

```

<< Local`QFTToolkit2`;
Get[$HomeDirectory<> "/Mathematica/NonCommutative/1204.0328
  ParticlePhysicsFromAlmostCommutativeSpacetime.2.redo.out"];

$defGWS = {};

"Notational definitions"
"Note that in the text the symbols may reference
  different Hilbert spaces. This has caused confusion in some of the
  calculations. To address this problem we will try to label the
  variables by subscripts to designate the applicable Hilbert space.
  NOTE: Need to do notational change for .1,.2 notebooks."

rghtA[a_] := Superscript[a, 0]
cl[a_] := <a>_cl;
clB[a_] := {a}_cl;
ct[a_] := ConjugateTranspose[a];
cc[a_] := Conjugate[a];
star[a_] := Superscript[a, "*"];
cross[a_] := Superscript[a, "x"];
deg[a_] := |a|;
it[a_] := Style[a, Italic]
iA := it[A]
iD := it[D]
iI := it["I"]
C $\infty$  := C" $^\infty$ "
B_x := T[B, "d", {x}]
("∇" $^S$ )_n := T["∇" $^S$ , "d", {n}]
$noArg := tuDDown[a_][b_, c_] → a

accumDef[item_] := Block[{}, $defall = tuAppendUniq[item][$defall];
  ""];
accumGWS[item_] := Block[{}, $defGWS = tuAppendUniq[item][$defGWS];
  ""];

selectEM[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defEM][Flatten[{heads}]] //
  Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, Flatten[{with}]]] & & // If[all === Null, Last[#, #] &;
selectGWS[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][Flatten[{heads}]] //
  Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, Flatten[{with}]]] & & // If[all === Null, Last[#, #] &;
selectDef[heads_, with_: {}, all_: Null] := tuRuleSelect[$defall][Flatten[{heads}]] //
  Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, Flatten[{with}]]] & & // If[all === Null, Last[#, #] &;

Clear[expandDC];
expandDC[sub_: {}] := tuRepeat[tuRule[{sub, tuOpDistribute[Dot],
  tuOpSimplify[Dot], tuOpDistribute[CircleTimes], tuOpSimplify[CircleTimes]}]]
Clear[expandCom]
expandCom[subs_: {}][exp_] := Block[{tmp = exp},
  tmp = tmp //. tuCommutatorExpand // expandDC[];
  tmp = tmp /. toxDot /. tuRule[Flatten[{subs}]]];
  tmp = tmp // tuMatrixOrderedMultiply // (# /. toDot &) // expandDC[subs];
  tmp
];
(**)
$sgeneral := {
  T[γ, "d", {5}] → Product[T[γ, "u", {μ}], {μ, 4}],
  T[γ, "d", {5}].T[γ, "d", {5}] → 1,
  ConjugateTranspose[T[γ, "d", {5}]] → T[γ, "d", {5}],

```

```

CommutatorP[T[γ, "d", {5}], T[γ, "u", {μ}]] → 0,
T["∇", "d", {_}][1n] → 0, a-.1n → a, 1n.a- → a}
$sgeneral // ColumnBar

Clear[$symmetries]
$symmetries := {tt : T[g, "uu", {μ-, ν-}] := tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}],
  tt : T[F, "uu", {μ-, ν-}] := -tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}],
  tt : T[F, "dd", {μ-, ν-}] := -tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}],
  CommutatorM[a-, b-] := -CommutatorM[b, a] /; OrderedQ[{b, a}],
  CommutatorP[a-, b-] := CommutatorP[b, a] /; OrderedQ[{b, a}],
  tt : T[γ, "u", {μ}] . T[γ, "d", {5}] := Reverse[tt]
};
$symmetries // ColumnBar

εRule[KOdim_Integer] := Block[{n = Mod[KOdim, 8],
  table =
    {{1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1}, {1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 1, 1}, {1, , -1, , 1, , -1, }},
  {ε → table[[1, n + 1]], ε' → table[[2, n + 1]], ε'' → table[[3, n + 1]]}
]
εRule[6]

```

Notational definitions

Note that in the text the symbols may reference different Hilbert spaces. This has caused confusion in some of the calculations. To address this problem we will try to label the variables by subscripts to designate the applicable Hilbert space.

NOTE: Need to do notational change for .1,.2 notebooks.

```

γ5 → γ1 γ2 γ3 γ4
γ5.γ5 → 1
(γ5)† → γ5
{γ5, γμ}+ → 0
∇-[1n] → 0
(a-).1n → a
1n.(a-) → a

tt : gμ-ν := tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}]
tt : Fμ-ν := -tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}]
tt : Fμ-ν := -tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][tt] /; OrderedQ[{ν, μ}]
[a-, b-]- := -[b, a]- /; OrderedQ[{b, a}]
{a-, b-}+ := {b, a}+ /; OrderedQ[{b, a}]
tt : γμ.γ5 := Reverse[tt]

{ε → 1, ε' → 1, ε'' → -1}

```

# 1204.0328: Particle Physics From Almost Commutative Spacetime

## 5. Glashow-Weinberg-Salam Model

### ■ 5.1 Constructing the finite space $F_{\text{GWS}}(\text{p.52})$

```
PR[
  "The Basis of finite space includes {e,v}: ",
  $b = {($ = {eR, eL, eR, eL}), ($ /. e -> v)} // Flatten,
  NL, "Lepton basis ", $lep =  $\mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4]$  ->
    (Select[$b, Head[#] != OverBar &] // Sort[#] & // Permute[#, Cycles[{{1, 4}}]] &),
  NL, "AntiLepton basis ", $antilep =  $\mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4]$  ->
    (Select[$b, Head[#] == OverBar &] // Sort[#] & // Permute[#, Cycles[{{1, 4}}]] &),
  NL, "Compose ", $h2 =  $\mathcal{H}_{F_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \oplus \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4]$ ,
  NL, "with ",
  $basis =  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2[[2]] /. \{ \$lep, \$antilep \} /. \text{CirclePlus}[a\_]$  := Flatten[List[a]]
]
```

The Basis of finite space includes {e,v}: {eR, eL, eR, eL, vR, vL, vR, vL}  
 Lepton basis  $\mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \rightarrow \{vR, eR, vL, eL\}$   
 AntiLepton basis  $\mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \rightarrow \{vR, eR, vL, eL\}$   
 Compose  $\mathcal{H}_{F_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \oplus \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4]$   
 with  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \{vR, eR, vL, eL, vR, eR, vL, eL\}$

```
PR["● The Algebra ", iAF, " constructed by Expanding E-M
  algebra,  $\mathbb{C}[a_1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[a_2]$ , to accomodate weak interactions  $\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ ",
  NL, $alg = $ = {iAF ->  $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}[\text{CG}["\text{quarterions}"]]$ ,
    {q[CG["eH"]] ->  $\alpha + \beta j$ , q -> {{ $\alpha, \beta$ }, {-cc[ $\beta$ ], cc[ $\alpha$ ]}}}, { $\alpha, \beta$ }  $\in \mathbb{C}$ },
    q $_{\lambda}$ [CG["embedding of  $\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ "]] -> {{ $\lambda, 0$ }, {0, cc[ $\lambda$ ]}}};
  $ // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
  NL, "l-Algebra definition: ",
  $alg1 = $ = {a1  $\in iA_{F_1}$ , a1 -> { $\lambda, q$ },
    a1 -> ($ = {{q $_{\lambda}$ , 0}, {0, q}})},
    a1 -> ($ /. tuRule[$alg][[-2 ;; -1]] // ArrayFlatten)
  }; $ // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
  NL, CR["Not clear how one chooses the algebra and
    the connection between weak interactions and quaterions."],

  NL, "● For ", $h =  $\mathcal{H}_{F_4} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1L} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1L}$ ,
  Yield, $alg2 = {($ = a1) -> ($ /. tuRuleSelect[$alg1][a1][[-1]]),
    l  $\in \mathcal{H}_1$ , CG["By definition"], a1 -> DiagonalMatrix[Table[ $\lambda, 4$ ]], l  $\in \mathcal{H}_1$ ,
    a8 -> ({({a1 /. tuRuleSelect[$alg1][a1][[-1]]}, 0),
      {0, DiagonalMatrix[Table[ $\lambda, 4$ ]]}} // ArrayFlatten)
  }; MatrixForms[$alg2] // ColumnBar
]
```

- The Algebra  $\mathbb{A}_F$  constructed by Expanding E-M algebra,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{a}_2]$ , to accomodate weak interactions  $\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}$

$\mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H}[\text{quaterions}]$

$\{\mathbf{q}[\in \mathbb{H}] \rightarrow \alpha + j\beta, \mathbf{q} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}, \{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathbb{C}\}$

$\mathbf{q}_\lambda[\text{embedding of } \mathbb{C} \text{ in } \mathbb{H}] \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* \end{pmatrix}$

l-Algebra definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &\in \mathbb{A}_{F_1} \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &\rightarrow \{\lambda, \mathbf{q}\} \\ \mathbf{a}_1 &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{q}_\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{q} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad \begin{matrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* \end{matrix} \end{aligned}$$

Not clear how one chooses the algebra and the connection between weak interactions and quaterions.

- For  $\mathcal{H}_{F_4} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1L} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\overline{1}R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\overline{1}L}$

$$\mathbf{a}_1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{H}_1$

By definition

$$\mathbf{a}_I \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

→

$\overline{\mathbf{1}} \in \mathcal{H}_{\overline{1}}$

$$\mathbf{a}_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

```

PR["● Choose  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\gamma_F$ , and real structure  $J_F$  for KO-dimension 6.",
NL, "So that: ", $sr = {J_F.1 -> 1, J_F.I -> 1,
   $\gamma_{F_4} \rightarrow \text{DiagonalMatrix}[\{-1, 1, 1, -1\}]$ ,
   $\gamma_{F_8} \rightarrow (\text{DiagonalMatrix}[\{-1, 1, 1, -1\}] /. 1 \rightarrow \{\{1, 0\}, \{0, 1\}\} /. -1 \rightarrow \{\{-1, 0\}, \{0, -1\}\} // \text{ArrayFlatten})$ ,
  $s = J_{F_4} \rightarrow \text{SparseArray}[\{\text{Band}[\{1, 3\}] \rightarrow cc, \text{Band}[\{3, 1\}] \rightarrow cc\}, \{4, 4\}] // \text{Normal},
  J_{F_8} \rightarrow ($s[[2]] /. cc \rightarrow \{cc, 0\}, \{0, cc\}) // \text{ArrayFlatten}
];
MatrixForms[$sr] // Column // Framed,
NL, CG[cc -> "ComplexConjugate", " , F_4 refers to ", $h, " , F_8 refers to ", $basis],
accumGWS[{$h2, $h, $basis, $alg, $alg1, $alg2, $sr}]; ""
];

```

● Choose  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\gamma_F$ , and real structure  $J_F$  for KO-dimension 6.

So that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &J_F.1 \rightarrow 1 \\
 &J_F.I \rightarrow 1 \\
 &\gamma_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\gamma_{F_8} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &J_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & cc \\ cc & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cc & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &J_{F_8} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & cc & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & cc & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & cc & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & cc \\ cc & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & cc & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & cc & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & cc & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$cc \rightarrow \text{ComplexConjugate}$ ,  $F_4$  refers to  $\mathcal{H}_{F_4} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1_R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1_L} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\bar{1}_R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\bar{1}_L}$ ,  
 $F_8$  refers to  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \{\nu_R, e_R, \nu_L, e_L, \bar{\nu}_R, \bar{e}_R, \bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L\}$

### 5.1.1 Finite Dirac Operator

```

PR["● Derive Hermitian Dirac operator in: ", tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][ $\mathcal{H}_{F_2}$ ],
NL, $df = $ = { $iD_{F_2} \rightarrow \{\{S, ct[T]\}, \{T, S'\}\}, \{iD_{F_2}, S, S'\}[CG["Hermitian"]]\}$ ;
MatrixForms[$], accumGWS[$df];

next, "Since ", $s = { $J_{F_2} \rightarrow \{\{0, cc\}, \{cc, 0\}\}, a_{\underline{a}}.cc \rightarrow cc.cc[a]\}$ ;
$s // MatrixForms,
Implied,  $iD_{F_2}$ , " the requirement: ", $ = CommutatorM[ $iD_{F_2}, J_{F_2} \rightarrow 0$ ,
$ = $ // expandCom[{ $\$df, \$s$ ]}];
Yield, $ = $ /. $s // tuOpCollect[]; $ // MatrixForms,
Implied, $ = $ /.  $cc.a_{\underline{a}} \rightarrow a$ ;
$c1 = $ = Thread[Flatten[$[[1]]]  $\rightarrow 0$ ];
$ // ColumnBar,
$s = tuRuleSolve[$c1, {ct[T], S'}];
Implied, $df[[1]] = $df[[1]] /. $s;

$df // MatrixForms // Framed, accumGWS[{$s, $df}];

next, " In ", tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][ $\mathcal{H}_{F_4}$ ], " space, Let ",
$s = {S -> Table[ $s_{i,j}, \{i, 2\}, \{j, 2\}$ ], T -> Table[ $t_{i,j}, \{i, 2\}, \{j, 2\}$ ]}];
$s // MatrixForms, "POFF",
Yield, $0 = $ = $df[[1, 2]] /. $s // ArrayFlatten; MatrixForm[$],
Yield, $ht = ct[$]; MatrixForm[$ht],
Yield, $ = $  $\rightarrow$  $ht // rr: Rule[___]  $\rightarrow$  Thread[rr]; MatrixForms[$], "PON",
Yield, $s1 = tuRuleSolve[Flatten[$], { $s_{2,1}, t_{2,1}$ }],
Yield, $df44 = $ =  $iD_{F_4} \rightarrow$  $0 /. $s1 /. Conjugate[ $s_{i,\underline{i}} \rightarrow s_{i,i}$ ];
MatrixForms[$] // Framed,

next, " The requirement: ", $ = CommutatorP[ $iD_F, \gamma_F \rightarrow 0$ , "POFF",
Yield, $ = $ /. F  $\rightarrow F_4$ ,

Yield, $ = $ /. $sr /. $df44; MatrixForms[$],
Yield, $ = $ /. tuCommutatorExpand; MatrixForms[$], "PON",
yield, $ = $ // rr: Rule[___]  $\rightarrow$  Thread[rr] // Flatten // DeleteDuplicates //
tuRuleSolve[#, { $s_{1,1}, s_{2,2}, t_{1,2}$ }] &,
Yield, $ = $df44 /. $; MatrixForms[$] // Framed, accumGWS[$], "PON",

NL, "Using notation ", $s = { $s_{1,2} \rightarrow$  Conjugate[ $Y_0$ ],  $t_{1,1} \rightarrow T_R, t_{2,2} \rightarrow T_L$ },
Implied, $df44 = $ = $ /. $s;
MatrixForms[$] // Framed, accumGWS[{$s, $df44}],

NL, "In the space ", $basis, yield,
{ $Y_0, T_R, T_L$ }, " are symmetric 2x2 matrices.",
NL, "So in ", $ = {$df[[1]], S  $\rightarrow$  $[[2, 1 ;; 2, 1 ;; 2]], T  $\rightarrow$  $[[2, 3 ;; 4, 1 ;; 2]]};
$ // MatrixForms, accumGWS[$]
];

```

• Derive Hermitian Dirac operator in:  $\{\mathcal{H}_{F_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \oplus \mathcal{H}_T[\mathbb{C}^4]\}$

$$\{D_{F_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S & T^\dagger \\ T & S' \end{pmatrix}, \{D_{F_2}, S, S'\}[\text{Hermitian}]\}$$

◆ Since  $\{J_{F_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & cc \\ cc & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (a_-).cc \mapsto cc.cc[a]\}$

$\Rightarrow D_{F_2}$  the requirement:  $[D_{F_2}, J_{F_2}]_- \rightarrow 0$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} cc \cdot (-T + T^\dagger)^* & cc \cdot (S^* - S') \\ cc \cdot (-S + (S')^*) & cc \cdot (T^* - T^\dagger) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} -T + T^\dagger^* \rightarrow 0 \\ S^* - S' \rightarrow 0 \\ -S + (S')^* \rightarrow 0 \\ T^* - T^\dagger \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{D_{F_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S & T^* \\ T & S^* \end{pmatrix}, \{D_{F_2}, S, S'\}[\text{Hermitian}]\}$$

◆ In  $\{\mathcal{H}_{F_4} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{1R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{1L} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2R} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{2L}\}$  space, Let  $\{S \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} s_{1,1} & s_{1,2} \\ s_{2,1} & s_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} \\ t_{2,1} & t_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}\}$

$$\rightarrow \{s_{2,1} \rightarrow (s_{1,2})^*, t_{2,1} \rightarrow t_{1,2}\}$$

$$\rightarrow D_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} s_{1,1} & s_{1,2} & (t_{1,1})^* & (t_{1,2})^* \\ (s_{1,2})^* & s_{2,2} & (t_{1,2})^* & (t_{2,2})^* \\ t_{1,1} & t_{1,2} & s_{1,1} & (s_{1,2})^* \\ t_{1,2} & t_{2,2} & s_{1,2} & s_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

◆ The requirement:  $\{D_F, \gamma_F\}_+ \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \{s_{1,1} \rightarrow 0, s_{2,2} \rightarrow 0, t_{1,2} \rightarrow 0\}$

$$\rightarrow D_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{1,2} & (t_{1,1})^* & 0 \\ (s_{1,2})^* & 0 & 0 & (t_{2,2})^* \\ t_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & (s_{1,2})^* \\ 0 & t_{2,2} & s_{1,2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using notation  $\{s_{1,2} \rightarrow (Y_0)^*, t_{1,1} \rightarrow T_R, t_{2,2} \rightarrow T_L\}$

$$\Rightarrow D_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (Y_0)^* & (T_R)^* & 0 \\ Y_0 & 0 & 0 & (T_L)^* \\ T_R & 0 & 0 & Y_0 \\ 0 & T_L & (Y_0)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the space  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \{\nu_R, e_R, \nu_L, e_L, \bar{\nu}_R, \bar{e}_R, \bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L\}$

$\rightarrow \{Y_0, T_R, T_L\}$  are symmetric 2x2 matrices.

So in  $\{D_{F_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S & T^* \\ T & S^* \end{pmatrix}, S \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (Y_0)^* \\ Y_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} T_R & 0 \\ 0 & T_L \end{pmatrix}\}$

```

$basis8 = $basis[[2]]
PR["■ How does the restriction: ",
  $req = {T.$basis8[[1]] → YR.$basis8[[5]], T.1 → 0 /; FreeQ[1, $basis8[[1]]]};
  $req // ColumnBar,
  " constrain T? ",
  NL, "where ", $t = T → DiagonalMatrix[{TR, TL}],
  NL, CO["Allows order-1 condition to be satisfied."],
  Yield, $t = $t /. tt : TR → Table[t[R]i,j, {i, 2}, {j, 2}];
  $t[[2]] = $t[[2]] // ArrayFlatten;
  Yield, MatrixForms[$t], accumGWS[{ $req, $t}];

NL, "•Hermiticity of ", iDF, imply, $st = {t[L]2,1 → t[L]1,2, t[R]2,1 → t[R]1,2},
Yield, $t44 = $t = $t /. $st; $t // MatrixForms, accumGWS[{ $st, $t}];

NL, "In the ", selectGWS[ $\mathcal{H}_{F_8}$ ], " space: ", "POFF",
Yield, $ = {{0, Conjugate[T]}, {T, 0}},
Yield, $t = T → ($ /. $t // ArrayFlatten); $t // MatrixForms,
Yield, $ = T . Transpose[{ $basis8}]; $ // MatrixForms,
Yield, $ = $ → $; $ // MatrixForms,
Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. $t; "PON",
MatrixForms[$],
NL, "The requirement ", $req, imply,
"The only non-zero element of T: ", Conjugate[t[R]1,1] // Framed,
Yield, $t = $t /. tt : t[_]i,j → 0 /; tt != t[R]1,1; $t // MatrixForms,
NL, "also ", $ = y2,1 → y1,2,
NL, "Require  $\mathcal{H}_F$  to be mass eigenstates ",
$Y = Y0 → DiagonalMatrix[{Yv, Ye}], accumGWS[{ $t, $, $Y}];

line,
NL, "Rules for ", selectGWS[ $\mathcal{H}_{F_8}$ ], " space.",
Yield, $df44[[1]],
Yield, $sDagws = $ = { $df44, tt : TR → Table[t[R]i,j, {i, 2}, {j, 2}],
  t[ $\overline{RL}$ ]i,j → 0 /; (i ≠ 1 || j ≠ 1 ||  $\overline{RL}$  != R), $Y};
  $ // MatrixForms,
  accumGWS[$];
NL, $ = iDF8 → iDF4 /. tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][iDF4][[-1]] /. $sDagws;
Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. t[R] → tR // ArrayFlatten;
$ // MatrixForms, accumGWS[$]
]

{vR, eR, vL, eL,  $\overline{v}_R$ ,  $\overline{e}_R$ ,  $\overline{v}_L$ ,  $\overline{e}_L$ }

```



■ How does the restriction:  $T \cdot \nabla_R \rightarrow Y_R \cdot \nabla_{\bar{R}}$  constrain T?  
 $T.1 \rightarrow 0$  ; FreeQ[1, \$basis8[[1]]]

where  $T \rightarrow \{\{T_R, 0\}, \{0, T_L\}\}$   
 Allows order-1 condition to be satisfied.

→

$$\rightarrow T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t[R]_{1,1} & t[R]_{1,2} & 0 & 0 \\ t[R]_{2,1} & t[R]_{2,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t[L]_{1,1} & t[L]_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & t[L]_{2,1} & t[L]_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

• Hermiticity of  $D_F \Rightarrow \{t[L]_{2,1} \rightarrow t[L]_{1,2}, t[R]_{2,1} \rightarrow t[R]_{1,2}\}$

$$\rightarrow T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t[R]_{1,1} & t[R]_{1,2} & 0 & 0 \\ t[R]_{1,2} & t[R]_{2,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t[L]_{1,1} & t[L]_{1,2} \\ 0 & 0 & t[L]_{1,2} & t[L]_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

In the  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \{\nabla_R, e_R, \nabla_L, e_L, \nabla_{\bar{R}}, e_{\bar{R}}, \nabla_{\bar{L}}, e_{\bar{L}}\}$  space:  $T.(e_L) \rightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \nabla_R & (t[R]_{1,2})^* e_{\bar{R}} + (t[R]_{1,1})^* \nabla_{\bar{R}} \\ e_R & (t[R]_{2,2})^* e_{\bar{R}} + (t[R]_{1,2})^* \nabla_{\bar{R}} \\ \nabla_L & (t[L]_{1,2})^* e_{\bar{L}} + (t[L]_{1,1})^* \nabla_{\bar{L}} \\ e_L & (t[L]_{2,2})^* e_{\bar{L}} + (t[L]_{1,2})^* \nabla_{\bar{L}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \nabla_{\bar{R}} & \nabla_R t[R]_{1,1} + e_R t[R]_{1,2} \\ e_{\bar{R}} & \nabla_R t[R]_{1,2} + e_R t[R]_{2,2} \\ \nabla_{\bar{L}} & \nabla_L t[L]_{1,1} + e_L t[L]_{1,2} \\ e_{\bar{L}} & \nabla_L t[L]_{1,2} + e_L t[L]_{2,2} \end{array}$$

The requirement  $\{T \cdot \nabla_R \rightarrow Y_R \cdot \nabla_{\bar{R}}, T.1 \rightarrow 0$  ; FreeQ[1, \$basis8[[1]]]  
 ⇒ The only non-zero element of T:  $(t[R]_{1,1})^*$

$$\rightarrow T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (t[R]_{1,1})^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t[R]_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

also  $Y_{2,1} \rightarrow Y_{1,2}$   
 Require  $\mathcal{H}_F$  to be mass eigenstates  $Y_0 \rightarrow \{\{Y_\nu, 0\}, \{0, Y_e\}\}$

---

Rules for  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8} \rightarrow \{\nabla_R, e_R, \nabla_L, e_L, \nabla_{\bar{R}}, e_{\bar{R}}, \nabla_{\bar{L}}, e_{\bar{L}}\}$  space.

→  $D_{F_4}$

$$\rightarrow \{D_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 & 0 & 0 & (T_L)^* \\ T_R & 0 & 0 & Y_0 \\ 0 & T_L & (Y_0)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, tt : T_{R-} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} t[R]_{1,1} & t[R]_{1,2} \\ t[R]_{2,1} & t[R]_{2,2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$t[RL_-]_{i-,j-} \rightarrow 0 \text{ ; } i \neq 1 \text{ || } j \neq 1 \text{ || } RL \neq R, Y_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e \end{pmatrix}\}$$

→  $D_{F_8} \rightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_\nu)^* & 0 & (t_{R1,1})^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_\nu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t_{R1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_\nu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Y_e \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_\nu)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(\* the representation of 1 and  $\bar{1}$  must  
 be distinguished in the following calculation.\*)

```
PR[CO["General rules: "],  
  $conditions = tuRuleSelect[Select[$defall, tuHasAnyQ[#, {Y_F, J_F}] &]][  
    {CommutatorM[_], CommutatorP[_], rghtA[_], Dot[_, _]} // DeleteDuplicates;  
  $conditions // ColumnBar;  
]
```

General rules: Null

```

PR["Prop.5.1. ", $ = FGWS → Map[# /. a- → aF &, {iA, H, iD, γ, J}],
  " define a real even KDim→6 space.",
  imply, KDim → 6,
  imply, $se6 = εRule[6],

  line,
  NL, "Recall general conditions: ", $conditions // ColumnBar,
  next, "Check: ", $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][CommutatorM[γF, _]][[1]],
  imply, "OK γF diagonal. ",
  next, "Check: ", $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][JF.JF][[1]] /. $se6,
  imply, "OK",
  next, "Check: ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][JF.iDF] /. $se6 /. tuOpSimplify[Dot] // First,
  " by construction.",
  next, "Check: ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][JF.γF] /. $se6 /. tuOpSimplify[Dot] // First,
  yield, $ = $ /. tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][{γF, JF}] /. Rule → Equal,
  next, "Check: ", $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][CommutatorP[_]] // First,
  yield, $ = $ /. tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][{γF, iDF}] /. tuCommutatorExpand /. Rule → Equal,

  next, "Check order-0 condition: ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][CommutatorM[a, _]] // First,
  NL, CR["Need 8×8 space for correct computation."],
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuRuleSelect[$conditions][rghtA[_]] /. {aa : a | b → aa8, F → F8},
  NL, "for algebra's ",
  $s = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][a8] // Select[# , tuHasAnyQ[# , α] &] & // First;

  $s = {$s, ($s /. aa : λ | α | β → aab /. a → b)}; $s // MatrixForms, "POFF",
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuCommutatorExpand /. Dot → xDot;
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s /. tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][{JF8}]; $ // MatrixForms, CK,
  Yield, $ = $ // tuMatrixOrderedMultiply // (# /. xDot → Dot &),
  NL, "Using: ", $s = {cc . a- → Conjugate[a].cc, Conjugate[cc] → cc, cc.cc → 1},
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s; $ // MatrixForms, CK, "PONdd",
  Yield, $ = $ // tuRepeat[
    {$s, tuOpSimplify[Dot, {λ, Conjugate[λ], α, β, Conjugate[α], Conjugate[β]}]},
    tuConjugateSimplify[{cc}]] // Simplify;
  $ // MatrixForms, CG["0→OK"],
  next, "Check order-1 condition: ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$conditions][CommutatorM[CommutatorM[_], _], _]] // First,
  Yield, $ = $ /. (tuRuleSelect[$conditions][rghtA[_]] // tuAddPatternVariable[b]) /.
    {aa : a | b → aa8, F → F8},
  NL, "for algebra's ",
  $s = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][a8] // Select[# , tuHasAnyQ[# , α] &] & // First;
  $s = {$s, ($s /. aa : λ | α | β → aab /. a → b)}; $s // MatrixForms, "POFF",
  Yield, $ = $ // expandCom[{ $s, tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][{iDF8, JF8}]}];
  $ // MatrixForms, "PONdd",
  NL, "Using: ",
  $s = {cc . Shortest[a-] → Conjugate[a].cc, Conjugate[cc] → cc, cc.cc → 1},
  Yield, $ = $ // tuRepeat[
    {$s, tuOpSimplify[Dot, {λ, Conjugate[λ], α, β, Conjugate[α], Conjugate[β]}]},
    tuConjugateSimplify[{cc}]] // Simplify;
  $ = $ /. Dot → Times;
  $ // MatrixForms, yield, CG["0→OK"]
]

```

**Prop.5.1.**  $F_{GWS} \rightarrow \{A_F, \mathcal{H}_F, D_F, \gamma_F, J_F\}$  define a real even KODIM $\rightarrow 6$  space.  $\Rightarrow$  KODIM $\rightarrow 6$   
 $\Rightarrow \{\varepsilon \rightarrow 1, \varepsilon' \rightarrow 1, \varepsilon'' \rightarrow -1\}$

Recall general conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\gamma_F, a \in A_F]_- \rightarrow 0 \\ & [a, b^0]_- \rightarrow 0 \\ & [[D_F, a]_-, b^0]_- \rightarrow 0 \\ & \{\gamma_F, D_F\}_+ \rightarrow 0 \\ & \{J_F, i\}_+ \rightarrow 0 \\ & b^0 \rightarrow J_F \cdot b^\dagger \cdot (J_F)^\dagger \\ & \gamma_F \cdot \gamma_F \rightarrow 1_F \\ & J_F \cdot J_F \rightarrow \varepsilon \\ & J_F \cdot D_F \rightarrow \varepsilon' \cdot D_F \cdot J_F \\ & J_F \cdot \gamma_F \rightarrow \varepsilon'' \cdot \gamma_F \cdot J_F \\ & \gamma_F \cdot \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \{\{\mathcal{H}^+, 0\}, \{0, \mathcal{H}^-\}\} \\ & \gamma_F \cdot \{\{a_-, b_-\}, \{c_-, d_-\}\} \rightarrow \{\{a, 0\}, \{0, d\}\} \end{aligned}$$

◆Check:  $[\gamma_F, a \in A_F]_- \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow$  OK  $\gamma_F$  diagonal.

◆Check:  $J_F \cdot J_F \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow$  OK

◆Check:  $J_F \cdot D_F \rightarrow D_F \cdot J_F$  by construction.

◆Check:  $J_F \cdot \gamma_F \rightarrow -\gamma_F \cdot J_F \rightarrow J_F \cdot \gamma_F = -\gamma_F \cdot J_F$

◆Check:  $\{\gamma_F, D_F\}_+ \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \gamma_F \cdot D_F + D_F \cdot \gamma_F = 0$

◆Check order-0 condition:  $[a, b^0]_- \rightarrow 0$

Need  $8 \times 8$  space for correct computation.

$\rightarrow [a_8, J_{F_8} \cdot (b_8)^\dagger \cdot (J_{F_8})^\dagger]_- \rightarrow 0$

for algebra's

$$\{a_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, b_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\lambda_b)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_b & \beta_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\beta_b)^* & (\alpha_b)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b \end{pmatrix}\}$$

.....

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{OK}$$

◆Check order-1 condition:  $[[D_F, a]_-, b^0]_- \rightarrow 0$

$\rightarrow [[D_{F_8}, a_8]_-, J_{F_8} \cdot (b_8)^\dagger \cdot (J_{F_8})^\dagger]_- \rightarrow 0$

for algebra's

$$\{a_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, b_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\lambda_b)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_b & \beta_b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\beta_b)^* & (\alpha_b)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_b \end{pmatrix}\}$$

.....

Using:  $\{cc.Shortest[a_-] \rightarrow a^*, cc \rightarrow cc, cc \cdot cc \rightarrow 1\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{OK}$$

## ■ 5.2 The gauge theory

### ● 5.2.1 The gauge group (p.54)

```
PR["• The Local gauge group from ", F_GWS,
NL, "Examine subalgebra ", $0 = $ = {iA_FJ_F, {iA_F -> C ⊕ H, a ∈ iA_FJ_F, a.J_F -> J_F.ct[a]}};
$ // ColumnForms,
NL, "For the above case: ", $s = {a -> a_8, J_F -> J_F_8},
Yield, $ = tuRuleSelect[$][a.J_F] /. $s,
Yield,
$ = $ // expandCom[{selectGWS[{a_8, J_F_8}, {}, all], cc.a_ -> cc[a].cc, cc -> 1}] // First;
$ // MatrixForms,
Yield, $ = Thread[$] /. rr: Rule[___] -> Thread[rr] // Flatten // DeleteDuplicates //
DeleteCases[#, Rule[a_, a_]] & // tuRule,
Yield, $ = tuRuleSolve[$, {β, α, λ}, Complexes],
NL, "Since ", $s = λ ∈ Reals,
yield, $s1 = Refine[$, Assumptions -> $s],
ImPLY, $ = selectGWS[a_8] /. $s1 // Refine[#, Assumptions -> $s] &;
$ // MatrixForms,
imPLY, $e54 = {$0[[1]] -> λ 1_{H_F}, $0[[1]] ≈ ℝ}, CG[" (5.4)"]
];
```

• The Local gauge group from  $F_{GWS}$

Examine subalgebra  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \\ A_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \\ a \in \tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \\ a.J_F \rightarrow J_F.a^\dagger \end{array} \right.$

For the above case:  $\{a \rightarrow a_8, J_F \rightarrow J_{F_8}\}$

$\rightarrow \{a_8.J_{F_8} \rightarrow J_{F_8}.(a_8)^\dagger\}$

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha & -\beta^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta & \alpha^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\rightarrow \{\lambda^* \rightarrow \lambda, \alpha \rightarrow \lambda, \beta \rightarrow 0, \beta^* \rightarrow 0, \alpha^* \rightarrow \lambda, \lambda \rightarrow \lambda^*, \lambda \rightarrow \alpha, 0 \rightarrow -\beta^*, 0 \rightarrow \beta, \lambda \rightarrow \alpha^*\}$

$\rightarrow \{\beta \rightarrow \text{ConditionalExpression}[0, \text{Im}[\lambda] = 0], \alpha \rightarrow \text{ConditionalExpression}[\lambda, \text{Im}[\lambda] = 0]\}$

Since  $\lambda \in \text{Reals} \rightarrow \{\beta \rightarrow 0, \alpha \rightarrow \lambda\}$

$$\Rightarrow a_8 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \{\tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \rightarrow \lambda 1_{H_F}, \tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \approx \mathbb{R}\} \quad (5.4)$$

```
PR["", Ω_{iD}^{1"} -> {xSum[a_j . CommutatorM[iD, b_j], {j}], a_j | b_j ∈ iA}
]
```

$$\Omega_D^1 \rightarrow \left\{ \sum_{\{j\}} [a_j . [D, b_j]_-], a_j \mid b_j \in A \right\}$$

```

PR["• Consider Lie algebra (2.11b) ", h_F -> u[$e54[[1, 1]]],
Yield, {u[CG["anti-hermitian"]] ∈ u[iA_F], u -> {λ, q},
  λ ∈ I R, q -> -I xSum[T[q, "d", {i}] T[σ, "u", {i}], {i, 3}]},
  imply, Conjugate[λ] -> -λ,
  imply, {h_F -> u[$e54[[1, 1]]], {λ, Conjugate[λ], α, Conjugate[α]} -> 0},
  imply, $lh = h_F -> {0},

line,
NL, "•Prop.5.2: The local gauge group of F_GWS is ",
$G = G[F_GWS] ≈ xMod[U[1] × SU[2], {1, -1}],
line,
NL, "Proof:
The unitary elements: ", $lu = U[iA_F] ≈ U[1] × U[H],
NL, "• For ", {q ∈ H, q -> I xSum[T[q, "d", {i}] T[σ, "u", {i}], {i, 0, 3}]},
and, {(q[CG["Unitary"]] ⇔ (Abs[q]^2 -> 1)) ⇒ (Det[q] -> 1)},
  imply, U[H] ≈ SU[2],
NL, "• Since ", $e54,
  imply, $s = {H_F -> U[$e54[[1, 1]]], H_F -> {1, -1}},
  imply, $G,
  yield, $G /. Reverse[$s[[-1]]], CG[" QED"],
line,
next, " Since ", $lh,
" the gauge field ", T[it[A], "d", {μ}],
CR[" takes values"], " in the Lie subalgebra ",
$ = {g_F -> Mod[u[iA_F], h_F], Mod[u[iA_F], h_F] -> u[iA_F], u[iA_F] -> u[1] ⊕ su[2]};
$ // ColumnBar, CO["From ", $lu, " above"]
]

```

• Consider Lie algebra (2.11b)  $h_F \rightarrow u[\tilde{A}_{FJ_F}]$   
 $\rightarrow \{u[\text{anti-hermitian}] \in u[A_F], u \rightarrow \{\lambda, q\}, \lambda \in i\mathbb{R}, q \rightarrow -i \sum_{\{i,3\}} [q_i \sigma^i]\} \Rightarrow \lambda^* \rightarrow -\lambda$   
 $\Rightarrow \{h_F \rightarrow u[\tilde{A}_{FJ_F}], \{\lambda, \lambda^*, \alpha, \alpha^*\} \rightarrow 0\} \Rightarrow h_F \rightarrow \{0\}$

---

•Prop.5.2: The local gauge group of  $F_{GWS}$  is  $\mathcal{G}[F_{GWS}] \approx \text{xMod}[U[1] \times SU[2], \{1, -1\}]$

---

Proof:  
The unitary elements:  $U[A_F] \approx U[1] \times U[H]$   
• For  $\{q \in H, q \rightarrow i \sum_{\{i,0,3\}} [q_i \sigma^i]\}$  and  
 $\{q[\text{Unitary}] \Leftrightarrow (\text{Abs}[q]^2 \rightarrow 1) \Rightarrow (\text{Det}[q] \rightarrow 1)\} \Rightarrow U[H] \approx SU[2]$   
• Since  $\{\tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \rightarrow \lambda 1_{H_F}, \tilde{A}_{FJ_F} \approx \mathbb{R}\} \Rightarrow \{H_F \rightarrow U[\tilde{A}_{FJ_F}], H_F \rightarrow \{1, -1\}\}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{G}[F_{GWS}] \approx \text{xMod}[U[1] \times SU[2], \{1, -1\}] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}[F_{GWS}] \approx \text{xMod}[U[1] \times SU[2], H_F] \text{ QED}$

---

♦ Since  $h_F \rightarrow \{0\}$  the gauge field  $A_\mu$  takes values  
in the Lie subalgebra  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_F \rightarrow \text{Mod}[u[A_F], h_F] \\ \text{Mod}[u[A_F], h_F] \rightarrow u[A_F] \text{ From } U[A_F] \approx U[1] \times U[H] \text{ above} \\ u[A_F] \rightarrow u[1] \oplus \mathfrak{su}[2] \end{array} \right.$

## ● 5.2.2 The gauge fields and the Higgs field(p.55)

```

PR["● Derive gauge and Higgs fields (2.13,2.14) ", {T[it[A], "d", {μ}], φ},
NL, "Let ", {a → {λ, q}, b → {λ', q'}, {a, b} ∈ (ℳ → ℂ∞[M, ℂ ⊕ ℍ])},
NL, "■ Calculate inner fluctuation (5.2) ",
$tA = T[it[A], "d", {μ}] → -I a.tuDPartial[b, μ] /. {a → a1, b → b1},
NL, "• Let ", $s = selectGWS[a1]; $sb = $s /. {a → b, λ → λ', β → β', α → α'};
$sab = {$s, $sb} // Flatten,
ImPLY,
$tA = $tA /. $sab //. tt : tuDDown["∂"][_] := Thread[tt] /. tuDDown["∂"][0, _] → 0 //
  tuConjugateSimplify[{μ}] // tuDerivativeExpand[];
MatrixForms[$tA],
NL, "• Hermiticity of ", $tA[[1]],
imPLY, {$tA[[2, 1, 1]], $tA[[2, 2, 2]]} ∈ ℝ,

NL, "• For the lower-right blocks ",
$ = {$a = qa → $sab[[1, 2, 3 ;; -1, 3 ;; -1]],
  $b = qb → $sab[[2, 2, 3 ;; -1, 3 ;; -1]]}; $ // MatrixForms,
Yield, $ = Thread[Inactive[Dot][$a, $b], Rule] // tuMatrixOrderedMultiply //
  tuOpSimplifyF[dotOps];
$ // MatrixForms,
ImPLY, $ = $tA[[2, 3 ;; -1, 3 ;; -1]] → -I ($[[1]] /. qb → tuDPartial[qb, μ]);
$ // MatrixForms,
NL, "Defining ", $ = {T[Λ, "d", {μ}] → $tA[[2, 1, 1]], T[Q, "d", {μ}] → $[[-1]]};
$ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[$];
NL, "we can represent ", $A3 = $ = {T[it[A], "d", {μ}] →
  DiagonalMatrix[{T[Λ, "d", {μ}], -T[Λ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}]}],
  T[Q, "d", {μ}] → I xSum[T[q, "d", {i}] T[σ, "u", {i}], {i, 3}], T[q, "d", {i}] ∈ ℝ};
$ // MatrixForms, accumGWS[$]
]

```

● Derive gauge and Higgs fields (2.13,2.14) {A<sub>μ</sub>, φ}

Let {a → {λ, q}, b → {λ', q'}, {a, b} ∈ (ℳ → ℂ<sup>∞</sup>[M, ℂ ⊕ ℍ])}

■ Calculate inner fluctuation (5.2) A<sub>μ</sub> → -i a<sub>1</sub>.∂<sub>μ</sub>[b<sub>1</sub>]

• Let {a<sub>1</sub> → {{λ, 0, 0, 0}, {0, λ\*, 0, 0}, {0, 0, α, β}, {0, 0, -β\*, α\*}},  
 b<sub>1</sub> → {{λ', 0, 0, 0}, {0, (λ')\*, 0, 0}, {0, 0, α', β'}, {0, 0, -(β')\*, (α')\*}}}

$$\Rightarrow A_\mu \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -i\lambda\partial_\mu[\lambda'] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\lambda^*\partial_\mu[\lambda']^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i\beta\partial_\mu[\beta']^* - i\alpha\partial_\mu[\alpha'] & -i\beta\partial_\mu[\alpha']^* - i\alpha\partial_\mu[\beta'] \\ 0 & 0 & i\alpha^*\partial_\mu[\beta']^* + i\beta^*\partial_\mu[\alpha'] & -i\alpha^*\partial_\mu[\alpha']^* + i\beta^*\partial_\mu[\beta'] \end{pmatrix}$$

• Hermiticity of A<sub>μ</sub> ⇒ {-iλ∂<sub>μ</sub>[λ'], -iλ\*∂<sub>μ</sub>[λ']\*} ∈ ℝ

• For the lower-right blocks {q<sub>a</sub> → ( α β  
-β\* α\* ), q<sub>b</sub> → ( α' β'  
-(β')\* (α')\* )}

$$\rightarrow q_a \cdot q_b \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \cdot \alpha' - \beta \cdot (\beta')^* & \alpha \cdot \beta' + \beta \cdot (\alpha')^* \\ -\alpha^* \cdot (\beta')^* - \beta^* \cdot \alpha' & \alpha^* \cdot (\alpha')^* - \beta^* \cdot \beta' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} i\beta\partial_\mu[\beta']^* - i\alpha\partial_\mu[\alpha'] & -i\beta\partial_\mu[\alpha']^* - i\alpha\partial_\mu[\beta'] \\ i\alpha^*\partial_\mu[\beta']^* + i\beta^*\partial_\mu[\alpha'] & -i\alpha^*\partial_\mu[\alpha']^* + i\beta^*\partial_\mu[\beta'] \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow -i q_a \cdot \partial_\mu [q_b]$$

Defining  $\Lambda_\mu \rightarrow -i\lambda\partial_\mu[\lambda']$   
 $Q_\mu \rightarrow -i q_a \cdot \partial_\mu [q_b]$

we can represent {A<sub>μ</sub> → ( Λ<sub>μ</sub> 0 0  
0 -Λ<sub>μ</sub> 0 ), Q<sub>μ</sub> → i ∑<sub>{i,3}</sub> [q<sub>i</sub> σ<sup>i</sup>], q<sub>i</sub> ∈ ℝ}

```

PR["■From the definition ",  $\phi \rightarrow a$ .CommutatorM[iDF, b],
NL, "For this case ", $ = {Sdf44, $Y}; MatrixForms[$],
NL, "Previous calculation show that only the
    upper left quadrant (S) does not commute with the algebra. ",
Impl, $SD = S  $\rightarrow$  (Sdf44[[2, 1 ;; 2, 1 ;; 2]] /. $Y // ArrayFlatten);
MatrixForms[$SD], accumGWS[$SD];
NL, "• ", $ =  $\phi \rightarrow a_1$ .CommutatorM[S, b1]; $,
Yield, $ = $ /. $SD /. $sab; MatrixForms[$],
Yield, $ph = $ = $ /. tuCommutatorExpand // FullSimplify; MatrixForms[$],
(**)
NL, "Let ", $i = 1;
$ph0 = $ph /. (yy : Y_ | cc[Y_]) _  $\rightarrow$  yy  $\phi_{i++}$ ;
$ph0 // MatrixForms,
NL, "By inspection: ", $sp = { $\phi_4 \rightarrow cc[\phi_1]$ ,  $\phi_8 \rightarrow cc[\phi_5]$ ,  $\phi_3 \rightarrow -cc[\phi_2]$ ,  $\phi_7 \rightarrow -cc[\phi_6]$ },
$ph0 = $ph0 /. $sp; $ph0 // MatrixForms, CG[" (5.6)"],
NL, "Hermitian requirement: ", $ =  $\phi \rightarrow ct[\phi]$ ,
Yield, $ = $ /. $ph0 //. tt : Rule[_]  $\rightarrow$  Thread[tt];
Yield,
$ = $ // tuConjugateSimplify[] // Flatten // DeleteDuplicates // DeleteCases[#, 0  $\rightarrow$  0] &;
$ // ColumnBar;
Impl, $ = #[[2]] & /@ tuSolve /@ $ // Flatten; $ // Column;
$ = Reduce[$, Table[ $\phi_i$ , {i, 8}], Complexes];
$ = Apply[List, $] /. {Equal  $\rightarrow$  Rule},
Yield, $e56 = $ph0 = $ph0 /. $;
$ph0 // MatrixForms, CG[" (5.6)"], accumGWS[$e56];
NL, "There only 2 independent relationships with equivalent formulas: ",
Yield, $ = Thread[$ph0[[2]]  $\rightarrow$  $ph[[2]]] /. rr : Rule[_]  $\rightarrow$  Thread[rr];
Yield, $ph12 = $ = #[[2]] & /@ tuSolve /@ $ /. Equal  $\rightarrow$  Rule // Flatten //
    DeleteCases[#, cc[_]  $\rightarrow$  _] & // Simplify;
$ // FramedColumn
];

```



From the definition  $\phi \rightarrow a \cdot [D_F, b]_-$

For this case  $\{D_{F_4} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y_0 & 0 & 0 & (T_L)^* \\ T_R & 0 & 0 & Y_0 \\ 0 & T_L & (Y_0)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y_0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} Y_V & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e \end{pmatrix}\}$

Previous calculation show that only the upper left quadrant (S) does not commute with the algebra.

$\Rightarrow S \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* \\ Y_V & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\bullet \phi \rightarrow a_1 \cdot [S, b_1]_- \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & -\beta^* & \alpha^* \end{pmatrix} \cdot \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* \\ Y_V & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda' & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\lambda')^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha' & \beta' \\ 0 & 0 & -(\beta')^* & (\alpha')^* \end{pmatrix} \right]_-$

$\rightarrow \phi \rightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda (Y_V)^* (\alpha' - \lambda') & \lambda (Y_V)^* \beta' \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda^* (Y_e)^* (\beta')^* & \lambda^* (Y_e)^* ((\alpha')^* - (\lambda')^*) \\ Y_V (\beta (\beta')^* + \alpha (-\alpha' + \lambda')) & -Y_e (\beta (\alpha')^* - \beta (\lambda')^* + \alpha \beta') & 0 & 0 \\ Y_V (\alpha^* (\beta')^* + \beta^* (\alpha' - \lambda')) & Y_e (\alpha^* (-\alpha')^* + (\lambda')^*) + \beta^* \beta' & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* \phi_3 & (Y_e)^* \phi_4 \\ Y_V \phi_5 & Y_e \phi_6 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_V \phi_7 & Y_e \phi_8 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

By inspection:  $\{\phi_4 \rightarrow (\phi_1)^*, \phi_8 \rightarrow (\phi_5)^*, \phi_3 \rightarrow -(\phi_2)^*, \phi_7 \rightarrow -(\phi_6)^*\}$

$\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* \\ Y_V \phi_5 & Y_e \phi_6 & 0 & 0 \\ -(\phi_6)^* Y_V & (\phi_5)^* Y_e & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$

Hermitian requirement:  $\phi \rightarrow \phi^\dagger$

$\rightarrow$

$\rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow \{\phi_5 \rightarrow (\phi_1)^*, \phi_6 \rightarrow -\phi_2\}$

$\rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_V & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_V & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)$

There only 2 independent relationships with equivalent formulas:

$\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &\rightarrow \lambda (\alpha' - \lambda') \\ \phi_2 &\rightarrow \lambda \beta' \\ \phi_2 &\rightarrow \beta (\alpha')^* - \beta (\lambda')^* + \alpha \beta' \\ \phi_1 &\rightarrow \alpha^* (-\alpha')^* + (\lambda')^* + \beta^* \beta' \end{aligned}$$

```

PR["●Note:  $\phi$ 's is generally a sum of like terms: ",
 $\$ = \text{Map}[\# /. \text{tt} : \lambda' | \alpha' | \beta' | \lambda | \alpha | \beta \rightarrow \text{T}[\text{tt}, "d", \{j\}] \&, \$\text{ph12}];$ 
Yield,  $\$ \text{ph12p} = \$ =$ 
  Map[#[[1]] -> xSum[#[[2]], {j}] &, $] /. xSum[a_ -> b_, c_] -> xSum[a, c] -> xSum[b, c];
Column[$],
NL, CR["Recall that ",  $\phi \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \cdot \text{CommutatorM}[\mathbf{iD_F}, \mathbf{b}]$ ,
  " is the Higg's like field defined by the algebra and the Dirac
  operator. What is the effect of different algebras on  $\phi$ ?"]
]

```

●Note:  $\phi$ 's is generally a sum of like terms:

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \sum_{\{j\}} [\lambda_j (\alpha'_j - \lambda'_j)]$$

$$\phi_2 \rightarrow \sum_{\{j\}} [\lambda_j \beta'_j]$$

$$\rightarrow \phi_2 \rightarrow \sum_{\{j\}} [(\alpha'_j)^* \beta_j - (\lambda'_j)^* \beta_j + \alpha_j \beta'_j]$$

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \sum_{\{j\}} [(\alpha_j)^* (-\alpha'_j)^* + (\lambda'_j)^* + (\beta_j)^* \beta'_j]$$

Recall that  $\phi \rightarrow \mathbf{a} \cdot [\mathbf{D_F}, \mathbf{b}]$ .

is the Higg's like field defined by the algebra and the Dirac operator. What is the effect of different algebras on  $\phi$ ?

```

PR["Summary: ",
  NL, $e57 = $ = {CG["On  $\mathcal{H}_1$ "],
    $A3, T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\in \mathbb{R}$ ,
     $\phi \rightarrow \{\{0, \text{ct}[\mathbf{Y}]\}, \{\mathbf{Y}, 0\}\}$ ,
    $ph0,
    $ph12,
    T[B $_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ , "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\rightarrow$ 
      {{0, 0, 0}, {0, -2 T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }], 0}, {0, 0, T[Q, "d", { $\mu$ }] - T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }] 12}},
    CG["On  $\mathcal{H}_{\perp}$ "],
    T[B $_{\mathcal{H}_{\perp}}$ , "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\rightarrow \{\{0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 2 T[\Delta, "d", \{\mu\}], 0\},$ 
      {0, 0, -T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }] 12 - Conjugate[T[Q, "d", { $\mu$ }]}\}
    } // Flatten;
  $ // MatrixForms // ColumnBar, accumGWS[$e57],

  NL, "● Calculate ", $ = $b = selectEM[T[B, "d", { $\mu$ }], {A}],
  NL, "In 8x8 representation ", "POFF",
  Yield, $q = T[Q, "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\rightarrow$  Table[T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]i,j, {i, 2}, {j, 2}];
  MatrixForms[$q],
  Yield, $b = $b /. toxDot /. A  $\rightarrow$  iA /. F  $\rightarrow$  F8 /. Plus  $\rightarrow$  Inactive[Plus],
  Yield, $a = selectGWS[T[iA, "d", { $\mu$ }], {Q}] /. it[A]  $\rightarrow$  it[A]1 /. $q;
  Yield, $a = MapAt[ArrayFlatten[#] &, $a, -1]; $a // MatrixForms,
  Yield, $aa = ($a[[1]] /. 1  $\rightarrow$  I)  $\rightarrow$  (DiagonalMatrix[Table[T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }], 4]) // Normal,
  Yield, $aaa = {{ $a[[1]], 0}, {0, $aa[[1]]}},
  Yield, $aaa = T[it[A], "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\rightarrow$  ($aaa /. $a /. $aa // ArrayFlatten);
  $aaa // MatrixForms, accumGWS[{ $a, $aa, $aaa, $q}];
  $j8 = selectGWS[JF8],
  $b =
    $b // expandCom[{ $aaa, $j8, Dot[cc, a_]  $\rightarrow$  Dot[cc[a], cc], cc[cc]  $\rightarrow$  cc, cc.cc  $\rightarrow$  1}] //
      tuConjugateSimplify[{T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ ]}]} // Activate,
  "PONdd",
  Yield, $e58 = $ = $b // Activate;
  $ // MatrixForms // Framed, CG[" (5.8)"],
  NL, "Coefficients of  $\Delta$  associated with hyper-charge."; accumGWS[$e58]
];

```

**Summary:**

On  $\mathcal{H}_1$

$$\Lambda_\mu \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Q_\mu \rightarrow i \sum_{\{1,3\}} [q_i \sigma^i]$$

$$q_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Lambda_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y^\dagger \\ Y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_\nu)^* \phi_1 & (Y_\nu)^* \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_\nu & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_\nu & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \lambda (\alpha' - \lambda')$$

$$\phi_2 \rightarrow \lambda \beta'$$

$$\phi_2 \rightarrow \beta (\alpha')^* - \beta (\lambda')^* + \alpha \beta'$$

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \alpha^* (-(\alpha')^* + (\lambda')^*) + \beta^* \beta'$$

$$B_{\mathcal{H}_1\mu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\Lambda_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_\mu - 1_2 \Lambda_\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

On  $\mathcal{H}_\Gamma$

$$B_{\mathcal{H}_\Gamma\mu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\Lambda_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Q_\mu)^* - 1_2 \Lambda_\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

● Calculate  $B_\mu \rightarrow -J_F \cdot A_\mu \cdot (J_F)^\dagger + A_\mu$   
 In 8x8 representation

.....

$$\rightarrow B_\mu \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{\mu 1,1} - \Lambda_\mu & q_{\mu 1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q_{\mu 2,1} & q_{\mu 2,2} - \Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(q_{\mu 1,1})^* + \Lambda_\mu & -(q_{\mu 1,2})^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -(q_{\mu 2,1})^* & -(q_{\mu 2,2})^* + \Lambda_\mu \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.8)$$

```

PR["● Higgs field ",
  $ =  $\Phi$  → Inactivate[  $\text{id}_F + \{\{\phi, 0\}, \{0, 0\}\} + J_F \cdot \{\{\phi, 0\}, \{0, 0\}\} \cdot \text{ct}[J_F]$ , Plus];
  MatrixForms[$], CG["(5.9)"],
  NL, "In 8x8 representation: ",
  $s $\phi$  =  $\{\{\phi, 0\}, \{0, 0\}\} \rightarrow \text{ArrayFlatten}[\text{DiagonalMatrix}[\{\phi, 0, 0, 0, 0\}] /. \$\text{ph0}]$ ;
  MatrixForms[$s $\phi$ ], accumGWS[$s $\phi$ ], "POFF",

  $ = $ /.  $J_F \rightarrow J_{F_8}$ ;
  $ = $ /.  $\text{toxDot} /. \$j8 /. \$s\phi$ ; MatrixForms[$],
  Yield,
  $ = $ // tuMatrixOrderedMultiply // (# /.  $\text{toDot} \&$ ) //
    tuRepeat[{tuOpSimplify[Dot], Dot[cc, a_] := Dot[cc[a], cc], cc[cc] → cc, cc.cc → 1}];
  "PON",
  MatrixForms[$], accumGWS[$];
  NL, "From (5.6) ", $e56 // MatrixForms,
  NL, "Condense into space ", selectGWS[ $\mathcal{H}_{F_2}$ ],
  Yield, $s =  $\{\$[[2, -1]] \rightarrow \{\{0, 0\}, \{0, \text{cc}[\phi]\}\}, \$[[2, -2]] \rightarrow \{\{\phi, 0\}, \{0, 0\}\}\}$ ;
  $s // MatrixForms,
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s /.  $F \rightarrow F_2$ , CK,
  NL, "From ", $s = selectGWS[ $\text{id}_{F_2}$ ]; MatrixForms[$s],
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s // Activate;
  MatrixForms[$e59 = $] // Framed, CG[" (5.9)"]; accumGWS[$e59]
]

```

● Higgs field  $\Phi \rightarrow D_F + \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + J_F \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (J_F)^\dagger$  (5.9)

In 8x8 representation:  $\begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_V & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_V & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\Phi \rightarrow D_F + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_V & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_V & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} +$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

From (5.6)  $\phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_V & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_V & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Condense into space  $\mathcal{H}_{F_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1[\mathbb{C}^4] \oplus \mathcal{H}_T[\mathbb{C}^4]$

$\rightarrow \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^* \end{pmatrix},$

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_V)^* \phi_1 & (Y_V)^* \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_V & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_V & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$\rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow D_{F_2} + \{\{\phi, 0\}, \{0, 0\}\} + \{\{0, 0\}, \{0, \phi^*\}\} \leftarrow \text{CHECK}$

From  $D_{F_2} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S & T^* \\ T & S^* \end{pmatrix}$

$\rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S + \phi & T^* \\ T & S^* + \phi^* \end{pmatrix}$

```

PR["●Prop.5.3. The action of the gauge group ",
  G[M×FGWS][iDiA → slash[iD] ⊗ I + T[γ, "u", {μ}] ⊗ T[B, "d", {μ}] + T[γ, "d", {5}] ⊗ E],
NL, "is given by: ",
$ = {T[Δ, "d", {μ}] -> T[Δ, "d", {μ}] - I λ.tuDPartial[Conjugate[λ], μ],
  T[Q, "d", {μ}] -> q.T[Q, "d", {μ}].ct[q] - I q.tuDPartial[ct[q], μ],
  {{φ1}, {φ2}} -> Conjugate[λ].q.{{φ1}, {φ2}} + (Conjugate[λ].q - 1).{{1}, {0}},
  λ ∈ C∞[M, U[1]], q ∈ C∞[M, SU[2]]
}; MatrixForms[$e221a = $] // ColumnBar,

line,
NL, "■Proof: For the fields (5.7) compute the transformations (2.21): ",
$e221 = $ = {T[it[A], "d", {μ}] -> u.T[it[A], "d", {μ}].ct[u] - I u.tuDPartial[ct[u], μ],
  φ -> u.φ.ct[u] + u.CommutatorM[iDF, ct[u]],
  {u -> {λ, q}} ∈ C∞[M, U[1] × SU[2]][CG["gauge transformation"]]}
}; $ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[{ $e221a, $e221}], accumGWS[{ $e221, $e221a}],

NL, "The 8x8 representation: ",
$a88 = $ = selectGWS[T[iA, "d", {μ}], {q}]; $ // MatrixForms,
NL, "and(from ", $a88[[1]], "): ",
$u = u -> $a88[[2]] /. {T[Δ, "d", {μ}] -> λ, q -> uq}; MatrixForms[$u],

NL, "It is easy to see that ", $0 = u. $a88[[1]].ct[u],
imply, T[Q, "d", {μ}] -> u.T[Q, "d", {μ}].ct[u],
" since the block diagonal elements are independant.", "POFF",
Yield, $1 = $ = $0 -> $u[[2]]. $a88[[2]].ct[$u[[2]]];
MatrixForms[$], "PON",

NL, "Similarly for ", $0 = $ = I u.tuDPartial[ct[u], μ], "POFF",
Yield,
$ = $0 -> ($ /. $u /. tt : tuDDown["∂"][_ , _] => Thread[tt] /. tuDDown["∂"][0, _] -> 0);
MatrixForms[$], CK, "PON",
ImPLY,
{$e221a[[2]][CG["over the q's"]], $e221a[[1]][CG["over the λ's"]]} // ColumnBar
];

```

●Prop.5.3. The action of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}[\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{F}_{\text{GWS}}][D_A \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathbb{I} + \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi + \gamma^\mu \otimes \mathbf{B}_\mu]$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\mu &\rightarrow -i \lambda \cdot \partial_\mu [\lambda^*] + \Lambda_\mu \\ Q_\mu &\rightarrow -i \mathbf{q} \cdot \partial_\mu [\mathbf{q}^\dagger] + \mathbf{q} \cdot Q_\mu \cdot \mathbf{q}^\dagger \\ \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} &\rightarrow (-1 + \lambda^* \cdot \mathbf{q}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda^* \cdot \mathbf{q} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \lambda &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}[1]] \\ \mathbf{q} &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{SU}[2]] \end{aligned}$$

■Proof: For the fields (5.7) compute the transformations (2.21):

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A}_\mu &\rightarrow -i \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_\mu [\mathbf{u}^\dagger] + \mathbf{u} \cdot A_\mu \cdot \mathbf{u}^\dagger \\ \phi &\rightarrow \mathbf{u} \cdot [D_F, \mathbf{u}^\dagger]_- + \mathbf{u} \cdot \phi \cdot \mathbf{u}^\dagger \\ \{\mathbf{u} \rightarrow \{\lambda, \mathbf{q}\}\} &\in \mathcal{C}^\infty[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{U}[1] \times \mathbf{SU}[2]] \text{ [gauge transformation]} \end{aligned}$$

The 8x8 representation:  $A_\mu \rightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{q}_{\mu 1,1} & \mathbf{q}_{\mu 1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{q}_{\mu 2,1} & \mathbf{q}_{\mu 2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_\mu & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Lambda_\mu \end{pmatrix}$$

and (from  $A_\mu$ ):  $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{uq}_{\mu 1,1} & \mathbf{uq}_{\mu 1,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbf{uq}_{\mu 2,1} & \mathbf{uq}_{\mu 2,2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{u} \cdot A_\mu \cdot \mathbf{u}^\dagger \Rightarrow Q_\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{u} \cdot Q_\mu \cdot \mathbf{u}^\dagger$   
since the block diagonal elements are independant.  
Similarly for  $i \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_\mu [\mathbf{u}^\dagger]$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} (Q_\mu \rightarrow -i \mathbf{q} \cdot \partial_\mu [\mathbf{q}^\dagger] + \mathbf{q} \cdot Q_\mu \cdot \mathbf{q}^\dagger) \text{ [over the } \mathbf{q}'\text{s]} \\ (\Lambda_\mu \rightarrow -i \lambda \cdot \partial_\mu [\lambda^*] + \Lambda_\mu) \text{ [over the } \lambda'\text{s]} \end{cases}$$

```
PR["Check Higg's field gauge transformation ", $ = $e221[[2]],
NL, "Use 8x8 representation of ",
$u = selectGWS[T[iA, "d", {\mu}], {q}] /. T[iA, "d", {\mu}] -> u;
$d = selectGWS[iD_F8, {}] /. iD_F8 -> iD_F;
$phi = phi0[CG["Transformed phi"]] -> $phi[[2]],
$ = $ /. phi -> phi0,
$ = $ // expandCom[tuRule[{$u, $d, $phi}]];
$ = $ /. Dot -> Times;
$[[1]] = $[[1]] /. phi -> phi0;
$ // MatrixForms;
next, "Collect terms element by element: ",
$ = Thread[Flatten/@$] // DeleteDuplicates // Rest // Simplify;
$0 = $ = $ /. rr : (aa : a_ (yy : cc[Y_] | Y_) -> b_) :> (1 / yy # & /@ rr) // Simplify // Sort;
$ // ColumnBar
]
PR["• Examine interrelationships of these terms. ",
$2 = $ // tuExtractPattern[(aa__ : 1) (phi0_2 | cc[phi0_2]) -> _];
$2 = MapAt[cc[#] & /@ # &, $2, {{1}, {2}}];
$2 // ColumnBar;
$2a = tuRuleAdd[$2[{{1, 4}}]] // Simplify;
$2a = Collect[$2a, {phi2, 1 + phi1}];
$2b = tuRuleAdd[$2[{{2, 3}}]] // Simplify;
$2b = Collect[$2b, {phi2, 1 + phi1}];
```



```

$1 = $ // tuExtractPattern[(aa_ : 1) ( $\phi_0$  | cc[ $\phi_0$ ])  $\rightarrow$  _];
$1 = MapAt[cc[#] & /@ # &, $1, {{1}, {2}}];
$1 // ColumnBar;
$1a = tuRuleSubtract[$1[[{2, 4}]]] // Simplify;
$1a = Collect[$1a, { $\phi_2$ , 1 +  $\phi_1$ });
$1b = tuRuleSubtract[$1[[{1, 3}]]] // Simplify;
$1b = Collect[$1b, { $\phi_2$ , 1 +  $\phi_1$ });
NL, "Assuming that  $\phi$ 's are arbitrary so their coefficients are 0: ",
$ = {Collect[$2a[[2]], { $\phi_1$  + 1,  $\phi_2$ }, CCC],
      Collect[$2b[[2]], { $\phi_1$  + 1,  $\phi_2$ }, CCC],
      Collect[$1a[[2]], { $\phi_1$  + 1,  $\phi_2$ }, CCC],
      Collect[$1b[[2]], { $\phi_1$  + 1,  $\phi_2$ }, CCC]};
$ = tuExtractPattern[CCC[_]][$] //. CCC[a_]  $\rightarrow$  a // DeleteDuplicates;
$ // ColumnBar;
$[[4]] = -1 cc[($[[4]])];
$[[7]] = cc[($[[7]])];
$ = $ // DeleteDuplicates;
$ // ColumnBar;
$s = Select[$, tuHasAllQ[#, {T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]1,2, T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]2,1}}] &&
      tuHasNoneQ[#, {T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]1,1, T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]2,2}}] &];
$s // ColumnBar;
$s = Thread[$s  $\rightarrow$  $s];
$s[[2, 2]] = cc[$s[[2, 2]]];
$s[[3, 2]] = -cc[$s[[3, 2]]];
$s // ColumnBar;
$ = $ /. $s;
$ = $ // DeleteDuplicates;
$ // ColumnBar,
$ = #  $\rightarrow$  0 & /@ $;
Yield, $s = $ // tuExtractPattern[Tensor[_, _, _]] // DeleteDuplicates;
$s = $s /. qq : Tensor[q, _, _]  $\Rightarrow$  Table[qqi,j, {i, 2}, {j, 2}] // Flatten;
$s0 = $s = tuRuleSolve[$, $s[[2 ;; -1]]]; $s // Framed,
NL, $ = $ /. $s, CG["0's  $\rightarrow$  OK"],

ImPLY, q[CG["Hermitian, traceless"]] // Framed
]
PR["Inserting this q relationships into the original
    set of transform equations and selecting the 2 simplest: ",
$ = $0 /. $s // Expand;
$ = tuRuleSelect[$][{ $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_0$ }] // Rest,
next, "Assume unitarity of  $\Delta$ 's: ", $s = cc[T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }}] T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }]  $\rightarrow$  1,
Yield,
$ = $ /. $s,
$[[1]] = (1 + #) & /@ $[[1]];
$ = $ // Simplify;
(*
$s=tuRuleSolve[$s0,{Tensor[q,_,_]2,1,Tensor[q,_,_]2,2}]*
$[[2]] = $[[2]] /. $s0 // Expand // Simplify;
$ // ColumnBar,
next, "This can be put into the matrix form: ",
$ = {{ $[[1, 1]]}, { $[[2, 1]]}}  $\rightarrow$ 
      T[ $\Delta$ , "d", { $\mu$ }] cc[q].({ $[[1, 1]]}, { $[[2, 1]]}) /.  $\phi_0 \rightarrow \phi$ ;
$ // MatrixForms // Framed
]

```

Check Higg's field gauge transformation  $\phi \rightarrow u \cdot [D_F, u^\dagger]_- + u \cdot \phi \cdot u^\dagger$   
 Use 8x8 representation of  $\phi 0$  [Transformed  $\phi$ ]  $\rightarrow \{\{0, 0, (Y_V)^* \phi_1, (Y_V)^* \phi_2, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{0, 0, -(Y_E)^* (\phi_2)^*, (Y_E)^* (\phi_1)^*, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{(\phi_1)^* Y_V, -Y_E \phi_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{(\phi_2)^* Y_V, Y_E \phi_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}\} \phi 0 \rightarrow u \cdot [D_F, u^\dagger]_- + u \cdot \phi 0 \cdot u^\dagger$

◆Collect terms element by element:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi 0_1)^* &\rightarrow -(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + (\Lambda_\mu)^* ((1 + (\phi_1)^*) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + (\phi_2)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2}) \\ (\phi 0_1)^* &\rightarrow ((\phi_2)^* (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* - (1 + (\phi_1)^*) (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* - (\Lambda_\mu)^*) \Lambda_\mu \\ -(\phi 0_2)^* &\rightarrow ((\phi_2)^* (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1})^* - (1 + (\phi_1)^*) (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^*) \Lambda_\mu \\ (\phi 0_2)^* &\rightarrow -(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} + (\Lambda_\mu)^* ((1 + (\phi_1)^*) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} + (\phi_2)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2}) \\ \phi 0_1 &\rightarrow -(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} + (\Lambda_\mu)^* (\phi_2 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (1 + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2}) \\ \phi 0_1 &\rightarrow -(\Lambda_\mu)^* + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1})^* (1 + \phi_1) + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* \phi_2 \Lambda_\mu \\ -\phi 0_2 &\rightarrow -(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + (\Lambda_\mu)^* (\phi_2 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - (1 + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2}) \\ \phi 0_2 &\rightarrow ((\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* (1 + \phi_1) + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \phi_2) \Lambda_\mu \end{aligned}$$

• Examine interrelationships of these terms.

•Assuming that  $\phi$ 's are arbitrary so their coefficients are 0:

$$\begin{aligned} &-(\Lambda_\mu)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \Lambda_\mu \\ &(\Lambda_\mu)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \Lambda_\mu \\ &-2 (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - 2 (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} \\ &-(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \left\{ \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} \rightarrow -\frac{(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \Lambda_\mu}{(\Lambda_\mu)^*}, \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} \rightarrow \frac{(\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \Lambda_\mu}{(\Lambda_\mu)^*} \right\}$$

$\{0 \rightarrow 0, 0 \rightarrow 0, 0 \rightarrow 0, 0 \rightarrow 0\}$  0's→OK

⇒  $\mathfrak{q}$ [Hermitian, traceless]

Inserting this  $\mathfrak{q}$  relationships into the original  
 set of transform equations and selecting the 2 simplest:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi 0_1 &\rightarrow (\Lambda_\mu)^* \phi_2 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (\Lambda_\mu)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} - (\Lambda_\mu)^* \phi_1 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} - (\Lambda_\mu)^* \Lambda_\mu, \\ \phi 0_2 &\rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \Lambda_\mu + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \phi_1 \Lambda_\mu + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \phi_2 \Lambda_\mu \end{aligned}$$

◆Assume unitarity of  $\Lambda$ 's:  $(\Lambda_\mu)^* \Lambda_\mu \rightarrow 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \{ \phi 0_1 &\rightarrow -1 + (\Lambda_\mu)^* \phi_2 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (\Lambda_\mu)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2} - (\Lambda_\mu)^* \phi_1 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2}, \phi 0_2 \rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \Lambda_\mu + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* \phi_1 \Lambda_\mu + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \phi_2 \Lambda_\mu \} \\ 1 + \phi 0_1 &\rightarrow (\Lambda_\mu)^* (\phi_2 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1} - (1 + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2}) \\ \phi 0_2 &\rightarrow ((\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,1})^* (1 + \phi_1) + (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 2,2})^* \phi_2) \Lambda_\mu \end{aligned}$$

◆This can be put into the matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi 0_1 \\ \phi 0_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}^* \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \Lambda_\mu$$

### ● 5.3 Spectral Action(bosonic part of the Lagrangian)

```

Sp37;
Se57;
Se58;
SF;
PR["● Lemma 5.4: ",
  $154 = $ = {Tr[T[F, "uu", {μ, ν}] T[F, "dd", {μ, ν}]] → 12 T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}]
    T[Δ, "uu", {μ, ν}] + 2 Tr[T[Q, "dd", {μ, ν}] T[Q, "uu", {μ, ν}]],
    T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}] → tuDPartial[T[Δ, "d", {ν}], μ] - tuDPartial[T[Δ, "d", {μ}], ν],
    T[Q, "dd", {μ, ν}] → tuDPartial[T[Q, "d", {ν}], μ] -
      tuDPartial[T[Q, "d", {μ}], ν] + I CommutatorM[T[Q, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {ν}]]
  }; FramedColumn[$], accumGWS[$154]
];

```

● Lemma 5.4:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] &\rightarrow 12 \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu\nu} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_{\mu\nu} Q^{\mu\nu}] \\
 \Lambda_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu] \\
 Q_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow i [Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu]
 \end{aligned}$$

```

PR["■ Proof: From the definition: ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$defall][T[F, "dd", {μ, ν}]][[1]],
  NL, "Use above ",
  $sb = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T[B, "d", {μ}]][[1]] // tuAddPatternVariable[μ],
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuCommutatorExpand /. Plus → Inactive[Plus] /. $sb //
    tuOpDistribute[tuDDown["∂"], List] // tuDerivativeExpand[] // Activate;
  $ // MatrixForms,
  ,
  NL, "q's are hermitian and tracelist: ",
  $sq = {Conjugate[(qq: T[q, "d", {μ_}])i,j] :> qqj,i,
    Conjugate[(qq: T[q, "u", {μ_}])i,j] :> qqj,i, Conjugate[qq: qi,i] :> qi,i,
    T[q, "d", {μ_}]1,1 + T[q, "d", {μ_}]2,2 → 0,
    T[q, "u", {μ_}]1,1 + T[q, "u", {μ_}]2,2 → 0
  };

  $sq // ColumnBar,
  Yield, $ = $ // $sq // tuConjugateSimplify[{μ, ν}]; $ // MatrixForms,
  NL, "Tr[] of Product: ", $u = $ // tuIndicesRaise[{μ, ν}];
  $ = Thread[Dot[$, $u], Rule]; $ // MatrixForms;
  Yield, $trff = $ = Tr /@ $ // $sq // Expand;
  NL, "Common index substitutions: ",
  $sqsub = {aa: a_b_ => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{μ}][aa] /; !FreeQ[aa, T[q, "d", {μ}]],
    aa: a_b_ => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{ν}][aa] /; !FreeQ[aa, T[q, "d", {ν}]],
    aa: a_b_ => tuIndexSwap[{ν, μ}][aa] /; !FreeQ[aa, T[q, "u", {ν}]], aa: a_b_ =>
      tuIndexSwapUpDown[{ν}][aa] /; !FreeQ[aa, tuDDown["∂"]][T[q, "u", {i_}][_, ν]],
    aa: a_tuDDown["∂"]][T[q, "u", {i_}][_, ν_] => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{i}][aa],
    aa: a_tuUp["∂"]][T[q, "u", {i_}][_, ν_] => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{i, ν}][aa],
    aa: a_tuUp["∂"]][T[q, "d", {i_}][_, ν_] => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{ν}][aa],
    aa: a_T[q, "d", {μ_}]i,i :> tuIndexSwapUpDown[{μ}][aa],
    aa: a_T[q, "u", {ν_}]i,i :> tuIndexSwap[{μ, ν}][aa]
  },
  ,
  next, "The ΔΔ terms: ", $11 = (Apply[Plus, ($trff // tuTermSelect[Δ, q])] // Simplify);
  $11 // Framed,

  next, "The Δq terms: ", $1q = $ = Apply[Plus, ($trff // tuTermSelect[{Δ, q}])];

```

```

yield, $lq = $ = $ /. $sqsub[[1 ;; 4]] /. $sqsub // Simplify //
  (# /. tuOpCollect[tuDDown["∂"]] /. $sq &) // tuDerivativeExpand[];
$ // Framed,
(**)
next, "The qq terms: ", $qq = $ = Apply[Plus, ($trff // tuTermSelect[q, Δ])];
yield, $qq = $ = $ /. $sqsub // Simplify; $ // Framed,
NL, "Too many terms to find text
  relationship directly. Compare with direct computation of ",

$ = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T[Q, "dd", {_, _}]][[1]];
$ = $ // tuIndicesRaise[{μ, ν}];
Yield, $ = $ . $ // Thread[#, Rule] &, "POFF",
Yield, $ = $ /. tuCommutatorExpand // expandDC[],
NL, "Use ",
$ = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T[Q, "d", {_, _}]] // Select[#, tuHasAnyQ[#, {2}] &] & // First,
$ = {$s, $s // tuIndicesRaise[μ]} // tuAddPatternVariable[μ];
Yield,
$ = $ /. toxDot /. $s /. tt: (tuDDown["∂"][_] | tuDUP["∂"][_]) => Thread[tt] //
  tuMatrixOrderedMultiply // (# /. xDot → Times &);
"PONdd",
Yield, $qq0 = $ = Tr /@ $ // Simplify,
NL, "Comparing ", 2 $qq0[[1]], " with FF calculation ", imply,
2 $qq0[[2]] = $qq // tuIndicesLower[{μ, ν}] // Simplify // Framed,
CG[" QED"]
]

```

■ **Proof:** From the definition:  $F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \mathbb{i} [B_\mu, B_\nu]_- - \partial_\nu [B_\mu] + \partial_\mu [B_\nu]$

Use above

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow \{ \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, -2\Lambda_\mu, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, q_{\mu 1, 1} - \Lambda_\mu, q_{\mu 1, 2}, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \\
 &\quad \{0, 0, q_{\mu 2, 1}, q_{\mu 2, 2} - \Lambda_\mu, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2\Lambda_\mu, 0, 0\}, \\
 &\quad \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -(q_{\mu 1, 1})^* + \Lambda_\mu, -(q_{\mu 1, 2})^*\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -(q_{\mu 2, 1})^*, -(q_{\mu 2, 2})^* + \Lambda_\mu\} \\
 &\quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] - 2\partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathbb{i}(-q_{\mu 2, 1}q_{\nu 1, 2} + q_{\mu 1, 2}q_{\nu 2, 1}) - \partial_\nu[q_{\mu 1, 1}] + \partial_\mu[q_{\nu 1, 1}] + \partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] \\ \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbb{i}(-q_{\nu 2, 1}(q_{\mu 1, 1} - \Lambda_\mu) + q_{\nu 2, 1}(q_{\mu 2, 2} - \Lambda_\mu) + q_{\mu 2, 1}(q_{\nu 1, 1} - \Lambda_\nu) - q_{\mu 2, 1}(q_{\nu 2, 2} - \Lambda_\nu) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

q's are hermitian and tracelist:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (qq : q_{\mu-i, j-})^* &\rightarrow qq_{j, i} \\
 (qq : q^{\mu-i, j-})^* &\rightarrow qq_{j, i} \\
 (qq : q_{-i, i-})^* &\rightarrow q_{i, i} \\
 q_{\mu-1, 1} + q_{\mu-2, 2} &\rightarrow 0 \\
 q^{\mu-1, 1} + q^{\mu-2, 2} &\rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] - 2\partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mathbb{i}q_{\mu 2, 1}q_{\nu 1, 2} + \mathbb{i}q_{\mu 1, 2}q_{\nu 2, 1} - \partial_\nu[q_{\mu 1, 1}] + \partial_\mu[q_{\nu 1, 1}] + \partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] - \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu] \\ \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbb{i}q_{\mu 2, 1}q_{\nu 1, 1} - \mathbb{i}q_{\mu 1, 1}q_{\nu 2, 1} + \mathbb{i}q_{\mu 2, 2}q_{\nu 2, 1} - \mathbb{i}q_{\mu 2, 1}q_{\nu 2, 2} - \partial_\nu[q_{\mu 2, 1}] + \partial_\mu[q_{\nu 2, 1}] \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Tr[] of Product:

→

Common index substitutions:

{aa : a\_b\_\_ => tuIndexSwapUpDown[{μ}][aa] /; !FreeQ[aa, T[q, d, {μ}]]},

```

aa : a_b_ := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{v}][aa] /; ! FreeQ[aa, T[q, d, {v}]],
aa : a_b_ := tuIndexSwap[{v, μ}][aa] /; ! FreeQ[aa, T[q, u, {v}]],
aa : a_b_ := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{v}][aa] /; ! FreeQ[aa, ∂v[qi-]],
aa : a_∂v[qi-] := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{i}][aa],
aa : a_∂v[qi-] := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{i, v}][aa],
aa : a_∂v[qi-] := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{v}][aa],
aa : a_qμi := tuIndexSwapUpDown[{μ}][aa], aa : a_qvi := tuIndexSwap[{μ, v}][aa]

```

♦The  $\Lambda\Lambda$  terms:  $12 (\partial_v [\Lambda_\mu] - \partial_\mu [\Lambda_v]) (\partial^v [\Lambda^\mu] - \partial^\mu [\Lambda^v])$

♦The  $\Lambda q$  terms:  $\rightarrow 0$

♦The  $qq$  terms:  $\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 (-q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{1,2} + q_{\mu,2,1} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{1,2} + q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} - q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} + q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} + q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{1,2} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{1,2} - q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} - i q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} - i q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,2,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] + i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] + i q_{\mu,1,1} q_{v,1,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] - \\
& i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + q_{\mu,2,1} (q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{1,1} - 2 q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{1,2} - q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,2} + \\
& q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2}) + q_{v,1,2} (2 q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} + q^{\mu}_{1,1} (q^v_{1,1} - q^v_{2,2}) + q^{\mu}_{2,2} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2})) - \\
& i q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,1}] + i q^v_{1,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] - i q^v_{2,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] + i q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}]) + \\
& q_{\mu,1,2} (q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,1} - 2 q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,1} - q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} + q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2}) + \\
& q_{v,2,1} (2 q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} + q^{\mu}_{1,1} (q^v_{1,1} - q^v_{2,2}) + q^{\mu}_{2,2} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2})) + i q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,1}] - \\
& i q^v_{1,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + i q^v_{2,2} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] - i q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}]) - 2 i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,1}] + \\
& 2 i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,1}] + 2 \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,1}] \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,1}] + 2 i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] - \\
& 2 i q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] + 2 i q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] - 2 i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] + \\
& 2 \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] - 2 i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{1,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] + 2 i q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] - \\
& 2 i q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] + 2 i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] + 2 \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] + \\
& 2 i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,2}] - 2 i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,2}] + 2 \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}] \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,2}] + \\
& i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,1}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - \\
& i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + i q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,2}] - i q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + \\
& i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,2}] - \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{1,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - \\
& i q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,1}] + i q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - \\
& \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - i q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,2}] + i q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,2}] - \partial_v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,2,2}] - \\
& \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,1}] \partial^\mu [q_{v,1,1}] - \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,1}] \partial^\mu [q_{v,1,2}] - \partial_\mu [q^v_{1,2}] \partial^\mu [q_{v,2,1}] - \partial_\mu [q^v_{2,2}] \partial^\mu [q_{v,2,2}])
\end{aligned}$$

Too many terms to find text relationship  
directly. Compare with direct computation of

$$\rightarrow Q_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow (i [Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu [Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu [Q_\nu]) \cdot (i [Q^\mu, Q^\nu] - \partial^\nu [Q^\mu] + \partial^\mu [Q^\nu])$$

.....

$\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr}[Q_{\mu\nu} \cdot Q^{\mu\nu}] & \rightarrow q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{1,1} + q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,1} + q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,1} - q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,1} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{1,2} + 2 q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} + q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{1,2} - q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} - 2 q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} + q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,1} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} + \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} - q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} - q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,2} - q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} - \\
& q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} + q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} + i q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - i q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - \\
& i q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + i q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] - i q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + \\
& i q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] + i q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{1,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - i q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] + \\
& i q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - i q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] - i q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{1,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,2}] + i q^{\mu}_{1,2} q^v_{2,1} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,2}] - \\
& i q^{\mu}_{2,1} q^v_{2,2} \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,2}] - \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] + \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,1}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - \partial_\mu [q_{v,1,1}] \partial^v [q_{\mu,1,1}] - i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] + \\
& \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] - \partial_\mu [q_{v,2,1}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] + i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,1} \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] - \\
& i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] - \partial_\mu [q_{v,1,2}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,1} \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}] + \\
& \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,2}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}] - \partial_\mu [q_{v,2,2}] \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,2}] + i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,1} \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,1}] - \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,1}] \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,1}] + \\
& \partial_\mu [q_{v,1,1}] \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,1}] + i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,2,1} \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,2}] - \partial_v [q_{\mu,2,1}] \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,2}] + \partial_\mu [q_{v,2,1}] \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,2}] + \\
& q_{\mu,1,1} (q_{v,2,1} (q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{1,2} - q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{1,2} + q^{\mu}_{1,2} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2})) + i \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{1,2}] - i \partial^\mu [q^v_{1,2}]) + \\
& q_{v,1,2} (q^{\mu}_{1,1} q^v_{2,1} - q^{\mu}_{2,2} q^v_{2,1} + q^{\mu}_{2,1} (-q^v_{1,1} + q^v_{2,2})) - i \partial^v [q^{\mu}_{2,1}] + i \partial^\mu [q^v_{2,1}]) - \\
& i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,1,1} \partial^\mu [q^v_{2,1}] - i q_{\mu,2,2} q_{v,1,2} \partial^\mu [q^v_{2,1}] + i q_{\mu,1,2} q_{v,2,2} \partial^\mu [q^v_{2,1}] - \partial_v [q_{\mu,1,2}] \partial^\mu [q^v_{2,1}] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{\mu} [q_{v1,2}] \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,1}^v] - i q_{\mu1,2} q_{v2,1} \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,2}^v] - \partial_v [q_{\mu2,2}] \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,2}^v] + \partial_{\mu} [q_{v2,2}] \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,2}^v] + \\ & q_{\mu2,1} (q_{v2,2} (q_{1,1}^{\mu} q_{1,2}^v - q_{2,2}^{\mu} q_{1,2}^v + q_{1,2}^{\mu} (-q_{1,1}^v + q_{2,2}^v) + i \partial^v [q_{1,2}^{\mu}] - i \partial^{\mu} [q_{1,2}^v]) + \\ & q_{v1,1} (-q_{1,1}^{\mu} q_{1,2}^v + q_{2,2}^{\mu} q_{1,2}^v + q_{1,2}^{\mu} (q_{1,1}^v - q_{2,2}^v) - i \partial^v [q_{1,2}^{\mu}] + i \partial^{\mu} [q_{1,2}^v]) + \\ & q_{v1,2} (-2 q_{2,1}^{\mu} q_{1,2}^v + 2 q_{1,2}^{\mu} q_{2,1}^v + i (\partial^v [q_{1,1}^{\mu}] - \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,2}^v] - \partial^{\mu} [q_{1,1}^v] + \partial^{\mu} [q_{2,2}^v]))) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing  $2 \text{Tr}[Q_{\mu v} \cdot Q^{\mu v}]$  with FF calculation  $\Rightarrow$  True QED

Lemma 5.5 (p.59)

```
PR["●Lemma 5.5: ",
$155 = $ = {Tr[Φ²] → 4 a Abs[H']^2 + 2 c,
Tr[Φ⁴] → 4 b Abs[H']^4 + 8 e Abs[H']^2 + 2 d,
H' → {φ₁ + 1, φ₂},
a → Abs[Yᵥ]^2 + Abs[Yₑ]^2,
b → Abs[Yᵥ]^4 + Abs[Yₑ]^4,
c → Abs[Yᵣ]^2, d → Abs[Yᵣ]^4, e → Abs[Yᵣ]^2 Abs[Yᵥ]^2
}; ColumnBar[$],
$155a = Association[$155];
ImPLY, $155x = $ = {
Abs[H']^2 → (H' . Conjugate[H'] /. $155) // FullSimplify // Reverse,
t[RL]ᵢ,ⱼ := 0 /; i ≠ 1 || j ≠ 1 || RL == L,
t[ ]ᵢ,ⱼ [CG["GWS basis"]]
} /. Re[x_] → (x + Conjugate[x]) / 2; $ // ColumnBar,
NL, "The requirement ", tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T.ᵥᵣ],
Yield,
$ = ($ = ct[T].T) → ($ /. tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T][[-1]] /. tuRule[$155x] // Simplify);
MatrixForms[$],
Yield, $ = $[[2, 1, 1]] → Abs[Yᵣ]^2; $ // Framed,
AppendTo[$155x, $];

line,
NL, "Proof: ",
NL, "Use the 8x8 ℋ_F₈ representation of: ",
$ = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][Φ] // Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, S] &] & // First;
$ // MatrixForms,
NL, "where ", $s = {tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][S] // Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, v] &] &,
tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][φ] // Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, v] &] & // First,
tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T] // Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, 2] &] & // Last} /.
tuRule[$155x] // Flatten;
$s // MatrixForms,
Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. $s // ArrayFlatten;
($s@1 = $) // MatrixForms,

next, "Compute: ", $01 = $ = Inactive[Tr][Φ.Φ], "POFF",
Yield, $ = $ /. $s@1; MatrixForms[$];
Yield, $ = $01 → $ // Activate // FullSimplify,
Yield, $ = $ /. tuRule[($155x // FullSimplify)] // Simplify,
Yield, $ = {$, $155[[-5, -3]]} // Flatten; $ // ColumnBar,
Yield, $ = tuEliminate[$, {Abs[Yₑ]^2, Abs[Yᵥ]^2}] /. And → List; "PONdd",
Yield, $ = $ // tuRuleSolve[#, Tr[Φ.Φ] &] // First // (# /. $155[[-5, -3]] &);
$ // Framed,
Yield, $ /. (Reverse /@ $155) // Framed
```

]

●Lemma 5.5:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[\Phi^2] &\rightarrow 2c + 4a \text{Abs}[H']^2 \\ \text{Tr}[\Phi^4] &\rightarrow 2d + 8e \text{Abs}[H']^2 + 4b \text{Abs}[H']^4 \\ H' &\rightarrow \{1 + \phi_1, \phi_2\} \\ a &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 + \text{Abs}[Y_v]^2 \\ b &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^4 + \text{Abs}[Y_v]^4 \\ c &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 \\ d &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^4 \\ e &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 \text{Abs}[Y_v]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \text{Abs}[\phi_1]^2 + \text{Abs}[\phi_2]^2 + (\phi_1)^* + \phi_1 \rightarrow \text{Abs}[H']^2 \\ \rightarrow &\text{t}[\text{RL\_}]_{i\_ , j\_} \rightarrow 0 \text{ ; } i \neq 1 \mid \mid j \neq 1 \mid \mid \text{RL} = \text{L} \\ &\text{t}[\_]_{i,j} [\text{GWS basis}] \end{aligned}$$

The requirement  $\{T \cdot \nabla_R \rightarrow Y_R \cdot \nabla_R\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{t}[R]_{1,1})^* \text{t}[R]_{1,1} \quad \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix} \\ \rightarrow T^\dagger \cdot T \rightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow (\text{t}[R]_{1,1})^* \text{t}[R]_{1,1} \rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2$$

Proof:

Use the 8x8  $\mathcal{H}_{F_8}$  representation of:  $\Phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} S + \phi & T^* \\ T & S^* + \phi^* \end{pmatrix}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \{S \rightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_v)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_v)^* \phi_1 & (Y_v)^* \phi_2 & \text{t}[R]_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (Y_e)^* & 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_v & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y_e & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \phi \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_1)^* Y_v & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_v & Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \} \\ \rightarrow \Phi \rightarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & (Y_v)^* + (Y_v)^* \phi_1 & (Y_v)^* \phi_2 & (\text{t}[R]_{1,1})^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^* & (Y_e)^* + (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Y_v + (\phi_1)^* Y_v & -Y_e \phi_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\phi_2)^* Y_v & Y_e + Y_e \phi_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{t}[R]_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

◆Compute:  $\text{Tr}[\Phi \cdot \Phi]$

.....

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\Phi \cdot \Phi] \rightarrow 2 (\text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 + 2 (\text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 + \text{Abs}[Y_v]^2) \text{Abs}[H']^2)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\Phi \cdot \Phi] \rightarrow 2 (c + 2a \text{Abs}[H']^2)$$

```

$sexp = {Conjugate[a_b_] -> Conjugate[a] Conjugate[b], Abs[a_b_] -> Abs[a] Abs[b],
  a_ Conjugate[a_] -> Abs[a]^2, a_^2 Conjugate[a_] -> Abs[a]^4}
PR["In the same way Compute: ", $01 = $ = Inactive[Tr][Φ.Φ.Φ.Φ],
  Yield, $ = $ /. $sΦ1 /. tX[R | L]_ -> Y_R // Activate // Simplify;
  Yield, $ = Expand[$] //. tuRule[$155x] //. $sexp;
  Yield, $ = $01 -> $ //. tuRule[$155x] // tuTrSimplify[{Abs[_]}] // Simplify;
  Yield, $ = $ /.
    tuRuleSolve[$155x[[-1]], $155x[[-1, 1, 1]]] /.
    tuRuleSolve[$155x[[1]], $155x[[1, 1, 2]]] // Simplify;
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuRuleSolve[$155x[[1]], $155x[[1, 1, 4]]] /.
    tuRuleSolve[$155x[[1]], $155x[[1, 1, 3]]] // Simplify;
  Yield, $ = $ /. (#^2 & /@ tuRuleSolve[$155x[[1]], $155x[[1, 1, 3]]][[1]] // Expand) /.
    $sexp // Simplify;
  Yield, $ = $ /. $sexp /. tuRuleSolve[$155x[[1]], $155x[[1, 1, 2]]];
  Yield, $ =
    $ /. tuRuleSolve[$155x[[-1]], $155x[[-1, 1, 1]]] // Collect[#, Abs[H'], Simplify] &;
  ColumnSumExp[$];
  Yield,
  $trpppp = $ = $ /. tuRuleSolve[Expand[#^2 & /@ $155x[[-1]]], Abs[t[L]_{1,1}]^4] // Collect[#,
    {Abs[H'], Tr[Abs[Y_R]^2, Conjugate[Y_V], Y_V, t[L]_{1,1}, Abs[t[R]_{1,1}]}], Simplify] &;
  ColumnSumExp[$] // Framed,
  Yield, $ /. (Reverse /@ $155) // Framed
  (*t[L]_{1,1} -> in this case.*)
];

{(a_b_)* -> a*b*, Abs[a_b_] -> Abs[a] Abs[b], a_* a_ -> Abs[a]^2, a_^2 a_ -> Abs[a]^4}

```

In the same way Compute:  $\text{Tr}[\Phi.\Phi.\Phi.\Phi]$

→  
→  
→  
→  
→  
→  
→  
→

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\Phi.\Phi.\Phi.\Phi] \rightarrow \sum \begin{bmatrix} 2 \text{Abs}[t[R]_{1,1}]^4 \\ 8 \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 \text{Abs}[Y_V]^2 \text{Abs}[H']^2 \\ 4 (\text{Abs}[Y_e]^4 + \text{Abs}[Y_V]^4) \text{Abs}[H']^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\Phi.\Phi.\Phi.\Phi] \rightarrow 2 \text{Abs}[t[R]_{1,1}]^4 + 8 e \text{Abs}[H']^2 + 4 b \text{Abs}[H']^4$$

Lemma 5.6

```

PR["●Lemma 5.6. ",
  $156 = $ = {Tr[tuDDown[iD][Φ, μ] tuDUp[iD][Φ, μ] -> 4 a Abs[tuDDown[iD][H', μ]]^2,
    tuDDown[iD][H', μ] -> tuDDown["o"][H', μ] +
      I xSum[T[Q, "du", {μ, j}] T[σ, "d", {j}], {j, 3}]. H' - I T[Δ, "d", {μ}]. H',
    $e31 = tuDDown[iD][Φ, μ] -> tuDPartial[Φ, μ] + I CommutatorM[T[B, "d", {μ}], Φ],
    tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][Φ] // Select[#, tuHasAllQ[#, S] &] & // First,
    H' -> {φ1 + 1, φ2},
    T[Q, "d", {μ}] -> xSum[T[Q, "du", {μ, j}] T[σ, "d", {j}], {j, 3}],
    T[Q, "du", {μ, j}][CG["R"]]}

```



```

}; ColumnBar[$], accumGWS[$],
line,
NL, "■ Proof: ",
NL, "Recall ", $156[[3, 1]], " is finite part of ", selectDef[tuDDown[id][ $\Phi$ ,  $\mu$ ]],

next, " In 8x8 space Calculate ", $ = $156[[3, 2, 1]], "POFF",
NL, "Use: ", $s = {$s $\Phi$ 1, $e58}; $s // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
Yield, $part[1] = $ = $ // expandCom[$s] // Simplify; $ // MatrixForms, CK,

"PON",
next, " Calculate ", $ = $156[[3, 2, 2]], "POFF",
Yield, $ = $ /. $s // . tt : tuDDown[" $\partial$ "] [ $a$ _,  $b$ _]  $\Rightarrow$  Thread[tt];
Yield, $ = $ // tuDerivativeExpand[{ $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ]},
Yield, $ // MatrixForms, "PONdd",

NL, "Summing: ", "POFF",
Yield, $d = $ = $e31[[1]] -> $part[1] + $ // Simplify;
MatrixForms[$], CK, "PONdd",
note,

Yield, $u = $d // tuIndicesRaise[{ $\nu$ ,  $\mu$ ]];
NL, "Compute: ", $u[[1]]. $d[[1]], "POFF",
NL, "Compute: ", $ = Thread[$u . $d, Rule] // Simplify, "PONdd",
NL, "Take Tr[]: ", $ = Tr /@ $; "POFF",
$ // Framed,
"PONdd",
NL, "Discard Dot (since all variabls are scalars) and expand/simplify: ",
$ = $ /. Dot -> Times // Expand;
$ = $ // . tuConjugateDistribute // tuConjugateSimplify[{ $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ }] // Expand //
tuIndexDummyOrdered;
NL, "Substitute to Abs[]: ", $scc = { $a$ _ cc [ $a$ _] -> Abs[ $a$ ]^2,
 $a$ _ (dd : DerivOps) [cc [ $a$ _,  $n$ _] -> dd[Abs[ $a$ ]^2,  $n$ ] / 2,
cc [ $a$ _] (dd : DerivOps) [ $a$ _,  $n$ _] -> dd[Abs[ $a$ ]^2,  $n$ ] / 2
}; $s // ColumnBar,
Yield, $ = $ /. $scc;
NL, "Simplify using symmetry properties of Q: ",
$sq = {cc[T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]2,1] -> T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]1,2,
cc[T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]2,1] -> T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]1,2, T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]2,1 -> cc[T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]1,2],
T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]2,1 -> cc[T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]1,2], cc[T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }] $n$ _, $n$ _] -> T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }] $n$ _, $n$ _],
cc[T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }] $n$ _, $n$ _] -> T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }] $n$ _, $n$ _],
T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]2,2 -> -T[q, "u", { $\mu$ }]1,1, T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]2,2 -> -T[q, "d", { $\mu$ }]1,1
}; $sq // ColumnBar,
Yield, $ = $ // . $scc // . $sq;
NL, "Introduce: ", $s = a -> Abs[Y $\nu$ ]^2 + Abs[Y $e$ ]^2,
Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. tuRuleSolve[$s, Abs[Y $e$ ]^2] // tuDerivativeExpand[] // Expand;
NL, "Collect by terms: ",
$s = {a, tuDDown[" $\partial$ "] [ $a$ ,  $\mu$ ], tuDUP[" $\partial$ "] [ $a$ ,  $\mu$ ], T[ $\Delta$ , "u", { $\mu$ }]},
Yield, $[[2]] = Collect[$[[2]], $s, Simplify[tuIndexDummyOrdered[Expand[#]]] &];
$pass = $;
NL, "Substitute: ",
$sH = Abs[H']^2 -> (1 +  $\phi_1$ ) cc[(1 +  $\phi_1$ )] +  $\phi_2$  cc[ $\phi_2$ ] // Expand // (# /. $scc &),
Yield, $ = $pass /. tuRuleSolve[$sH, Abs[ $\phi_2$ ]^2] // Expand;
Yield, $[[2]] = Collect[$[[2]], $s, Simplify[tuIndexDummyOrdered[Expand[#]]] &];
$pass1 = $
]

```

$\text{Tr}[\underline{D}_\mu[\Phi] \underline{D}^\mu[\Phi]] \rightarrow 4 \text{a Abs}[\underline{D}_\mu[H']]^2$   
 $\underline{D}_\mu[H'] \rightarrow -i \Lambda_\mu \cdot H' + i \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_\mu^j \sigma_j] \cdot H' + \underline{\partial}_\mu[H']$   
 $\underline{D}_\mu[\Phi] \rightarrow i [B_\mu, \Phi] + \underline{\partial}_\mu[\Phi]$   
 $\Phi \rightarrow \{S + \phi, T^*\}, \{T, S^* + \phi^*\}$   
 $H' \rightarrow \{1 + \phi_1, \phi_2\}$   
 $Q_\mu \rightarrow \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_\mu^j \sigma_j]$   
 $Q_\mu^j[R]$

**Lemma 5.6.**

---

**Proof:**  
 Recall  $\underline{D}_\mu[\Phi]$  is finite part of  $\underline{D}_\mu[\Phi] \rightarrow 1_N \otimes (-i [\Phi, B_\mu]_-) + 1_N \otimes \underline{\partial}_\mu[\Phi]$   
 ♦ In 8x8 space Calculate  $i [B_\mu, \Phi]_-$   
 ♦ Calculate  $\underline{\partial}_\mu[\Phi]$   
 .....  
 Summing:  
 .....  
 ☞  
 →  
 Compute:  $\underline{D}^\mu[\Phi] \cdot \underline{D}_\mu[\Phi]$   
 .....  
 Take Tr[]:  
 .....  
 Discard Dot (since all variabls are scalars) and expand/simplify:  
 Substitute to Abs[]:

$\Phi \rightarrow \{ \{0, 0, (Y_\nu)^* + (Y_\nu)^* \phi_1, (Y_\nu)^* \phi_2, (t[R]_{1,1})^*, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{0, 0, -(Y_e)^* (\phi_2)^*, (Y_e)^* + (Y_e)^* (\phi_1)^*, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{Y_\nu + (\phi_1)^* Y_\nu, -Y_e \phi_2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{(\phi_2)^* Y_\nu, Y_e + Y_e \phi_1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{t[R]_{1,1}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, Y_\nu + (\phi_1)^* Y_\nu, (\phi_2)^* Y_\nu\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -Y_e \phi_2, Y_e + Y_e \phi_1\},$   
 $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, (Y_\nu)^* + (Y_\nu)^* \phi_1, -(Y_e \phi_2)^*, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, (Y_\nu)^* \phi_2, (Y_e)^* + (Y_e \phi_1)^*, 0, 0\} \}$   
 $B_\mu \rightarrow \{ \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, -2 \Lambda_\mu, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, q_{\mu 1,1} - \Lambda_\mu, q_{\mu 1,2}, 0, 0, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{0, 0, q_{\mu 2,1}, q_{\mu 2,2} - \Lambda_\mu, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2 \Lambda_\mu, 0, 0\},$   
 $\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -(q_{\mu 1,1})^* + \Lambda_\mu, -(q_{\mu 1,2})^*\}, \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -(q_{\mu 2,1})^*, -(q_{\mu 2,2})^* + \Lambda_\mu\} \}$

→

Simplify using symmetry properties of Q:

$(q_{\mu 2,1}^\mu)^* \rightarrow q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu$   
 $(q_{\mu 2,1}^\mu)^* \rightarrow q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu$   
 $q_{\mu 2,1}^\mu \rightarrow (q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu)^*$   
 $q_{\mu 2,1}^\mu \rightarrow (q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu)^*$   
 $(q_{\mu n, n}^\mu)^* \rightarrow q_{\mu n, n}^\mu$   
 $(q_{\mu n, n}^\mu)^* \rightarrow q_{\mu n, n}^\mu$   
 $q_{\mu 2,2}^\mu \rightarrow -q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu$   
 $q_{\mu 2,2}^\mu \rightarrow -q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu$

→  
 Introduce:  $a \rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 + \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^2$   
 →  
 Collect by terms:  $\{a, \underline{\partial}_\mu[a], \underline{\partial}^\mu[a], \Lambda^\mu\}$   
 →  
 Substitute:  $\text{Abs}[H']^2 \rightarrow 1 + \text{Abs}[\phi_1]^2 + \text{Abs}[\phi_2]^2 + (\phi_1)^* + \phi_1$   
 →  
 →  $\text{Tr}[\underline{D}_\mu[\Phi] \underline{D}^\mu[\Phi]] \rightarrow$   
 $4 \text{Abs}[H']^2 (\underline{\partial}^\mu[Y_e]^* \underline{\partial}_\mu[Y_e] + \underline{\partial}^\mu[Y_\nu]^* \underline{\partial}_\mu[Y_\nu]) + a (\Lambda^\mu (4 i \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1]^* + 4 \text{Abs}[H']^2 \Lambda_\mu - 4 i \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1]) +$   
 $4 (-i \underline{\partial}^\mu[\phi_2]^* q_{\mu 1,2} - i \underline{\partial}^\mu[\phi_2]^* \phi_1 q_{\mu 1,2} + \text{Abs}[H']^2 q_{\mu 1,1} q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu - 4 q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \Lambda_\mu -$   
 $4 \text{Abs}[\phi_1]^2 q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \Lambda_\mu + 2 \text{Abs}[H']^2 q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \Lambda_\mu - 4 (\phi_1)^* q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \Lambda_\mu - 4 \phi_1 q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \Lambda_\mu - 2 (\phi_2)^* q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu \Lambda_\mu -$   
 $2 (\phi_2)^* \phi_1 q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu \Lambda_\mu + i q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1] + i (\phi_2)^* q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1] + \underline{\partial}^\mu[\phi_1]^* (-i q_{\mu 1,1}^\mu + \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1]) +$   
 $(q_{\mu 1,2}^\mu)^* (-i \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1]^* \phi_2 + \text{Abs}[H']^2 q_{\mu 1,2} - (1 + (\phi_1)^*) (2 \phi_2 \Lambda_\mu - i \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_2])) + \underline{\partial}^\mu[\phi_2]^* \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_2]) +$   
 $2 \underline{\partial}^\mu[t[R]_{1,1}]^* \underline{\partial}_\mu[t[R]_{1,1}] + 2 (\text{Abs}[\phi_1] \underline{\partial}_\mu[\text{Abs}[\phi_1]] + \text{Abs}[\phi_2] \underline{\partial}_\mu[\text{Abs}[\phi_2]] + \underline{\partial}_\mu[\phi_1]) \underline{\partial}^\mu[a] +$   
 $2 \underline{\partial}_\mu[a] (\underline{\partial}^\mu[\phi_1]^* + \text{Abs}[\phi_1] \underline{\partial}^\mu[\text{Abs}[\phi_1]] + \text{Abs}[\phi_2] \underline{\partial}^\mu[\text{Abs}[\phi_2]])$

```
PR[$ = $pass1;
  "If constant: ", $s = {Y_, t[R]_, a},
  Yield,
  $ = $ // tuDerivativeExpand[$s];
  $ = $ // Collect[#, {a, Abs[H']^2, Tensor[Δ, __]}, Simplify] &;
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  CR["It is unclear if this expression is equivalent expression on p.61."]
]
```

If constant: {Y\_, t[R]\_, a}  
 $\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\mathcal{D}_\mu[\Phi] \mathcal{D}^\mu[\Phi]] \rightarrow$

$$a \sum [ \begin{aligned} & -8 ((1 + (\phi_1)^*) (\mathfrak{q}_{1,2}^\mu)^* \phi_2 + 2 (1 + \text{Abs}[\phi_1]^2 + (\phi_1)^* + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{1,1}^\mu + (\phi_2)^* (1 + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{1,2}^\mu) \Lambda_\mu \\ & \text{Abs}[H']^2 (4 ((\mathfrak{q}_{1,2}^\mu)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} \mathfrak{q}_{1,1}^\mu) + \Lambda_\mu (8 \mathfrak{q}_{1,1}^\mu + 4 \Lambda^\mu)) \\ & 4 i \Lambda^\mu (\partial_\mu[\phi_1]^* - \partial_\mu[\phi_1]) \\ & -4 i (\partial^\mu[\phi_2]^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + \partial^\mu[\phi_2]^* \phi_1 \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + \partial^\mu[\phi_1]^* (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + i \partial_\mu[\phi_1]) - \mathfrak{q}_{1,1}^\mu \partial_\mu[\phi_1] - \\ & (\phi_2)^* \mathfrak{q}_{1,2}^\mu \partial_\mu[\phi_1] + i \partial^\mu[\phi_2]^* \partial_\mu[\phi_2] + (\mathfrak{q}_{1,2}^\mu)^* (\partial_\mu[\phi_1]^* \phi_2 - (1 + (\phi_1)^*) \partial_\mu[\phi_2])) \end{aligned} ]$$

It is unclear if this expression is equivalent expression on p.61.

```
PR[CO["By transforming the coefficients
  of Y's the derivation becomes more transparent."],
  NL, "If constant: ", $s = {Y_, t[R]_},
  NL, "Evaluate expression for ",
  $ = $d /. Dot -> Times /. $sq // tuDerivativeExpand[{Y_, t[R]_}] // Simplify;
  $[[1]], " can be written ",
  $0 = $;

  NL, "Relabel the coefficients of ", $s = Y_e,
  Yield,
  $1p0 = $0 // tuExtractPositionPattern[$s_] // Collect[#, T[Δ, "d", {μ}], Simplify] & //
    Collect[#, {$s, (1 + φ_)}, Simplify] &;
  (*painful way of manipulating equations*)
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 // tuDerivativeExpand[{φ_}],
  Yield, $1 = Last /@ $1p;
  $11 = CoefficientList[$1, $s] // DeleteDuplicates // Most // Rest /@ # & // Flatten //
    Simplify,
  $χ1 = $χ = $11 -> -I cc /@ {χ2, χ1} // Thread; $χ // ColumnBar,
  Yield, $s = tuRuleSolve[$χ, {_-T[Δ, "d", {μ}], _+T[Δ, "d", {μ}]}];
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 /. $s // Expand // Simplify,
  Yield, $0 = tuReplacePart[$0, $1p];
]
PR["Relabel the coefficients of ", $s = cc[Y_e],
  Yield,
  $1p0 = $0 // tuExtractPositionPattern[$s_] // Collect[#, T[Δ, "d", {μ}], Simplify] & //
    Collect[#, {$s, (1 + cc[φ_])}, Simplify] &;
  (*painful way of manipulating equations*)
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 // tuDerivativeExpand[{φ_}];
  Yield, $1 = Last /@ $1p;
  $11 = CoefficientList[$1, $s] // DeleteDuplicates // Rest /@ # & // Flatten // Simplify;
  $χ2 = $χ = $11 -> I {χ2, χ1} // Thread; $χ // ColumnBar,
  Yield, $s = tuRuleSolve[$χ, {_-T[Δ, "d", {μ}], _+T[Δ, "d", {μ}]}];
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 /. $s // Expand // Simplify,
  Yield, $0 = tuReplacePart[$0, $1p];
]
PR["Relabel the coefficients of ", $s = Y_v,
  Yield,
```

```

$1p0 = $0 // tuExtractPositionPattern[$s_] // Collect[#, T[Δ, "d", {μ}], Simplify] & //
  Collect[#, {$s, (1+cc[φ_])}, Simplify] &,
(*painful way of manipulating equations*)
Yield, $1p = $1p0 // tuDerivativeExpand[{φ_}];
Yield, $1 = Last /@ $1p;
$11 = CoefficientList[$1, $s];
Yield, $11 = $11 // DeleteDuplicates // Rest /@ # & // Flatten // Simplify;
$χ3 = $χ = $11 → I {χ1, -χ2} // Thread; $χ // ColumnBar,
Yield, $s = tuRuleSolve[$χ, {_-T[Δ, "d", {μ}], _+T[Δ, "d", {μ}]]];
Yield, $1p = $1p0 /. $s // Expand // Simplify,
Yield, $0 = tuReplacePart[$0, $1p];

]
PR["Relabel the coefficients of ", $s = cc[Yv],
  Yield,
  $1p0 = $0 // tuExtractPositionPattern[$s_] // Collect[#, T[Δ, "d", {μ}], Simplify] & //
    Collect[#, {$s, (1+φ_)}, Simplify] &, (*painful way of manipulating equations*)
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 // tuDerivativeExpand[{φ_}];
  Yield, $1 = Last /@ $1p;
  $11 = CoefficientList[$1, $s];
  Yield, $11 = $11 // DeleteDuplicates // Rest /@ # & // Flatten // Simplify;
  $χ4 = $χ = $11 → -I cc /@ {χ1, -χ2} // Thread; $χ // ColumnBar,
  Yield, $s = tuRuleSolve[$χ, {_-T[Δ, "d", {μ}], _+T[Δ, "d", {μ}]]];
  Yield, $1p = $1p0 /. $s // Expand // Simplify,
  Yield, $pass2 = $0 = tuReplacePart[$0, $1p] // Expand // Simplify;
];
PR["We reproduce the equation on p.61 except for Conjugate[φ_] expression. ",
$0 // MatrixForms,
NL, CO["This is the expression on p.69 except for Conjugate[φ_].
  To derive this expressions for χ's the manipulation had to
  be controlled in detail. Is there a general method? "],

NL, "The χ's are expressed: ",
$s = {$χ1, $χ2, $χ3, $χ4} // Flatten; $ // ColumnBar;
Yield, $1 = Select[$, !FreeQ[#, χ1] &] // DeleteDuplicates // tuRuleSimplify;
Yield, $2 = tuRuleSolve[$1, {cc[χ1], χ1}] // Simplify;

Yield, $1 = Select[$, !FreeQ[#, χ2] &] // DeleteDuplicates // tuRuleSimplify;
Yield, $ = {$2, tuRuleSolve[$1, {cc[χ2], χ2}] // Simplify};
$ // Flatten // ColumnBar // Framed
]
PR[
  "Using ", $s = a -> Abs[Yv]^2 + Abs[Ye]^2,
  imply, $s = tuRuleSolve[$s, Abs[Ye]^2],
  NL, "Compute ",
  $ = $pass2 /. tt:χn_ → T[tt, "d", {μ}];
  $1 = $ // tuIndexRaiseAll[μ, μ];
  $ = Thread[ Dot[$, $1], Rule];
  $ = Tr /@ $ // Expand;
  Yield, $ = $ /. $scc;
  Yield, $ = $ /. $s // tuConjugateSimplify[{μ}] // (# /. $scc /. $s &) // Expand;
  Yield, $ = $ // tuIndexDummyOrdered // Collect[#, {a}, Simplify] &;
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  NL, CG["This is equivalent to 2× the expression on p.61 for Trγ1."]
]

```

By transforming the coefficients of  $Y$ 's the derivation becomes more transparent.

If constant:  $\{Y_-, t[R]_-\}$

Evaluate expression for  $D_\mu[\Phi]$  can be written

Relabel the coefficients of  $Y_e$

```

→
→ {{2, 3, 2} → Y_e (i (1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} - i φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)),
   {2, 4, 2} → Y_e (-i (q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 - i (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)),
   {2, 6, 7} → Y_e (i (1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} - i φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)),
   {2, 6, 8} → Y_e (-i (q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 - i (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)), {2, 7, 6, 1, 2, 1} → 0, {2, 8, 6, 1, 1} → 0}
→ {i ((1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} - φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)), -i ((q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 + (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ))}
→ i ((1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} - φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)) → -i (χ_2)^*
   -i ((q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 + (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)) → -i (χ_1)^*
→
→ {{2, 3, 2} → Y_e (-i (χ_2)^* - ∂_μ[φ_2]), {2, 4, 2} → Y_e (-i (χ_1)^* + ∂_μ[φ_1]),
   {2, 6, 7} → Y_e (-i (χ_2)^* - ∂_μ[φ_2]), {2, 6, 8} → Y_e (-i (χ_1)^* + ∂_μ[φ_1]),
   {2, 7, 6, 1, 2, 1} → Y_e ∂_μ[φ_2], {2, 8, 6, 1, 1} → Y_e ∂_μ[φ_1]}
→ Null

```

Relabel the coefficients of  $(Y_e)^*$

```

→
→
→ -i (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,2})^* + i (φ_2)^* (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ) → i χ_2
→ i ((φ_2)^* q_{μ1,2} + (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)) → i χ_1
→
→ {{2, 2, 3} → -(Y_e)^* (∂_μ[φ_2]^* - i χ_2),
   {2, 2, 4} → (Y_e)^* (∂_μ[φ_1]^* + i χ_1), {2, 7, 6, 2} → i (Y_e)^* χ_2, {2, 8, 6, 2} → i (Y_e)^* χ_1}
→ Null

```

Relabel the coefficients of  $Y_v$

```

→ {{2, 3, 1} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_1]^* + i (φ_2)^* q_{μ1,2} + i (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)),
   {2, 4, 1} → Y_v (i (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,2})^* + ∂_μ[φ_2]^* - i (φ_2)^* (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)),
   {2, 5, 7} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_1]^* + i (φ_2)^* q_{μ1,2} + i (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)),
   {2, 5, 8} → Y_v (i (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,2})^* + ∂_μ[φ_2]^* - i (φ_2)^* (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ))}
→
→
→ i ((φ_2)^* q_{μ1,2} + (1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)) → i χ_1
→ i ((1 + (φ_1)^*) (q_{μ1,2})^* - (φ_2)^* (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ)) → -i χ_2
→
→ {{2, 3, 1} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_1]^* + i χ_1), {2, 4, 1} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_2]^* - i χ_2),
   {2, 5, 7} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_1]^* + i χ_1), {2, 5, 8} → Y_v (∂_μ[φ_2]^* - i χ_2)}
→ Null

```

Relabel the coefficients of  $(Y_v)^*$

```

→ {{2, 1, 3} → (Y_v)^* (-i (q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 - i (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ) + ∂_μ[φ_1]),
   {2, 1, 4} → (Y_v)^* (-i (1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} + i φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ) + ∂_μ[φ_2]),
   {2, 7, 5} → (Y_v)^* (-i (q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 - i (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ) + ∂_μ[φ_1]),
   {2, 8, 5} → (Y_v)^* (-i (1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} + i φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ) + ∂_μ[φ_2])}
→
→
→ -i ((q_{μ1,2})^* φ_2 + (1 + φ_1) (q_{μ1,1} - Λ_μ)) → -i (χ_1)^*
→ -i (1 + φ_1) q_{μ1,2} + i φ_2 (q_{μ1,1} + Λ_μ) → i (χ_2)^*
→
→ {{2, 1, 3} → (Y_v)^* (-i (χ_1)^* + ∂_μ[φ_1]), {2, 1, 4} → (Y_v)^* (i (χ_2)^* + ∂_μ[φ_2]),
   {2, 7, 5} → (Y_v)^* (-i (χ_1)^* + ∂_μ[φ_1]), {2, 8, 5} → (Y_v)^* (i (χ_2)^* + ∂_μ[φ_2])}
→ Null

```

We reproduce the equation on p.61 except for  $\text{Conjugate}[\phi_-]$  expression.  $\mathcal{D}_\mu[\Phi] \rightarrow \left( \begin{matrix} Y_\nu (\partial_\mu \\ Y_\nu (\partial_\mu \end{matrix} \right.$

This is the expression on p.69 except for  $\text{Conjugate}[\phi_-]$ .  
 To derive this expressions for  $\chi$ 's the manipulation had  
 to be controlled in detail. Is there a general method?  
 The  $\chi$ 's are expressed:

→  
 →  
 →

$$\begin{aligned} (\chi_1)^* &\rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* \phi_2 + (1 + \phi_1) (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} - \Lambda_\mu) \\ \chi_1 &\rightarrow (1 + (\phi_1)^*) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + (\phi_2)^* \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} - (1 + (\phi_1)^*) \Lambda_\mu \\ (\chi_2)^* &\rightarrow -(1 + \phi_1) \mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2} + \phi_2 (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + \Lambda_\mu) \\ \chi_2 &\rightarrow -(1 + (\phi_1)^*) (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,2})^* + (\phi_2)^* (\mathfrak{q}_{\mu 1,1} + \Lambda_\mu) \end{aligned}$$

Using  $a \rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 + \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^2 \Rightarrow \{\text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 \rightarrow a - \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^2\}$   
 Compute

→  
 →

$$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[\mathcal{D}_\mu[\Phi] \cdot \mathcal{D}^\mu[\Phi]] \rightarrow 4 a \sum \left[ \begin{aligned} &(\chi_1^\mu)^* (\chi_{1\mu} - i \partial_\mu [(\phi_1)^*]) \\ &(\chi_2^\mu)^* (\chi_{2\mu} + i \partial_\mu [(\phi_2)^*]) \\ &i \chi_1^\mu \partial_\mu [\phi_1] \\ &- i \chi_2^\mu \partial_\mu [\phi_2] \\ &\partial_\mu [\phi_1] \partial^\mu [(\phi_1)^*] \\ &\partial_\mu [\phi_2] \partial^\mu [(\phi_2)^*] \end{aligned} \right]$$

This is equivalent to  $2 \times$  the expression on p.61 for  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ .

Proposition 5.7. The spectral action of the AC-manifold

```

PR["Proposition 5.7. The spectral action of the AC-manifold ",
$ = M × FGWS → {C∞[M, C ⊕ H], L2[M, S] ⊗ (C4 ⊕ C4),
  slash[iD] ⊗ 1F + T[γ, "d", {5}] ⊗ iDF, T[γ, "d", {5}] ⊗ T[γ, "d", {F}], JM ⊗ JF};
$ // ColumnForms,
NL, "is ", $p57 = $ = {Tr[f[iDA / Δ]] → xIntegral[
  L[T[g, "dd", {μ, ν}], T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}], H'] Sqrt[Det[g]], x4],
  L[T[g, "dd", {μ, ν}], T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}], H'] →
  8 LM[T[g, "dd", {μ, ν}]] + LA[T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}]] +
  LH[T[g, "dd", {μ, ν}], T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}], H'],
  LA[T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}]] → f[0] / (12 π2) (6 T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}]
    T[Δ, "uu", {μ, ν}] + Tr[ T[Q, "dd", {μ, ν}] T[Q, "uu", {μ, ν}]]),
  LH[T[g, "dd", {μ, ν}], T[Δ, "d", {μ}], T[Q, "d", {μ}], H'] →
  b f[0] / (2 π2) Abs[H']4 + (-2 a f2 Δ2 + e f[0]) / π2 Abs[H']2 -
  c f2 Δ2 / π2 + d f[0] / (4 π2) + a f[0] s Abs[H']2 / (12 π2) +
  c f[0] s / (24 π2) + a f[0] Abs[tuDDown[iD][H', μ]]2 / (2 π2),
  $p35[[-1]]
]; $ // ColumnBar,

line,
NL, "Prop 3.5", yield, $ = tuRuleSelect[$p35][LM[_]][[1]], AppendTo[$p57, $];
NL, "Prop 3.7, Lemma 5.4", yield, $ = {tuRuleSelect[$p37][LB[_]][[1]], $154};
$ // ColumnBar, AppendTo[$p57, $];
NL, "Prop 3.5, Lemma 5.5", yield, $ = tuRule[{tuRuleSelect[$p37][LH[_]][[1]],
  $155, $156}] // Flatten; $ // ColumnBar, AppendTo[$p57, $];
accumGWS[prop57 -> $p57]
]

```

**Proposition 5.7. The spectral action of the AC-manifold**

$$M \times F_{\text{GWS}} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^\infty[M, \mathbb{C} \oplus H] \\ L^2[M, S] \otimes (\mathbb{C}^4 \oplus \mathbb{C}^4) \\ (\mathcal{D}) \otimes 1_F + \text{Tensor}[\gamma, | \text{Void} |, | 5 |] \otimes D_F \\ \text{Tensor}[\gamma, | \text{Void} |, | 5 |] \otimes \text{Tensor}[\gamma, | \text{Void} |, | F |] \\ J_M \otimes J_F \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[f[\frac{D_A}{\Lambda}]] &\rightarrow \int \sqrt{\text{Det}[g]} \mathcal{L}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, H'] d^4x \\ \mathcal{L}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, H'] &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A[\Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu] + \mathcal{L}_H[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, H'] + 8 \mathcal{L}_M[g_{\mu\nu}] \\ \mathcal{L}_A[\Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu] &\rightarrow \frac{f[0] (6 \Lambda_\mu \nu \Lambda^{\mu\nu} + \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}])}{12 \pi^2} \\ \text{is } \mathcal{L}_H[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, H'] &\rightarrow \\ &\frac{d f[0]}{4 \pi^2} + \frac{c s f[0]}{24 \pi^2} + \frac{a s \text{Abs}[H']^2 f[0]}{12 \pi^2} + \frac{b \text{Abs}[H']^4 f[0]}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{a \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H']^2 f[0]}{2 \pi^2} - \frac{c \Lambda^2 f_2}{\pi^2} + \frac{\text{Abs}[H']^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{\pi^2} \\ \mathcal{L}_M[g_{\mu\nu}] &\rightarrow -\frac{\Lambda^2 f_2}{24 \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 f_4}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] (\frac{11 R^* \cdot R^*}{360} - \frac{1}{20} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{\Delta[s]}{30})}{16 \pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Prop 3.5} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_M[g_{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow -\frac{\Lambda^2 f_2}{24 \pi^2} + \frac{\Lambda^4 f_4}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] (\frac{11 R^* \cdot R^*}{360} - \frac{1}{20} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \frac{\Delta[s]}{30})}{16 \pi^2}$$

**Prop 3.7, Lemma 5.4**  $\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_B[B_\mu] &\rightarrow \frac{f[0] \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}]}{24 \pi^2} \\ \{\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow 12 \Lambda_\mu \nu \Lambda^{\mu\nu} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}], \Lambda_\mu \nu \rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu], Q_\mu \nu \rightarrow i [Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu]\} \end{aligned}$$

**Prop 3.5, Lemma 5.5**  $\rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_H[g_{\mu\nu}, B_\mu, \Phi] &\rightarrow \frac{f[0] s[x] \text{Tr}[\Phi, \Phi]}{48 \pi^2} - \frac{\Lambda^2 f_2 \text{Tr}[\Phi, \Phi]}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] \text{Tr}[D_\mu[\Phi] \cdot D^\mu[\Phi]]}{8 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] \text{Tr}[\Phi, \Phi, \Phi, \Phi]}{8 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] \Delta[\text{Tr}[\Phi, \Phi]]}{24 \pi^2} \\ \text{Tr}[\Phi^2] &\rightarrow 2 c + 4 a \text{Abs}[H']^2 \\ \text{Tr}[\Phi^4] &\rightarrow 2 d + 8 e \text{Abs}[H']^2 + 4 b \text{Abs}[H']^4 \\ H' &\rightarrow \{1 + \phi_1, \phi_2\} \\ a &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^2 + \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^2 \\ b &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_e]^4 + \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^4 \\ c &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 \\ d &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^4 \\ e &\rightarrow \text{Abs}[Y_R]^2 \text{Abs}[Y_\nu]^2 \\ \text{Tr}[D_\mu[\Phi] D^\mu[\Phi]] &\rightarrow 4 a \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H']^2] \\ \tilde{D}_\mu[H'] &\rightarrow -i \Lambda_\mu \cdot H' + i \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_\mu^j \sigma_j] \cdot H' + \partial_\mu[H'] \\ \tilde{D}_\mu[\Phi] &\rightarrow i [B_\mu, \Phi] - \partial_\mu[\Phi] \\ \Phi &\rightarrow \{\{S + \phi, T^*\}, \{T, S^* + \phi^*\}\} \\ H' &\rightarrow \{1 + \phi_1, \phi_2\} \\ Q_\mu &\rightarrow \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_\mu^j \sigma_j] \end{aligned}$$

## ● 5.4 Normalization of kinetic terms

### 5.4.1 Rescaling the Higgs field



```

PR["The canonical kinetic energy term is of form ",
 $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \text{xIntegral}[1/2 \text{tuDPartial}[\psi, \mu] \text{tuDPartialu}[\psi, \mu] \sqrt{\text{Abs}[\text{Det}[\mathbf{g}]]}, \mathbf{x}^4],$ 
NL, "Identify this form within ",
NL, $ = \text{tuRuleSelect}[\$defGWS][\mathcal{L}_H[___]] // \text{Select}[\#, \text{tuHasNoneQ}[\#, \mathbb{Q}] \&] \& // \text{Last},
Yield, $ = $ // \text{tuTermSelect}[\text{id}] // \text{Last},
" as the kinetic energy Lagrangian density for
Higg's and rescale to canonical form by ",
$ = H \rightarrow \sqrt{(a f[0] / \pi^2) H'}; $ // \text{Framed}, \text{accumGWS}[$]
]

```

The canonical kinetic energy term is of form  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \int \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{Abs}[\text{Det}[\mathbf{g}]]} \partial_\mu[\psi] \partial^\mu[\psi] d\mathbf{x}^4$

Identify this form within

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_H[\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, H'] &\rightarrow \frac{d f[0]}{4 \pi^2} + \frac{c s f[0]}{24 \pi^2} + \frac{a s \text{Abs}[H']^2 f[0]}{12 \pi^2} + \\
&\quad \frac{b \text{Abs}[H']^4 f[0]}{2 \pi^2} + \frac{a \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H']]^2 f[0]}{2 \pi^2} - \frac{c \Lambda^2 f_2}{\pi^2} + \frac{\text{Abs}[H']^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{\pi^2} \\
&\rightarrow \frac{a \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H']]^2 f[0]}{2 \pi^2} \text{ as the kinetic energy Lagrangian density}
\end{aligned}$$

for Higg's and rescale to canonical form by

$$H \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{a f[0]} H'}{\pi}$$

#### 5.4.2 The coupling constants

```

PR["Rescale Gauge fields: ", $gaugeRescaled = $ = {
  T[Δ, "d", {μ}] -> g1[CG["coupling"]] / 2 T[B, "d", {μ}],
  T[Q, "du", {μ, a}] -> T[W, "du", {μ, a}] g2[CG["coupling"]] / 2,
  T[Q, "d", {μ}] -> T[W, "d", {μ}] g2 / 2,
  g1[CG["coupling"]],
  g2[CG["coupling"]],
  T[B, "d", {μ}][CG["U[1] hypercharge field"]],
  T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}] -> g1 / 2 T[B, "dd", {μ, ν}],
  T[Q, "ddu", {μ, ν, a}] -> g2 / 2 T[W, "ddu", {μ, ν, a}]
}; $ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[$gaugeRescaled], CR["Why?"],
NL, "Previously ",
$pass =
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][{T[Q, "dd", {μ, ν}], T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}]}] // DeleteDuplicates;
$ // ColumnBar
]
PR["From Lemma 5.6: ",
  $s = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][T[Q, "d", {_}]] // Select[#, !FreeQ[#, xSum] &] & // Last //
  tuAddPatternVariable[μ] // (# /. xSum[a_, _] -> a &),
  Yield, $ = $pass /. $s /. CommutatorM[a_, b_] -> CommutatorM[a, (b /. j -> i)] //
  tuCommutatorSimplify[{Tensor[Q, _, _]}] // tuDerivativeExpand[{Tensor[σ, _, _]}],
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuSU2commutation[σ] // tuIndexSwapUpDown[c$];
  NL, "In σ components: ",
  $[[1, 2, 1]] = $[[1, 2, 1]] /. {j -> k, c$ -> j};
  $ = $ /. Tensor[σ, _, _] -> 1 /. tt : T[Q, "dd", {μ, ν}] -> tuIndexAdd[-1, j][tt];
  $ // ColumnBar
]
PR["The rescaled relationships ",
  $ = $ /. (tuRule[$gaugeRescaled] // tuAddPatternVariable[{a, μ, ν}]) //
  tuDerivativeExpand[{g_}];
  $ = tuRuleSolve[$, {T[W, "ddu", {_, _, _}], T[B, "dd", {_, _, _}]}] // Expand;
  $ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[$]
]

```

Rescale Gauge fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_\mu &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} B_\mu g_1[\text{coupling}] \\
 Q_\mu^a &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} W_\mu^a g_2[\text{coupling}] \\
 Q_\mu &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu \\
 g_1[\text{coupling}] & \\
 g_2[\text{coupling}] & \\
 B_\mu[\text{U[1] hypercharge field}] & \\
 \Delta_\mu \nu &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \nu \\
 Q_\mu \nu^a &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu \nu^a
 \end{aligned}$$

Why?

Previously

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_\mu \nu &\rightarrow i [Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu [Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu [Q_\nu] \\
 \Delta_\mu \nu &\rightarrow -\partial_\nu [\Delta_\mu] + \partial_\mu [\Delta_\nu] \\
 \Delta_\mu \nu &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \nu
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 5.6:  $Q_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow Q_{\mu}^j \sigma_j$

$\rightarrow \{Q_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow i[\sigma_j, \sigma_i] - Q_{\gamma}^i Q_{\mu}^j - \sigma_j \partial_{\gamma} [Q_{\mu}^j] + \sigma_j \partial_{\mu} [Q_{\gamma}^j], \Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow -\partial_{\gamma} [\Lambda_{\mu}] + \partial_{\mu} [\Lambda_{\gamma}], \Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\gamma}\}$

$\rightarrow$

In  $\sigma$  components:

$$\begin{cases} Q_{\mu\gamma}^j \rightarrow -2 Q_{\gamma}^i Q_{\mu}^k \epsilon_{k i}^j - \partial_{\gamma} [Q_{\mu}^j] + \partial_{\mu} [Q_{\gamma}^j] \\ \Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow -\partial_{\gamma} [\Lambda_{\mu}] + \partial_{\mu} [\Lambda_{\gamma}] \\ \Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\gamma} \end{cases}$$

The rescaled relationships

$$\begin{cases} W_{\mu\gamma}^j \rightarrow -g_2 W_{\gamma}^i W_{\mu}^k \epsilon_{k i}^j - \partial_{\gamma} [W_{\mu}^j] + \partial_{\mu} [W_{\gamma}^j] \\ B_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow -\partial_{\gamma} [B_{\mu}] + \partial_{\mu} [B_{\gamma}] \end{cases}$$

```
PR["Evaluate ",
  $ = selectGWS[Tr[_], {F, T[Λ, "dd", {μ, γ}], Q, μ, γ}],
  NL, "Apply ",
  $$ = selectGWS[{Tensor[Λ, __]}, {B, μ, γ}];
  $$ = {$$, tuIndicesRaise[{μ, γ}][$$]},
  Yield, $ = $ /. $$,
  NL, "Apply ",
  $$ = selectGWS[Tensor[Q, __], σ],
  $$ = T[σ, "d", {a}] # & /@ $$,
  $$ = {$$, tuIndicesRaise[{μ, γ}][$$]} // tuAddPatternVariable[a] // Flatten,
  Yield,
  $ = $ /. tt : Tensor[Q, __, __] := tuIndexAdd[-1, a][tt] /.
    tt : T[Q, "uuu", {i_, j_, a}] := ((tt /. a -> b) T[σ, "d", {b}]) /.
    tt : T[Q, "ddu", {i_, j_, a}] -> tt T[σ, "d", {a}],
  Yield, $ = $ // tuTrSimplify[{Tensor[Q, __, __]}],
  NL, "Apply ",
  $$ = Tr[T[σ, "d", {a}] T[σ, "d", {b}]] -> 2 T[δ, "dd", {a, b}],
  Yield, $ = $ /. $$;
  $[[2]] = tuIndexContractUpDn[δ, {b}] /@ $[[2]]; $,
  NL, "Apply ", $$ = selectGWS[Tensor[Q, __], W];
  $$ = {$$, $$ // tuIndicesRaise[{μ, γ}] // tuIndicesLower[{a}]} //
    tuAddPatternVariable[{μ, γ, a}],
  Yield, $ = $ /. $$; $ // Framed, CG[" (5.14)", accumGWS[$]
]
```

Evaluate  $\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 12 \Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \Lambda^{\mu\gamma} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_{\mu\gamma} Q^{\mu\gamma}]$

Apply  $\{\Lambda_{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\gamma}, \Lambda^{\mu\gamma} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B^{\mu\gamma}\}$

$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\gamma} B^{\mu\gamma} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_{\mu\gamma} Q^{\mu\gamma}]$

Apply  $Q_{\mu} \rightarrow \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_{\mu}^j \sigma_j] Q_{\mu} \sigma_a \rightarrow \sigma_a \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_{\mu}^j \sigma_j] \{Q_{\mu} \sigma_{a-} \rightarrow \sigma_a \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q_{\mu}^j \sigma_j], Q^{\mu} \sigma_{a-} \rightarrow \sigma_a \sum_{\{j,3\}} [Q^{\mu j} \sigma_j]\}$

$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\gamma} B^{\mu\gamma} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_{\mu\gamma}^a Q^{\mu\gamma b} \sigma_a \sigma_b]$

$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\gamma} B^{\mu\gamma} + 2 Q_{\mu\gamma}^a Q^{\mu\gamma b} \text{Tr}[\sigma_a \sigma_b]$

Apply  $\text{Tr}[\sigma_a \sigma_b] \rightarrow 2 \delta_{ab}$

$\rightarrow \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\gamma} B^{\mu\gamma} + 4 Q_{\mu\gamma}^a Q^{\mu\gamma a}$

Apply  $\{Q_{\mu\gamma}^a \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_{\mu\gamma}^a, Q^{\mu\gamma a} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_2 W^{\mu\gamma a}\}$

$\rightarrow \boxed{\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\gamma} F^{\mu\gamma}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\gamma} B^{\mu\gamma} + g_2^2 W_{\mu\gamma}^a W^{\mu\gamma a}} \quad (5.14)$

### 5.4.3 Electroweak unification

```

PR["The canonical form of gauge field Kinetic term: ",
  $ = selectGWS[Tr[ T[F, "dd", {μ, ν}]_ ]];
$0 = L → -1 / 2 $[[1]],
NL, "Here ", $f = $,
NL, "Since ",
$ = {selectGWS[L_A[___], {f[0]}], selectGWS[Tr[_], {F, T[Δ, "dd", {μ, ν}], Q, μ, ν}]};
$ // ColumnBar,

NL, "Eliminate ", $s = tuTermSelect[Q][$][[1]] / 2, " from ",
NL, $ = {$} // Flatten; $ // ColumnBar,

Yield, $1 = $ = tuEliminate[$, {$s}] // tuRuleSolve[#, L_A[___]] & // Last,
NL, "Canonical form: ", $1 = $0[[2]] → $[[2]],
ImPLY, $ = tuRuleSolve[$1, f[0]] // Last; $ // Framed, accumGWS[$],
Yield, $ = $1 /. $,
Yield, $ = $1 /. $f // Expand,
NL, "Imposing conditions(5.16): ",
$s = {f[0] g12 / (8 π2) → 1 / 4, f[0] g22 / (24 π2) → 1 / 4},
Yield, $ = $ /. $s; $ // Framed, accumGWS[$],
Yield, $ = tuEliminate[$s, f[0]] // Simplify;
($ = $ /. Equal → Rule) // Framed, accumGWS[$],
NL, CR["This relationship stems from the imposed condition and may be arbitrary. "]
]

```

The canonical form of gauge field Kinetic term:  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}]$

Here  $\text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow 3 g_1^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + g_2^2 W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{\mu\nu}_a$

Since  $\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow \frac{f[0] (6 \Delta_\mu \nu \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}])}{12 \pi^2} \\ \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow 12 \Delta_\mu \nu \Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}] \end{cases}$

Eliminate  $\text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}]$  from

$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow \frac{f[0] (6 \Delta_\mu \nu \Delta^{\mu\nu} + \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}])}{12 \pi^2} \\ \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow 12 \Delta_\mu \nu \Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2 \text{Tr}[Q_\mu \nu Q^{\mu\nu}] \end{cases}$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow \frac{f[0] \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}]}{24 \pi^2}$

Canonical form:  $-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}] \rightarrow \frac{f[0] \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}]}{24 \pi^2}$

$\Rightarrow \boxed{f[0] \rightarrow -12 \pi^2}$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu}]$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow \frac{f[0] g_1^2 B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}}{8 \pi^2} + \frac{f[0] g_2^2 W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{\mu\nu}_a}{24 \pi^2}$

Imposing conditions(5.16):  $\left\{ \frac{f[0] g_1^2}{8 \pi^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4}, \frac{f[0] g_2^2}{24 \pi^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \right\}$

$\rightarrow \boxed{\mathcal{L}_A[\Delta_\mu, Q_\mu] \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} W_{\mu\nu}^a W^{\mu\nu}_a}$

$\rightarrow \boxed{3 g_1^2 \rightarrow g_2^2}$

This relationship stems from the imposed condition and may be arbitrary.

```

PR["• Evaluate: ", $ = selectGWS[{tuDDown[iD][_, μ]}, {}] /. xSum[a_, _] → a,
NL, "The scaling for H' drops out and using ",
$s = tuRule[selectGWS[#, {"coupling"}] & /@ {T[Δ, "d", {}], T[Q, "du", {}]}] //
  tuAddPatternVariable[{a, μ}],
Yield, $e515 = $ /. $s /. H' → H;
$ // Framed, accumGWS[$];
CG[" (5.15)", accumGWS[$]
]

```

• Evaluate:  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H'] \rightarrow -i \Lambda_\mu \cdot H' + i (Q_\mu^j \sigma_j) \cdot H' + \partial_\mu[H']$

The scaling for H' drops out and using  $\{\Lambda_\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu, Q_\mu^a \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^a\}$

$$\rightarrow \boxed{\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow -i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \right) \cdot H + i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^j \sigma_j \right) \cdot H + \partial_\mu[H]} \quad (5.15)$$

## ● 5.5 The Higgs mechanism

```

PR["● The Higgs portion of the Lagrangian ",
  $ = tuRuleSelect[$defGWS][ $\mathcal{L}_H$ [_]] // Select[#, tuHasNoneQ[#,  $\Phi$ ] &] // Last;
  $ = selectGWS[ $\mathcal{L}_H$ [_], H'];
  Yield, $higgsL =
    $ = $ /. tuRuleSolve[selectGWS[H, f[0]], H'] // tuDerivativeExpand[{f[0], a}] //
      tuOpSimplifyF[Abs, {1 /  $\sqrt{a f[0]}$  }];
  $ // ColumnSumExp,
  NL, "Assuming scalar curvature ", $s = s  $\rightarrow$  0, ", minimize the Potential wrt H: ",

  $ =  $\mathcal{L}_{Hpot}$   $\rightarrow$  (Apply[Plus, tuTermSelect[H][$]] /. tuDDown[iD][_ , _]  $\rightarrow$  0) /. $s,
  accumGWS[$], CK,
  Implies, "The non-zero minima is ",
  $ = 0  $\rightarrow$  tuDPartial[$[[2]], Abs[H]] // tuDerivOps2D;
  $ = tuRuleSolve[$, Abs[H]];
  $ = #^2 & /@ $[[2]]; $ // Framed, CG[" (5.18)"], accumGWS[$],

  NL, " which is identified with the vacuum state of the Higgs field ",
  {v, 0}  $\Rightarrow$  ($ = v^2  $\rightarrow$  $[[1]]), accumGWS[$],
  line,
  next, "Simplify Higgs potential by unitary transform: ",
  $u = {H  $\rightarrow$  u.H, u[CG["U[1] $\times$ SU[2]"]]}, u  $\rightarrow$  {{a, -cc[b]}, {b, cc[a]}}, a cc[a] + cc[b] b  $\rightarrow$  1};
  $u // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
  NL, "For general Higgs doublet: ", $ = {{h1, h2}  $\rightarrow$  u.{Abs[H], 0}, h1|2[CG[C]]},
  yield, $ = $ /. tuRuleSelect[$u][u]; $ // ColumnForms,
  Implies, "Can express ", $e519 = $ = {H  $\rightarrow$  u[x].{v + h[x]}, {0}},
    u[x]  $\rightarrow$  {{a[x], -cc[b[x]]}, {b[x], cc[a[x]]}}, h[x]  $\rightarrow$  Abs[H[x]] - v};
  $ // ColumnBar,
  CR["u[x] transform is the gauge freedom of H."],

  NL, "Re-express ", $0 = selectGWS[ $\mathcal{L}_{Hpot}$ ],
  NL, "in terms of ", $h2 = $ = Abs[H];
  $ = ($ /. $e519 /. Abs  $\rightarrow$  xAbs /. tuRuleSelect[$e519][u[x]] /.
    xAbs[vv : {a_, b_}]  $\rightarrow$   $\sqrt{ct[\{a\}, \{b\}].\{a\}, \{b\}}$  //
    tuConjugateTransposeSimplify[{v, h[x]}, {a[x], b[x], h[x], v}]) // Simplify;
  $h2 = $h2  $\rightarrow$  ($ /. (tuRuleSelect[$u][a cc[a] + b cc[b]] /. {a  $\rightarrow$  a[x], b  $\rightarrow$  b[x]}) // Flatten //
    Last),
  Yield, $ = $0 /. $h2,
  NL, "Substituting ", v^2, yield, $s = selectGWS[Abs[H]^2],
  yield, $s[[1]] = v^2; $s,
  Yield, $ = tuEliminate[{ $, $s, f2 },
  Yield, $ = Solve[$,  $\mathcal{L}_{Hpot}$ ][[1, 1]] // Collect[#, {b, a, f[0],  $\pi$ , h[x]}] &;
  $ // Framed, CG[" (5.20)"], accumGWS[{ $, $e519, $u, $h2 }],
  NL, "Note {mass,interaction,cosmological} terms with ", {h[x]^2, h[x]^(n>2), h[x]^0}
]

```

● The Higgs portion of the Lagrangian

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_H[g_\mu, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, \frac{H \pi}{\sqrt{a f[0]}}] \rightarrow \sum [ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{12} s \text{Abs}[H]^2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H]]^2 \\ \frac{b \pi^2 \text{Abs}[H]^4}{2 a^2 f[0]} \\ \frac{d f[0]}{4 \pi^2} \\ \frac{c s f[0]}{24 \pi^2} \\ - \frac{c \Lambda^2 f_2}{\pi^2} \\ \frac{\text{Abs}[H]^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{a f[0]} \end{array} ]$$

Assuming scalar curvature  $s \rightarrow 0$ , minimize the Potential wrt  $H$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Hpot}} \rightarrow \frac{b \pi^2 \text{Abs}[H]^4}{2 a^2 f[0]} + \frac{\text{Abs}[H]^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{a f[0]} \leftarrow \text{CHECK}$$

⇒ The non-zero minima is

$$\text{Abs}[H]^2 \rightarrow \frac{a (-e f[0] + 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{b \pi^2} \quad (5.18)$$

which is identified with the vacuum state of the Higgs field  
 $\{v, 0\} \Rightarrow (v^2 \rightarrow \text{Abs}[H]^2)$

◆Simplify Higgs potential by unitary transform:

$$\begin{array}{l} H \rightarrow u \cdot H \\ u[U[1] \times SU[2]] \\ u \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & -b^* \\ b & a^* \end{pmatrix} \\ a a^* + b b^* \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

For general Higgs doublet:  $\{h_1, h_2\} \rightarrow u \cdot \{\text{Abs}[H], 0\}, h_{1|2}[\mathbb{C}] \rightarrow \begin{array}{l} h_1 \rightarrow a \text{Abs}[H] \\ h_2 \rightarrow b \text{Abs}[H] \\ h_{1|2}[\mathbb{C}] \end{array}$

⇒ Can express  $\begin{array}{l} H \rightarrow u[x] \cdot \{v + h[x], 0\} \\ u[x] \rightarrow \{a[x], -b[x]^*\} \\ h[x] \rightarrow -v + \text{Abs}[H[x]] \end{array}$

$u[x]$  transform is the gauge freedom of  $H$ .

$$\text{Re-express } \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hpot}} \rightarrow \frac{b \pi^2 \text{Abs}[H]^4}{2 a^2 f[0]} + \frac{\text{Abs}[H]^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{a f[0]}$$

in terms of  $\text{Abs}[H] \rightarrow \sqrt{(v + h[x])^2}$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hpot}} \rightarrow \frac{b \pi^2 (v + h[x])^4}{2 a^2 f[0]} + \frac{(v + h[x])^2 (e f[0] - 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{a f[0]}$$

$$\text{Substituting } v^2 \rightarrow \text{Abs}[H]^2 \rightarrow \frac{a (-e f[0] + 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{b \pi^2} \rightarrow v^2 \rightarrow \frac{a (-e f[0] + 2 a \Lambda^2 f_2)}{b \pi^2}$$

$$\rightarrow 2 a^2 \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hpot}} == \frac{b \pi^2 (-v^4 + 4 v^2 h[x]^2 + 4 v h[x]^3 + h[x]^4)}{f[0]} \&\& b \neq 0 \&\& f[0] \neq 0$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hpot}} \rightarrow \frac{b \pi^2 (-\frac{v^4}{2} + 2 v^2 h[x]^2 + 2 v h[x]^3 + \frac{h[x]^4}{2})}{a^2 f[0]} \quad (5.20)$$

Note {mass, interaction, cosmological} terms with  $\{h[x]^2, h[x]^{n>2}, h[x]^0\}$

### 5.5.1 Massive gauge bosons

```

PR["● The Higgs Lagrangian at minimum potential ",
  $ = $higgsL;
  $ = $ /. s -> 0 /. (√# & /@ selectGWS[Abs[H]^2]) // Expand,
  NL, "is the kinetic energy portion: ", $[[2]] = $[[2]] // tuTermSelect[H] // First;
  $ = $ /. LH -> Lkin,
  NL, "and must be invariant under unitary gauge transform ",
  $e519 // MatrixForms // ColumnBar,
  NL, "Examine ", $0 = $ = selectGWS[tuDDown[iD][H, μ]],
  NL, "Under transform: ",
  $$ = {H -> u.H, ($pass /. T[W, "d", {μ}] -> T[W, "du", {μ, j}] T[σ, "d", {j}])};
  $$ // ColumnBar,
  Yield, $[[2]] = $[[2]] /. $$,
  Yield, $ = $ /. tuOpDistribute[Dot] /. tuOpSimplify[Dot, {g_, Tensor[B | σ, __]}],
  NL, "Since ", $$ = {u.ct[u] -> 1, $$ = ct[u].u -> 1,
    tuDPartial[#, μ] & /@ $$ // tuDerivativeExpand[] //
    tuConjugateTransposeSimplify[{μ}] // tuRuleSolve[#, #[[1, 2]]] &
  } // Flatten,
  Yield, $ = $ // expandDC[$$] // tuDerivativeExpand[] // Expand;
  $ // Framed,
  NL, CG[Abs[$[[1]]], " invariant under gauge transform."]
]

```

● The Higgs Lagrangian at minimum potential

$$\mathcal{L}_H[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, \frac{H\pi}{\sqrt{af[0]}}] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H]]^2 + \frac{df[0]}{4\pi^2} - \frac{e^2 f[0]}{2b\pi^2} - \frac{c\Lambda^2 f_2}{\pi^2} + \frac{2ae\Lambda^2 f_2}{b\pi^2} - \frac{2a^2\Lambda^4 f_2^2}{b\pi^2 f[0]}$$

is the kinetic energy portion:  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{kin}}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Lambda_\mu, Q_\mu, \frac{H\pi}{\sqrt{af[0]}}] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H]]^2$

and must be invariant under unitary gauge transform

$$\begin{aligned} H &\rightarrow u[x] \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v + h[x] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ u[x] &\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a[x] & -b[x]^* \\ b[x] & a[x]^* \end{pmatrix} \\ h[x] &\rightarrow -v + \text{Abs}[H[x]] \end{aligned}$$

Examine  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow -i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \right) \cdot H + i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^j \sigma_j \right) \cdot H + \partial_\mu[H]$

Under transform:  $\begin{cases} H \rightarrow u.H \\ \{Q_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i[Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\nu}\} \end{cases}$

$$\rightarrow -i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \right) \cdot H + i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^j \sigma_j \right) \cdot H + \partial_\mu[H] / .$$

$$\{H \rightarrow u.H, \{Q_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i[Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\nu}\}\}$$

$$\rightarrow \tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow \left( -\frac{1}{2} i H g_1 B_\mu + \frac{1}{2} i W_\mu^j \cdot H g_2 \sigma_j + \partial_\mu[H] \right) / .$$

$$\{H \rightarrow u.H, \{Q_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i[Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\nu}\}\}$$

Since  $\{u \cdot u^\dagger \rightarrow 1, u^\dagger \cdot u \rightarrow 1, \partial_\mu[u^\dagger] \cdot u \rightarrow -u^\dagger \cdot \partial_\mu[u]\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \quad & \tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow \left( -\frac{1}{2} i H g_1 B_\mu + \frac{1}{2} i W_\mu^j \cdot H g_2 \sigma_j + \partial_\mu[H] \right) / . \\ & \{H \rightarrow u.H, \{Q_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow i[Q_\mu, Q_\nu] - \partial_\nu[Q_\mu] + \partial_\mu[Q_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -\partial_\nu[\Lambda_\mu] + \partial_\mu[\Lambda_\nu], \Lambda_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_{\mu\nu}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H]]$  invariant under gauge transform.



```

PR["Using ", $s = selectGWS[H, u[x]] /. u[x] → 1 // expandDC[],
NL, "•Evaluate ", $ = $e515, $real = {v, h[x], g_, Tensor[W | B, _, _], μ};
Yield, $ = $ // tuIndexSum[{j}, {1, 2, 3}],
Yield, $ = $ // expandDC[] // (# // . tuOpSimplify[Dot, {g_, Tensor[W | B, _, _]}] &),
Yield, $[[2]] =
  $[[2]] /. Plus → Inactive[Plus] /. $s /. tuPauliExpand // tuDerivativeExpand[{v}];
$ // MatrixForms // ColumnSumExp, CK,
$ = {$, tuIndicesRaise[μ]{$}};
NL, "•Compute ",
$ = ct[$[[1, 1]]].($[[2, 1]] → (ct[$[[1, 1]]].$[[2, 1]] /. $) // Activate,
Yield, $ = $ // tuConjugateSimplify[$real] // tuIndexDummyOrdered // Simplify;
$[[1]] = Abs[tuDDown[iD][H, μ]]^2;
$[[2]] = Flatten[$[[2]]] // Last;
($d2 = $) // ColumnSumExp // Framed,
NL, CR[Plus @@ tuTermSelect[{B, W}][Expand[$]] // Simplify,
  " gives the electro-weak mixing angle between the gauge fields."],
NL, "defined as ", $ = {c_w → Cos[Θ_w], Cos[Θ_w] → g_2 / √(g_1^2 + g_2^2),
  s_w → Sin[Θ_w], Sin[Θ_w] → g_1 / √(g_1^2 + g_2^2)};
$ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[{ $d2, $}],
NL, "Given the relation ", $sg = tuRuleSolve[selectGWS[a_ g_1^2, g_2] // Last,
  Imply, $ = tuRuleSelect[$ /. $sg][{Cos[_], Sin[_]}];
$ = Map[#^2 & /@ # &, $];
$ // ColumnBar, accumGWS[$],
CR["at the electroweak unification scale ", Δ_EW, ". Why at this scale?"]
]

```

Using  $H \rightarrow \{\{v + h[x]\}, \{0\}\}$

•Evaluate  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow -i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \right) \cdot H + i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^j \sigma_j \right) \cdot H + \partial_\mu[H]$

→  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow -i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_1 B_\mu \right) \cdot H + i \left( \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^1 \sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^2 \sigma_2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2 W_\mu^3 \sigma_3 \right) \cdot H + \partial_\mu[H]$

→  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} i H g_1 B_\mu + i \left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 \cdot H g_2 W_\mu^1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2 \cdot H g_2 W_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_3 \cdot H g_2 W_\mu^3 \right) + \partial_\mu[H]$

→

$$\tilde{D}_\mu[H] \rightarrow \sum \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_1 B_\mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^1 + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^2 + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^3 \\ \partial_\mu[h[x]] \\ -\mu \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{CHECK}$$

•Compute  $\tilde{D}_\mu[H]^\dagger \cdot \tilde{D}^\mu[H] \rightarrow$

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^1 + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^2 \right)^* \left( \frac{1}{2} (v + h[x]) g_2 W^\mu{}^1 + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W^\mu{}^2 \right) + \right. \\ \left. \left( -\frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_1 B_\mu + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W_\mu^3 + \partial_\mu[h[x]] \right)^* \right. \\ \left. \left( -\frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_1 B^\mu + \frac{1}{2} i (v + h[x]) g_2 W^\mu{}^3 + \partial^\mu[h[x]] \right) \right\}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{Abs}[\tilde{D}_\mu[H]]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \sum \begin{pmatrix} (v + h[x])^2 g_1^2 B_\mu B^\mu \\ -2 (v + h[x])^2 g_1 g_2 B^\mu W_\mu^3 \\ (v + h[x])^2 g_2^2 (W_\mu^1 W^\mu{}^1 + W_\mu^2 W^\mu{}^2 + W_\mu^3 W^\mu{}^3) \\ 4 \partial_\mu[h[x]] \partial^\mu[h[x]] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (v + h[x])^2 g_1 g_2 B^\mu W_\mu^3$$

gives the electro-weak mixing angle between the gauge fields.

defined as

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_w \rightarrow \cos[\theta_w] \\ \cos[\theta_w] \rightarrow \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} \\ s_w \rightarrow \sin[\theta_w] \\ \sin[\theta_w] \rightarrow \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Given the relation  $g_2 \rightarrow \sqrt{3} g_1$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos[\theta_w]^2 \rightarrow \frac{3}{4} \\ \sin[\theta_w]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \text{ at the electroweak unification scale } \Lambda_{EW}. \text{ Why at this scale?}$$

```

PR["•From ", $d2 = selectGWS[{Abs[_]^2, iD}],
NL, "we see that ", {T[W, "du", {μ, 1}], T[W, "du", {μ, 2}]}, " are mass eigenstates.",
NL, "•Defining ",
$e521 = $ = {T[W, "d", {μ}] → (T[W, "du", {μ, 1}] + I T[W, "du", {μ, 2}]) / √2,
cc[T[W, "d", {μ}]] → (T[W, "du", {μ, 1}] - I T[W, "du", {μ, 2}]) / √2,
T[Z, "d", {μ}] → c_w T[W, "du", {μ, 3}] - s_w T[B, "d", {μ}],
T[A, "d", {μ}] → s_w T[W, "du", {μ, 3}] + c_w T[B, "d", {μ}]
}; $ // ColumnBar,
NL, "Inverting ",
$s = $ = tuRuleSolve[$e521, {T[W, "du", {μ, 1}], T[W, "du", {μ, 2}], T[W, "du", {μ, 3}],
T[B, "d", {μ}]}] /. Map[#^2 & /@ # &, selectGWS[#] & /@ {c_w, s_w}] // Simplify;

$s = {$s, $s // tuIndicesRaise[{μ}]} // Flatten; $s // ColumnBar,
Implied, $ = $d2 /. $s // Expand // Simplify; $ // ColumnSumExp,
Yield, $ = $ // tuIndexDummyOrdered // Collect[#, {Tensor[Z, _, _],
Tensor[A, _, _], Tensor[W, _, _], cc[Tensor[W, _, _]]}, Simplify] &;
$ // ColumnSumExp,
NL, "Given ", $s = (selectGWS[#] & /@ {s_w, c_w, Cos[Θ_w], Sin[Θ_w]}) /. $sg // PowerExpand,
Implied, $ = $ /. (tuRuleSolve[selectGWS[a_g1^2], g1] // Last) /. $s // Simplify;
$ // ColumnSumExp, CK,
NL, "So W's, and Z's acquire a mass term, but A's do not.",
CR["Do A's interact with h's? Consider interaction terms."],
NL, "Let the masses be ", {M_W → v g_2 / 2, M_Z → v g_2 / (2 c_w)}

]

```

•From  $\text{Abs}[\underline{\tilde{D}}_\mu[H]]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} ((v+h[x])^2 g_1^2 B_\mu B^\mu - 2 (v+h[x])^2 g_1 g_2 B^\mu W_\mu^3 + (v+h[x])^2 g_2^2 (W_\mu^1 W^{\mu 1} + W_\mu^2 W^{\mu 2} + W_\mu^3 W^{\mu 3}) + 4 \partial_{-\mu}[h[x]] \partial^\mu[h[x]])$

we see that  $\{W_\mu^1, W_\mu^2\}$  are mass eigenstates.

•Defining 
$$\begin{aligned} W_\mu &\rightarrow \frac{W_\mu^1 + i W_\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ (W_\mu)^* &\rightarrow \frac{W_\mu^1 - i W_\mu^2}{\sqrt{2}} \\ Z_\mu &\rightarrow -s_w B_\mu + c_w W_\mu^3 \\ A_\mu &\rightarrow c_w B_\mu + s_w W_\mu^3 \end{aligned}$$

Inverting 
$$\begin{aligned} W_\mu^1 &\rightarrow \frac{(W_\mu)^* + W_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \\ W_\mu^2 &\rightarrow \frac{i((W_\mu)^* - W_\mu)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ W_\mu^3 &\rightarrow s_w A_\mu + c_w Z_\mu \\ B_\mu &\rightarrow c_w A_\mu - s_w Z_\mu \\ W^{\mu 1} &\rightarrow \frac{(W^\mu)^* + W^\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \\ W^{\mu 2} &\rightarrow \frac{i((W^\mu)^* - W^\mu)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ W^{\mu 3} &\rightarrow s_w A^\mu + c_w Z^\mu \\ B^\mu &\rightarrow c_w A^\mu - s_w Z^\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Abs}[\underline{\tilde{D}}_\mu[H]]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \sum [ \begin{aligned} &(v+h[x])^2 g_2^2 (s_w^2 A_\mu A^\mu + (W^\mu)^* W_\mu + (W_\mu)^* W^\mu) \\ &2 (v+h[x])^2 g_1 g_2 s_w^2 A_\mu Z^\mu \\ &v^2 g_1^2 s_w^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu \\ &2 v h[x] g_1^2 s_w^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu \\ &h[x]^2 g_1^2 s_w^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu \\ &(v+h[x])^2 c_w^2 (g_1^2 A_\mu A^\mu - 2 g_1 g_2 A^\mu Z_\mu + g_2^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu) \\ &-(v+h[x])^2 c_w s_w (g_1^2 (A^\mu Z_\mu + A_\mu Z^\mu) - g_2^2 (A^\mu Z_\mu + A_\mu Z^\mu) + 2 g_1 g_2 (A_\mu A^\mu - Z_\mu Z^\mu)) \\ &4 \partial_{-\mu}[h[x]] \partial^\mu[h[x]] \end{aligned} ]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Abs}[\underline{\tilde{D}}_\mu[H]]^2 \rightarrow \sum [ \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4} (v+h[x])^2 (c_w g_1 - g_2 s_w)^2 A_\mu A^\mu \\ &\frac{1}{2} (W^\mu)^* (v+h[x])^2 g_2^2 W_\mu \\ &Z_\mu (-\frac{1}{2} (v+h[x])^2 (c_w^2 g_1 g_2 + c_w (g_1^2 - g_2^2) s_w - g_1 g_2 s_w^2) A^\mu + \frac{1}{4} (v+h[x])^2 (c_w g_2 + g_1 s_w)^2 Z^\mu) \\ &\partial_{-\mu}[h[x]] \partial^\mu[h[x]] \end{aligned} ]$$

Given  $\{s_w \rightarrow \sin[\theta_w], c_w \rightarrow \cos[\theta_w], \cos[\theta_w] \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \sin[\theta_w] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Abs}[\underline{\tilde{D}}_\mu[H]]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{18} \sum [ \begin{aligned} &9 (W^\mu)^* (v+h[x])^2 g_2^2 W_\mu \\ &6 (v+h[x])^2 g_2^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu \\ &18 \partial_{-\mu}[h[x]] \partial^\mu[h[x]] \end{aligned} ] \leftarrow \text{CHECK}$$

So W's, and Z's acquire a mass term, but A's do not.

Do A's interact with h's? Consider interaction terms.

Let the masses be  $\{M_W \rightarrow \frac{v g_2}{2}, M_Z \rightarrow \frac{v g_2}{2 c_w}\}$

tuSaveAllVariables[ ]