Finding real zeros
a lot faster
through an adaptive grid

Vosué Tonelli-Cueto

9/7/2021

Coloquio de Matemática Aplicada Instituto de Matemática Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro Internal Story
of the

Problem

THE PROBLEM

Ha[n] > 8

DETERMINISTIC

+
NUMERICALLY

STABLE

+
'GOOD' PROBABILISTIC

RUN-TIME (for random 8)

The ALGORITHM
we want!

Real Homogeneous
Polynomial System
in Xo,..., Xu
& with deg 8:=d;

projective Zeros of &

Zp (8)

What do we mean by 'numerically stable'?

1) The algorithm can run in finite precision More precisely: Forward stability

If the used precision is small enough, then the algorithm's output is correct

This might depend on the input

2) Stability a la Smale

The obtained approximations cau be refined through an iterative scheme

What do we mean by

"good probabilistic run-time"?

Fact. Numerical algorithms can have very

(different run-times on inputs of the same size

) Why? Run-times might depend on the condition number

Solution (von Neumann, Goldstine, Demmel, Smale)

average framework:

See what is the run-time for a random impat.

Chich distribution?

(Spielman, Teng) smoothed framework: random perturbation of an arbitrary input What do we mean by

"good probabilistic run-time"?

Answer: The run-time is reasonably small in the probabilistic seuse



Expectation is small

Eruu-time < T

expected complexity

small with high probability

P(run-time >T) < &

weak complexity (Ameluxen, Lotz) Excludes black swans

e ORIGINAL IRILOGY (by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, Wscheber)

Journal of Complexity 24 (2008) 582-605



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Complexity

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jco



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, I: Complexity

Felipe Cucker a,*, Teresa Krick b,c, Gregorio Malajovich d, Mario Wschebor e

- * Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- b Departamento de Matemática, Univ. de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- A Dento, de Matemático Anlicado, Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazi

ARTICLE INFO

Received 22 November 2007 Accepted 14 March 2008 Available online 20 April 2008

Counting algorithm Polynomial systems Finite precision

ABSTRACT

We describe an algorithm to count the number of distinct real zeros of a polynomial (square) system f. The algorithm performs $O(\log(n\mathbf{D}\kappa(f)))$ iterations (grid refinements) where n is the number of polynomials (as well as the dimension of the ambient space). **D** is a bound on the polynomials' degree, and $\kappa(f)$ is a condition number for the system. Each iteration uses an exponential number of operations. The algorithm uses finite-precision arithmetic and a major feature of our results is a bound for the precision required to ensure that the returned output is correct which is polynomial in n and D and logarithmic in $\kappa(f)$. The algorithm parallelizes well in the sense that each iteration can be computed in parallel polynomial time in n, $\log \mathbf{D}$ and $\log(\kappa(f))$.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved

1. Introduction

In recent years considerable attention has been paid to the complexity of counting problems over the reals. The counting complexity class $\#P_{\mathbb{R}}$ was introduced [20] and completeness results for #P. were established [3] for natural geometric problems notably, for the computation of the Euler characteristic of semialgebraic sets. As one could expect, the "basic" #P_R-complete problem consists of counting the real zeros of a system of polynomial equations.

& E-mail addresse: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich), wschebor@cmat.edu.uy (M. Wschebor).

0885-064X/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved doi:10.1016/j.jco.2008.03.001

J. fixed point theory appl. 6 (2009), 285–294
 © 2009 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland
 1661-7738/020285-10, published online 14.11.2009
 DOI 10.1007/s11784-009-0127-4

Journal of Fixed Point Theory

A numerical algorithm for zero counting. II: Distance to ill-posedness and smoothed analysis

Felipe Cucker, Teresa Krick, Gregorio Malajovich and Mario Wschebor

To Steve, on his 80th birthday, with admiration and esteem

Abstract. We show a Condition Number Theorem for the condition number of zero counting for real polynomial systems. That is, we show that this condition number equals the inverse of the normalized distance to the set of ill-posed systems (i.e., those having multiple real zeros). As a consequence, a smoothed analysis of this condition number follows.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000), 65Y20, 65H10.

Keywords. Polynomial systems, zero counting, condition numbers, smoothed

1. Introduction

This paper continues the work in [8], where we described a numerical algorithm to count the number of zeros in n-dimensional real projective space of a system of n real homogeneous polynomials. The algorithm works with finite precision and both its complexity and the precision required to ensure correctness are bounded in terms of n, the maximum D of the polynomials' degrees, and a condition num

In this paper we replace $\kappa(f)$ —which was originally defined using the com-putationally friendly infinity norm—by a version $\widetilde{\kappa}(f)$ (defined in Section 2 below) which uses instead Euclidean norms. This difference is of little consequence in complexity estimates since one has (cf. Proposition 3.3 below)

$$\frac{\tilde{\kappa}(f)}{\sqrt{n}} \le \kappa(f) \le \sqrt{2n} \, \tilde{\kappa}(f).$$
 (1)

Advances in Applied Mathematics 48 (2012) 215-248



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Applied Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/vaama



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, III: Randomization and condition

Felipe Cucker a, *, 1, Teresa Krick b, 2, Gregorio Malajovich c, 3, Mario Wschebor d

^a Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
^b Departmento de Matemática, Universidad de Buenos Aires & HMAS, CONKET, Argentini.
^c Departmento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
^d Centro de Matemática, Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

ARTICLE INFO

Received 8 July 2010 Accepted 1 July 2011 Available online 30 August 2011

65Y20

Zero counting Finite precision Condition numbers

In a recent paper (Cucker et al., 2008 [8]) we analyzed a numerical algorithm for computing the number of real zeros of a polynomial system. The analysis relied on a condition number $\kappa(f)$ for the input system f. In this paper we look at $\kappa(f)$ as a random variable derived from imposing a probability measure on the space of polynomial systems and give bounds for both the tail $\mathbb{P}\{\kappa(f) > a\}$ and the expected value $\mathbb{E}(\log \kappa(f))$. © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich),

wschebor@cmat.edu.uv (M. Wschebor).

Partially supported by GRF grant City University 100810.

Partially supported by grants ANPCyT 33671/05, UBACyT X113/2008-2010 and CONICET PIP/2010-2012.

Partially supported by CNPq grants 470031/2007-7, 303565/2007-1, and by FAPERJ.

0196-8858/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Condition Number Theorem & condition-based complexity & probabilistic complexity

C Part 3 Probabilistic analysis without integral geometry

he ORIGINAL RILOGY (by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, Wscheber)

Journal of Complexity 24 (2008) 582-605



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Complexity

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jco



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, I: Complexity

Felipe Cucker a,*, Teresa Krick b,c, Gregorio Malajovich d, Mario Wschebor e

- * Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong
- Departamento de Matemática, Univ. de Buenos Aires, Argentina
- A Dento, de Matemático Anlicado, Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazi

ARTICLE INFO

Received 22 November 2007 Accepted 14 March 2008 Available online 20 April 2008

Counting algorithm Polynomial systems Finite precision

We describe an algorithm to count the number of distinct real zeros of a polynomial (square) system f. The algorithm performs $\mathcal{O}(\log(n\mathbf{D}\kappa(f)))$ iterations (grid refinements) where n is the number of polynomials (as well as the dimension of the ambient space **D** is a bound on the polynomials' degree, and $\kappa(U)$ is a condit. number for the system. Each iteration uses an exponential number of oper those. The algorithm is estimite-precise carithmetic and applied for the problem of the problem o number for the system. Each iteration uses an exponential numb

In recent years considerable attention has been paid to the complexity of counting problems over the reals. The counting complexity class $\#P_R$ was introduced [20] and completeness results for #Pp were established [3] for natural geometric problems notably, for the computation of the Euler characteristic of semialgebraic sets. As one could expect, the "basic" #Pn-complete problem of counting the real zeros of a system of polynomial equations.

E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.i sa-ar (T. Kn. k), wschebor@cmat.edu.uy (M. Wschebor).

0885-064X/\$ - see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights res doi:10.1016/j.jco.2008.03.001

J. fixed point theory appl. 6 (2009), 285–294
 © 2009 Birkhäuser Verlag Basel/Switzerland
 1661-7738/020285-10, published online 14.11.2009
 DOI 10.1007/s11784-009-0127-4

Journal of Fixed Point Theory

A numerical algorithm for zero counting. II: Distance to ill-posedness and smoothed analysis

Felipe Cucker, Teresa Krick, Gregorio Malajovich and Mario Wschebor

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000), 65Y20, 65 I10.

Keywords. Polynomial sys ems, zero counting, condit on nur

1. Introduction

This paper continues the work in [8], where we described a numerical algorithm o count the number of zeros in n-dimensional real projective space of a system of omogeneous polynomials. The algorithm works with finite precision and both its complexity and the precision required to ensure correctness are bounded

Advances in Applied Mathematics 48 (2012) 215-248



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Applied Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/vaama



A numerical algorithm for zero counting, III: Randomization and condition

Felipe Cucker a, *, 1, Teresa Lick b, 2, Crego io Maiajovich c, 3, Mario Wschebor d

RTICLE INFO

Received 8 July 2010 Accepted 1 July 2011 Available online 30 August 2011

In a recent paper (Cucker et al., 2008 [8]) we analyzed a numerical algorithm for computing the number of real zeros of a polynomia input system f. In this paper we look at $\kappa(f)$ as a raidom variate derived from imposing a probability measure on the space polynomial systems and give ounds to both the tr $\mathbb{P}[\kappa(f)]$



E-mail addresses: macucker@cityu.edu.hk (F. Cucker), krick@dm.uba.ar (T. Krick), gregorio@ufrj.br (G. Malajovich),

wschebor@cmat.edu.uv (M. Wschebor).

Partially supported by GRF grant City University 100810.

Partially supported by grants ANPCyT 33671/05, UBACyT X113/2008-2010 and CONICET PIP/2010-2012.

Partially supported by CNPq grants 470031/2007-7, 303565/2007-1, and by FAPERJ.

0196-8858/\$ - see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved

Part 1 Algorithm Condition Number Theorem & condition-based complexity & probabilistic complexity

C Part 3 Probabilistic analysis without integral geometry

The CKMW algorithm

Ha[n] > 8 CKMW

Zp (8)

DETERMINISTIC

NUMERICALLY STABLE

GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME

With 'high probability', run-time(CKMW,8) < D (n2) for & KSS (average/smoothed)

> KSS=Kostlan-Shub-Smale Gaussian

D:= max d;

PROBABILISTIC MODEL

8 E Ha[n] KSS random system if

8 = \(\sum \langle \l

We also have a smoothed version! ftolls

Why this? Invariant under orthogonal changes of variables

E SPIN-OFFS Erquir, Paouris, Rojas)

Found Comput Math (2019) 19:131-157



Probabilistic Condition Number Estimates for Real Polynomial Systems I: A Broader Family of Distributions

Alperen A. Ergür¹ · Grigoris Paouris² · J. Maurice Roias

Received: 8 December 2016 / Revised: 6 June 2017 / Accepted: 30 October 2017 / Published online: 31 January 2018 © SFoCM 2018

Abstract We consider the sensitivity of real roots of polynomial systems with respect to perturbations of the coefficients. In particular-for a version of the condition number defined by Cucker and used later by Cucker, Krick, Malajovich, and Wschebor-we establish new probabilistic estimates that allow a much broader family of measures than considered earlier. We also generalize further by allowing overdetermined systems. In Part II, we study smoothed complexity and how sparsity (in the sense of restricting which terms can appear) can help further improve earlier condition number estimates.

Keywords Condition number · Epsilon net · Probabilistic bound · Kappa Real-solving · Overdetermined · Subgaussian

Communicated by Felipe Cucker

Alperen A. Ergür was partially supported by NSF Grant CCF-1409020, NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1151711 and Einstein Foundation, Berlin. Grigoris Paouris was partially supported by BSF Grant 2010288 and NSF CAREER Grant DMS-1151711. J. Maurice Rojas was partially supported by NSF Grants CCF-1409020 and DMS-1460766.

- Alperen A. Ereiir
 - Grigoris Paouris
 - grigoris@math.tamu.ed
- I. Maurice Roise
- Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Sekretariat MA 3-2, Strae des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany
- Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University TAMU 3368, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA





SMOOTHED ANALYSIS FOR THE CONDITION NUMBER OF STRUCTURED REAL POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS

ALPEREN A. ERGÜR, GRIGORIS PAOURIS, AND J. MAURICE ROJAS

ABSTRACT. We consider the sensitivity of real zeros of structured polynomial systems to perturbations of their coefficients. In particular, we provide explicit estimates for condition numbers of structured random real polynomial systems, and extend these estimates to smoothed analysis setting.

1. Introduction

2020

Feb

17

Efficiently finding real roots of real polynomial systems is one of the main objectives of computational algebraic geometry. There are numerous algorithms for this task, but the core steps of these algorithms are easy to outline: They are some combination of algebraic manipulation, a discrete/polyhedral computation, and a numerical iterative scheme

From a computational complexity point of view, the cost of numerical iteration is much less transparent than the cost of algebraic or discrete computation. This paper constitutes a step toward understanding the complexity of numerically solving structured real polynomial systems. Our main results are Theorems 1.14, 1.16, and 1.18 below, but we will first need to give some context for our results.

1.1. How to control accuracy and complexity of numerics in real algebraic geometry? In the numerical linear algebra tradition, going back to von Neumann and Turing, condition numbers play a central role in the control of accuracy and speed of algorithms (see, e.g., [3, 6] for further background). Shub and Smale initiated the use of condition numbers for polynomial system solving over the field of complex numbers [36, 37]. Subsequently, condition numbers played a central role in the solution of Smale's 17th problem [2, 5, 25].

The numerics of solving polynomial systems over the real numbers is more subtle than complex case; small perturbations can cause the solution set to change cardinality. One can even go from having no real zero to many real zeros by an arbitrarily small change in the coefficients. This behaviour doesn't appear over the complex numbers as one has theorems (such as the Fundemantel Theorem of Algebra) proving that root counts are "generically" constant. Luckily, a condition number theory that captures these subtleties was developed by Cucker [11]. Now we set up the notation and present Cucker's definition.

Definition 1.1 (Bombieri-Weyl Norm). We set $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$ be a system of homogenous polynomials with degree pattern d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1} . Let $c_{i,\alpha}$ denote the coefficient of x^{α} in a p_i . We define the Weyl-Bombieri norms of pi and P to be, respectively,

$$||p_i||_W := \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n=d_i} \frac{|c_{i,\alpha}|^2}{\binom{d_i}{\alpha}}}$$

A.E. was partially supported by Einstein Foundation, Berlin and by the Pravesh Kothari of CMU. G.P. was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant 527498 and NSF grant DMS-1812240. J.M.R. was partially supported by NSF grants CCF-1409020, DMS-1460766, and CCF-1900881.

average complexity in Numerical Alg. Geow

1st hon-gaussian smoothed complexity in Nt (+ STrucTured systems)

SPIN-OFFS (by Ergür, Paouris, Rojas)

Found Comput Math (2019) 19:131-157





Probabilistic Condition Number Estimates for Real Polynomial Systems I: A Broader Family of Distributions

Alperen A. Ergür¹ · Grigoris Paouris² · J. Maurice Roias

ber 2016 / Revised: 6 June 2017 / Accepted: 30 October 2017 / January 2018 CM 2018

Ab tract We consider the sensitivity of real roots of polynomial systems with respect to the coefficients in the cor sidered earlier. We also generalize further by allowin, overdetermined serrors, in Par II, we study amounted complexity and how sparsity (in the sense of restricting which terms can appear) can help further improve earlier condition number estimates.

Keywords Condition number · Epsilon net · Probabilistic bound · Kappa Real-solving · Overdetermined · Subgaussian

Communicated by Felipe Cucker.

Alperen A. Ergitr was partially supported by NSF Grant CCF- 409020, NSF CAREF Cont. DMS-11517711 and Einstein Foundation, Berlin, Grigoris Paou a was partially supported by NS Grant DMS-11517111. Maurice tojas was partial y supported by NS Grant DMS-11517111. Maurice tojas was partial y supported by NS Grant DMS-10518111. Grants CCF-1409020 and DMS-1460766.

- Alperen A. Ereiir
 - Grigoris Paouris
 - grigoris@math.tamu.edu
- I. Maurice Roise
- Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Sekretariat MA 3-2, Strae des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany

average complexity

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University TAMU 3368, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA

15] Non-gaussian



SMOOTHED ANALYSIS FOR THE CONDITION NUMBER OF STRUCTURED REAL POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS

ALPEREN A. ERGÜR, GRIGORIS PAOURIS, AND J. MAURICE ROJAS

ABSTRACT. We consider the sensitivity of real zeros of structured polynomial systems to perturbations of their coefficients. In particular, we provide explicit estimates for condition numbers of structured random real polynomial systems, and extend these estimates to smoothed analysis setting.

2020

1. Introduction

Efficiently finding real roots of real polynomial systems is one of the main objectives of computational algebraic geometry. There are numerous algorithms for this task, but the core steps of these algorithms are easy to outline: They are some combination of algebraic manipulation, a discrete/polyhedral computation, and a numerical iterative scheme.

From a computational complexity point of view, the cost of numerical iteration is much it is train parent. In the cost of alg braid it discrete computation, This paper constitutes a servivoral unit standing the complexity of numerically solving structured real polynomial systems. Our things the service of the service

1.1. How to control accuracy and complexity of numerics in real algebraic geometry? In the numerical linear algebra tradition, going back to von Neumann and Turing, condition numbers play a central role in the control of accuracy and speed of algorithms (see, e.g., [3, 6] for further background). Shub and Smale initiated the use of condition numbers for polynomial system solving over the field of complex numbers [36, 37]. Subsequently, condition numbers played a central role in the solution of Smale's 17th problem [2, 5, 25].

The numerics of solving polynomial systems over the real numbers is more subtle than complex case: small perturbations can cause the solution set to change cardinality. One can even go from having no real zero to many real zeros by an arbitrarily small change in the coefficients. This be aviour doesn't appear over the complex numbers as one has theorems (such as the Funden antel Theorem of Algebra) proving that root counts are "generically" constant. Luckily, a condition number theory that captures these subtleties was developed a Cucker [11]. Now we set up the lotation and present Cucker's definition.

Definition 1.1 (B mbieri-Weyl Norm). We set $x^{\alpha} := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_{n-1})$ be a system of homogenous polynomials with degree pattern d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} . Let $e_{i,a}$ denote the profficient of x^{α} in a p_i . We define the Weyl-Bombieri norms of p_i and P to be, respectively,

$$\sum_{\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_n=d_i} \frac{|c_{i,\alpha}|}{\binom{d_i}{\alpha}}$$

A.E. was partially supported by Einstein Foundation, Berlin and by the Pravesh Kothari of CMU. G.P. was partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grant 527498 and NSF grant DMS-1812240. J.M.R. was partially supported by NSF grants CCF-1409020, DMS-1460766, and CCF-1900881.

in Numerical Alg. Geowl

1sT hon-gaussian smoothed complexity in NAG (+ structured systems)

The CKMW algorithm (after the spin-offs)

DETERMINISTIC

NUMERICALLY STABLE

GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME

With 'high probability', run-time(CKMW,8) < D (0(n2) for & wide class of vaudom systems

D:= max d;

PROBABILISTIC MODEL II

8 E Ha[n] dobro random system $8:=\sum\sqrt{\binom{di}{\alpha}}$ $C_{i,\alpha}$ X^{α} with Ci, a independent, Also centered i.e. EC; x=0 smoothed > subgaussian with cte. < K; versionl i.e. E|Ci, a|e = K;e ee/2 For e>1 auticoncentration cte. < p; i.e. P(1Ci, a-t| \le \varepsilon) \le 2p; \varepsilon for tER Properties of gaussiaus!

& E Ha[n] as before, For random IEg run-time (CKMW,8) < 00 ?

Why? run-time (CKMW, 8) $\leq D^{(0(n))} \chi(8)^n$ & $\mathbb{E}_8 \chi(8)^n = \infty$

A = diag(di)

dea Make CKMW adaptive, then complexity should depend on $\mathbb{E}_{x \in S^n} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{Z}, x)^n$ which has finite expectation for a random &1

||8||w = \sum_{i,\alpha} \left(\frac{di}{a})^{-1} \frac{2}{8i,\alpha} \left(\text{Weyl norm}) \(\text{\(\left(\frac{8}{1},\alpha\)} = ||\frac{8}{1}|w/\sqrt{\(\left(\frac{8}{1})\chi^2 + ||\D_k\frac{8}{1}\chi^2 \right)^{-2}}{\D_k\frac{8}{1}\chi^2 \alpha} \Delta = \diag(di)

Inspiration: (Cucker, Ergür, T.-C.; 2019) while studying PV algorithm

Naive adaptive version fails (Eckhardt, 2020) (Han, 2018)

Run-time bound in terms of

Except(8,x)

which has infinite expectation

for a random 8!

||8||w = \(\sum_{\infty} \left(\frac{di}{\alpha} \right) \frac{1}{8i, \alpha} \left(\text{Werl norm} \right) \(\frac{1}{8} \text{\left} \frac{\left| \left| \left| \left| \right| \left| \frac{1}{8} \left| \frac{1}{8} \left| \frac{1}{8} \left| \frac{1}{8} \left| \le

Mat goes wrong?

The criterion to select zeros!

The CKMW algorithm

- 1) Refine grid G=5" until ds(g,5") 'small'
- Exclude points $x \in G$ s.t. $||g(x)||/||g||_w$ big'

 Tuclude points $x \in G$ s.t. $||g(x)||/||g||_w$ small'
- 3 Post-process the selected points to get approximation of ZIP(8)

How do we exclude points? Exclusion Lemma. Let & E Ha[h], the map $S' \ni \times \mapsto \frac{g(x)}{\|g\|_{w}}$ is VD-Lipschitz. In particular, if > 118(x)11 50 11811w the $B_{s}(x, y) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{s}(8) = \beta$.

 $\|g\|_{W} = \sqrt{\sum_{i,\alpha} (d_i)^{-1} g_{i,\alpha}^{2}} (Weyl norm)$

D:= max d;

How do we include points?

Spherical Newton operator: $N_s(x) := \frac{x - D_x 8^{-1} g(x)}{||x - D_x 8^{-1} g(x)||}$

$$N_{\delta}^{h+1}(x) = N_{\delta}(N_{\delta}^{N}(x))$$

Smale's x-criterion:

$$\alpha(\xi,x):=\beta(\xi,x)\gamma(\xi,x)\leq \alpha_*$$

$$(1) \# B_{S}(x, 1.5\beta(8,x)) \cap Z_{S}(8) = 1$$

$$\& \quad N_{8}^{n}(x) \xrightarrow{\text{quadratically } 2 \text{ erro of } 8$$

where $\beta(8,x):=\|D_x 8^{-1} 8(x)\| 8 8(8,x):=\sup_{k\geqslant 2}\|D_x 8^{-1} \frac{1}{k!}D_x^k 8\|$

- · Higher Derivative Estimate: 8 (8,x) < 1/2 D3/2 X(8,x)
- . An estimate for β : $\beta(\delta,x) \leq \chi(\delta,x) \|\delta(x)\|/\|\delta\|_{W}$

The CKMW algorithm

1) Refine grid $G \subseteq S^n$ until $d_S(g,S^n) \leq \frac{1}{cD^2K(8)^2}$ Exclude points $x \in G$ s.t. $||g(x)||/||g||_w \geq |D|S$ Tuclude points $x \in G$ s.t. $||g(x)||/||g||_w \leq \frac{1}{cD^2K(8)^2}$

3 Post-process the selected points to get approximation of ZIP(8)

Note quadratic condition in the inclusion criterion!

K(8):= maxx∈sn ||8||w/ √118(x)||2+110x8-10x2||-2' condition number

The adaptive CKMW algorithm NAIVE EDITION

- 1) Refine adaptively G = 5"x(0,00) so that

 1) S" = U {Bs(x,r)|(x,r) ∈ G} & 2) $\forall (x,r) \in G$, $r \leq \sqrt{cDx(8,x)^2}$ Exclude (x,r) ∈ G ; f ||8(x)||/||8||w > \(\infty\) || \

 Include (x,r) ∈ G ; f ||8(x)||/||8||w = \(\frac{1}{2}D^2\) \(\frac{1}{ 3 Post-process the selected points to get approximation of ZIP(8)
 - Still quadratic inclusion criterion

12(8,x):= ||3||w/ \18(x)||2+110x8-10/2||-2' local condition number

Where does the square come from?

$$\beta(8,x) \leq \chi(8,x) \frac{\|8(x)\|}{\|8\|_{W}}$$

is a very bad estimate!

The X(8,x) in the upper bound causes the square

We should use B directly!

Converse Smale's a-theorem. $y(8, x) dist_{S}(x, Z_{S}(8)) < 1$ (4) $\alpha(8,x) \leq \gamma(8,x) \operatorname{dist}_{\delta}(x, z_{\delta}(8))$ 1- y(8,x)dists(x,2s(8)) 'If x is sufficiently near 25(8), then Smale's a-criterion at x holds' Covollary. I& VZX(&,x)||8(x)||/118||w<1, then $\alpha(8,x) < \alpha_*$ or Bs(x, c/D2x(8,x)) 1725(8)=\$

The adaptive CKMW algorithm NONNAIVE EDITIONILI

- 1) Refine adaptively $G \subseteq S^n \times (0, \infty)$ so that

 1) $S^n \subseteq U\{B_S(\times, v) \mid (\times, v) \in G\}$ & 2) $\forall (\times, v) \in G$, $v \in 1/CDX(8, x)$ [Exclude $(\times, v) \in G$ if $||8(\times)||/||8||_{W} \ge \sqrt{D}$ $v \in Y$ The lude $(\times, v) \in G$ if $||8(\times)||/||8||_{W} \ge \sqrt{D}$ $v \in Y$ [Include $(\times, v) \in G$ if $||8(\times)||/||8||_{W} \ge \sqrt{D}$ $v \in Y$
- 3 Post-process the selected points to get approximation of ZIP(8)

Using B gives the desired Exesuk(8,x) bound!

12(8,x):= ||3||w/ \J||8(x)||2+||Qx8-1/21|-2' local condition number

Some extra tricks

$$\mathcal{L}(8,x):=\frac{||8||w|}{\sqrt{||8(x)||^2+||D_x8^{-1}\Delta^{1/2}||^{-2}}}$$
 Change of norm
$$C(8,x):=\frac{||8||w|}{\sqrt{||8||w||^{-1}8^{(x)}||w|,||D_x8^{-1}\Delta^{2}||^{-1}}}$$
 where $\Delta:=\operatorname{diag}(d:)$ Extra normalization

Row-normalization &:= (8:/118:110);

Interlude: II-IIW vs. II-IIW

 $\begin{array}{ll} & \mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{H}_{d}[n] \\ & \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{d}[n] \\ & \mathcal{S}_{$

 $\begin{aligned} \|D_X \S(v)\|_W &= D\|\S\|_W & \|D_X \S(v)\|_\infty \leq D\|\S\|_\infty \\ \text{where } D_X \S(v) = \sum_j \frac{\partial \S}{\partial x_j} V_j \in \mathcal{H}_{d-1}[n] & \text{Kellogg's inegnality} \end{aligned}$

Different probabilistic behaviours

[Eg/1811w~\N\n\min\{n^0/2,D^\min\}] [Eg/1811w^\n\n\n\log D]

There is a catch IIIII harder to compute

A ESULT

MAIN THEOREM

There is a DETERMINISTIC, NUMERICALLY STABLE algorithm a CKMW that given & Edulus computes #2p(8) and such that $\mathbb{E}_{\$} \text{ run-time} \left(\text{a CKM W, \$} \right) \leq 2^{O(\text{nlogu})} D^{\text{n}} N + 2^{O(\text{nlogu})} 2.5 (N+D)$ (GOOD' PROBABILISTIC RUN-TIME where D:= max d; D:= Td; N:= \(\sum \big(\text{n+d:} \) = # of zero & mu-zero coeff. of \(\frac{1}{2} \)

+ PARALLELIZABLE

FUTURE WORK

We can produce correct adaptive

Homology computation of semialgebraic sets

Post-processing step has to be improved!

Can we have a Monte-Carlo version without computing 1181100?

Obrigado

pela atenção!