10-701 Cheat Sheet

Non-Parametric

MaxLikelihood learning window will give you delta functions, which is a kind of over fitting. Use Leave-one-out cross validation for model selection. Idea: Use some of the data to estimate density; Use other part to evaluate how well it works. Pick the parameter that works best.

$$\begin{split} \log p(x_i|X\setminus\{x_i\}) &= \log\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{j\neq i}k(x_i,x_j), \text{ the sum over all} \\ \text{points is } \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\log\left[\frac{n}{n-1}p(x_i)-\frac{1}{n-1}k(x_i,x_i)\right] \text{ where } p(x) &= \\ \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^nk(x_i,x). \\ \text{why must we not check too many parameters? that you} \end{split}$$

why must we not check too many parameters? that you can overfit more; for a given dataset, a few particular parameter values might happen to do well in k-fold CV by sheer chance, where if you had a new dataset they might not do so well. Checking a reasonable number of parameter values makes you less likely to hit those "lucky" spots helps mitigate this risk.

Silverman's Rule for kernel size Use average distance from k nearest neighbors $r_i = \frac{r}{k} \sum_{x \in \text{NN}(x_i, k)} \|x_i - x\|$.

Watson Nadaraya 1. estimate p(x|y=1) and p(x|y=-1); 2. compute by Bayes rule

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{m_y} \sum_{y_i = y} k(x_i, x) \cdot \frac{m_y}{m}}{\frac{1}{m} \sum_i k(x_i, x)}.$$
 3. Decision boundary

boundary $p(y=1|x)-p(y=-1|x)=\frac{\sum_{j}y_{j}k(x_{j},x)}{\sum_{i}k(x_{i},x)}=\sum_{j}y_{j}\frac{k(x_{j},x)}{\sum_{i}k(x_{i},x)}$ Actually, we assume that p(x-y) is equal to $1/m_{y}*\sum_{y}k(x_{i},x).$ Using this definition, we can see p(x,-1)+p(x,1)=p(x|-1)p(-1)+p(x|1)p(1)=p(x). This can be incorporated into the regression framework in chap 6 of PRML. Where we define $f(x-x_{n},t\neq t_{n})=0$, and $f(x-x_{n},t=t_{n})=f(x-x_{n}).$ Using this definition, we can derive all the probabilities on this slide. (see my handwritten

Regression case is the same equation.

kNN Let optimal error rate be p. Given unlimited **iid** data, 1NN's error rate is $\leq 2p(1-p)$.

Matrix Cookbook

notes on chap 6 of PRML).

That it a Courbook
$$\frac{\partial x^T a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial a^T x}{\partial x} = a$$

$$\frac{\partial a^T X b}{\partial X} = ab^T, \ \frac{\partial a^T X^T b}{\partial X} = ba^T, \ \frac{\partial a^T (X^T | X) a}{\partial X} = aa^T$$

$$W \in S, \ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (x - As)^T W (x - As) = -2A^T W (x - As),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x - s)^T W (x - s) = 2W (x - s),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (x - s)^T W (x - s) = -2W (x - s),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} (x - As)^T W (x - As) = 2W (x - As),$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} (x - As)^T W (x - As) = -2W (x - As)s^T.$$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \sum_i A_{ii}. \text{ For two equal sized matrices, } \text{Tr}(A^T B) = \text{Tr}(B^T A) = \text{Tr}(AB^T) = \text{Tr}(BA^T) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} B_{ij}.$$

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(A^T), \text{Tr}(A + B) = \text{Tr}(A) + \text{Tr}(B). \text{ For square matricis,}}$$

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA), \text{Tr}(ABC) = \text{Tr}(CAB) = \text{Tr}(BCA)$$

$$(\text{trace rotation}).$$

Classifers and Regressors

Naive Bayes Conditionally independent:

 $P(x_1, x_2, \dots | C) = \prod_i P(x_i | C)$. One way to avoid divide by zero: add $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ to both classes.

Learns
$$P(x_i|y)$$
 for Discrete $x_i - P(x_i|y) = \frac{\#D(X_i=x_i,Y=y)}{\#D(Y=y)}$

For smoothing, use $P(x_i|y) = \frac{\#D(X_i = x_i, Y = y) + k}{\#D(Y = y) + n_i k}$, where n_i is the number of different possible values for X_i (In practice problem set, Jing Xiang used k = 1?) Continuous x_i – Can use any PDF, but usually use Gaussian

 $P(x_i|y) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X_i|y}, \sigma_{X_i|y}^2)$, where $\mu_{X_i|y}$ and $\sigma_{X_i|y}$ are, respectively, the average and variance of X_i for all data points where Y = y. The Gaussian distribution already provides smoothing.

Perceptron Produces linear decision boundaries. Classifies

using $\hat{y} = X_{test} \ w + b \ Learns \ w$ and b by updating w whenever $y_i(w^Tx_i+b) \leq 0$ (i.e. incorrectly classified). Updates as $w \leftarrow w + x_iy_i, b \leftarrow b + y_i$ Repeat until all examples are correctly classified. w is some linear combination $\sum_i \alpha_i x_i(y_i * x_i)$ of data points, and decision boundary is the linear hyperplane $f(x) = w^Tx + b$. Note that the perceptron is the same as stochastic gradient descent with a hinge loss function of $max(0, 1 - y_i[< w, x_i > +b])$ (we can't remove 1 in the loss function; otherwise we can set w, b = 0).

Convergence of perceptron proof 1 Here we use a perceptron without b. Assume we have \boldsymbol{w}^* that has margin γ $(\min(\boldsymbol{w}^*)^Ty_ix_i=\gamma)$, and $\|\boldsymbol{w}^*\|=1, \|x_i\|=1$. We start from $\boldsymbol{w}_0=0$. Assume that we have made M mistakes. We have 1) $\boldsymbol{w}_M\cdot\boldsymbol{w}^*=(\boldsymbol{w}_{M-1}+y_ix_i)\cdot\boldsymbol{w}^*\geq \boldsymbol{w}_{M-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}^*+\gamma$. So we have $\boldsymbol{w}_M\cdot\boldsymbol{w}^*\geq M\gamma$.

2) $\mathbf{w}_{M} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{M} = (\mathbf{w}_{M-1} + y_{i}x_{i}) \cdot (\mathbf{w}_{M-1} + y_{i}x_{i}) = \mathbf{w}_{M-1} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{M-1} + 2y_{i}x_{i} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{M-1} + (y_{i}x_{i}) \cdot (y_{i}x_{i}) \leq \mathbf{w}_{M-1} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{M-1} + 1.$ So we have $\mathbf{w}_{M} \cdot \mathbf{w}_{M} < M$.

Combining them, using Cauchy-Schwarz, we have $M\gamma \leq \boldsymbol{w}_M \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^* \leq \|\boldsymbol{w}_M\| \|\boldsymbol{w}^*\| \leq \sqrt{M}$. So $M \leq 1/\gamma^2$. **proof 2** Let potential function $Q_i = \|\boldsymbol{w}_i\| - \boldsymbol{w}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^*$, where i is the number of iterations. Assuming up to iteration i, we have M mistakes, so we have $Q_i \leq \sqrt{M} - M\gamma$. Clearly $Q_i \geq 0$ by Cauchy-Schwarz. So we have $\sqrt{M} - M\gamma \geq 0$.

Kernel

Kernel function $k(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}') = \phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T \phi(\boldsymbol{x}')$ for some $\phi(\cdot)$. For a set of data points $\{\boldsymbol{x}_i\}$, we have Gram matrix (kernel matrix) $K_{ij} = k(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j)$. A **necessary and sufficient** condition for being a valid kernel function: K always positive semidefinite. Proof: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T K \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j K_{ij} = \sum_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \rangle = \langle \sum_i \alpha_i \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \sum_j \alpha_j \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \rangle \geq 0$.

Mercer's Theorem for any symmtric function $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is square integrable and satisfying $\int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} k(x,x') f(x) f(x') \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}x' \geq 0$ for $f \in L_2(\mathcal{X})$, we have a feature space $\Phi(x)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ that $k(x,x') = \sum_i \lambda_i \phi_i(x) \phi_i(x')$. new kernel from old ones Given kernels $k_1(x,x'), k_2(x,x'), ck_1(x,x'), f(x)k_1(x,x')f(x'), k_1(x,x') + k_2(x,x'), k_1(x,x')k_2(x,x')$ are new valid kernels. Proof: 1) write the kernel as the dot product of two vectors; 2) use

Mercer's Theorem; 3) any Gram matrix derived from it is positive semidefinite. $k_1(x,x') - k_2(x,x')$ is **invalid**: let $k_1(x,x') = 1$ for x = x', and 0 otherwise, and $k_2(x,x') = 2k_1(x,x')$. The Gram matrix of new kernel is not PSD.

PSD matrices Products of two PSD matrices are not always PSD. Let A be 2×2 PSD, and B be diag(1,2). AB is not PSD (columns scaled differently). PSD's eigen decomposition $A = UDU^T$. $A^{m+1} = AA^m = UDU^T(UD^mU^T) = UD(U^TU)D^mU^T = UD^{m+1}U^T$. Any PSD is a covariance matrix Let x be a random vector with covariance I, PSD Q is the covariance matrix for $Q^{1/2}x$: $cov(Q^{1/2}x) = Q^{1/2}cov(x)Q^{1/2} = Q^{1/2}Q^{1/2} = Q$. examples polynomial: $(\langle x,x'\rangle + c)^d, c \geq 0$. For c=0, it's a polynomial having all terms of order d; for c>0, it contains all terms of order up to d.

Convexity

Convex Sets

Definition: A set C is convex if the line segment between any two points in C lies in C, i.e. if for any $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and any θ with $0 < \theta < 1$, we have

$$\theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2 \in C$$

Examples:

- Empty set \emptyset , single point x_0 , the whole space \mathbb{R}^n
- Euclidean balls $\{x|||x-x_c||_2 \le r\}$
- Positive semidefinite marices $S^n_+ = \{A \in S^n | A \succeq 0\}$ (S^n is the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices)

Convexit preserving set operations:

- Translation $\{x + b | x \in C\}$
- Scaling $\{\lambda x | x \in C\}$
- Affine function $\{Ax + b | x \in C\}$
- Intersection $C \cap D$
- Set sum $C + D = \{x + y | x \in C, y \in D\}$

Convex Functions

Definition: A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex if $\operatorname{dom} f$ is a convex set and if for all $x, y \in \operatorname{dom} f$, and θ with $0 \le \theta \le 1$, we have

$$f(\theta x + (1-\theta)y) < \theta f(x) + (1-\theta)f(y)$$

First-order conditions: Suppose f is differentiable. Then f is convex if and only if $\operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f$ is convex and

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

Second-order conditions: Assume that f is twice differentiable

Copyright © 2013 Yimeng Zhang.