



Economic literacy, inequality, and financial development

Anna Lo Prete^{*}

University of Turin, Department of Economics, Via Po 53, 10124 Torino (TO), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 January 2012

Received in revised form

19 June 2012

Accepted 23 September 2012

Available online 27 September 2012

JEL classification:

G1

I24

O16

Keywords:

Inequality

Financial market participation

Economic competences

ABSTRACT

Empirical studies of the link between finance and inequality document that across countries financial development is associated with lower and decreasing income inequality. This article uses an indicator of economic literacy as a proxy for the ability to reap the benefits of financial investment opportunities, and documents that such specific competences matter for the relationship between changes in inequality and financial development. As financial markets become more sophisticated, the ability to take advantage of new investment opportunities may help reduce inequality, and the empirical association between financial development and lower income inequality indeed appears to be driven by economic literacy.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The literature reviewed in Demirgüç-Kunt and Levine (2009) and Claessens and Perotti (2007) documents that financial development matters for the distribution of incomes and for poverty. In countries where financial markets are more developed, income inequality is lower and grows less, and the income share of the poorest quintile increases more (see Clarke et al. (2006) and Beck et al. (2007)). These findings suggest that financial development does not only benefit individuals who already access financial markets, but also allows additional investors to exploit new investment opportunities and benefit from improvements in financial instruments.

Recent studies on financial market participation show that financial market deepening is not the only variable to consider when assessing the impact of new investment opportunities. Lack of financial market access may be due to poor economic competences. Over the last few decades, financial development has introduced sophisticated financial instruments that require advanced skills for proper use. In microeconomic data, Van Rooij et al. (2011) find that people with low financial literacy are less likely to access financial markets and invest in stocks. At the macroeconomic level, Jappelli (2010) shows that, for a given

distribution of access to financial markets among the population, the ability to reap the benefits of new investment opportunities and participate in financial markets depends crucially on economic literacy.

Merging insights from these two strands of the literature, one may plausibly expect that, as financial products become more complex, financial development is associated to income inequality in a way that depends on whether investors are able to understand financial opportunities and use financial instruments appropriately. This paper explores the empirical relevance of this novel insight for the mechanisms linking finance and inequality. It is organized as follows. Using the indicator of economic literacy compiled by the IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook to proxy the degree by which people are able to understand and use financial instruments, Section 2 illustrates preliminary evidence on the links between economic literacy, financial development, and inequality. Economic literacy shapes the relationship between inequality and finance, and provides information on the ability to participate in financial markets that indicators of general schooling do not capture. The empirical specification presented in Section 3 makes it possible to test whether economic competences matter to the way inequality responds to financial development. The results indicate that across countries inequality growth is lower in countries where economic literacy is higher among the population, and that financial development is negatively associated to income inequality only to the extent it covaries with economic literacy. Section 4 concludes.

^{*} Tel.: +39 011 6704981; fax: +39 011 6703895.

E-mail address: anna.loprete@unito.it.




Fig. 1. Inequality, financial development, and economic literacy. Notes: bivariate correlations in the top panels; partial correlations in the bottom panels.

2. Inequality, financial development, and economic literacy in the data

The evolution of inequality is measured as the average annual growth rate of the Gini coefficient, computed over the 1980–2005 period. Table 1 reports descriptive statistics and correlations for that variable and indicators of financial development (measured as the volume of private credit as a ratio of GDP), of economic competences (measured by the IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook index of economic literacy in the first year when it is available), and of general human capital (measured by average secondary schooling at the beginning of the period). The sample includes 30 countries for which inequality and economic literacy indicators are available over the 1980–2005 period (see the Data appendix for detailed definitions and sources of these and all other variables).

Fig. 1 illustrates in detail the relationship between inequality, financial development, and economic literacy. The negative bivariate association between finance and inequality growth in the top-left panel of Fig. 1 disappears when, in the bottom-left panel, economic literacy is controlled for. Higher economic literacy is negatively related to inequality growth in the top-right panel of Fig. 1, as well as in the bottom-right panel, when financial development is controlled for. This preliminary evidence suggests that economic competences, rather than financial development per se, matter for inequality. Of course the ability to understand and use financial instruments might be partly accounted for by general schooling. In Table 1, financial development is positively associated not only to economic literacy but also to schooling, which however does not eliminate the effect of financial development on inequality: when controlling for schooling, the partial association between financial development and inequality growth remains negative and significant (coefficient -0.005 , t -statistic -1.69). The next section reports regressions that assess the extent to which economic literacy, rather than general schooling or financial development, shapes the relationship between income inequality and financial development.

Table 1

Descriptive statistics and correlations.

Panel A. Descriptive statistics				
Variable	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
Growth of Gini	0.000	0.008	−0.014	0.015
Financial development	−0.702	0.533	−1.712	0.287
Economic literacy	1.552	0.307	0.802	2.074
Schooling	1.905	0.358	1.133	2.448
Panel B. Correlations				
	Growth of Gini	Financial development	Economic literacy	Schooling
Growth of Gini	1			
Financial development	−0.34	1		
Economic literacy	−0.49	0.61	1	
Schooling	−0.14	0.50	0.64	1

3. Regression results

To analyze how economic literacy influences the relationship between inequality and finance, we run reduced-form regressions that, as in Beck et al. (2007), relate the growth rate of the Gini coefficient over the 1980–2005 period to the initial level of income inequality, i.e. the value of the Gini coefficient at the beginning of the period; financial development; measures of international trade openness and inflation that capture the effect of macroeconomic conditions; the level of schooling at the beginning of the period; and GDP per capita growth.

In the first two columns of Table 2, only these variables are included, and financial development is negatively and significantly related to inequality growth, consistently with the results for a larger sample of Beck et al. (2007, Table 2, p. 37).

Economic literacy is included as a regressor in column 3. Its coefficient is significantly negative, indicating that inequality grows less in countries where financial literacy is higher; financial development becomes statistically insignificant, indicating that it is related to income inequality only to the extent that it covaries with economic literacy. General schooling does not capture this effect: following Beck et al. (2007), it is included but insignificant in the regressions reported in columns 2 and 3. Dropping it in column

Table 2
Inequality, financial development, and economic literacy.

Dependent variable: growth of Gini	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Financial development	–0.006 –2.45	–0.005 –2.13	–0.003 –0.80	–0.002 –0.71	0.011 0.86	0.011 0.82
Initial Gini	–0.007 –1.54	–0.010 –1.49	–0.008 –1.35	–0.010 –1.78	–0.009 –1.65	–0.009 –1.57
Trade openness		–0.004 –1.52	–0.003 –1.22	–0.003 –1.21	–0.004 –1.26	–0.004 –1.23
Inflation		0.000 0.11	0.000 0.80	0.000 0.18	–0.000 –0.12	–0.000 –0.15
Schooling		–0.001 –0.13	0.005 0.97			
Economic literacy			–0.013 –2.14	–0.010 –1.83	–0.017 –2.37	–0.017 –2.34
Economic literacy * financial development					–0.008 –1.02	–0.009 –0.95
GDP per capita growth						–0.016 –0.15
R-squared	0.166	0.255	0.365	0.346	0.371	0.372

Notes: Ordinary least squares estimates; *t*-statistics, in italics, are computed on the basis of robust standard errors. Number of observations: 30.

4 does not affect the coefficient of economic literacy which is also stable in column 5, where the interaction term between economic literacy and financial development controls for the possibility that financial development might have a different effect on inequality in countries with a higher initial level of economic literacy; and in column 6, where the set of regressors includes the growth of GDP per capita: this, like the results (not reported) of regressions that add other variables considered by Beck et al.'s (2007) robustness checks, confirms that economic literacy is robustly associated with the evolution of inequality.

4. Conclusions

This article reports novel preliminary results on the relevance of economic literacy to the finance-inequality nexus. It finds that income inequality grows less in countries where economic literacy is higher, and that financial development is negatively correlated to inequality growth only to the extent that it covaries with economic literacy. The ability to benefit from investment opportunities depends on economic literacy, not on financial development per se, and the relevance of economic knowledge is not captured by more generic measures of school attainment.

Acknowledgments

I thank researchers at CeRP, Elsa Fornero, and an anonymous referee for helpful comments. Any errors are mine. Financial support from CeRP and Regione Piemonte (Bando Scienze Umane e Sociali 2008) is gratefully acknowledged.

Appendix. Data appendix

Growth of Gini is the annual growth rate of the Gini coefficient computed over the 1960–2005 period (documented and made available by Beck et al. (2007)). Financial Development is the “private credit by deposit money banks and other intermediaries ratio over GDP” from the World Bank. The index of “economic literacy among the population” is computed by the IMD World Competitiveness Yearbook, which provides summary indicators based on interviews with senior business leaders available from 1995 to 2008. Trade Openness, the sum of exports plus imports over GDP, and Inflation, measured as the growth rate of the GDP deflator, are drawn from the World Bank's World Development Indicators. Schooling is the indicator of secondary school attainment by Barro and Lee (1996). GDP per capita growth is the average growth of real GDP per capita from the Penn World Tables.

All variables are in logarithms and averaged over the 1980–2005 sample period, except for initial inequality, schooling, and economic literacy. The sample for our analysis includes 30 countries, namely: Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Denmark, Finland, France, Greece, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Netherlands, Norway, Philippines, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, United Kingdom, United States, and Venezuela.

References

- Barro, R., Lee, J., 1996. International measures of schooling years and schooling quality. *AEA Papers and Proceedings* 86 (2), 218–223.
- Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Levine, R., 2007. Finance, inequality and the poor. *Journal of Economic Growth* 12, 27–49.
- Claessens, S., Perotti, E., 2007. Finance and inequality: channels and evidence. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 35, 748–773.
- Clarke, G., Xu, L., Zou, H., 2006. Finance and income inequality: what do the data tell us? *Southern Economic Journal* 72 (3), 578–596.
- Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Levine, R., 2009. Finance and inequality: theory and evidence. *Annual Review of Financial Economics* 1, 287–318.
- Jappelli, T., 2010. Economic literacy: an international comparison. *Economic Journal* 120, F429–F451.
- Van Rooij, M., Lusardi, A., Alessie, R., 2011. Financial literacy and stock market participation. *Journal of Financial Economics* 101, 449–472.