## <u>Incident Report 156485: Improper Fuel Line Connection During F-16 Fuel Tank</u> Installation

### 1. Initial Assessment

On October 10, 2024, during the installation of external fuel tanks on an F-16 fighter aircraft, an installation error was discovered. After the tanks were installed by the maintenance team, a routine post-installation inspection identified that one of the fuel lines had been improperly connected. This error posed a potential risk of fuel leakage, which could compromise both the aircraft's performance and safety. Immediate corrective actions were taken, including disassembly and reinstallation by a senior technician, but the incident raised concerns about the initial installation process.

## 2. Analysis

An investigation into the incident revealed that the improper fuel line connection stemmed from an incorrect orientation of the tank's quick-disconnect fittings. The technician involved reported following the standard procedure; however, a detailed review of the process indicated that the procedure's guidance on connector orientation was unclear. Furthermore, a lack of prior experience with the specific variant of the fuel tank contributed to the oversight.

During the investigation, it was noted that the supervisor's verification was not fully thorough due to simultaneous tasking on other aircraft. Additionally, the inspection checklist lacked a detailed section specifically addressing fuel line orientation, which could have highlighted the issue before installation was completed.

### 3. Contributory Factors and Consequences

Contributory factors included:

- **Procedural Ambiguity**: The current installation procedure lacked specific details on fuel line orientation, leading to potential misinterpretation.
- **Limited Experience with Tank Variant**: The maintenance team had limited hands-on experience with this particular model of fuel tank, increasing the likelihood of mistakes.
- **Inadequate Supervision**: The supervising technician was overseeing multiple tasks at once, which diluted the level of attention on this installation.

If left uncorrected, such an error could have resulted in significant consequences, including mid-flight fuel leaks, a potential risk of fire, and a failure to meet mission requirements due to unplanned fuel loss. Moreover, recurring installation errors could affect overall fleet readiness and operational efficiency.

### 4. Individual Actions to Prevent Future Incidents

- Follow Installation Procedures Precisely: Technicians are advised to adhere strictly to each step of the installation process, ensuring close attention to detail and checking connector orientation as per the updated guidelines.
- Seek Clarification on Unclear Steps: Personnel should immediately consult with supervisors or refer to the technical manuals if any step appears unclear, particularly when working with less familiar equipment.
- **Self-Verification**: Technicians should conduct a personal inspection after each installation, using an updated checklist to ensure critical components like fuel lines are properly installed before requesting supervisor approval.

### 5. Actions at Unit Level to Prevent Recurrence

- **Procedure Revision**: The unit's engineering team will update the installation procedure for F-16 external fuel tanks to include specific instructions for the orientation of fuel line connectors and critical inspection points.
- **Targeted Training**: Additional hands-on training will be provided for all maintenance personnel, focusing on the specific fuel tank variant to ensure familiarity and reduce the likelihood of errors.
- Enhanced Supervision Protocols: A policy will be implemented requiring dedicated supervisors for critical installation tasks, reducing divided attention across multiple tasks.
- **Updated Inspection Checklists**: The inspection checklist for post-installation will be updated to include a detailed section on verifying the orientation and security of fuel lines, connectors, and any other critical components.

# <u>Incident Report 698232: Unexpected Fuel Tank Shift During F-16 Installation Leads</u> to Personnel Injury

#### 1. Initial Assessment

On October 15, 2024, during the installation of external fuel tanks on an F-16 fighter aircraft, a mishap occurred, resulting in an injury to a maintenance technician. While securing the fuel tank, the technician's hand was caught between the tank and the mounting assembly due to an unexpected shift in the tank's position. The technician sustained a severe hand injury, including fractures and lacerations, requiring immediate medical attention. The installation process was suspended, and an investigation was initiated to determine the cause of the incident.

## 2. Analysis

The investigation found that the injury occurred due to a combination of factors related to equipment handling and procedural oversight. The tank positioning had not been adequately stabilized before securing, and a momentary slip in the hydraulic lift support contributed to the unexpected movement of the fuel tank. Additionally, the personnel were not wearing reinforced gloves, which could have mitigated the severity of the injury. The current installation procedures do not emphasize specific guidelines on stabilizing heavy components like fuel tanks, nor do they mandate protective gloves for this task.

Further examination revealed that the lifting equipment used was not recently inspected, and the support mechanism was slightly misaligned, increasing the risk of instability during positioning. The procedural checklist also lacked a step requiring verification of tank stability before final attachment.

## 3. Contributory Factors and Consequences

Contributory factors included:

- Insufficient Stabilization Measures: Procedures did not include specific stabilization steps for securing heavy components, leaving the fuel tank prone to unexpected shifts.
- Lack of Protective Gear: The injured technician was not wearing reinforced gloves, a decision attributed to an oversight in safety protocols.
- Inadequate Equipment Inspection: The hydraulic lift had not undergone a recent inspection, which may have led to a slight misalignment affecting stability.
- **Checklist Gaps**: The procedural checklist did not mandate checking for tank stability prior to securing it in place.

Consequences of these gaps included serious physical injury to personnel, a delay in the installation process, and the potential for further accidents if procedural

improvements are not made. Continued issues of this nature could lead to a degraded safety environment, impacting personnel morale and operational readiness.

#### 4. Individual Actions to Prevent Future Incidents

- Prioritize Safety Gear: Maintenance personnel should ensure they wear all recommended personal protective equipment (PPE), including reinforced gloves, during installation tasks involving heavy components.
- **Verify Stability Before Securing**: Technicians should personally check for stability in all equipment and fuel tanks prior to commencing final installation steps.
- Report Equipment Concerns Promptly: Any concerns with handling equipment, such as hydraulic lifts or stabilizers, should be reported immediately for inspection and maintenance, ensuring all tools are safe and properly aligned.

### 5. Actions at Unit Level to Prevent Recurrence

- **Procedure Updates for Stabilization**: Installation procedures will be revised to include steps specifically for stabilizing fuel tanks and other heavy components to prevent unexpected movement during installation.
- Mandatory Use of Protective Gear: A policy requiring reinforced gloves and other PPE for personnel handling heavy equipment will be implemented, with spot checks to ensure compliance.
- Enhanced Equipment Inspection Protocols: Regular, documented inspections of all handling equipment, including hydraulic lifts and stabilizers, will be scheduled to prevent malfunctions.
- Revised Checklist and Safety Training: The checklist for fuel tank installation
  will be updated to include a stability verification step, and additional safety
  training sessions will reinforce best practices for personnel working with heavy
  components.