$$\int_{C}^{1/2} \int_{C}^{1/2} \int_{C$$

$$Z \mapsto f(z) \equiv z'$$

$$(\exists) b \longmapsto b'(z') = \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial z'}\right) \cdot b(z)$$

$$(z) \subset \longrightarrow C'(z') = \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial z'}\right)^{-\lambda} C(z)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}'} = \frac{\partial \overline{z}}{\partial \overline{z}'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}}$$

$$dz \mapsto dz' = \frac{\partial z'}{\partial z} dz$$

$$d\overline{z} \mapsto d\overline{z}' = \frac{\partial \overline{z}'}{\partial \overline{z}} d\overline{z}$$

$$\Longrightarrow S \mapsto \int d^2z' \, b'(z') \, \bar{\mathfrak{J}}' \, C'(z') \equiv S'$$

$$=\int dzd\bar{z}\frac{\partial\bar{z}'}{\partial\bar{z}'}\frac{\partial\bar{z}'}{\partial\bar{z}}\left(\frac{\partial\bar{z}}{\partial\bar{z}'}\right)^{\lambda}\left(\frac{\partial\bar{z}}{\partial\bar{z}'}\right)^{1-\lambda}\frac{\partial\bar{z}}{\partial\bar{z}'}b\bar{\partial}C$$

1.2)
$$b(z)$$
 $C(w) = \frac{1}{z-w}$ $\frac{1}{z-w}$ $\frac{1}{z-w}$

$$\sum_{n=-\lambda+1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m+\lambda}^{\infty} w^{m+i-\lambda}}{Z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+i-\lambda}}}{Z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+i-\lambda}} \qquad \sum_{m=-\lambda+1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m=-\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m=-\lambda+1}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m=-\lambda}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{m=-\lambda}^$$

$$b(z) \cdot C(\omega) = \int_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_n \cdot C_m}{z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+1-\lambda}} + \int_{N=1-\lambda}^{\infty} \left(\int_{m=-\infty}^{\lambda-1} \frac{b_n \cdot C_m}{z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+1-\lambda}} \right) + \int_{Z-w}^{\infty} \int_{N=-\infty}^{\lambda-1} \frac{b_n \cdot C_m}{z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+1-\lambda}} + \int_{Z-w}^{\infty} \int_{N=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b_n \cdot C_m}{z^{n+\lambda} w^{m+1-\lambda}} + \int_{Z-w}^{\infty} \frac{b_n \cdot C_$$

$$\int_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{n+\lambda}^{\infty} \int_{w+\lambda}^{\infty} \int_{w+\lambda}^{\infty}$$

1.3)
$$T^{(g)}(z):b(w):= g$$

expect $f: RHS \supset \frac{h_b}{(z-w)^a}b$.

Idea $f: RHS \supset \frac{h_b}{(z-w)^a}b$.

Idea $f: RHS \supset \frac{h_b}{(z-w)^a}b$.

Use $f: F:: G:=:FG:+S$. Cross-Contract $f: F: G:=:FG:+S$.

Then focus on the cross-contractions containing only $f: F: G:= f: FG:+S$.

 $f: F:: G:=:FG:+S$.

Then focus on the cross-contractions containing only $f: F: G:= f: FG:+S$.

 $f: F: G: FG:+S$.

 $f: F: G: FG:+S$.

 $f: FG: FG:+S$

$$(*) = -\lambda \cdot b_{z} \langle -b_{w} \partial_{z} C \rangle$$

$$= +\lambda \cdot b_{z} \partial_{z} \langle b_{w} C_{z} \rangle$$

$$= \lambda b_{z} \partial_{z} \frac{1}{w-z}$$

$$= \lambda b_{z} \frac{1}{w-z} \Rightarrow h_{b} = \lambda$$

Similarly for
$$h_{c}$$
,

 $T^{(g)}(z):C(w):\mathcal{L}:(\partial_{z}b)C_{z}:(1-\lambda):C_{w}:$
 $=(1-\lambda)(:\partial_{z}b)C_{z}C_{w}:+$
 $\partial_{z}b\langle C_{z}C_{w}\rangle - C_{z}\langle \partial_{z}bC_{w}\rangle$

$$(*) = -(1-\lambda) C_{z} \partial_{z} \langle b_{z} c_{w} \rangle$$

$$= -(1-\lambda) C_{z} \frac{-1}{(z-w)^{2}} \Longrightarrow h_{c} = 1-\lambda$$

Applying the same strategy as the previous problem,

$$T(z):T(w):=T(z)\cdot T(w):+2 \frac{cross-contract^{2}s}{contract^{2}s}$$
Remark) B , C absent so $C(g)=0$.

The $C-C$ terms will be
$$\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{z}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{w}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{w}\partial_{w}C\right]\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{w}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{w}\partial_{w}C\right]\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{w}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{w}\partial_{w}C\right]\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{w}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{w}\partial_{w}C\right]\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(\partial_{w}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}\partial_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_{w}\left(I-\lambda\right)-\lambda b_{z}C\right]\cdot\left[\left(\partial_{z}b\right)C_$$

$$\left\langle b_{Z}(w) \left\langle \partial_{w} b \partial_{z} C \right\rangle \right], \text{ where only}$$

$$\left(hon-vanishing \quad V \in V \text{s} \text{ are } \text{ kept.} \right)$$

$$= -\left((1-\lambda)^{2} \left(\partial_{z} \frac{1}{z-w} \right) \partial_{w} \frac{1}{w-z} - \lambda^{2} \left(\partial_{w} \frac{1}{z-w} \right) \cdot \left(\partial_{z} \partial_{w}$$

 $= \frac{1}{(z-w)^{4}} \left(\frac{-1-\lambda^{2}+2\lambda-\lambda^{2}+4\lambda\cdot(1-\lambda)}{(z-w)^{4}} \right) \left| \frac{z^{(g)}}{z^{(g)}} \right|$ $= \frac{1}{(z-w)^{4}} \left(\frac{-6\lambda^{2}+6\lambda^{2}-1}{2} \right) \frac{2}{2} \Rightarrow c^{(g)} = -3(2\lambda-1)^{2}+1$

Applying this to the first term, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \langle 0|\mathcal{R} \left[: \partial b(z)c(z) :: \partial b(w)c(w) : \right] |0\rangle &= \left(\partial_z \langle 0|\mathcal{R} \left[b(z)c(w) \right] |0\rangle \right) \left(\partial_w \langle 0|\mathcal{R} \left[c(z)b(w) \right] |0\rangle \right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{(z-w)^4}. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{R}\left[:b(z)\partial c(z)::b(w)\partial c(w):\right]|0\rangle = -\frac{1}{(z-w)^4}.$$

We also have that

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{R}\left[:\partial b(z)c(z)::b(w)\partial c(w):\right]|0\rangle = \partial_z\partial_w\left(\frac{1}{z-w}\right)\frac{1}{z-w} = -\frac{2}{(z-w)^4}$$

and similarly for the final term. Putting this all together, we find

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{R}[T(z)T(w)]|0\rangle = \frac{-6\lambda^2 + 6\lambda - 1}{(z-w)^4}.$$

Comparing this with (6), we see that

$$c = 2(-6\lambda^2 + 6\lambda - 1) = -3(2\lambda - 1)^2 + 1$$

Problem 2. Define

$$S := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_P \mathrm{d}^2 z \, \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial X \bar{\partial} X + b \bar{\partial} c + \tilde{b} \partial \tilde{c} \right] \,,$$

where X is a primary field with conformal weights (0,0), b and c are primary fields with conformal weights $(\lambda,0)$ and $(1-\lambda,0)$ respectively, and \tilde{b} and \tilde{c} are primary fields with conformal weights $(0,\lambda)$ and $(0,1-\lambda)$ respectively. (In string theory, $\lambda=2$, and we will indeed need to specialize to this case later on). Then, the energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T = T^{(m)} + T^{(g)}$$
 and $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}^{(m)} + \tilde{T}^{(g)}$.

where

$$T^{(m)}=-\frac{1}{2}:\partial X\partial X \text{ and } \tilde{T}^{(m)}=-\frac{1}{2}:\partial \bar{X}\bar{\partial}X:,$$

and

$$T^{(g)} = (1 - \lambda) : \bar{\partial}bc : -\lambda : b\bar{\partial}c : \text{ and } \tilde{T}^{(g)} = (1 - \lambda) : \bar{\partial}\tilde{b}\tilde{c} : -\lambda : \tilde{b}\bar{\partial}\tilde{c} :$$

We define the modes of the fields in the usual way. Then, define

$$Q := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[c_n L_{-n}^{(m)} + \tilde{c}_n \tilde{L}_{-n}^{(m)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[: c_n L_{-n}^{(g)} : + : \tilde{c}_n \tilde{L}_{-n}^{(g)} : \right] - (c_0 + \tilde{c}_0).$$

(2.1). We have that

$$\begin{split} \left[[L_m, b_n] &= [L_m^{(g)}, b_n] = \int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, w^{n+\lambda-1} \int_w \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, z^{m+1} \mathcal{R} \left[T^{(g)}(z) b(w) \right] \\ &= \int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, w^{n+\lambda-1} \left[\lambda b(w) \left(\int_w \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, \frac{z^{m+1}}{(z-w)^2} \right) + \partial b(w) \left(\int_w \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, \frac{z^{m+1}}{z-w} \right) \right] \\ &= \int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, w^{n+\lambda-1} \left(\lambda b(w) (m+1) w^m + \partial b(w) w^{m+1} \right) \\ &= \lambda (m+1) \int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{b_k}{w^{k-n+1-m}} - \int_0 \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(k+\lambda) b_k}{w^{k-n+1-m}} \\ &= \lambda (m+1) b_{m+n} - ((m+n) + \lambda) b_{m+n} = ((\lambda-1) m-n) \, b_{m+n} \end{split}$$

The classical BRST transformation of b(z) is $\delta b = \eta T$ (η is the Grassman parameter of the transformation). As Q generates this transformation in the quantum theory, we must have that

$${Q,b} = T,$$

from which it follows that

$$\{Q,b_m\}=L_m$$

(because, for the string, $\lambda = 2$, in which case the modes of b and the modes of T are defined in the same way.). (2.2).

$$\{[Q, L_m], b_n\} - [\{b_n, Q\}, L_m] - \{[L_m, b_n], Q\} = \{QL_m - L_m Q, b_n\} + [L_m, b_n Q + Qb_n]$$

$$+ \{b_n L_m - L_m b_n, Q\}$$

$$+ QL_m b_n - L_m Q b_n + b_n Q L_m - b_n L_m Q$$

$$+ L_m b_n Q + L_m Q b_n - b_n Q L_m - Q b_n L_m$$

$$+ b_n L_m Q - L_m b_n Q + Q b_n L_m - Q L_m b_n$$

$$= 0.$$

(2.3). Using the result of (2.2) and then the results of (2.1), we have

$$\{[Q, L_m], b_n\} = [\{b_n, Q\}, L_m] + \{[L_m, b_n], Q\} = [L_n, L_m] + \{(m-n)b_{m+n}, Q\}$$

$$= (n-m)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{m,-n} + (m-n)L_{m+n}$$

$$= \frac{c}{12}(n^3 - n)\delta_{m,-n}.$$

Thus, $\{[Q, L_m], b_n\}$ vanishes if c = 0.

(2.4). Q has ghost number 1 and L_m has ghost number 0. It then follows from the Jacobi identity (compute $[U, [Q, L_m]]$ with U the ghost operator) that $[Q, L_m]$ has ghost number 1. It follows that $[Q, L_m]$ will be a linear combination of terms that involve 1 more ghost than antighost. In particular, each term in $[Q, L_m]$ must contain at least one ghost, say c_k . Then, the anticommutator of this term with b_k will be a sum of terms, all of which vanish, except for the term containing $\{c_k, b_k\} = 1$ (this is because there can only be a single c_k in the term and the anticommutator of b_k with everything else vanishes). However, this anticommutator must vanish if c = 0 (from (2.3)), and so the coefficient of this term must vanish, and so $[Q, L_m] = 0$. (2.5).

$$[b_m, \{Q, Q\}] = [\{b_m, Q\}, Q] - [Q, \{b_m, Q\}] = [L_m, Q] - [Q, L_m] = 2[L_m, Q].$$

By the result of (2.4), if the central charge vanishes, then so does $[L_m, Q]$, and hence $[b_m, Q^2]$ vanishes for every b_m , and so by the same logic as in (2.4), Q^2 itself must vanish.

Problem 3. An arbitrary state at level 0 is given by

$$|\phi\rangle := |p\rangle|-,\tilde{-}\rangle,$$

where $|-, -\rangle$ is the ghost vacuum², and $|0\rangle$ is the oscillator vacuum. The condition that $|\phi\rangle$ be physical, i.e. $Q|\phi\rangle = 0$, reads

$$0 = Q|\phi\rangle = \left[c_0 L_0^{(m)} + \tilde{c}_0 \tilde{L}_0^{(m)} - (c_0 + \tilde{c}_0)\right] |p\rangle| -, \tilde{-}\rangle = \left(L_0^{(m)} - 1\right) |p\rangle| +, \tilde{-}\rangle + \left(\tilde{L_0}^{(m)} - 1\right) |p\rangle| -, \tilde{+}\rangle$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{8\pi\mu_0} p^2 - 1\right) |p\rangle| +, \tilde{-}\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{8\pi\mu} p^2 - 1\right) |p\rangle| -, \tilde{+}\rangle, \tag{7}$$

²There are three other ghost vacuums that are excluded by the physical state conditions $b_0|\psi\rangle=0=\tilde{b}_0|\psi\rangle$.

Problem 3 · N _ = N _ = 0 We analyse left-movers first, as the hint says. If 10) is physical, alp) = 0. We have \$ bm (p) = 0, m > 0 (cn/p)=0, m70 This implies Lolp>= | p>, since Lo-1= {Q, bo} and both 6. and Q kill 10). We consider the state $|\phi\rangle = |0, k\rangle$, where $-k^2 = -\frac{4}{11}$ (note Lo = & (P P p + m²) where 2 m² = 5 m (Non+Nc+ 2 Num)-1 From the form of Q in problem 2, we see Q O, K> = O. Also, there are no Q-exact states at this level, so each invariant state (0, K) corresponds to a cohomology class. The right-movers don't change the reasoning, with m=m=-4 so 10)=10,0, t), and we found the closed string tachyon. · N_ = N R = 1 Again, left - movers first: Write the general 10> = (e. x_1 + Bb_1 + 8c_1) 10, 1> with 26+1+1=28 degrees of freedom.

But
$$O = (L_0 - 1)|\phi\rangle$$
 $= (L_0^{(m)} - 1)|\phi\rangle + \sum_{m>0} m(c_{-m}b_m + b_{-m}c_m)|\phi\rangle$
 $= (L_0^{(m)} - 1)|\phi\rangle + (c_{-1}b_1 + b_{-1}c_1)(e_{-\infty} + \beta b_{-1} + \delta c_{-1})|O,K\rangle$

But $c_{-1}b_1|O,K\rangle = 0$ and $b_{-1}c_1|O,K\rangle = 0$

Also $\{b_{1},c_{-1}\}=1$ and $\{c_{1},b_{-1}\}=1$

So use got $O = (L_0^{(m)} - 1)|\phi\rangle + (\beta b_{-1} + \delta c_{-1})|O,K\rangle$
 $= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (c_{m} L_{-m}^{(m)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (m-m) : c_{-m}c_{-m}b_{m+m} :) - c_{0}|\phi\rangle$

The first sum will give only 3 surviving towns, since:

 $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (c_{m} L_{-m}^{(m)} (e_{-\infty} - 1)|O,K\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{-1} : \alpha l_{-m}^{(m)} \alpha l_{m} : e^{-\infty} c_{-1}|O,K\rangle$
 $= L_0^{(m)} (e_{-\infty} - 1)|O,K\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{-1} : \alpha l_{-m}^{(m)} \alpha l_{m} : e^{-\infty} c_{-1}|O,K\rangle$

The above sums will collapse using $(\alpha l_{m}, \alpha l_{m}) : e^{-\infty} c_{-1}|O,K\rangle$

the above sums will collapse using $(\alpha l_{m}, \alpha l_{m}) : e^{-\infty} c_{-1}|O,K\rangle$
 $+ (l_{1} \frac{2}{2} (\alpha l_{0} : \alpha l_{-1}) + c_{-1} \frac{2}{2} (\alpha l_{0} : \alpha l_{0}) + c_{-1} \frac{2}{2} (\alpha l_{0} : \alpha l_{0}) + c_{-1} \frac{2}{2} (\alpha l_{0} : \alpha l_{0}) + c_{-1} \frac{2}{2}$

Now use (*) to replace (Lo-1)(4) with a cancelling expression: 0= co(-(Bb-1+8c-1)+(Bb-1+8c-1))|p)+c-1(xo-x)(e-x,+Bb-1)| +c1(xo-x-1)(e-x,+Bb-1)|o = (c-1 do. e + do. x-1 B) (0, K) where I used (x1, x-1) = m ru in the first term and {c, b-1} = 1 in the second. But a is proportional to p, so c_1 k.e + B k.x_1 = 0 So we want: $k \cdot e = 0$ and B = 0. There are 26 states left that are lineary independent. There are then 2 zero norm states, those created by c_, and those created by k.x. So our Q-exact state is Q/x> = (c_(x.e)+ B(x.x.))0, K) where e' and B' are new constants, and we should exclude this. Since we found that c., 10, K) and p. x., 10, K) are spurious states we get 26-2 = 24 states in the end. Including the right--movers, we get [24° states for N_ = NR = 1.