Main title goes here

Tony Tan

Centre for Educational Measurement

University of Oslo

UV9102A General Course in Qualitative Research Methodology Prof Marte Blikstad-Balas and Prof Monika Bærøe Nerland 31 March 2022

Paper Selected

Hennessy, S., Kershner, R., Calcagni, E., & Ahmed, F. (2021). Supporting practitioner-led inquiry into classroom dialogue with a research-informed professional learning resource: A design-based approach. *Review of Education*, 9(3), 1–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3269

Paper Summary

Hennessy et al. (2021) presented a qualitative research project aimed at supporting practitioner-led inquiry into classroom dialogue. Using a design-based approach involving 74 participants raging from early education to the tertiary levels, the authors examined the effectiveness of the Teacher Scheme for Educational Dialogue Analysis (T-SEDA) resource pack for promoting teachers' implementation of dialogic pedagogy. By examining data derived from surveys, inquiry reports and interviews, Hennessy and colleagues reported significant effect sizes attributable to the T-SEDA in participating classrooms in terms of classroom dialogue implementation.

This paper began with a clear and purposeful introduction. The authors motivated their study with research gaps and limitations from unidirectional intervention designs — an argument naturally led to a design-based research (DBR) methodology. A brief description of DBR was then given followed by listing its distinctive features. These paragraphs served non-specialists particularly well in terms of scaffolding and contextualising this project. Subsequently, Hennessy and colleagues linked T-SEDA's design principles to DBR by explicitly positioning practitioners as contributors to research knowledge. The introduction section ended with an outline, giving readers a clear structure and purpose for this paper.

Credibility Examination

This study has a mix success in establishing its research credibility.

Internal Coherence

Internal coherence refers to the connection between the research questions and the research design. The authors listed two research questions: a) "Why might individual practitioners engage with and disengage from T-SEDA inquiry?" and b)

"What are the organisational structures and circumstances supporting engagement with T-SEDA in different local settings?" and employed a design-based approach in exploring the answers. In answering the "why" question, Hennessy and colleagues conducted

Transparency of Research Process

Hennessy et al.'s (2021) study is highly transparent in its research process.

Under the guidance of Creswell and Creswell (2018, pp. 185–187), the study authors have demonstrated a purposeful selection of participants, discussed the recruitment strategy, commented on the number of participants, indicated the type of data that had been collected

Validation Strategies

Discussion

References

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design (5th ed.). SAGE.

Hennessy, S., Kershner, R., Calcagni, E., & Ahmed, F. (2021). Supporting practitioner-led inquiry into classroom dialogue with a research-informed professional learning resource: A design-based approach. Review of Education, 9(3), 1–48. https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3269