| Scattershot - post-study survey | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| submit.incognito@ * Required | gmail.co | m (not sh | ared) Swi | tch accou | int | <u>©</u> | | |
| What's your assigned | gid? * | | | | | | | |
| Your answer | | | | | | | | |
| What's assigned pid? | | | | | | | | |
| Your answer | | | | | | | | |
| Compared to the procemade it easier and mo | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strong agree | | |
| Compared to the proceinspired me to think the For example, I become created more diverse of | rough the aware o | e intende of more i | ed behav | ior of the | e functio | n I'm building. | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strongly agree | | |
| Compared to the exam [SS-Select-1] helped m example, I was surpris therefore noticed/inclu | e find ex ed by so | amples me mist | l would l akes ma | nave other | erwise m e current | issed. For function, and | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strongly agree | | |
| Compared to Step 1 [N assess the function / f | | | | | | - | | |

1 2 3 4 5

examples the model can work correctly on.

| Strong disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strong agree | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|----------------|------|--|--|--|
| Compared to the process in Step 2 [SS-Select-1], the interface in Step 3 [SS-Select-2] inspired me to think through the intended behavior of the function I'm building. For example, I become aware of more input patterns that I should handle & created more diverse output patterns. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strongly agree | | | | |
| Compared to the examples I got from Step 2 [SS-Select-1], the interface in Step 3 * [SS-Select-2] helped me find examples I would have otherwise missed. For example, I was surprised by some mistakes made by the current function, and therefore noticed/included more more edge cases or difficult cases. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strongly agree | | | | |
| Compared to in Step 2 [SS-Select-1], the interface in Step 3 [SS-Select-2] helped * me to assess the function / few-shot example quality. I should be able to predict what examples the model can work correctly on. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| Strong disagree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Strong agree | | | | |
| What do you think / guess to be the differences between the two selection methods in Step 2 and 3? Your answer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Submit | | | | | | Clear | form | | | |

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

1

This form was created inside of Carnegie Mellon University. $\underline{\text{Report Abuse}}$

Google Forms