# Identifying Inter-subject Difficulties in Norwegian GPA Data Using Item Response Theory

Tony C. A. Tan

Centre for Educational Measurement, University of Oslo

Continuous Draft

Prof Rolf V. Olsen & Dr Astrid M. J. Sandsør

Vår 2022

#### Abstract

## Research Topic

The Grade Point Average (GPA, skolepoeng in Norwegian) plays a determining role in Norway's tertiary admission process. The academic track in Norwegian upper secondary education offers students a set of compulsory joint core subjects as well as a wide range of elective subjects for different specialisations. Since different elective subjects are treated equally in its calculation, GPA implicitly assumes that grades across different specialised subjects are equivalent indicators of students' preparedness for higher education—an assumption that remains untested and questioned by descriptive statistics (Utdanningsdirektoratet, 2022). This paper focuses on the comparability of difficulty levels across subjects to provide a test of the hidden assumption in the current procedure for producing the GPA.

#### Theoretical Framework

Fairness is both an essential and an elusive integral of educational assessment.

Following Gipps and Stobart's (2009) social-cultural framing of assessment fairness and

Tierny's (2017) democratic-measurement-pedagogical construction, the current study models

GPA as a selection device (Kane, 2013) for accessing privileged social resources (Bourdieu,

1973). It addresses the construct validity of GPAs by examining any construct-irrelevant

variance (Messick, 1989) related to students' subject choices.

## Methodology

Item response theory is particularly suitable for extracting item difficulty information in order to study assessment's selection fairness. This study considers each GPA subject as an item and each candidate as a person. Using marginal maximum likelihood (MML) estimation, the analyses will ascertain difficulty parameters for all major subjects in Norwegian upper secondary schools. Registry data containing Norwegian students' GPA performance in 2019 are first regularised by removing subjects with fewer than 1,000 candidates and by only including candidates who have received valid GPAs through upper secondary school completions. Next, subject difficulty parameters will be extracted using generalised partial credit models (GPCM, Muraki, 1992). Lastly, group invariance tests are applied to assess the extent to which selection bias had impacted on subject difficulty parameter estimates.

## **Expected Results**

The registry data set will be available for analysis in short time and the described analyses will be presented and discussed at the conference. We expect Norway's GPA subjects to differ in difficulties (He et al., 2018) and to exhibit significant selection effects (Korobko et al., 2008).

## Relevance to Nordic Educational Research

Given that university entries in Europe is largely based on the final grades from secondary schooling, the presented analysis is likely to be relevant to other countries using grades as the selection criteria into tertiary education. The issue of potential unequal treatment of students with different specialisation in upper secondary school applies beyond the Norwegian context. By testing the assumption that grades from different specialities support GPA's selection purpose equally well, this study lends statistical support to evidence-based policy formation process commonly practised in the Nordic community and serves to strengthen the fairness of our merit-based university admission decisions.

#### Methods

## **Population**

This study retains the entire cohort of Norway's Year 10 students graduating in 2019 as its targeted population. Students' GPA (grunnskolepoeng), teacher-assigned grades (standpunktkarakter), as well as written (SKR) and oral (MUN) exam grades were extracted from the national register. This data source is unique because it is the population, rather than samples, that forms our unit of analysis. Attainment records were then re-shaped into the format that each candidate occupies one row and each subject is represented by one column. This process led to a preliminary data set of 64,918 students and 200 subjects. Next, 4,300 students without valid GPA records were excluded from subsequent analyses, representing a loss rate of 6.62%. Seventeen subjects were retained based on these inclusion criteria:

# Teacher-assigned Grades (12 subjects)

Under the Norwegian education system, Year 10 students shall complete 13 compulsory subjects as well as electives. This study included all compulsory subjects except for Sidemål. We applied equal treatment to courses instructed in Norwegian and in Sami language by merging these records. Twelve teacher-assigned grades were included for our analysis: Written Norwegian (NORW), Oral Norwegian (NORO), Written English (ENGW), Oral English (ENGO), Mathematics (MATH), Natural Sciences (NATS), Social Sciences (SOCS), Religion (RELI), Music (MUSI), Arts and Handcraft (HAND), Food and Health (FOOD), and Physical Education (PHED).

## Written Exam Grades (3 subjects)

Norway uses a lottery draw to randomly assign Year 10 students to participate in *one* of the following three written exams: Norwegian (E-NORW), English (E-ENGW) and mathematics (E-MATH). This "planned missingness" implies that although numeral in quantity, the unobserved exam grades can be safely modelled under the missing completely at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Norwegian language has two official written forms: Bokmål and Nynorsk, with the former being more prevalent in the media. Students growing up in one written form must enroll the other as their Sidemål, unless Norwegian is not their native language. Nynorsk users tend to have easier time in Sidemål due to existing exposure to Bokmål. Bokmål users, on the other hand, find Nynorsk more challenging while fulfilling Sidemål. Since Sidemål contains two sub-cohorts with distinct difficulty profiles, we opt not to include this subject in our analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, NAT0010 Naturfag 10. årstrinn and NAT0020 Naturfag, samisk plan, 10. årstrinn were merged into one subject Natural Sciences. If academic results were available from both instruction languages, we retained the higher grades during merging.

random (MCAR) assumption (Little & Rubin, 2019).<sup>3</sup> Rasch models have a major advantage for handling missing values thanks to the sufficient overlap across subjects in the score matrix (He et al., 2018).

# Oral Exam Grades (2 subjects)

Year 10's oral exams consist of the same three subjects as in written exams, plus a wide selection such as natural and social sciences, with students being randomly assigned into one oral exam by lottery. In order to better match teacher-assigned grades, only Oral Norwegian (E-NORO) and Oral English (E-ENGO) were included in this study. Since students are spread thinly across many oral exam subjects, E-NORO and E-ENGO appeared more sparse than their teacher-assigned counterparts, leading to larger confidence intervals in subsequent analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Even if the lottery is less than perfectly random, Rasch models are still valid under the weaker assumption of missing at random (MAR), as long as one is satisfied that missing propensities are unrelated to item or person parameters (Molenaar, 1995).

#### References

- Bourdieu, P. (1973). Cultural reproduction and social reproduction. In R. Brown (Ed.), Knowledge, education, and cultural change: Papers in the sociology of education (pp. 71–112). Tavistock Publications. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351018142-3
- Gipps, C., & Stobart, G. (2009). Fairness in assessment. In C. Wyatt-Smith & J. Cumming (Eds.), Educational assessment in the 21st Century: Connecting theory and practice (pp. 105–118). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9964-9\_6
- He, Q., Stockford, I., & Meadows, M. (2018). Inter-subject comparability of examination standards in GCSE and GCE in England. Oxford Review of Education, 44(4), 494–513. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2018.1430562
- Kane, M. T. (2013). Validating the interpretations and uses of test scores. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 50(1), 1–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/jedm.12000
- Korobko, O. B., Glas, C. A. W., Bosker, R. J., & Luyten, J. W. (2008). Comparing the difficulty of examination subjects with item response theory. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 45(2), 139–157. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3984.2007.00057.x
- Little, R. J. A., & Rubin, D. B. (2019). *Statistical analysis with missing data* (3rd ed.). Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119482260
- Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In R. L. Linn (Ed.), *Educational measurement* (3rd ed., pp. 10–103). American Councile on Education; Macmillan.
- Molenaar, I. W. (1995). Estimation of item parameters. In G. H. Fischer & I. W. Molenaar (Eds.), Rasch models: Foundations, recent developments, and applications (pp. 39–51). Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4230-7 3
- Muraki, E. (1992). A generalized partial credit model: Application of an EM algorithm. ETS

  Research Report Series, 1992(1), 1–30.

  https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2333-8504.1992.tb01436.x
- Tierny, R. D. (2017). Fairness in educational assessment. In M. A. Peters (Ed.), Encyclopedia of educational philosophy and theory (pp. 793–798). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-588-4\_400
- Utdanningsdirektoratet. (2022). Karakterstatistikk for videregående skole [Grade statistics for upper secondary school]. Norwegian Directorate for Education and Training.

https://www.udir.no/tall-og-forskning/statistikk/statistikk-videregaende-skole/karakterer-vgs/

### Analysis Code, Additional Tables and Figures

## Register Data Re-format

```
1 # Only keep 2019 data
   # STP (Teacher assigned marks)
   teacher_mk <- gpa[which(gpa$AVGDATO == 201906), c(1:4, 7)]</pre>
   # Save the total number of students
 5 n_student <- dim(teacher_mk)[1] # Should be 1,073,204 obs
   if (interactive()) {n_student}
   # Inspect unusual marks in the "STP" column
   if (interactive()) {
10
       table(unlist(teacher_mk$STP))
   }
   # These marks are not usable:
      ', empty [n = 20,042],
   #
      7 [n = 33],
15 # D[n = 58, 182],
   # F [n = 37,273],
# GK [n = 55],
      IM [n = 2],
   #
   # IV [n = 12,576].
20
   # Recode un-usable STP into NA
   teacher_mk$STP <- car::recode(teacher_mk$STP, "</pre>
      c('', '7', 'D', 'F', 'GK', 'IM', 'IV') = NA
25
   # Part 1: Re-shape teacher-assigned marks columns: one subject per column
30 # How many subjects there are? (Answer: 200 different subjects in total)
   # How many times each subject name appeared (with or without valid score)?
   subject_frequency <- sort(table(unlist(teacher_mk$FAGKODE)), decreasing = T)</pre>
   if (interactive()) {subject_frequency}
   # Save subject list
35 subject_list <- as.character(data.frame(subject_frequency)[, 1])
   # Save total number of subjects
   n_subject <- length(subject_list)</pre>
   if (interactive()) {n_subject} # Should be 200 subjects in total
40 # Create a placeholder spreadsheet
   stp_spreadsheet <- data.frame(matrix(NA, nrow = n_student, ncol = n_subject))</pre>
   colnames(stp_spreadsheet) <- subject_list</pre>
   # Stitch STP and this empty placeholder spreadsheet together
   teacher_reshape <- cbind(teacher_mk, stp_spreadsheet)</pre>
   if (interactive()) {names(teacher_reshape)}
   # Set up a progress bar
   n_iter <- dim(teacher_reshape)[2] # Set the progress bar's end point</pre>
50 pb <- progress::progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition
       format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
        → eta]",
       total = n_iter,
       complete = "=",
       incomplete = "-",
55
       current = ">",
       clear = F,
       width = 100
60 for (j in 6:n_iter) { # 200 cycles
       # Insert progress bar here
       progress::pb$tick() # Update progress bar
       # Create a placeholder list
65
       temp <- rep(names(teacher_reshape)[j], n_student)</pre>
       # Test whether subject names match
```

```
equal_test <- temp == teacher_reshape[, 4]
        # Turn FALSE/TRUE to 0/1
        equal_test <- equal_test + 0
 70
        # If subject name matches, copy-paste teacher-assign marks
        \# into the temp_subject column
        temp_subject <- equal_test * teacher_reshape[, 5]</pre>
        # Turn off list property (in order to recode)
 75
        temp_subject <- as.numeric(unlist(temp_subject))</pre>
        # Recode O to NA
        teacher_reshape[, j] <- car::recode(temp_subject, "0 = NA")</pre>
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
80
    # Remove subject name and STP columns
    teacher_reshaped <- teacher_reshape[, -c(4, 5)]</pre>
    # Inspect the newly shaped data set
    if (interactive()) {head(teacher_reshaped, 20)}
    # Save to external file.
    if (Sys.info()["sysname"] == "Windows") {
        data.table::fwrite(teacher_reshaped,
            "M:/p1708-tctan/Documents/teacher0.csv",
90
            row.names = F
        )
    } else {
        data.table::fwrite(teacher_reshaped,
            "/tsd/p1708/home/p1708-tctan/Documents/teacher0.csv",
95
            row.names = F
    # Should be 239,329 KB in size
100
    # Part 2: Re-shape rows: one student per row
    # How many (unique) students there are? (Answer: 64,918 unique students)
105
    # How many times each student ID appeared (with or without valid score)?
    student_frequency <- data.frame(sort(</pre>
        table(unlist(teacher_reshaped$w21_4952_lopenr_person)),
        decreasing = T
    ))
110 # Display the top 20 students who took the most number of subjects
    head(student_frequency, 20)
    # Display the bottom 20 students who took the least number of subjects
    tail(student_frequency, 20)
    # Save student list
115 student_list <- as.character(student_frequency[, 1])
    # Save total number of unique students
    (n_unique_student <- length(student_list)) # 64,918 unique students</pre>
    # Set up a placeholder spreadsheet
120 teacher_reshaped_final <- matrix(
        nrow = n_unique_student, ncol = dim(teacher_reshaped)[2]
    colnames(teacher_reshaped_final) <- names(teacher_reshaped)</pre>
    teacher_reshaped_final <- data.frame(teacher_reshaped_final)</pre>
125
    # Prepare multi-core processing
    if (Sys.info()["sysname"] == "Windows") { # Windows can only use single core
        n_cores <- 1
    } else { \# Both Linux and Mac can implement multicore
        n_cores <- parallel::detectCores() # Count the total number of CPU cores</pre>
130
        n_cores <- n_cores - 1 # Reserve one core for system admin</pre>
    }
    # Set up a progress bar
135 n_iter <- n_unique_student # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress::progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :

    eta]",

        total = n_iter,
```

```
complete = "=",
140
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F.
        width = 100
145
    for(i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
        progress::pb$tick()
        # Pull out lines that share the same Student ID
150
        student_temp <- teacher_reshaped[which(</pre>
            teacher_reshaped[, 1] == student_list[i]
        # Collapse multiple lines into one line
155
        student_temp_teacher <- parallel::mclapply(student_temp[, -c(1:3)],</pre>
        function(x) max(x, na.rm = T), mc.cores = n_cores)
        # In cases where, same person, same subject, but multiple marks,
        # take the maximum, because I do not know which score was given first.
        # When I asked R to compute max from a column containing NA only,
160
        # R produced -Inf and a warning.
        # Safe to ignore these warnings and turn -Inf to NA.
        # Recode O and -Inf to NA
        student_temp_teacher <- car::recode(student_temp_teacher, "</pre>
            c('0', '-Inf') = NA
165
        # Stitch admin variables to student_temp_teacher (need transpose)
        teacher_reshaped_final[i, ] <- data.frame(cbind(</pre>
            student_temp[1, c(1:3)], t(student_temp_teacher)
170 }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
    # Save the standard Student ID list for subsequent work
    if (Sys.info()["sysname"] == "Windows") {
175
        write.table(teacher_reshaped_final[, 1],
            "M:/p1708-tctan/Documents/student_id.csv",
            row.names = F, col.names = c("student_id")
        )
    } else {
        write.table(teacher_reshaped_final[, 1],
             "/tsd/p1708/home/p1708-tctan/Documents/student_id.csv",
            row.names = F, col.names = "student_id"
        )
    }
185 # Should be 888 KB in size
    # Save teacher-assigned marks
    if (Sys.info()["sysname"] == "Windows") {
        data.table::fwrite(teacher_reshaped_final,
190
             "M:/p1708-tctan/Documents/teacher1.csv",
            row.names = F
        )
    } else {
        data.table::fwrite(teacher_reshaped_final,
             "/tsd/p1708/home/p1708-tctan/Documents/teacher1.csv",
            row.names = F
    # Should be 15,345 KB in size
```

## Retain Students with Valid GPA and Sufficient Teacher-assigned Grades

```
# Full data set: N = 64,918

# Drop students without valid GPAs
teacher_gpa <- teacher[!is.na(teacher$GRUNNSKOLEPOENG),]
if (interactive()) {dim(teacher_gpa)} # 60,618 students remain
# Compute data loss rate (n = 4,300, % = 6.62)</pre>
```

```
if (interactive()) {
       dim(teacher)[1] - dim(teacher_gpa)[1]
10
       round((dim(teacher)[1] - dim(teacher_gpa)[1]) / dim(teacher)[1] * 100, 2)
   # Sort columns by number of valid entries
   teacher_sorted <- teacher_gpa[ , order(colSums(is.na(teacher_gpa)))]</pre>
15
   # Keep the order of admin variables
   teacher_export <- cbind(teacher_gpa[, c(1:8)], teacher_sorted[, -c(1:8)])</pre>
   # Save the subject list
20 n_valid <- dim(teacher_export)[1] - colSums(is.na(teacher_export[,-c(1:8)]))
   r_valid <- round(n_valid / dim(teacher_export)[1] * 100, 2)</pre>
   teacher_valid <- cbind(n_valid, r_valid)</pre>
   # Preserve subject list to an external file
25 data.table::fwrite(data.frame(teacher_valid), "subject_list.csv", row.names = T)
   # Save data set containing anyone with valid GPA
   data.table::fwrite(teacher_export, "./Rolf/stp_valid_gpa.csv", row.names = F)
   # Save "the 12 subjects" including both Norwegian and Sami as instruction lang
   teacher_export_subj_12 <- teacher_export[, c(</pre>
        # English x 2
            # ENGW:
        'ENGO012', # 1 English (written)
35
           # ENGO:
        'ENG0013', # 2 English (oral)
        # HAND: Handcraft
        'KHV0010', # 3 Handcraft
       'KHV0020', # 4 Duoji (Sami handcraft)
40
       # PHED: Physical Education
       'KR00020', # 5 P.E.
       # MATH: Mathematics
       'MAT0010', # 6 Mathematics
       # FOOD: Food and Health
       'MHE0010', # 7 Food and Health
'MHE0020', # 8 Food and Health (instructed in Sami)
45
        # MUSI: Music
       'MUS0010', # 9 Music
       'MUS0020', # 10 Music (instructed in Sami)
50
       # NATS: Natural Sciences
       'NATO010', # 11 Natural Sciences
        'NATOO20', # 12 Natural Sciences (instructed in Sami)
        # Norwegian x 2
           # NORW:
       'NORO214', # 13 Norwegian (written)
'NORO041', # 14 Norwegian (written, native language Sami)
55
            # NORO:
        'NORO216', # 15\ Norwegian\ (oral)
        'NORO042', # 16 Norwegian (oral, native language Sami)
        # RELI: Religion
60
       'RLE0030', # 17 Religion
        'RLE0040', # 18 Religion (instructed in Sami)
        # SOCS: Social Sciences
       'SAF0010', # 19 Social Sciences
'SAF0020' # 20 Social Sciences (instructed in Sami)
   1
   # Add admin variables to "the 12 subjects" list
   teacher_export_12 <- cbind(teacher_export[, c(1:8)], teacher_export_subj_12)</pre>
   # Save "the 12 subjects"
70 data.table::fwrite(teacher_export_12, "./Rolf/stp_12.csv", row.names = F)
   # Merge Norwegian- and Sami-instructed marks
75
   # Create a placeholder matrix
   subj_12 <- data.frame(matrix(NA, nrow= dim(teacher_export_12)[1], ncol = 12))</pre>
   names(subj_12) <- c(</pre>
       "ENGW", "ENGO", # 1, 2
       "HAND",
80
                        # 3
```

```
"PHED",
                         # 4
        "MATH",
        "FOOD",
                         # 6
        "MUSI",
                         # 7
        "NATS",
85
                         # 8
        "NORW", "NORO", # 9, 10
        "RELI",
                         # 11
        "SOCS"
                         # 12
    )
 90
    # Copy-paste subjects that do not need merges
    subj_12[, 1] <- teacher_export_subj_12[, 1] # ENGW: English (written)</pre>
    subj_12[, 2] <- teacher_export_subj_12[, 2] # ENGO: English (oral)</pre>
    subj_12[, 4] <- teacher_export_subj_12[, 5] # PHED: Physical education</pre>
 95 subj_12[, 5] <- teacher_export_subj_12[, 6] # MATH: Mathematics
    # Set up a progress bar
    library(progress)
100
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         → eta]",
        total = n_iter,
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-".
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
        width = 100
110)
    # Merge HAND
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
115
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 3] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 3],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 4],
120
            na.rm = T
    }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
125 # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         \hookrightarrow eta]",
        total = n_iter,
130
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
        width = 100
135 )
    # Merge FOOD
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
140
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 6] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 7],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 8],
145
            na.rm = T
        )
    }
    {\tt cat("\n")} # Start a new line once progress bar is full
150 # Reset progress bar
   n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
```

```
pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         \hookrightarrow eta]",
        total = n_iter,
        complete = "=",
155
        incomplete = "-".
        current = ">",
        clear = F.
        width = 100
160)
    # Merge MUSI
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 7] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 9],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 10],
170
            na.rm = T
    }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
175 # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
        total = n_iter,
180
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
        width = 100
185)
    # Merge NATS
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
190
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 8] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 11],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 12],
195
            na.rm = T
        )
    }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
    # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         \hookrightarrow eta]",
        total = n_iter,
205
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
        width = 100
210
    # Merge NORW
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
215
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 9] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 13],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 14],
220
            na.rm = T
        )
```

```
cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
225 # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
        ⇔ eta]",
        total = n_iter,
230
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-".
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
width = 100
235)
    # Merge NORO
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
240
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 10] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 15],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 16],
245
            na.rm = T
    }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
250 # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         \hookrightarrow eta]",
        total = n_iter,
255
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F,
        width = 100
260)
    # Merge RELI
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
265
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12[i, 11] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 17],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 18],
270
            na.rm = T
        )
    \mathtt{cat("\n")} # Start a new line once progress bar is full
275 # Reset progress bar
    n_iter <- dim(teacher_export_12)[1] # Set the progress bar's end point</pre>
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
         ⇔ eta]",
        total = n_iter,
280
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
        clear = F.
        width = 100
285)
    # Merge SOCS
    for (i in 1:n_iter) {
        # Insert progress bar here
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
290
```

```
subj_12[i, 12] <- max(</pre>
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 19],
            teacher_export_subj_12[i, 20],
295
    }
    cat("\n") # Start a new line once progress bar is full
300 # Turn -Inf to NA column-by-column
    # Create a placeholder matrix
    subj_12_clean <- matrix(NA, nrow = dim(subj_12)[1], ncol = dim(subj_12)[2])</pre>
    n_iter <- dim(subj_12_clean)[2] # Set the progress bar's end point</pre>
    pb <- progress_bar$new( # Refresh progress bar's internal definition</pre>
        format = "(:spin) [:bar] :percent [Elapsed time: :elapsedfull || Estimated time remaining: :
305
         \hookrightarrow eta]",
        total = n_iter,
        complete = "=",
        incomplete = "-",
        current = ">",
310
        clear = F,
        width = 100
    for (j in 1:n_iter) { # 12 cycles
        # Insert progress bar here
315
        pb$tick() # Update progress bar
        subj_12_clean[, j] <- car::recode(subj_12[, j], "</pre>
             '-Inf' = NA
320 }
    subj_12_clean <- data.frame(subj_12_clean)</pre>
    names(subj_12_clean) <- names(subj_12)</pre>
    # Re-order subjects
325
    subj_12_clean <- subj_12_clean[, c(9,10,1,2,5,8,12,4,7,6,3,11)]</pre>
    # New order:
    # NORW: Norwegian (written)
    #
        NORO: Norwegian (oral)
        ENGW: English (wrItten)
330 # ENGO: English (oral)
    # MATH: Mathematics
        NATS: Natural Sciences
       SOCS: Social Sciences
    #
    # PHED: Physical Education
    # MUSI: Music
# FOOD: Food and Health
335
    # HAND: Arts and Handcraft
    # RELI: Religion
340 # Count the number of missings for each student
    missing_12 <- rowSums(is.na(subj_12_clean)) # Total number of missings</pre>
    missing_7 <- rowSums(is.na(subj_12_clean[, c(1:7)])) # 7 major subjects</pre>
    missing_5 <- rowSums(is.na(subj_12_clean[, c(8:12)])) # 5 minor subjects</pre>
345 # Stitch admin, missing counts and marks together
    teacher_final <- cbind(teacher_export_12[, c(1:8)], # Admin variables</pre>
        missing_12, missing_7, missing_5,
                                                           # Missing counts
        subj_12_clean
                                                           # Teacher-assigned marks
350
    # Save teacher final
    data.table::fwrite(teacher_final, "./Rolf/60618.csv", row.names = F)
    # Keep students with 4 or more of the 7-major subjects
355
    major_4_plus <- teacher_final[which(teacher_final$missing_7 < 4), ]</pre>
    if (interactive()) {dim(major_4_plus)} # 59,517 students remain
    # Compute data loss rate (n = 1, 101, \% = 1.82)
360 if (interactive()) {
        dim(teacher_final)[1] - dim(major_4_plus)[1]
        round((dim(teacher_final)[1] - dim(major_4_plus)[1]) / dim(teacher_final)[1] * 100, 2)
   }
```

```
# Save major_4_plus to an external file
data.table::fwrite(major_4_plus[, -c(9:11)], "./Rolf/59517.csv", row.names = F)

# Keep students with 3 or more of the 5-minor subjects

minor_3_plus <- major_4_plus[which(major_4_plus$missing_5 < 3), ]
dim(minor_3_plus) # 57,730 students remain

# Compute data loss rate (n = 1,787, % = 3)
if (interactive()) {
    dim(major_4_plus)[1] - dim(minor_3_plus)[1]
    round((dim(major_4_plus)[1] - dim(minor_3_plus)[1]) / dim(major_4_plus)[1] * 100, 2)
}

# Save minor_3_plus to an external file
data.table::fwrite(minor_3_plus[, -c(9:11)], "./Rolf/57730.csv", row.names = F)</pre>
```

## Subject Difficulty Analysis using GPCM

```
1 # Load R package 'mirt'
   suppressWarnings(suppressMessages(library(mirt)))
   # Generalised partial credit model
   gpcm <- mirt(difficulty[,c(9:20)], itemtype = "gpcm", SE = T)</pre>
   coef(gpcm, printSE = T, IRTpars = T)
   data.table::fwrite(coef(gpcm, printSE = T, IRTpars = T, as.data.frame = T),
       "./Rolf/parameter.csv",
       now.names = T
10 )
   # Save subjects' codes and names
   subj_code <- names(difficulty)[-c(1:8)]</pre>
   subj_name <- c(</pre>
       "Written Norwegian",
       "Oral Norwegian",
       "Written English",
       "Oral English",
       "Mathematics",
20
       "Natural Sciences",
       "Social Sciences",
       "Physical Education",
       "Music",
       "Food and Health",
       "Arts and Handcraft",
       "Religion"
   )
   \# Item characteristic curves
30 # Auto-print is off in loops, causing corrupted PDFs. Insert print().
   for (i in 1:12) {
       pdf(file = paste0("./Rolf/trace/trace_", subj_code[i], ".pdf"))
       print(directlabels::direct.label(
           itemplot(gpcm, item = i, type = 'trace',
35
               xlim = c(-6.5, 6.5),
               main = paste0(
                    "Trace Plot for ", subj_code[i], " (", subj_name[i], ")"
           ), 'top.points'
40
       ))
       dev.off()
   }
   # Expected scores
45 for (i in 1:12) {
       pdf(file = paste0("./Rolf/score/score_", subj_code[i], ".pdf"))
       print(itemplot(gpcm, item = i, type = 'score', CE = T,
               xlim = c(-6.5, 6.5),
               main = paste0(
50
                    "Expected Score for ", subj_code[i], " (", subj_name[i], ")"
```

```
| ))
| dev.off()
}

# Information and standard errors
| for (i in 1:12) {
| pdf(file = paste0("./Rolf/info/infoSE_", subj_code[i], ".pdf"))
| print(itemplot(gpcm, item = i, type = 'infoSE', CE = T,
| xlim = c(-6.5,6.5),
| main = paste0(
| "Information and SE for ", subj_code[i], " (", subj_name[i], ")"
| )
| ))
| dev.off()
| }
```

# IRT Analysis Output

Table 1
Partial Credit Model (PCM) Parameter Estimates

Subject Code	Subject Name	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$b_5$
NORW	Written Norwegian	-5.953 $(0.065)$	-3.062 $(0.021)$	$-0.805$ $_{(0.015)}$	$1.205$ $_{(0.015)}$	3.605 $(0.024)$
NORO	Oral Norwegian	$-6.313$ $_{(0.087)}$	-3.724 $(0.026)$	$-1.633$ $_{(0.016)}$	$\underset{(0.014)}{0.242}$	$\frac{2.622}{(0.018)}$
ENGW	Written English	-5.189 $(0.049)$	$-2.967$ $_{(0.021)}$	$-1.017$ $_{(0.015)}$	$\underset{(0.015)}{0.957}$	$3.138$ $_{(0.021)}$
ENGO	Oral English	$-5.655$ $_{(0.067)}$	$-3.618$ $_{(0.026)}$	$-1.788$ $_{(0.016)}$	$\underset{(0.014)}{0.310}$	$\underset{(0.018)}{2.618}$
MATH	Mathematics	-4.934 (0.037)	$-1.856$ $_{(0.017)}$	$-0.213$ $_{(0.015)}$	1.111 $(0.016)$	$\underset{(0.021)}{3.028}$
NATS	Natural Sciences	-5.724 $(0.059)$	$-3.085$ $_{(0.022)}$	$-1.226$ $_{(0.016)}$	$\underset{(0.014)}{0.345}$	$\frac{2.433}{(0.018)}$
SOCS	Social Sciences	$-6.065$ $_{(0.074)}$	$-3.519$ $_{(0.025)}$	$-1.638$ $_{(0.016)}$	$\underset{(0.014)}{0.071}$	$\frac{2.333}{(0.017)}$
RELI	Religion and Ethics	-5.822 $(0.066)$	-3.374 $(0.024)$	$-1.583$ $_{(0.016)}$	$\underset{\left(0.014\right)}{0.152}$	$\frac{2.325}{(0.017)}$
MUSI	Music	$-6.305$ $_{(0.104)}$	-4.288 $(0.034)$	$-2.471$ $_{(0.018)}$	$-0.198$ $_{(0.014)}$	$2.557$ $_{(0.017)}$
HAND	Arts and Handcraft	$-6.447$ $_{(0.117)}$	-4.599 $(0.037)$	-2.522 (0.018)	$-0.143$ $_{(0.014)}$	$\underset{(0.018)}{2.687}$
FOOD	Food and Health	$-7.768$ $_{(0.274)}$	$-5.455$ $_{(0.050)}$	$-2.791$ $_{(0.019)}$	$-0.336$ $_{(0.014)}$	$\frac{2.485}{(0.017)}$
PHED	Physical Education	$-6.221$ $_{(0.114)}$	$-4.607$ $_{(0.041)}$	$-3.035$ $_{(0.021)}$	$-0.730$ $_{(0.014)}$	$\underset{(0.016)}{2.265}$

Note. A partial credit model (PCM) computes the difficulty (b) parameters for each subject. Standard errors are enclosed in parenthesis below point estimates. All estimates are significant at .001 level.

Table 2
Generalised Partial Credit Model (GPCM) Parameter Estimates

Subject Code	Subject Name	a	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$b_5$
NORW	Written Norwegian	$3.021$ $_{(0.025)}$	-2.882 (0.026)	-1.535 (0.010)	-0.403 (0.006)	0.627 $(0.007)$	$\frac{1.789}{(0.011)}$
NORO	Oral Norwegiani	$\underset{(0.028)}{3.346}$	-3.024 $(0.031)$	-1.845 $(0.011)$	-0.817 $(0.007)$	$\underset{(0.006)}{0.154}$	$1.300$ $_{(0.008)}$
ENGW	Written English	$1.790 \atop \scriptscriptstyle{(0.015)}$	-2.875 $(0.029)$	-1.638 $(0.013)$	-0.559 $(0.009)$	$\underset{(0.008)}{0.534}$	$1.741$ $_{(0.013)}$
ENGO	Oral English	$\underset{(0.014)}{1.689}$	$-3.185$ $_{(0.041)}$	-2.024 (0.016)	$-1.001$ $_{(0.010)}$	$\underset{(0.008)}{0.175}$	$\underset{\left(0.011\right)}{1.473}$
MATH	Mathematics	1.715 $(0.014)$	-2.773 $(0.024)$	$-1.027$ $_{(0.010)}$	-0.113 $(0.009)$	$\underset{(0.009)}{0619}$	1.694 $(0.013)$
NATS	Natural Sciences	$\underset{(0.022)}{2.656}$	-2.877 $(0.026)$	$-1.602$ $_{(0.010)}$	-0.640 $(0.007)$	$\underset{(0.007)}{0.206}$	$\underset{(0.009)}{1.266}$
SOCS	Social Sciences	3.397 $(0.028)$	-2.920 (0.027)	$-1.766$ $_{(0.010)}$	-0.826 $(0.007)$	$\underset{(0.006)}{0.074}$	1.170 $(0.008)$
RELI	Religion and Ethics	$\underset{(0.026)}{3.154}$	-2.850 $(0.025)$	$-1.715$ $_{(0.011)}$	$-0.800$ $_{(0.007)}$	$\underset{(0.006)}{0.108}$	1.181 $(0.008)$
MUSI	Music	$1.331$ $_{(0.011)}$	-3.832 (0.077)	-2.558 $(0.026)$	-1.493 $(0.014)$	-0.140 $(0.009)$	1.559 $(0.013)$
HAND	Arts and Handcraft	$1.138$ $_{(0.010)}$	-4.129 (0.101)	-2.924 (0.032)	-1.616 $(0.016)$	-0.124 $(0.010)$	$1.751$ $_{(0.016)}$
FOOD	Food and Health	$1.429$ $_{(0.012)}$	-4.565 $(0.173)$	-3.263 $(0.037)$	-1.644 (0.014)	-0.208 $(0.009)$	$1.479$ $_{(0.012)}$
PHED	Physical Education	$\underset{(0.008)}{0.804}$	$-4.483$ $_{(0.138)}$	$-3.264$ $_{(0.050)}$	$-2.295$ $_{(0.026)}$	$-0.658$ $_{(0.015)}$	$1.750 \atop \scriptscriptstyle{(0.019)}$

Note. A generalised partial credit model (GPCM) computes the discrimination (a) and difficulty (b) parameters for each subject. Standard errors are enclosed in parenthesis below point estimates. All estimates are significant at .001 level.