

CSCI P465/565 (Software Engineering I)

360° Peer Evaluation Form

PURPOSE OF PEER EVALUATION

The purpose of peer evaluation is to provide SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) feedback to the instructor on project activities. This observation is but one method to strengthen the effectiveness of course project to achieve course goals.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Student Name:	Mohit Murali Alumullithody
Group Number:	13

SELF-EVALUATION

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Strongly Agree / Excellent</i>	<i>Agree / Good</i>	<i>Disagree / Not Good</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree / Poor</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Did you find the course project challenging?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
Do you feel that the experience of working on the project (<i>from an idea to the product</i>) will help you in your career?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
Did you enjoy working on the course project?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				

Additional Comments:

Yes, the course project is definitely challenging. We have to learn everything by ourselves. Also, we have weekly assignments and discussions on top of that which becomes very hectic. It would be nice to learn tech stack in class instead of the theory.

CUSTOMER / AI EVALUATION

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Strongly Agree / Excellent</i>	<i>Agree / Good</i>	<i>Disagree / Not Good</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree / Poor</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Based on your interaction with the customer, rate the following:					
<i>The clarity of requirements</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>Helpfulness / Timely Responsiveness</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>Availability for meetings</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>The level of Coding Support / Guidance provided</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>The level of Non-Coding Support / Guidance provided</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
Will you like to work with the customer again? <i>If not, (in comments below) highlight why.</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				

Additional Comments:

OVERALL GROUP EFFORT EVALUATION

If you were rewarded \$21 for your hard work this week and had to distribute this among your teammates, how much would you give to each member?

Group Member Name	Amount	Justification (REQUIRED)
<i>Tony Dattolo</i>	\$7	We all have met on 25 Sep, 29 Sep and 2 Oct for 4-5 hours to complete the sprint 1 deliverables apart from
<i>Mohit Alumullithody</i>	\$7	We all have met on 25 Sep, 29 Sep and 2 Oct for 4-5 hours to complete the sprint 1 deliverables apart from
<i>Rahul Shamdasani</i>	\$7	We all have met on 25 Sep, 29 Sep and 2 Oct for 4-5 hours to complete the sprint 1 deliverables apart from

<u>Additional Comments (Optional):</u>
--

OVERALL GROUP EVALUATION					
Criterion	Strongly Agree / Excellent	Agree / Good	Disagree / Not Good	Strongly Disagree / Poor	N/A
Do you feel the size of the group was		Agree			
Do you feel that each group must have the following expertise / qualities:					
Databases		Agree			
Programming Language	Strongly Agree				
Web Design / Web Programming	Strongly Agree				
Industrial Experience		Good			
Leadership / Management Experience		Good			
Team work Experience	Strongly Agree				
Good Communication / Writing Skills	Strongly Agree				
Interpersonal (Social) Skills	Strongly Agree				
Others (Identify)					
Others (Identify)					
Do you feel you chose the right group members? If not, (in comments below)					
<u>Additional Comments:</u>					

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Tony Dattolo</i>		
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5
Non-technical skills (social,		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Additional Comments:</u></p> <p>Did exceptionally well in configuring the base code.</p>		

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Rushikesh Pharate</i>		
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5
Non-technical skills (social,		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35

<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Additional Comments:</u></p> <p>Did exceptionally well in documenting the requirements and expectations.</p>
--

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Rahul Shamdasani</i>		
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web,		5
Non-technical skills (social,		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Additional Comments:</u></p> <p>Did exceptionally well in managing Jira and OAuth feature.</p>		

SUPPLEMENTARY REMARKS

