

### Stage 1: Example of implicit hate false positives

Post: 15126 - ***fake news black people would prefer immigration of white people but where do they originate from ? what's the origin of white people ?***

Confidence: 0.75

Explanation: The post contains rhetorical questions that imply a divisive and potentially hateful narrative. The phrase "black people would prefer immigration of white people" suggests a stereotype or generalization about the preferences of black people, which could be seen as derogatory. Additionally, the questions about the origin of white people could be interpreted as a veiled attempt to promote a supremacist or divisive agenda. The confidence level is moderately high due to the implied racial undertones.

Post: 8769 - ***i have .. and have also read the crimes that zionist bankers inflicted on germans in weimar republic ..***

Confidence: 0.75

Explanation: The post refers to "Zionist bankers" in a negative context, which is often a dog whistle for anti-Semitic sentiments. By attributing crimes to this group, the post implicitly promotes a harmful stereotype. However, the confidence level is slightly lower because the post does not explicitly target a specific group with derogatory language.

Post: 258 - ***a make america white evangelical male event .***

Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post suggests an event that is exclusively for "white evangelical males," which implies exclusion and discrimination against other racial, religious, and gender groups. This exclusivity contributes to a narrative of division and implicit hate.

Post: 1957 - ***rt " : dr . david duke : the racial murder and rape of white women in america via " " "***

Confidence: 0.9

Explanation: The post references Dr. David Duke, a known white supremacist, and discusses "racial murder and rape of white women in America," which is a common narrative used to incite racial hatred and fear. The mention of these topics in association with David Duke strongly suggests implicit hate speech.

Post: 1635 - ***shame on hindus who saw / supported pk***

Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post expresses shame towards Hindus who supported or watched "PK," which is likely a reference to a specific event or media that the poster disapproves of. This implies a negative judgment and division within a religious group, contributing to a narrative of intolerance and hate.

Post: 18888 - ***privilege is earned not inherited . the white race as it is called is not born into it .***

Confidence: 0.75

Explanation: The post suggests that white people do not experience privilege, which can be seen as a dismissal of systemic advantages and a denial of the experiences of marginalized groups. This denial can contribute to a narrative that minimizes the struggles of others, which is characteristic of implicit hate speech.

Post: 15397 - ***remember obama is the most dangerous enemy america has in the white house .***

Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post suggests that former President Obama is an "enemy" of America, which is a strong and divisive statement. The use of the phrase "in the white house" emphasizes his position of power, implying that his presence there is dangerous. This language contributes to a narrative of suspicion and animosity, which can be interpreted as implicit hate speech.

Post: 6119 - ***jewish #banking must be fair***

Confidence: 0.75

Explanation: The post singles out "jewish #banking" and implies that it is unfair, which can be seen as perpetuating a negative stereotype about Jewish people and their involvement in banking. This insinuation contributes to an implicitly hateful narrative.

Post: 16537 - ***white people have been through slavery though***

Confidence: 0.75

Explanation: The post appears to diminish or dismiss the historical significance of the slavery experienced by black people by comparing it to the experiences of white people. This comparison can be seen as an attempt to undermine the unique and severe impact of the transatlantic slave trade on people of African descent, which is characteristic of implicit hate speech. The confidence level is moderately high due to the implied dismissive tone.

Post: 3490 - ***since your great white hope is already backpedaling will you go back to being silent ? #trump #workingclass #14words***

Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post contains several elements that imply hate speech:

- The phrase "great white hope" has historical racial connotations.
- The hashtag "#14words" is a known reference to a white supremacist slogan ("We must secure the existence of our people and a future for white children").
- The overall tone of the post suggests a divisive and racially charged narrative.

## Stage 2: Examples of incitement classified as white grievance

Post: 8576 - ***are you talking about the same white supremacists who saved your people from genocide ?***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post defends white supremacists by claiming they saved a group from genocide, suggesting a sense of grievance and victimhood. This aligns with the "white grievance" category, which includes frustration over perceived privileges of minority groups and the idea that majority groups are the real victims of racism. The confidence level is 0.85 as the post clearly expresses a grievance related to white supremacists but leaves some room for interpretation.

Post: 19105 - ***you mean the constitution written by white people for white people ? those conservatives would deny that !***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post suggests that the U.S. Constitution was written by and for white people, implying a sense of white ownership and grievance. This fits the "white grievance" category, as it expresses frustration and a sense of victimhood related to the perceived privileges of other groups. The confidence level is 0.8 because while the post does imply white grievance, there could be other interpretations without additional context.

Post: 9983 - ***that's why white conscientious has exploded . whites are realising this is an existential mom***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post discusses an "explosion" of white consciousness and an "existential" moment, suggesting a sense of urgency and awareness within the white community. This aligns with the "white grievance" category, which includes frustration over perceived threats to the white community and a sense of victimhood. The confidence level is 0.85 as the post clearly conveys a sense of white grievance, although there is some room for interpretation based on the broader context.

Post: 11356 - ***being white / mex i don't think i meet the requirements for but i support them . cause living in a white society is best .***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post expresses a preference for living in a white society and supports unspecified requirements that the poster believes they do not meet due to their mixed heritage. This aligns with

the "white\_grievance" category, as it implies a sense of frustration or resentment related to racial dynamics and a perceived privilege of living in a white society. The confidence level is 0.8 because the post suggests a sense of grievance related to racial identity, but it is somewhat ambiguous.

Post: 12352 - ***go fight for white existence without us white southerners and see how far you get .***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post emphasizes the importance of "white southerners" in the "fight for white existence," which suggests a sense of white victimhood and a call to action. This aligns with the "white grievance" category, which includes frustration over perceived privileges of minority groups and the idea that majority groups are the real victims of racism. The confidence level is high at 0.85, as the language and intent clearly fit the criteria for white grievance.

Post: 8191 - ***this is what the anti-white promotes ... multiculturalism sad :***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post expresses frustration and grievance towards multiculturalism, which is often associated with anti-white sentiment in certain narratives. The use of the term "anti-white" and the expression of sadness over the promotion of multiculturalism align with the "white grievance" category. The confidence level is 0.85 as the post clearly expresses a sense of white victimhood.

Post: 3907 - : ***voted to avoid pointless wars and third world immigration . got pointless wars and more thi***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.8

Explanation: The post expresses frustration over political outcomes, specifically mentioning "third world immigration" as an unwanted result. This aligns with the "white grievance" category, as it implies a sense of victimhood and resentment towards immigration policies that are perceived to be against the interests of the native (majority white) population. The confidence level is 0.8 as the post suggests a sense of grievance, although it does not explicitly mention race.

Post: 14395 - ***may he rest in peace . i didnt know him i bet he was a good man . stood for what was right the white race .***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post expresses admiration for someone who stood up for the white race, implying a sense of white victimhood and a need to defend the white race. This aligns with the "white grievance" category, which includes frustration over perceived privileges of minority groups and the idea that majority groups are the real victims of racism. The confidence level is 0.85 as the post clearly expresses sentiments of white grievance, but there is some room for interpretation based on the broader context.

Post: 1054 - ***who is promoting "white privilege" " ? #withjewswelose "***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post implies a grievance against the concept of "white privilege" and associates this grievance with Jewish people through the hashtag "#withjewswelose." This fits the "white grievance" category, as it expresses frustration over a perceived privilege of a minority group and casts majority groups as victims. The confidence level is 0.85 as the post strongly suggests a sense of white victimhood, although there is some room for interpretation.

Post: 11568 - ***i'm pro-white heimbach's job is to drive people away from white identity . attacking him is doing the lord's work i should get a medal .***

Gold class: incitement - Pred class: white\_grievance - Confidence: 0.85

Explanation: The post expresses a pro-white stance and discusses the idea of driving people away from white identity, which aligns with the "white\_grievance" category. The sarcastic tone adds an element of irony, but the primary focus is on white identity and grievance. The confidence level is 0.85 due to the clear expression of white grievance, with a lesser emphasis on irony.

### **Stage 3: Example of target white people identified as non-white people**

Post: 3372 - ***maybe you should build your thriving black communities far away where white people can't get to them***

Implied statement gold: White people try to take over black communities

Implied statement pred: Black people are harmful.

Post: 12077 - ***new video : "madness at #mizzou : black hysteria and white cowardice " " #tcot #altright "***

Implied statement gold: White people are scared of blacks.

Implied statement pred: Black people are irrational.

Post: 14800 - ***"american " " means nothing . whites need to start looking out for themselves . u want " " america " " to end up like south africa ? "***

Implied statement gold: White people need to stick together.

Implied statement pred: Black people are a threat to whites.

Post: 456 - ***i have an ultra liberal next door who says there is no such thing as black racist only white people can be racist unbelievable***

Implied statement gold: White people are racist.

Implied statement pred: Black people are racist.

Post: 13488 - ***no white women are on average closer to white men in intelligence than black men or women .***

Implied statement gold: White people are smarter than black people.

Implied statement pred: Black people are less intelligent.

Post: 2974 - : ***guess i'll tell all my successful southern friends they owe it all to a slave they've never owned***

Implied statement gold: White people don't all owe their success to owning slaves

Implied statement pred: Black people blame whites for their problems.

Post: 7963 - ***maybe you should go to black lives matter and whine about your white guilt***

Implied statement gold: White people don't like Black Lives Matter.

Implied statement pred: Black people are hostile.

Post: 15307 - ***millions of africans every year leave lands controlled by blacks to live in lands controlled by whites . come on man***

Implied statement gold: White people control blacks.

Implied statement pred: Black people are inferior.

Post: 6651 - ' ***gentrification*** ' or ' ***white flight*** ' : ***whites can't win via blacks working to maintain their lands and culture ? fine . white people working to maintain their lands and culture ? ... well you know how this goes .***

Implied statement gold: White people are being oppressed.

Implied statement pred: Black people are hypocrites.

Post: 3541 - ***the first college was built in africa . the second college of the world was built in spain by africans . u will say africans are white . lol***

Implied statement gold: White people think black people are stupid

Implied statement pred: Black people are uneducated.