Middleware Architectures 1 Lecture 5: Representational State Transfer

doc. Ing. Tomáš Vitvar, Ph.D.

tomas@vitvar.com • @TomasVitvar • https://vitvar.com



Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Information Technologies • Software and Web Engineering • https://vitvar.com/lectures






Overview

- Introduction to REST
- Uniform Resource Identifier
- Resource Representation
- Uniform Interface

Data on the Web



REST

- REST
 - Representational State Transfer
- Architecture Style
 - Roy Fielding co-author of HTTP
 - He coined REST in his PhD thesis.
 - → The thesis abstracts from HTTP technical details
 - \rightarrow HTTP is one of the REST implementation \rightarrow RESTful
 - → REST is a leading programming model for Web APIs
- REST (RESTful) proper design
 - people break principles often
 - See REST Anti-Patterns for some details.
- REST and Web Service Architecture
 - REST is a realization of WSA resource-oriented model

REST and Web Architecture

- Tim-Berners Lee
 - "creator", father of the Web
- Key Principles
 - Separation of Concerns
 - → enables independent innovation
 - Standards-based
 - → common agreement, big spread and adoption
 - Royalty-free technology
 - \rightarrow a lot of open source, no fees
- Architectural Basis
 - Identification: universal linking of resources using URI
 - Interaction: protocols to retrieve resources HTTP
 - Formats: resource representation (data and metadata)

HTTP Advantages

Familiarity

- HTTP protocol is well-known and widely used

Interoperability

- All environments have HTTP client libraries
 - → technical interoperability is thus no problem
 - → no need to deal with vendor-specific interoperability issues
- You can focus on the core of the integration problem
 - → application (domain, content) interoperability

Scalability

- you can use highly scalable Web infrastructure
 - \rightarrow caching servers, proxy servers, etc.
- HTTP features such as HTTP GET idempotence and safe allow you to use caching

REST Core Principles


- REST architectural style defines constraints
 - if you follow them, they help you to achieve a good design, interoperability and scalability.
- Constraints
 - Client/Server
 - Statelessness
 - Cacheability
 - Layered system
 - Uniform interface
- Guiding principles
 - Identification of resources
 - Representations of resources and self-descriptive messages
 - Hypermedia as the engine of application state (HATEOAS)

Resource

- A resource can be anything such as
 - A real object: car, dog, Web page, printed document
 - An abstract thing such as address, name, etc. $\rightarrow RDF$
- A resource in REST
 - A resource corresponds to one or more entities of a data model
 - A representation of a resource can be conveyed in a message electronically (information resource)
 - A resource has an identifier and a representation and a client can apply an access to it

Uniform Resource Identifier http://www.company.com/tomas/orders Representation Resource Metadata: ... Data: ... Orders

Access to a Resource



Terminology

- *Client* = *User Agent*
- **Dereferencing URI** a process of obtaining a protocol from the URI and creating a request.
- Access a process of sending a request and obtaining a response as a result; access usually realized through HTTP.

Overview

- Introduction to REST
- Uniform Resource Identifier
- Resource Representation
- Uniform Interface

URI, URL, URN

- URI Uniform Resource Identifier
 - URI only identifies a resource
 - \rightarrow it does not imply the resource physically exists
 - URI could be URL (locator) or URN (name)
- URL Uniform Resource Locator
 - in addition allows to locate the resource
 - \rightarrow that is its network location
 - every URL is URI but an URI does not need to be URL
- URN Uniform Resource Name
 - refers to URI under "urn" scheme (RFC 2141)
 - require to be globally unique and persistent
 - \rightarrow even if the resource cease to exist/becomes unavailable

URI


Definition

```
URI = scheme ":" [ "//" authority ] [ "/" path ] [ "?" query ] [ "#" frag ]
```

- Hierarchal sequence of components
 - scheme
 - → refers to a spec that assigns IDs within that scheme
 - \rightarrow examples: http, ftp, mailto, urn
 - → scheme != protocol
 - authority
 - → registered name (domain name) or server address
 - \rightarrow optional port and user
 - path and query
 - → identify resource within the scheme and authority scope
 - \rightarrow path hierarchal form
 - → query non-hierarchal form (parameters key=value)
 - fragment
 - → reference to a secondary resource within the primary resource

Resources over Entities

- Application's data model
 - Entities and properties that the app uses for its data



- URI identifies a resource within the app's data model
 - path a "view" on the data model
 - \rightarrow data model is a graph
 - → URI identifies a resource using a path in a tree with some root

Examples of Views

- View 1
 - all customers: /customers
 - a particular customer: /customers/{customer-id}
 - All orders of a customer: /customers/{customer-id}/orders
 - A particular order: /customers/{customer-id}/orders/{order-id}
- View 2
 - all orders: /orders
 - All orders of a customer: /orders/{customer-id}
 - A particular order: /orders/{customer-id}/{order-id}
- ⇒ Design issues
- Good design practices
 - No need for 1:1 relationship between resources and data entities
 - → A resource may aggregate data from two or more entities
 - \rightarrow Thus only expose resources if it makes sense for the service
 - Try to limit URI aliases, make it simple and clear

Path vs. Query

- Path
 - Hierarchical component, a view on the data
 - The main identification of the resource
- Query
 - Can define selection, projection or other processing instructions
 - Selection
 - → filters entries of a resource by values of properties /customers/?status=valid
 - Projection
 - → filters properties of resource entries
 /customers/?properties=id,name
 - Processing instructions examples
 - \rightarrow data format of the resource \rightarrow cf. URI opacity /customers/?format=JSON
 - → Access keys such as API keys
 /customers/?key=3ae56-56ef76-34540aeb

Fragment Semantics

- Fragment semantics for HTML
 - assume that orders.html are in HTML format.
 - 1 http://company.com/tomas/orders.html#3456
 - \Rightarrow there is a HTML element with id=3456
- But:
 - Consider orders resource in application/xml

- Can't say that http://company.com/tomas/orders.xml#3456 identifies an order element within the orders resource.
- application/xml content type does not define fragment semantics

Major characteristics

- Capability URL
 - Short lived URL generated for a specific purpose
 - For example, an user e-mail verification
- URI Alias
 - Two different URIs identifying the same resource
- URI Collision
 - One URI identifying two different resources (misuse of an URI authority)
- URI Opacity
 - Content type encoded as part of an URI
 - http://www.example.org/customers.xml
- Resource versions encoded in an URI
 - Two URIs identifying the same resource of different versions
 - http://www.example.org/v1/customers.xml
- Persistent URL
 - URL is valid even when the resource is obsolete
 - For example, a redirection should be in place

Overview

- Introduction to REST
- Uniform Resource Identifier
- Resource Representation
- Uniform Interface

Representation and Data Format

Representation


- Various languages, one resource can have multiple representations
 - \rightarrow XML, HTML, JSON, YAML, RDF, ...
 - → should conform to Internet Media Types

• Data format

- Format of resource data
- Binary format
 - → specific data structures
 - \rightarrow pointers, numeric values, compressed, etc.
- Textual format
 - \rightarrow in a defined encoding as a sequence of characters
 - → HTML, XML-based formats are textual

Metadata

- Metadata ~ self-description
 - Data about the resource
 - e.g., data format, representation, date the resource was created, ...
 - 1. Defined by HTTP response headers
 - 2. Can be part of the data format
 - → Atom Syndication Format such as author, updated, ...
 - $\rightarrow HTML$ http-equiv meta tags
- Resource anatomy



Content-Type Metadata


- Access
 - to be retrieved (GET)
 - to be inserted or updated (PUT, POST)
 - − to be deleted (DELETE)
- Request
 - HTTP header Accept, part of content negotiation protocol
- Response
 - HTTP header Content-Type: type/subtype; parameters
 - Specifies an Internet Media Type of the resource representation.
 - → IANA (Internet Assigned Numbers Authority) manages a registry of media types and character encodings
 - → subtypes of text type have an optional charset parameter text/html; charset=iso-8859-1
 - A resource may provide more than one representations
 - → promotes services' loose coupling

Major Media Types

- Common Standard Media Types
 - text/plain
 - → natural text in no formal structures
 - text/html
 - → natural text embedded in HTML format
 - application/xml, application/json
 - → XML-based/JSON-based, application specific format
 - application/wsdl+xml
 - \rightarrow +xml suffix to indicate a specific format
- Non-standard media types
 - Types or subtypes that begin with x- are not in IANA application/x-latex
 - subtypes that begin with vnd. are vendor-specific application/vnd.ms-excel

Resource State

- State
 - Resource representation is in fact a representation of a resource state
 - Resource may be in different states over time



• In REST resource states represent application states

Resource State Example

• Time t1: client A retrieves a resource /orders (GET)

• Time t2: client B adds a new order (POST)

```
1 | <order>
2 | ...
3 | </order>
```

• Time t3: client A retrieves a resource /orders (GET)


• The resource /orders has different states in t1 and t3.

Overview

- Introduction to REST
- Uniform Resource Identifier
- Resource Representation
- Uniform Interface

Uniform Interface

- Uniform interface = finite set of operations
 - Resource manipulation
 - → CRUD Create (POST/PUT), Read (GET), Update (PUT/PATCH), Delete (DELETE)
 - operations are not domain-specific
 - \rightarrow For example, GET /orders and not getOrders()
 - → This reduces complexity when solving interoperability
- Integration issues examples



Safe and Unsafe Operations

Safe operations

- Do not change the resource state
- Usually "read-only" or "lookup" operation
- Clients can cache the results and refresh the cache freely

Unsafe operations

- May change the state of the resource
- Transactions such as buy a ticket, post a message
- Unsafe does not mean dangerous!

Unsafe interactions and transaction results

- POST response may include transaction results
 - → you buy a ticket and submit a purchase data
 - → you get transaction results
 - → and you cannot bookmark this..., why?
- Should be referable with a persistent URI

Idempotence

- Idempotent operation
 - Invoking a method on the same resource always has the same effect
 - Operations GET, PUT, DELETE
- Non-idempotent operation
 - Invoking a method on the same resource may have different effects
 - Operation POST
- Effect = a state change
 - recall the effect definition in MDW

GET

Reading

- GET retrieves a representation of a state of a resource

```
> GET /orders HTTP/1.1
> Accept: application/xml

< HTTP/1.1 200 OK
< Content-Type: application/xml
< ...resource representation in xml...</pre>
```

- It is read-only operation
- It is safe
- It is idempotent
- GET retrieves different states over time but the effect is always the same, cf. resource state hence it is idempotent.
- Invocation of GET involves content negotiation

PUT

- Updating or Inserting
 - PUT updates or inserts a representation of a state of a resource
 - Updating the resource is a complete replacement of the resource

```
> PUT /orders/4456 HTTP/1.1
> Content-Type: application/xml
>
> <order>...</order>
< HTTP/1.1 CODE</pre>
```

- where CODE is:
 - → 200 OK or 204 No Content for updating: A resource with id 4456 *exists*, the client sends an updated resource
 - \rightarrow 201 Created for inserting: A resource does not exist, the client generates the id 4456 and sends a representation of it.
- It is not safe and it is idempotent

PATCH

- PATCH to partial update a resource
 - IETF specification, see
- Use in GData Protocol
 - To add, modify or delete selected elements of an Atom feed entry
 - Example to delete a description element and add a new title element gd:fields uses the partial response syntax

```
PATCH /myFeed/1/1/
Content-Type: application/xml

centry xmlns='http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom'
xmlns:gd='http://schemas.google.com/g/2005'
gd:fields='description'>
<title>New title</title>

centry>
```

- Rules
 - → Fields not already present are added
 - → Non-repeating fields already present are updated
 - → Repeating fields already present are appended

POST

Inserting

- POST inserts a new resource
- A server generates a new resource ID, client only supplies a content and a resource URI where the new resource will be inserted.

```
> POST /orders HTTP/1.1
> Content-Type: application/xml
>
> <order>...</order>
< HTTP/1.1 201 Created
< Location: /orders/4456</pre>
```

- It is not safe an it is not idempotent
- A client may "suggest" a resource's id using the Slug header
 - → Defined in AtomPub protocol

DELEGIE

- Deleting
 - DELETE deletes a resource with specified URI
 - > DELETE /orders/4456 HTTP/1.1
 - < HTTP/1.1 CODE
 - where CODE is:
 - \rightarrow 200 OK: the response body contains an entity describing a result of the operation.
 - \rightarrow 204 No Content: there is no response body.
 - It is not safe and it is idempotent
 - → Multiple invocation of DELETE /orders/4456 has always the same effect the resource /orders/4456 does not exist.

Other

• HEAD

- same as **GET** but only retrieves HTTP headers
- It is safe and idempotent

• OPTIONS

- queries the resource for resource configuration
- It is safe and idempotent

Types of Errors

- Client-side status code 4xx
 - 400 Bad Request
 - → generic client-side error
 - → invalid format, such as syntax or validation error
 - 404 Not Found
 - → server can't map URI to a resource
 - 401 Unauthorized
 - → wrong credentials (such as user/pass, or API key)
 - → the response contains WWW-Authenticate indicating what kind of authentication the service accepts
 - 405 Method Not Allowed
 - → the resource does not support the HTTP method the client used
 - → the response contains Allow header to indicate methods it supports
 - 406 Not Acceptable
 - \rightarrow so many restrictions on acceptable content types (using Accept-*)
 - → server cannot serialize the resource to requested content types

Types of Errors (Cont.)

- Server-side status code 5xx
 - 500 Internal Server Error
 - → generic server-side error
 - → usually not expressive, logs a message for system admins
 - 503 Service Not Available
 - → server is overloaded or is under maintenance
 - \rightarrow the response contains Retry-After header

Use of Status Codes

• Service should respect semantics of status codes!

- Client must understand the semantics of the response.
- This breaks loose coupling and reusability service principles
- The response should be:

```
< HTTP/1.1 401 Unauthorized
< ...
< ...optional text describing the error...</pre>
```

Respect HTTP Semantics

- Do not overload semantics of HTTP methods
 - For example, GET is read-only method and idempotent
 - REST Anti-pattern:

```
GET /orders/?add=new_order
```

- \rightarrow This is not REST!
- → This breaks both safe and idempotent principles
- Consequences
 - Result of GET can be cached by proxy servers
 - They can revalidate their caches freely
 - You can end up with new entries in your storage without you knowing!
- The same is true for other methods