CSCI P465/565 (Software Engineering I) 360° Peer Evaluation Form

PURPOSE OF PEER EVALUATION

The purpose of peer evaluation is to provide SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) feedback to the instructor on project activities. This observation is but one method to strengthen the effectiveness of course project to achieve course goals.

GENERAL INFORMATION		
Student Name:	Mohit Murali Alumullithody	
Group Number:	13	

SELF-EVALUATION					
Criterion	Strongl y Agree	Agree / Good	Disagree / Not Good	Strongly Disagree / Poor	N/A
Did you find the course project challenging?	Strongl y Agree				
Do you feel that the experience of working on the project (<i>from an idea to the product</i>) will help you in your career?	Strongl y Agree				
Did you enjoy working on the course project?	Strongl y Agree				

Additional Comments:					
Customer	/ AI Ev	VALUAT	ION		
Criterion	Strongl y Agree	Agree / Good	Disagree / Not Good	Strongly Disagree / Poor	N/A
Based on your interaction with the customer, rate the following:	,, ,,				
The clarity of requirements	Strongl y Agree				
Helpfulness / Timely Responsiveness	Strongl y Agree				
Availability for meetings	Strongl y Agree				
The level of Coding Support / Guidance provided		Good			
The level of Non-Coding Support / Guidance provided		Good			
Others (Identify)					
Others (Identify)					
	•				-
Will you like to work with the customer again? If not, (in comments below) highlight why.	Strongl y Agree				

	<u>Additi</u>	ional Comments:	
OVERALL GROUP EFFORT EVALUATION			
If you were rewarded \$21 for your how much would you give to each	hard work th member?	nis week and had to distribute this among your teammates,	
Group Member Name	Amount	Justification (REQUIRED)	
Tony Dattolo	\$7	Identified the global bug between NextJS and Django framework. This helped us migrate to react.	
Rushikesh Pharate	\$7	Made sure the modified code passed through all the test cases and modified the existing test cases.	

\$7

Rahul Shamdasani

Made sure the profile page was completed on time.

Additional Comments (Optional):

OVERALL GROUP EVALUATION					
Criterion	Strongl y Agree / Excelle nt	Agree / Good	Disagree / Not Good	Strongly Disagree / Poor	N/A
Do you feel the size of the group was appropriate?		Agree			
Do you feel that each group must have the following expertise / qualities:					
Databases		Agree			
Programming Language	Strongl y Agree				
Web Design / Web Programming	Strongl y Agree				
Industrial Experience		Good			
Leadership / Management Experience		Good			
Team work Experience	Strongl y Agree				
Good Communication / Writing Skills	Strongl y Agree				
Interpersonal (Social) Skills	Strongl y Agree				
Others (Identify)					
Others (Identify)					
Do you feel you chose the right group members? If not, (in comments below) highlight why.					

Additional Comments:	

Individual Peer Evaluation			
Group Member Name: Tony Dattolo			
Criterion	Rating: 1 V	Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5	
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5	
Non-technical skills (social,		5	
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5	
Overall Attitude		5	
Leadership / Management Skills		5	
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5	
Total Score		Maximum: 35	

Additional Comments:

Did exceptionally well in identifying the existing bug between API call in NextJS and Django framework which helped use to migrate to react as soon as possible.

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION			
Group Member Name: Rushikesh Pharate			
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5		
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5	
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5	
Non-technical skills (social,		5	

Motivation / Enthusiasm	5
Overall Attitude	5
Leadership / Management Skills	5
Reliability & Trustworthiness	5
Total Score	Maximum: 35

Additional Comments:

Made sure the modified code passed through all the test cases and modified the existing test cases.

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION

Group Member Name: Rahul Shamdasani

Criterion	Rating: 1 V	Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5
Non-technical skills (social,		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35

Additional Comments: Made sure the profile page was completed on time.

SUPPLEMENTARY REMARKS		