathbf{B}_{\mu}\,,\;\boldsymbol{\Phi}\,]\rightarrow\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}[\mathbf{B}_{\mu}\,]\,+\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{H}}[\mathbf{g}_{\mu\,\vee}\,,\;\mathbf{B}_{\mu}\,,\;\boldsymbol{\Phi}\,]\,+\,\mathbf{N}\,\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{M}}[\mathbf{g}_{\mu\,\vee}\,]
 >The Transform of (3.19):
             (ignoring topological and boundary terms in Proposition 3.7)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          -\,\frac{{\rm s}\,{\scriptstyle \Lambda}^2\,\,\sqrt{{\rm Det}[\,g\,]}\,\,\,{\rm f}_2}{24\,\pi^2}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             \Lambda^4 \sqrt{\text{Det[g]}} f_4
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        \begin{array}{lll} & - \frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{Det[g]}} & \mathsf{f[0]} \; \mathsf{C}_{\mu \, \vee \, \rho \, \sigma} \; \mathsf{C}^{\mu \, \vee \, \rho \, \sigma}}{480 \, \pi^2} \\ & & \Lambda^2 \; \sqrt{\mathsf{Det[g]}} & \mathsf{f_2} \, \nabla \begin{bmatrix} \Omega \end{bmatrix} \, \nabla^\beta \begin{bmatrix} \Omega \end{bmatrix} \end{array}
\Rightarrow \sqrt{\mathsf{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{M}}[\mathfrak{F}_{\mu\,\vee}] \to \Sigma[ \\ -\frac{\min^2 \sqrt{\mathsf{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]} \ \mathbf{f}_2 \ \nabla \left[\Omega\right] \ \nabla^\beta\left[\Omega\right]}{8 \ \pi^2 \ \Omega^2}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          \mathsf{m^2} \; \Lambda^2 \; \sqrt{\mathsf{Det[g]}} \; \; \mathsf{f_2} \; \triangledown \; \; \mathsf{[}\Omega \mathsf{]} \; \triangledown^\beta \mathsf{[}\Omega \mathsf{]}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      \frac{\text{me } \wedge^2 \sqrt{\text{Det}[\mathbf{g}]} \ \mathbf{f_2} \vee [\Omega] \vee [\Omega]}{24 \, \pi^2 \, \Omega^2} \\ \sim \frac{\nabla \left[ \nabla^{\beta} [\Omega] \right] \ \nabla \left[ \Omega \right] \nabla^{\beta} [\Omega]}{\Omega} \\ \sim \frac{\wedge^2 \sqrt{\text{Det}[\mathbf{g}]} \ \mathbf{f_2} \left( \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega} - \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega^2} - \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega^2} \right)}{12 \, \pi^2} \\ \sim \frac{\text{m} \wedge^2 \sqrt{\text{Det}[\mathbf{g}]} \ \mathbf{f_2} \left( \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega} - \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega^2} - \frac{\neg \beta}{\Omega^2} \right)}{12 \, \pi^2}
 Let: \{m \rightarrow 4\}
 \rightarrow \sqrt{\text{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\text{M}}[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow -\frac{\text{s} \ \Lambda^2 \ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f_2}{24 \ \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 \ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f_4}{2 \ \pi^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f[0] \ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{480 \ \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 \ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f[0] \ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{480 \ \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 \ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f[0] \ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{480 \ \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 \ \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \ f[0] \ C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ C
                                  \frac{ \bigwedge^{2} \sqrt{\mathsf{Det[g]}} \ \mathbf{f}_{2} \ \underline{\nabla}_{\beta} \left[\Omega\right] \ \underline{\nabla}^{\beta} \left[\Omega\right]}{\mathbf{4} \ \pi^{2} \ \Omega^{2}} + \frac{ \bigwedge^{2} \sqrt{\mathsf{Det[g]}} \ \mathbf{f}_{2} \ \left(\frac{\underline{\nabla}_{\beta} \left[\nabla^{\beta} \left[\Omega\right]\right]}{\underline{\Omega}} - \frac{\underline{\nabla}_{\beta} \left[\Omega\right] \ \nabla^{\beta} \left[\Omega\right]}{\underline{\Omega^{2}}}\right)}{\mathbf{4} \ -2}
   Subtract 3.19 to evaluate difference:
              \sqrt{\text{Det[g]}} \ \mathcal{L}_{\text{M}}[\,g_{\mu\,\nu}\,] \to \sqrt{\text{Det[g]}} \ (-\frac{s\, \Lambda^2 \,\, f_2}{24 \,\, \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 \,\, f_4}{2 \,\, \pi^2} - \frac{f[\,0\,] \,\, C_{\mu\,\nu\,\rho\,\sigma} \,\, C^{\mu\,\nu\,\rho\,\sigma}}{480 \,\, \pi^2})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 \Lambda^2 \sqrt{\mathsf{Det}[\mathsf{g}]} \ \mathsf{f}_2 \ \forall \ [ \nabla^\beta [\Omega] ]
   The Transformed (3.19):
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           \sqrt{\mathsf{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{M}}[\mathfrak{F}_{\mu\,\nu}] \to \sqrt{\mathsf{Det}[\mathfrak{F}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{M}}[\mathfrak{g}_{\mu\,\nu}] + \frac{-\beta}{2}
```

>The Transform of (3.19):
$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}] \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]} \operatorname{f}[0] \operatorname{Tr}[\tilde{\mathbf{F}}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}^{\mu\nu}]}{24 \pi^2}$$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}] \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\mathbf{g}]} \operatorname{f}[0] \operatorname{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}]}{24 \pi^2}$$

$$\rightarrow \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathbf{B}}}[\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{\mu}] \rightarrow \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\mathbf{g}]} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{B}}[\mathbf{B}_{\mu}]}$$

>The Transform of:
$$\sqrt{\mathrm{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{H}}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_{\mu}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}] \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathrm{Det}[\tilde{\mathbf{g}}]}$$

$$\left(\frac{\mathbf{f}[0] \, \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \, \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}]}{48 \, \pi^2} - \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}^2 \, \mathbf{f}_2 \, \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}]}{2 \, \pi^2} + \frac{\mathbf{f}[0] \, \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\underline{D}}_{\mu}[\tilde{\Phi}].\tilde{\underline{D}}^{\mu}[\tilde{\Phi}]]}{8 \, \pi^2} + \frac{\mathbf{f}[0] \, \mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}]}{8 \, \pi^2} + \frac{\mathbf{f}[0] \, \Delta[\mathrm{Tr}[\tilde{\Phi}.\tilde{\Phi}]]}{24 \, \pi^2}\right)$$
For $\{\Delta[\underline{}] \rightarrow 0, \, \mathbf{m} \rightarrow 4\}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q]} \ \mathcal{L}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu}, \ \Xi) \to \Sigma[}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q)} \ \mathcal{L}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu}, \ \Xi) \to \Sigma[} \\ -2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q) \ \operatorname{Figure}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu}, \ \Xi)} \\ -2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q) \ \operatorname{Figure}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu\nu}}, \ \Xi)} \\ -2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q) \ \operatorname{Figure}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu\nu}}, \ \Xi)} \\ -2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q) \ \operatorname{Figure}_{0}[q_{\mu\nu}], \ B_{\mu\nu}}, \ \Xi)} \\ -2\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}(q) \ \operatorname$$

```
• Summing these term:  \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{H}}[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}, \tilde{\Phi}]   \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{H}}[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}_{\mu}, \tilde{\Phi}]   \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{H}}[\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}, \mathbb{B}_{\mu}, \Phi]   \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{H}}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi]   \sqrt{\operatorname{Det}[\tilde{g}]} \ \mathcal{L}_{\mathtt{H}}[g_{\mu\nu
```

• 7.4 The Higgs mechanims revisited(GWS model with variable scalar curvature)

```
PR[" From the GWS model (5.17): ",
 = tuTermExtract[H][selectGWS[_H[__], {H'}]] /.H' \rightarrow H;
 NL, " • Transform variables so EulerEquations[] can be applied: ",
 \$s = \{Abs[H] \rightarrow h[x], Abs[tuDDown[iD][H, \mu]] \rightarrow D[h[x], x], s \rightarrow s[x]\},\
 Yield, $ = $ /. $s,
 NL, "Apply EulerEquations: ",
 Yield, $ = EulerEquations[$, h[x], x];
 Yield, $ = $ // tuD2tuDOp[tuDDown["∂"]];
  \$ = (\#/2 \&/\emptyset First[tuRuleSolve[\$, tuDDown["\partial"][tuDDown["\partial"][h[x], x], x]]]) // 
   Simplify;
 $ // ColumnSumExp, CR["←b/a term different from text p.85."],
 line,
 NL, "See if action in invarient: Apply Conformal transform: ",
 tuRuleSelect[$ct][\tilde{\Phi}] \Rightarrow {H \rightarrow H / \Omega},
 Imply, s = \{h[x] \rightarrow h[x] / \Omega, \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_0 \sqrt{(2 a f_2 \Lambda^2 - e f[0]) / (a f[0]) - s[x] / 12}\},
 Yield, $ = $ /. $s[[1]],
 Yield, \$ = \$ // tuDerivativeExpand[{\Lambda, f[0], a, f_2, \Omega_0}],
 $ = tuRuleSolve[$, tuDDown["0"][tuDDown["0"][h[x], x], x]] // First // Simplify;
 $ // ColumnSumExp,
 NL, "If we substitute the above ", $s[[2]],
 and, "take as constant ", const = \{\Lambda, f[0], a, f_2, \Omega_0, e\},
 Yield, $ = $ /. $s[[2]] // tuDerivativeExpand[$const] // Simplify;
 $ // ColumnSumExp,
 CR[
  "? Does not seem to be simple relationship for h[x] as in text for \tilde{v}[x]. "]
```

```
• From the GWS model (5.17):
  • Transform variables so EulerEquations[] can be applied:
   \{ Abs[H] \rightarrow h[x], Abs[\underline{\tilde{D}}_{u}[H]] \rightarrow h'[x], s \rightarrow s[x] \}
 \rightarrow \frac{b f[0] h[x]^4}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{a f[0] h[x]^2 s[x]}{12 \pi^2} + \frac{h[x]^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{\pi^2} + \frac{a f[0] h'[x]^2}{2 \pi^2}
 Apply EulerEquations:
\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\partial_x [h[x]]] \rightarrow \sum \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e}{a} \\ \frac{b h[x]^2}{a} \\ \frac{s[x]}{12} \\ -\frac{2 \wedge^2 f_2}{6} \end{bmatrix} h[x] \leftarrow b/a \text{ term different from text p.85.}
 See if action in invarient: Apply Conformal transform: \{\tilde{\Phi} \to \frac{\Phi}{-}\} \Rightarrow \{H \to \frac{H}{-}\}
\Rightarrow \{h[x] \rightarrow \frac{h[x]}{\Omega}, \Omega \rightarrow \sqrt{-\frac{s[x]}{12} + \frac{-e f[0] + 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2}{a f[0]}} \Omega_0\}
\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{x}} [\partial_{\mathbf{x}} [\mathbf{h}[\mathbf{x}]]]}{\Omega}]] \rightarrow \frac{h[\mathbf{x}] (\frac{e}{a} + \frac{b h[\mathbf{x}]^{2}}{a \Omega^{2}} + \frac{s[\mathbf{x}]}{12} - \frac{2 \Lambda^{2} f_{2}}{f[0]})}{\Omega}
 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{2 \frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [h[x]]}{\Omega^2} + h[x] \left( \frac{2 \frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [\Omega]^2}{\Omega^3} - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [\frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [\Omega]]}{\Omega^2} \right) + \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [\frac{\partial}{\partial_x} [h[x]]]}{\Omega} \right) \rightarrow 
       \frac{h[x]\left(\frac{e}{a} + \frac{bh[x]^2}{a\Omega^2} + \frac{s[x]}{12} - \frac{2\Lambda^2 f_2}{f[0]}\right)}{\Omega} \underbrace{\frac{\partial_x[h[x]]}{\partial_x[h[x]]} \rightarrow \sum}_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\frac{2bh[x]^3}{a\Omega^2}}{\frac{-x}{-x}} \right]
                                                                                                                                               h[x] \left(\frac{2e}{a} + \frac{s[x]}{6} - \frac{4 \wedge^2 f_2}{f[0]} - \frac{2 \partial [\Omega]^2}{\Omega^2} + \frac{\partial [\partial [\Omega]]}{\Omega}\right)
If we substitute the above \Omega \rightarrow \sqrt{-\frac{s[x]}{12} + \frac{-ef[0] + 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2}{af[0]}} \Omega_0
        and take as constant \{\Lambda, f[0], a, f_2, \Omega_0, e\}
 \begin{array}{l} -\frac{24\,b\,f[0]\,h[x]^3}{(12\,e\,f[0]+a\,f[0]\,s[x]-24\,a\,\Lambda^2\,f_2)\,\Omega_0^2} \\ =\frac{a\,f[0]\,\partial\,\left[h[x]\right]\,\partial\,\left[s[x]\right]}{-x} \\ -\frac{x}{12\,e\,f[0]+a\,f[0]\,s[x]-24\,a\,\Lambda^2\,f_2} \\ =\frac{h\left[x\right]\,\left(\frac{2\,e}{a}+\frac{s[x]}{6}-\frac{4\,\Lambda^2\,f_2}{f[0]}-\frac{3\,a^2\,f[0]^2\,\partial\,\left[s[x]\right]^2}{4\,(12\,e\,f[0]+a\,f[0]\,s[x]-24\,a\,\Lambda^2\,f_2)^2} + \frac{a\,f[0]\,\partial\,\left[\partial\,\left[s[x]\right]\right]}{-x} \\ =\frac{x}{24\,e\,f[0]+2\,a\,f[0]\,s[x]-48\,a\,\Lambda^2\,f_2} \end{array} \right) \\ \end{array} 
     ? Does not seem to be simple relationship for h[x] as in text for \tilde{v}[x].
```

Theorem 7.6

```
PR["Theorem 7.6: The gauge and conformal transformations: ",
       \$sH = \$ = \{H \to u[x] / \Omega[x] \{\{v_0 + h[x]\}, \{0\}\}, h[x_] \to \Omega[x] Abs[H[x]] - v_0\};
       $ // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
      NL, "break gauge and conformal symmetry. Broken bosonic action (GWS) is: ",
          S_B \rightarrow IntegralOp[\{\{x \in M\}\}, \sqrt{Det[g]}] (4 f_4 \wedge^4 / (\pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + d f[0] / (4 \pi^2) - c f[0] /
                            b \pi^2 v_0^4 / (2 a^2 f[0]) + (c f[0] / (24 \pi^2) - f_2 \Lambda^2 / (3 \pi^2)) s -
                             \mathbf{f} \texttt{[0] / (40\,\pi^2)\,T[C, "dddd", \{\mu, \, \lor, \, \rho, \, \sigma\}]\,T[C, "uuuu", \, \{\mu, \, \lor, \, \rho, \, \sigma\}] } 
                            +T[B, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}] T[B, "uu", \{\mu, \nu\}] /4
                            +T[W, "udd", {a, \mu, \vee}] T[W, "uuu", {a, \mu, \vee}] / 4
                            + f_2 \Lambda^2 / (3 \pi^2) \text{ tuDPartial}[\eta, \beta] \text{ tuDPartialu}[\eta, \beta]
                            + tuDPartial[h, \beta] tuDPartialu[h, \beta] / 2
                            +b\pi^2/(2a^2f[0])(h^4+4v_0h^3+4v_0^2h^2)
                            +g_2^2/4(v_0+h)^2T[W, "d", {\mu}]ct[T[W, "u", {\mu}]]
                            +g_2^2/(8c_w^2)(v_0+h)^2T[Z, "d", {\mu}]T[Z, "u", {\mu}]);
       $ // ColumnSumExp,
      NL, "where ", s = \{\Omega \rightarrow \text{Exp}[\eta]\}
PR[" Sketch of Proof: 1. Starting with Proposition 5.7: selectGWS[prop57]",
       Grid[\{\{"Since \mathcal{L}_H \text{ is conformally invariant in } (5.7) \text{ replace",} \}]
                 sH[[1]] /. {\Omega[x] \rightarrow 1, u[x] \rightarrow 1} // MatrixForms},
              {"Apply ", {selectGWS[H, {a}], selectGWS[\mathcal{L}_{}], selectGWS[Abs[_{}]^{2}]} // ColumnBar},
              {"Impose (5.16) on (5.14) to get gauge kinetic term", selectGWS[Tr[], \{g_1\}]},
              {"Kinetic term of dilation field \eta from ", selectGWS[\mathcal{L}_{M}[\_], {}]}
          \}, Frame \rightarrow All
    ];
```

```
(h[x]+v_0)u[x]
                                                                                                                                                                                \Omega[x]
Theorem 7.6: The gauge and conformal transformations:
                                                                                                                                                                                 0
                                                                                                                                                           h[x] \rightarrow -v_0 + Abs[H[x]] \Omega[x]
break gauge and conformal symmetry. Broken bosonic action (GWS) is:
                                   df[0]
                                     4\pi^2
                                   _ c \^2 f_2
                                       π2
                                   S \left(\frac{c f[0]}{24 \pi^2} - \frac{\Lambda^2 f_2}{3 \pi^2}\right)
                                   4 \wedge^4 \underline{f_4}
                                       b \; \pi^2 \; v_0^4
                                     2 a<sup>2</sup> f[0]
                                   b\pi^2 ( h^4\!+\!4 h^3 v_0\!+\!4 h^2 v_0^2 )
                                         2 a<sup>2</sup> f[0]
                                   \frac{1}{-}\,\mathbf{B}_{\mu\,\nu}\,\,\mathbf{B}^{\mu\,\nu}
                                                                                     ] \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} ]
  S_B \rightarrow \int_{\{x \in M\}} [\sum [
                                   -\frac{f[0]C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}{}
                                               40 \pi^{2}
                                       (W^{\mu})^{\dagger} g_2^2 (h + v_0)^2 W_{\mu}
                                   \frac{1}{\cdot}\,\mathbf{W^{a}}_{\,\mu\,\vee}\,\,\mathbf{W^{a}}^{\,\mu\,\vee}
                                   {\tt g_2^2} (h+v_0)^2 {\tt Z}_{\mu} {\tt Z}^{\mu}
                                            8 c<sub>w</sub><sup>2</sup>
                                       \partial [h] \partial^{\beta}[h]
                                   {\scriptstyle \Lambda^2 \ f_2 \ \partial \ [\eta] \ \partial^\beta [\eta]}
where \{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{\eta}\}
```

Since \mathcal{L}_H is conformally invariant in (5.7) replace	$H \rightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} h[x] + v_0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$
Apply	$\begin{split} & \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{a\mathbf{f}[0]} \mathbb{H}'}{\pi} \\ & \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Hpot}} \rightarrow \frac{b\pi^2(-\frac{v^4}{2} \!+\! 2v^2h[x]^2 \!+\! 2vh[x]^3 \!+\! \frac{h[x]^4}{2})}{a^2\mathbf{f}[0]} \\ & \mathrm{Abs}[\tilde{D}[\mathbb{H}]]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}((\mathbf{v}\!+\! h[\mathbf{x}])^2g_1^2\mathbf{B}_\mu\mathbf{B}^\mu -\! \\ & -\mu \\ & 2(\mathbf{v}\!+\! h[\mathbf{x}])^2g_1^2g_2^2(\mathbb{W}_\mu^{1}\mathbb{W}^\mu^{1}\!+\!\mathbb{W}_\mu^{2}\mathbb{W}^{\mu2}\!+\!\mathbb{W}_\mu^{3}\mathbb{W}^\mu^{3}) \\ & 4\partial_{[}h[\mathbf{x}]]\partial^{\mu}[h[\mathbf{x}]]) \\ & -\mu \end{split}$
Impose (5.16) on (5.14) to get gauge kinetic term	$\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow 3 \ g_1^2 \ B_{\mu\nu} \ B^{\mu\nu} + g_2^2 \ W_{\mu\nu}^{\ a} \ W^{\mu\nu}_{\ a}$
Kinetic term of dilation field η from	$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{M}} \left[\mathbf{g}_{\mu \vee} \right] &\rightarrow \\ &- \frac{ ^{\Delta^2} \mathbf{f}_2 }{24 \pi^2} + \frac{ ^{\Delta^4} \mathbf{f}_4 }{2 \pi^2} + \frac{ \mathbf{f} \left[0 \right] \left(\frac{11 \mathbf{R}^{\bullet} \cdot \mathbf{R}^{\bullet}}{360} - \frac{1}{20} \mathbf{C}_{\mu \vee \rho \sigma} \mathbf{C}^{\mu \vee \rho \sigma} + \frac{ \Delta \left[\mathbf{s} \right] }{30} \right) }{16 \pi^2} \end{split}$

Theorem 7.8

```
PR["Theorem 7.8. The bosonic action of the Standard Model: ",
 Yield, $t78 = S_B \rightarrow xIntegral[
      \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} (48 f<sub>4</sub> \wedge^4 / (\pi^2) - c f<sub>2</sub> \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) + df[0] / (4 \pi^2) - b \pi^2 v<sub>0</sub><sup>4</sup> / (2 a<sup>2</sup> f[0])
          + (c f[0] / (24 \pi^2) - 4 f_2 \Lambda^2 / (\pi^2)) s -
          3 f[0] / (10 \pi^2) T[C, "dddd", {\mu, \vee, \rho, \sigma}] T[C, "uuuu", {\mu, \vee, \rho, \sigma}]
          +T[B, "dd", \{\mu, \nu\}] T[B, "uu", \{\mu, \nu\}] / 4
          +T[W, "udd", {a, \mu, \vee}] T[W, "uuu", {a, \mu, \vee}] / 4
          +T[G, "udd", {i, \mu, \vee}] T[G, "uuu", {i, \mu, \vee}] / 4
          + f_2 \wedge^2 / (\pi^2) tuDPartial[\eta, \beta] tuDPartialu[\eta, \beta]
          + tuDPartial[h, \beta] tuDPartialu[h, \beta] / 2
          +b\pi^2/(2a^2f[0])(h^4+4v_0h^3+4v_0^2h^2)
          +g_2^2/4(v_0+h)^2T[W, "d", {\mu}]ct[T[W, "u", {\mu}]]
          +g_2^2/(8c_w^2)(v_0+h)^2T[Z, "d", \{\mu\}]T[Z, "u", \{\mu\}]), x \in M
PR[" Sketch of proof: Start with
    Proposition 6.8 and apply same procedure as Theorem 7.6."
]
```

```
• Sketch of proof: Start with Proposition 6.8 and apply same procedure as Theorem 7.6.
```

■ 8. Phenomenology

• 8.1 Mass relations

8.1.1 Fermion masses

```
PR["Using Definition (6.9): ", $e69 = Y_x \to -1 \sqrt{a} f[0] / (\pi v) m_x, NL, "In (Lemma 6.6): ", $ = tuRuleSelect[$166][a], Yield, $ = $ /. $e69 // tuConjugateTransposeSimplify[\{\sqrt{\ }, v\}, \{v, a, f[0], \sqrt{\ }\}\}] // Simplify; Yield, $ = $ // tuTrSimplify[\{v, a, f[0]\}\}, NL, "(5.23): ", $e523 = \{M_W \to v g_2 / 2, M_Z \to v g_2 / (2 c_W)\}, NL, "From (6.6) ", $g2 = $s = tuRuleSolve[$e66, g2], Yield, $s = $e523 /. $s // First, Yield, $e81 = $s = tuRuleSolve[$s, f[0]] // Normal, Imply, $ = $ /. $s, Yield, $ = tuRuleSolve[$, M_W] // First // #^2 & /@ # &; $ // Framed ]
```

```
Using Definition (6.9): Y_{x_{-}} \rightarrow -\frac{i \sqrt{a f[0]} m_{x}}{\pi v}

In (Lemma 6.6): \{a \rightarrow Tr[3 (Y_{d})^{\dagger} \cdot Y_{d} + (Y_{e})^{\dagger} \cdot Y_{e} + 3 (Y_{u})^{\dagger} \cdot Y_{u} + (Y_{v})^{\dagger} \cdot Y_{v}]\}

\rightarrow \{a \rightarrow \frac{a f[0] Tr[3 (m_{d})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{d} + (m_{e})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{e} + 3 (m_{u})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{u} + (m_{v})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{v}]}{\pi^{2} v v^{*}}\}

(5.23): \{M_{W} \rightarrow \frac{v g_{2}}{2}, M_{Z} \rightarrow \frac{v g_{2}}{2 c_{w}}\}

From (6.6) \{g_{2} \rightarrow -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{f[0]}}, g_{2} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{f[0]}}\}

\rightarrow M_{W} \rightarrow -\frac{\pi v}{2 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{f[0]}}

\rightarrow \{f[0] \rightarrow \frac{\pi^{2} v^{2}}{8 M_{W}^{2}}\}

\Rightarrow \{a \rightarrow \frac{a v Tr[3 (m_{d})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{d} + (m_{e})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{e} + 3 (m_{u})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{u} + (m_{v})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{v}]}{8 v^{*} M_{W}^{2}}\}

\rightarrow M_{W} \rightarrow \frac{v Tr[3 (m_{d})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{d} + (m_{e})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{e} + 3 (m_{u})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{u} + (m_{v})^{\dagger} \cdot m_{v}]}{8 v^{*} M_{W}^{2}}
```

8.1.2 The Higgs mass

PR["Extracting the h^2 terms from Theorem 7.8: ",

```
Yield, 0 =  = 1.0 =  Yield, 0 =  Yi
   $ = $ // tuTermExtract[h^2 a , {W, Z}],
   NL, "and setting it to canonical mass term: ",
   Yield, \$ = \$ \rightarrow m_h^2 2 h^2 / 2,
   NL, "Gives an expression for the Higg's mass: ",
   Yield, $ = tuRuleSolve[$, m_h] // Last,
   NL, "Using the relation (8.1): ", $e81,
   Yield, mh =  = $ /. e81 / . v_0 \rightarrow v / / PowerExpand; $ / / Framed
]
    Extracting the h<sup>2</sup> terms from Theorem 7.8:
            2\ b\ h^2\ \pi^2\ v_0^2
              a<sup>2</sup> f[0]
    and setting it to canonical mass term:
           \frac{2\;b\;h^2\;\pi^2\;v_0^2}{\;a^2\;f\,[\,0\,]}\to \frac{1}{2}\;h^2\;m_h^2
    Gives an expression for the Higg's mass:
                      a \( \int f[0] \)
    Using the relation (8.1): \{f[0] \rightarrow \frac{\pi^2 V^2}{g M^2}\}
PR["From the quartic Higg's coupling constant ",
   $ = $0 // tuTermExtract[h^4 a],
   NL, "setting it to canonical quartic interaction term ",
   $1 = $ = $ \rightarrow \lambda h^4 / 24
   NL, "From the relations: ",
   $ = {\$1, \$mh, \$e81, \$e523} // Flatten,
   Imply,
      tuEliminate[\$, \{f[0], v, a\}] /. Equal \rightarrow Rule // First // tuRuleSolve[\#, m_h^2] \& // First;
   $ // Framed, CG["(8.6)"]
    From the quartic Higg's coupling constant \frac{\text{D.H.} \wedge}{2 \text{ a}^2 \text{ f[0]}}
    setting it to canonical quartic interaction term \frac{b\;h^4\;\pi^2}{2\;a^2\;f[0]} 
ightarrow \frac{h^4\;\lambda}{24}
    From the relations: \{\frac{b\;h^4\;\pi^2}{2\;a^2\;f[0]}\rightarrow\frac{h^4\;\lambda}{24}\text{, }m_h\rightarrow\frac{4\;\sqrt{2}\;\sqrt{b}\;M_W}{a}\text{, }f[0]\rightarrow\frac{\pi^2\;v^2}{8\;M_W^2}\text{, }M_W\rightarrow\frac{v\;g_2}{2}\text{, }M_Z\rightarrow\frac{v\;g_2}{2\;c_W}\}
                                          (8.6)
```

8.1.3 The seesaw mechanism (neutrino masses)

```
PR["● Examine ∨ basis ", $df = selectStdMdl[iD<sub>F</sub>];
   NL, $ = selectStdMdl[basisSM[_]],
   Yield, $ = \text{SbasisNu} = $ // \text{tuExtractPositionPattern[Tensor[} \lor | \triangledown, \_, \_]];
   $ // ColumnBar,
   Yield, $0 = $ = #[[1, 2]] & /@ $; $ = Tuples[{$, $}],
   map = MapIndexed[#1 \rightarrow #2[[1]] &, $0];
   NL, "Extract ", iDF, " elements ",
   Yield, $ = SparseArray[Map[(#/. $map) -> Extract[$df[[2]], #] &, $]] // Normal;
   $ // MatrixForm;
   NL, "For scalars ", $scal = {Y},
   NL, "The "iD<sub>F</sub>, yield, $ = $ // tuConjugateTransposeSimplify[{}, $scal];
   $ // MatrixForms,
   NL, "Eigenvalues of this matrix ",
   $ = Eigenvalues[$];
   Yield, $ = $ // gatherSqrt // FullSimplify // PowerExpand,
   \texttt{NL}, \texttt{"Define} \in : \texttt{"}, \$s = \{\texttt{Abs}[\texttt{Y}_{\lor}] \rightarrow \texttt{Abs}[\texttt{Y}_{R}] \in , \texttt{Abs}[\texttt{Y}_{\lor} \texttt{Y}_{R}] \rightarrow \texttt{Abs}[\texttt{Y}_{\lor}] \texttt{Abs}[\texttt{Y}_{R}]\},
  Yield, $ = $ //. $s // FullSimplify;
  Yield, \$ = Series[\$, \{ \in , 0, 2 \}] // Normal; \$ // ColumnBar // Framed
 ];
```

```
• Examine v basis
basisSM[without generations(3) and color(3 for u,d) indices] \rightarrow
    \{ \vee_{R}, \ e_{R}, \ \vee_{L}, \ e_{L}, \ u_{R}, \ d_{R}, \ u_{L}, \ d_{L}, \ \nabla_{R}, \ e_{R}, \ \nabla_{L}, \ e_{L}, \ u_{R}, \ \overline{d}_{R}, \ u_{L}, \ \overline{d}_{L} \}
    \mid \{2, 1\} \rightarrow \vee_{R}
    \{2, 3\} \rightarrow \vee_{L}
    \{2, 9\} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbb{R}}
    \{2, 11\} \rightarrow \nabla_{\mathrm{L}}
\rightarrow \ \{\{1,\ 1\},\ \{1,\ 3\},\ \{1,\ 9\},\ \{1,\ 11\},\ \{3,\ 1\},\ \{3,\ 3\},\ \{3,\ 9\},
   {3, 11}, {9, 1}, {9, 3}, {9, 9}, {9, 11}, {11, 1}, {11, 3}, {11, 9}, {11, 11}}
Extract D_F elements
For scalars {Y_}
                       0 Y_{\vee} (Y_R)^*
The D_{\rm F} \longrightarrow ( (Y_{\rm V})^* 0 0
                                          (Y<sub>V</sub>)*)
                             0 0
0 Y<sub>V</sub>
                      Y_R = 0
Eigenvalues of this matrix
        \sqrt{\text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 + 2 \text{Abs}[Y_V]^2 - \sqrt{\text{Abs}[Y_R]^4 + 4 \text{Abs}[Y_R Y_V]^2}}
       Abs[Y_R]<sup>2</sup> + 2 Abs[Y_V]<sup>2</sup> - \sqrt{Abs[Y_R]^4 + 4 Abs[Y_R Y_V]^2}
                                       \sqrt{2}
         2 Abs[Y_V]<sup>2</sup> + Abs[Y_R] (Abs[Y_R] + \sqrt{Abs[Y_R]^2 + 4 Abs[Y_V]^2})
                                               \sqrt{2}
       2 Abs[Y_V]<sup>2</sup> + Abs[Y_R] (Abs[Y_R] + \sqrt{Abs[Y_R]^2 + 4 Abs[Y_V]^2})
                                            \sqrt{2}
-\epsilon^2 Abs[Y_R]
       \in^2 \, \text{Abs[Y}_R\,]
       -Abs[Y_R] - \in2 Abs[Y_R]
       Abs[Y_R] + \epsilon^2 Abs[Y_R]
```

■ 8.2 Renormalization group flow

```
PR["•At the GUT scale \Lambda_{GUT} assume relationships: ", $ = selectStdMdl[g_2_, {}, all];
 sg2 = \{tuEliminate[$, {f[0], g_1}], tuEliminate[$, {f[0], g_2}]\} /.
     T[b, "d", \{i_{\underline{\phantom{a}}}\}] \rightarrow b_i // Simplify,
 NL, " . Consider only 1-loop approximation.",
 NL, "•The Renormalization group equation For ", \$sn = n_q \rightarrow 3,
 Yield,
 g =  =  \{tuDDown[d][g_i, t] \rightarrow -b_i g_i^3 / (16 \pi^2), b_i \rightarrow  \{-41/6, 19/6, 7\}[[i]], t \rightarrow Log[\mu]\};
 $ // ColumnBar]
PR["•Solve for ", g_i[\mu],
  NL, " • Setup for Mathematica ",
  Yield, $ = DSolve[$, g_i[t], t],
  NL, "Set C[1] at ", \$s = \mu \rightarrow M_Z,
  Yield, $ = \{[2, 1]\};
  $ = $ /. $g[[-1]];
  $ = #^2 & /@ $;
  $1 = $ /. $s,
  NL, "Invert equation and apply C[1]",
  Yield, \$ = 1 / \# \& / @ \$,
  Yield,
  $gsol = $ = $ /. tuRuleSolve[$1, C[1]] // Simplify // tuOpGather[Log] // Simplify //
       (\# /. \text{Log}[mm : \mu \mid M_Z] \rightarrow mm \&);
  $ // Framed, CG["(8.8)"]
 ];
  •At the GUT scale \Lambda_{GUT} assume relationships: \{g_2^2 = g_3^2, 5 g_1^2 = 3 g_3^2\}
  •Consider only 1-loop approximation.
  •The Renormalization group equation For n_{\text{g}} \rightarrow 3
    t → Log[µ]
```

```
•Solve for g_{i}[\mu]
• Setup for Mathematica g_{i}'[t] = -\frac{b_{i} g_{i}[t]^{3}}{16 \pi^{2}}

\rightarrow \{\{g_{i}[t] \rightarrow -\frac{2 \sqrt{2} \pi}{\sqrt{-16 \pi^{2} C[1] + t b_{i}}}\}, \{g_{i}[t] \rightarrow \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \pi}{\sqrt{-16 \pi^{2} C[1] + t b_{i}}}\}\}

Set C[1] at \mu \rightarrow M_{Z}

\rightarrow g_{i}[Log[M_{Z}]]^{2} \rightarrow \frac{8 \pi^{2}}{-16 \pi^{2} C[1] + Log[M_{Z}] b_{i}}

Invert equation and apply C[1]

\rightarrow \frac{1}{g_{i}[Log[\mu]]^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{-16 \pi^{2} C[1] + Log[\mu] b_{i}}{8 \pi^{2}}

\rightarrow \left[\frac{1}{g_{i}[\mu]^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{Log[\frac{\mu}{M_{Z}}] b_{i}}{8 \pi^{2}} + \frac{1}{g_{i}[M_{Z}]^{2}}\right] (8 \cdot 8)
```

```
 \begin{split} &\text{PR}[\text{"Experimental values: ",} \\ &\text{$$\$\text{e} = \$ = \{g_1[\texttt{M}_Z] \to .3575, \ g_2[\texttt{M}_Z] \to .6519, \ g_3[\texttt{M}_Z] \to 1.220, \ \texttt{M}_Z \to 91.1876[\texttt{CG}[\texttt{GeV}]]\};} \\ &\text{$$\$'$/ \text{ColumnBar,} \\ &\text{NL, "Plot ", \$gsol,} \\ &\text{NL, "For ", \$s = $i \to 1,} \\ &\text{Yield, \$1 = \$gsol /. \$s //. tuRule[\$se] /. tuRule[\$g] // Last,} \\ &\text{NL, "For ", \$s = $i \to 2,} \\ &\text{Yield, \$2 = \$gsol /. \$s //. tuRule[\$se] /. tuRule[\$g] // Last,} \\ &\text{NL, "For ", \$s = $i \to 3,} \\ &\text{Yield, \$3 = \$gsol /. \$s //. tuRule[\$se] /. tuRule[\$g] // Last,} \\ &\text{}; \end{aligned}
```

```
g_1\,[\,M_Z\,]\,\rightarrow 0\, \mbox{.3575}
                                              g_2\,[\,M_Z\,]\,\rightarrow 0\,\text{.}\,6519
Experimental values:
                                              g_3\,[\,M_Z\,]\,\rightarrow 1\, \raisebox{0.1ex}{.}\, 22
                                              |\text{M}_Z \rightarrow 91.1876 \text{[GeV]}
Plot
For i \rightarrow 1
                      41 Log[0.0109664 \mu]
→ 7.82434 -
                                    48 \pi^2
For i \rightarrow 2
                      19 Log[0.0109664 \mu]
→ 2.35309 + -
                                    48 \; \pi^2
For i \rightarrow 3
→ 0.671862 + <sup>7 Log[0.0109664 μ]</sup>
```

Plot of g_i vs μ