

# Isoform Switch Analysis Exercise

Part 1 : An introduction

# Make sure you have installed IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR

- `library(IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR)`
- If not you need to follow the installation instructions on Absalon

# Overall Exercise Idea

- Aim:  
Get familiar with the overall workflow of IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR
- Approach:  
Use the vignette of IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR and answer questions along the way.

# Assignment

Individually read/work through the following sections of the vignette:

- “Abstract”
- “Workflow Overview”
- “Short Example Workflow” - in this section you should also run the R code and check in/output

And answer the questions in the rests of the slides. Remember to look up the documentation of the functions (run `?functionName`) as all the details are there.

# Open vignette

Load library into R:  
`library(IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR)`

Open vignette  
`vignette("IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR")`

Online alternative [here](#)

# Questions

- Q1: What is the core functionalities of IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR?
- A1: Identification and visualisation of alternative splicing and isoform switches with predicted consequences both for individual genes and genome wide
- Q2: What external sequence analysis tools are currently supported and what do they do?
- A2: Pfam (predict protein domains), SignalP (predict signal peptides), CPAT (predict coding potential)

# Questions

- Q3: Why is it smart to identify isoform switches as the first step in a workflow? (hint look at the documentation of `isoformSwitchAnalysisPart1()` )
- A3: Then we do not need to analyse genes/ isoforms without switches resulting in faster runtimes.
- Q4: How many high-level functions (functions that automatically performs multiple step of the pipeline) are there in `IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR`?
- A4: There are 3:  
`isoformSwitchAnalysisPart1`,  
`isoformSwitchAnalysisPart2`,  
`isoformSwitchAnalysisCombined`

# Questions

- Q5: What is a BSgenome object and why is it needed
- A5: It is a container for genetic sequences (here human) and is needed to predict ORFs and amino acids
- Q6: What is the result if you change the dIFcutoff parameter to 0.5 in the isoformSwitchAnalysisPart1()
- A6:  
0.4: 12 Isoforms, 7 genes  
0.5: 6 Isoforms, 4 genes



# Questions

- Q7: What is the main functionality of `isoformSwitchAnalysisPart1()` vs `isoformSwitchAnalysisPart2()`
- A7  
part1: identify switches, annotate ORF and output sequences.  
Part 2: integrate external analysis, predict consequences and plot top X isoform switches.
- Q8: How many switches with consequences did you identify (when using a dIF cutoff as 0.5):
- A8: 2 genes have a switch with consequences

# Questions

- Q9: What is the consequence of the switch in the “LDLRAD2” gene? (identify the predicted functional consequence)
- A9: hESC -> Fibroblast: Coding -> non-coding
- Q10: Why is a cutoff on both the q-value (alpha) and the dIF necessary?
- A10: Because q-values measures the certainty of the difference while the dIF value measure the effect size. A cutoff on both is necessary to avoid significant effects with very small effect sizes.

# Questions

- Q11: How many genes have an isoform switch in both conditions of the “exampleSwitchListAnalyzed” data?
- A: From extractSwitchOverlap():  
57
- Q12: Use the extractConsequenceEnrichment to figure out which enrichment/depletion is the most certain (smallest q-value)
- By setting returnResult = TRUE in extractConsequenceEnrichment():  
COAD: ORF is shorter. Q-val 9.65e-38

# Questions

- Q13\*: Using a alpha of 0.05 answer: For many genes with isoform switch are the gene also differentially expressed and what does that indicate?
- A13:  
465 of 587 (89%)  
(if you counted the result stored multiple times due to multiple isoforms per gene: 1309 of 1608 (81%) )  
It highlights that genes that are differentially expressed can also have isoform switches!

# Isoform Switch Analysis Exercise

Part 2: Improved understanding

# Overall Exercise Idea

- Aim:  
To dig into the details of an IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR workflow
- Approach:  
Use the IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR vignette and answer questions along the way.

# Assignment

Thoroughly read/work (meaning run the R code and check output) through the following sections of the vignette:

- “Detailed Workflow”

And answer the questions in the rests of the slides.

Remember to look up the function documentation for all functions you use as all the details are there.

# Questions

- Q1: How many functions are isoformSwitchAnalysisPart1() and isoformSwitchAnalysisPart2() internally using?
- A1: Par1: 6. Part2: Up to 7 (both also outputs the summary).
- Q2: What class is the switchAnalyzeRlist object?
- A2: It is a named list meaning each entry can be accessed via its name (using obj\$name or obj[['NAME']]).
- Q3: What is the name of the main entry in the switchAnalyzeRlist object, how is it accessed and what does each row correspond to?
- A3: 'isoformFeatures',  
"switchAnalyzeRlist\$isoformFeatures", each row have information about a single isoform in a specific comparison of conditions.



# Questions

- Q4: What does all the analyze\* and extract\* functions do?
- A4: respectively:
  - analyzing and annotating the switchAnalyzeRlist.
  - extracting (summarized) data from the switchAnalyzeRlist
- Q5: Which functions can be used to importing RNA-seq quantification data and creating a switchAnalyzeRlist?
- A5: importCufflinksFiles(), importIsoformExpression(), importRdata(), importGTF(), createSwitchAnalyzeRlist()

# Questions

- Q6: Why is the summary statistics of these two commands not different:  
e1 <- preFilter(exampleSwitchListAnalyzed, keepIsoformInAllConditions = TRUE)  
e2 <- preFilter(exampleSwitchListAnalyzed, keepIsoformInAllConditions = FALSE)

When the resulting switchAnalyzeRlists are different:

```
> nrow(e1) == nrow(e2)  
[1] FALSE
```

- A6: Because the parameter does not change the total number of isoforms kept - just whether the isoform is kept only in the comparison where it passes the filter or in all comparisons.
- Q7: How many different ways of testing for isoform switches are supported by IsoformSwitchAnalyzeR and which is the (current) recommended?
- A7: Three. Cufflinks (automatically imported), isoformSwitchTest() and isoformSwitchTestDRIMSeq() which is the recommended.
- Q8\*: Which algorithms for identifying ORFs are supported? Which is the default and what do you think of that?
- A8: 'longest' (default), 'mostUpstream', 'longestAnnotated', 'mostUpstreamAnnoated'. Currently the 'longest' method is the better choice as it gives very high accuracies when compared to annotation.

# Questions

- Q9: Which consequences are affected by the 'ntCutoff' and the 'AaJCsimCutoff' partner in the analysis of switch consequences, and what does the cutoffs do.
- A9:  
ntCutoff: nucleotide cutoff for the difference in 'ORF\_length', '5\_utr\_length', '3\_utr\_length', 'isoform\_seq\_similarity', '5\_utr\_seq\_similarity' and '3\_utr\_seq\_similarity'  
AaJCsimCutoff: The cutoff for the Jacard distance in AA calculated when analysing 'ORF\_seq\_similarity'
- Q10: How many different types of consequences can be predicted from the domain annotation and why is there a need for all of them?
- A10: 3. 'domains\_identified', 'domain\_length', 'genomic\_domain\_position'.  
'domains\_identified' compares which domains are identified.  
'genomic\_domain\_position' compares the position of the domain (domain movement). 'domain\_length' identified differences in lengths (domain truncation).
- Q11: How do you access the details of the consequence analysis and which is the first two isoforms compared in the example (use exampleSwitchListAnalyzed)?
- A11: 'exampleSwitchListAnalyzed\$switchConsequence'. uc001kqe.3 and uc001kqd.3.

# Questions

- Q12\*: Using exampleSwitchListAnalyzed: What is the overlap between the top 10 switches (with consequences) and what does that indicate.
- A12: No overlap which indicate effect size and statistical certainty do not measure the same thing.
- Q13: What is the consequence of the switch in the gene which is nr 3 on the top list when sorting by Values values?
- A13: Intron retention gain.
- Q14: What is the relation between isoform switches and gene log2 FC?
- A14: Nothing - as seen from the “short-example-workflow” isoform switches are found in both genes where the expression is changing and non-changing.

# Questions

- Q15\*: Visually inspect the relation between isoform switches and gene expression
- A15: Modifying the code from “short-example-workflow” where log2FC is plotted vs dIF you see there is no relation. Switches are found in both highly and lowly expressed genes.

