

BOM and Part Information Management Pain Points¹

Major Categories	BOM	Part Information Management
Data Entry & Manual Processes	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Data manually typed 3 times (CAD BOM → Excel EBOM → SAP MBOM)- BOMs created manually from drawings and spreadsheets- Manual BOM data entry causing errors- Manual BOM transfer between systems- Manual BOM updates across multiple systems- Manual BOM approval workflows- MBOM line items manually entered	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Attributes manually entered into docs, specs, SAP, Molex.com- 14 SAP screens must be completed before part can be ordered- Manual part structuring process- Days or weeks to create material master- Technical attributes manually entered into specifications- Attributes re-typed into separate database for Molex.com/M2X

¹ Source - Current vs. Future State Part and BOM Information Management and Commercial Parts Process, Revision 3

Search & Discovery Issues

- Cannot find existing BOMs for reuse
- No visibility into BOMs from other divisions
- Limited BOM search functionality
- No where-used capability for BOMs
- 2D CAD BOM content isn't searchable
- Engineers spend 2+ hours searching for parts
- Limited search functionality in existing tools
- Parts not grouped into categories
- Information scattered across ECTR, SAP, Molex.com, SharePoint
- No visibility into parts used by other divisions
- 50% of day spent looking for documents
- Difficulty finding information; easier to recreate and duplicate

Duplication & Proliferation

- Multiple conflicting BOMs from different team members
- Duplicate BOMs created unknowingly
- No BOM standardization across BUs
- Individually created BOMs for variants and options
- 100k+ SKUs each created as separate line item
- Same part exists 6+ times with different names
- Engineers unknowingly create duplicate parts
- No validation process before creating new parts
- Each BU creating their own versions of similar parts
- No global part standardization
- No part proliferation controls
- Ad hoc approach to Commercial Parts selection

Data Integrity & Quality

- Incomplete BOMs due to missing part information
- BOM revisions stored in multiple locations
- No version control for BOMs
- Conflicting BOM data from different sources
- Paper BOMs on shop floor no longer valid
- Increases risk of errors
- Missing approved drawings
- Missing costing information
- Incomplete material master data
- Missing labeling information
- No single source of truth for part information
- Work instructions scattered in Excel, SharePoint, or paper
- Revisions stored in multiple locations
- Secondary attributes often missing in handoff to Molex.com

System Integration Problems

- No automated BOM transfer to SAP
- No integration between CAD and ERP for BOMs
- BOM data not synchronized between systems
- EBOM to MBOM digital thread is missing
- Missing digital thread to EBOM
- 17 different PDPs across business units
- No automated interface between design systems and SAP
- Part data not synchronized between systems
- Digital links missing between inputs
- Gaps in document links
- Technical documents not digitally linked

Process & Workflow Issues

- No standard BOM creation process
- Constant firefighting to get BOMs completed
- No cross-functional BOM reviews
- Manual push required for approvals
- No BOM change control process
- MBOM creation is a manual process

- No standard process for part structuring across sites
- Different roles at different plants for same function
- Engineers source parts independently
- Finance must be called separately for costing
- No automated part creation workflow
- Manual coordination between NPI team members

Change Management

- Changes to EBOMs and MBOMs managed in different systems
- No formal workflow for tooling, material master, BOM changes
- Material Master and BOM revision control not utilized
- Customer frustration with BOM changes

- Changes managed separately in each system/inconsistently
- Changes communicated ad hoc
- Change requirements communicated via email, phone calls
- PCNs are a paper process
- No revision control for SAP material masters

Knowledge Management

- BOM creation knowledge not documented
- Previous BOMs not leveraged for new products
- BOM best practices not shared
- Reliance on tribal knowledge

- Work instructions not standardized
- Part selection criteria not documented
- Missing quick reference guides
- Previous process information not leveraged
- Lost customer insight

Business Impact

- BOM errors causing production delays
- Rework costs due to incorrect BOMs
- Lost productivity from manual BOM processes
- Production delays due to incomplete BOMs
- Quality issues from BOM errors
- Customer orders delayed - parts not structured in SAP
- Engineers buying directly from distributors
- Overpaying for parts
- Not leveraging volume discounts
- Inventory costs from duplicate parts
- Compliance risks from missing part data
- Limits buying power across Molex