

CSCI P465/565 (Software Engineering I)

360° Peer Evaluation Form

PURPOSE OF PEER EVALUATION

The purpose of peer evaluation is to provide SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, realistic, and timely) feedback to the instructor on project activities. This observation is but one method to strengthen the effectiveness of course project to achieve course goals.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Student Name:	Mohit Murali Alumullithody
Group Number:	13

SELF-EVALUATION

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Strongly Agree / Excellent</i>	<i>Agree / Good</i>	<i>Disagree / Not Good</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree / Poor</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Did you find the course project challenging?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
Do you feel that the experience of working on the project (<i>from an idea to the product</i>) will help you in your career?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
Did you enjoy working on the course project?	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				

Additional Comments:

CUSTOMER / AI EVALUATION

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Strongly Agree / Excellent</i>	<i>Agree / Good</i>	<i>Disagree / Not Good</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree / Poor</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Based on your interaction with the customer, rate the following:					
<i>The clarity of requirements</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>Helpfulness / Timely Responsiveness</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>Availability for meetings</i>	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				
<i>The level of Coding Support / Guidance provided</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>The level of Non-Coding Support / Guidance provided</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
Will you like to work with the customer again? If not, (in comments below) highlight why.	<i>Strongly Agree</i>				

Additional Comments:

OVERALL GROUP EFFORT EVALUATION

If you were rewarded \$21 for your hard work this week and had to distribute this among your teammates, how much would you give to each member?

Group Member Name	Amount	Justification (REQUIRED)
<i>Tony Dattolo</i>	\$7	Worked on Dark Mode Functionality
<i>Rushikesh Pharate</i>	\$7	Worked on Identifying QC Defects
<i>Rahul Shamdasani</i>	\$7	Worked on Hosting functionality for the sprint.

Additional Comments (Optional):

OVERALL GROUP EVALUATION

<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree / Excell ent</i>	<i>Agree / Good</i>	<i>Disagree / Not Good</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree / Poor</i>	<i>N/A</i>
Do you feel the size of the group was appropriate?		<i>Agree</i>			
Do you feel that each group must have the following expertise / qualities:					
<i>Databases</i>		<i>Agree</i>			
<i>Programming Language</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree</i>				
<i>Web Design / Web Programming</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree</i>				
<i>Industrial Experience</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>Leadership / Management Experience</i>		<i>Good</i>			
<i>Team work Experience</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree</i>				
<i>Good Communication / Writing Skills</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree</i>				
<i>Interpersonal (Social) Skills</i>	<i>Strongl y Agree</i>				
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
<i>Others (Identify)</i>					
Do you feel you chose the right group members? <i>If not, (in comments below) highlight why.</i>					

<u>Additional Comments:</u>

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Tony Dattolo</i>		
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web, ...)		5
Non-technical skills (social, ...)		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35
<u>Additional Comments:</u> Worked on Dark Mode Functionality and completed it on time.		

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Rushikesh Pharate</i>		
Criterion	Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web, ...)		5
Non-technical skills (social, ...)		5

Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35
<p style="text-align: right;"><u>Additional Comments:</u></p> <p>Worked on Identifying QC Defects</p>		

INDIVIDUAL PEER EVALUATION		
Group Member Name: <i>Rahul Shamdasani</i>		
<i>Criterion</i>	<i>Rating: 1 Very Poor – 2 Fair – 3 Average – 4 Good – 5 Excellent</i>	
Competency / Knowledge / Experience		5
Technical skills (Programming, Web, etc.)		5
Non-technical skills (social, communication, etc.)		5
Motivation / Enthusiasm		5
Overall Attitude		5
Leadership / Management Skills		5
Reliability & Trustworthiness		5
Total Score		Maximum: 35
<p style="text-align: right;"><u>Additional Comments:</u></p> <p>Worked on Hosting functionality for the sprint and completed it successfully</p>		

SUPPLEMENTARY REMARKS