

TU DRESDEN

ADVANCED PRACTICAL COURSE

LAB REPORT

Biomolecular Motors

Authors:

Toni EHMCKE
Christian SIEGEL

Supervisor:

Samata CHAUDHUR

Dresden, November 19, 2015

Date of experimental procedure: November 5, 2015

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Kinesin-1: Cell's workhorses	2
1.2	Fluorescence Microscopy	2
2	Experimental procedure	2
2.1	Making of the Kinesin-1-stepping assay	2
2.2	Stream acquisition	2
3	Data Analysis	3
3.1	Data evaluation of velocity	3
3.2	Data evaluation of run length	4
4	Discussion and conclusions	4
5	Appendix	4
6	Literatur	5

1 Introduction

1.1 Kinesin-1: Cell's workhorses

1.2 Fluorescence Microscopy

2 Experimental procedure

2.1 Making of the Kinesin-1-stepping assay

2.2 Stream acquisition

First the experimental supervisor placed the flow cell holder on the microscope stage. We used an oil objective which has to touch the bottom of the flow cell. The objective has a magnification of 100x and a numerical aperture of 1.46. After the assay was fixed we used a Software called MetaMorph. We chose the camera button. We used a digital Camera by Andor which took greyscaled pictures. Its resolution is 2.6 MPixel with 512x512 pixels. The size of one pixel ist $256 \mu\text{m}^2$. According to the meta data of the movies and pictures the chosen additional magnification of the camera is 1.0x. This means, the pixel size is the original pixel size of the camera. If it would be 2.5x, we could see 2.5 times less, so a pixel would be $A = 256 \mu\text{m}^2 / 2.5 = 102.4$. So we took the TRITC filter and the lamp on the taskbar and watched the live images token by the camera. We searched and focused a cutout where we could see enough MTs. Unfortunately our assay showed that no MT's were fixed in it, so we could not take any pictures. Maybe this was caused by a too thin microtubules solution. This is why we can not compare two different conditions. We used the assay of our co-workers instead. After we focused the MTs, we stop live imaging and take a picture of the MTs which luminescate by rhodamine.

After that we take the GFP filter and choose the laser illumination. Then we choose again live imaging ("show live"). The the TIRF angle will be adjusted. Again we choose the TRITC filter on the taskbar, the lamp illumination and take live imaging. We move to a new field of view, focus properly and take an image of the MTs such as in figure 1.




Figure 1: Example of an image, where we focused on the MTs

Then we save an image of this position. We now switched again to the GFP filter, selected the laser as light source and take live imaging. We can see moving motors. We stop live imaging and take a movie via the acquire button. The number of frames we took is 1000. For one frame the camera needs 150 ms, so at the end one we wait 150s per video stream. We took 8 movies. When all streams are collected, one can do the data processing with FIESTA. There you can mark the

possible trajectories of the motor proteins (as seen in figure 2) and the software will calculate pieces of the picture where the height is the time dimension and the width is the movement. If there is motion, one can mark up the lines and get the time which was needed for the marked distance (see figure 3).




Figure 2: first step marking of the microtubules

Figure 3: marking of the trajectories of the motor proteins

3 Data Analysis

According to the data evaluation, we got $N = 204$ events. That means, we got 204 times a distance, a time and a velocity which are distributed.

3.1 Data evaluation of velocity

We think, the velocity is a gaussian distribution. This assumption can be proven by a student's t-test. But we only have one set of data.




Figure 4: **A:** Histogram of the relative velocity distribution. **B:** Histogram of the cululative velocity distribution

The mean of the velocity \bar{v} , its standard deviation $\sigma_{\bar{v}}$ and the standard error of the mean velocity $\Delta \bar{v}$ can be calculated by a data analysis tool. We used an Origin like software called qtiplot, which calculates column statistics, which are not influenced by binning, because that are the measured

raw data. These include the searched values. In general one can calculate these values as following:

$$\bar{v} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N v_i , \sigma_{\bar{v}} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(v_i - \bar{v})^2}{N}} , \Delta \bar{v} = \frac{\sigma_{\bar{v}}}{\sqrt{N}}$$

So we get:

$$\bar{v} = (0.81 \pm 0.05) \frac{\mu\text{m}}{\text{s}} , \sigma_{\bar{v}} = 0.72 \frac{\mu\text{m}}{\text{s}}$$

To minimize the error one easily take more measurements. According to the law of large numbers the measured mean velocity would converge to the expectation value. With an infinite number of measurements we would get the exact result. Also we could use software which marks the traces, which would create a unit law of marking. As a human being, one cannot see every trace and one can not mark every trace the way. This is caused by a diameter of the traces which is not infinite. The means, one could easily stretch the distance walked by the kinesin by marking from one edge to the diagonal opposite edge. An automation would avoid these errors.

3.2 Data evaluation of run length

4 Discussion and conclusions

5 Appendix

6 Literatur

References

- [01] Y.Wei; G.Wang: *An Intuitive Discussion on the Ideal Ramp Filter in Computed Tomography.*
Iowa, 02/2004
- [02] IKTP TU Dresden : *PET - Positronen-Emissions-Tomographie*
- [03] T.Würschig : *Aufbau eines Versuchsplatzes für die Positronen-Emissions-Tomographie*