Homework 4

ISyE 6420

Fall 2019

Due October 13, 2019, 11:55pm. HW4 is not time limited except the due date. Late submissions will not be accepted.

Use of all available electronic and printed resources is allowed except direct communication that violates Georgia Tech Academic Integrity Rules.

1. Metropolis: Bounded Normal Mean. Suppose that we have information that the normal mean θ is bounded between -m and m, for some known number m. In this case it is natural that the support of prior on θ is interval [-m, m].

A prior with interesting theoretical properties supported on [-m, m] is Bickel-Levit prior¹,

$$\pi(\theta) = \frac{1}{m}\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi\theta}{2m}\right), -m \le \theta \le m.$$

Assume that a sample [-2, -3, 4, -7, 0, 4] is observed from normal distribution

$$f(y|\theta) \propto \tau \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau}{2}(y-\theta)^2\right\},$$

with a known precision $\tau = 1/2$.

This combination likelihood/prior does not result in an explicit posterior (in terms of elementary functions). Construct the Metropolis algorithm that will sample from the posterior of θ .

Suggestions:

- (a) Take uniform distribution on [-m, m] as a proposal distribution since it is easy to sample from. This is an independence proposal, the proposed θ' does not depend on current value of the chain, θ .
- (b) You will need to calculate $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \theta)^2$ for current θ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \theta')^2$ for the proposed θ' , prior to calculating the Metropolis ratio.

Gibbs and High/Low Protein Diet in Rats. Armitage and Berry (1994, p. 111)² report data on the weight gain of 19 female rats between 28 and 84 days after birth. The rats were placed on diets with high (12 animals) and low (7 animals) protein content.

 $^{^{1}}$ When m is large, this prior is an approximation of the least favorable distribution in a Bayes-Minimax problem with class of priors limited to symmetric and unimodal distributions.

²Armitage, P. and Berry, G. (1994). Statistical Methods in Medical Research (3rd edition). Blackwell

High protein	Low protein
134	70
146	118
104	101
119	85
124	107
161	132
107	94
83	
113	
129	
97	
123	

We want to test the hypothesis on dietary effect. Did a low protein diet result in significantly lower weight gain?

The classical t test against one sided alternative will be significant. We will do the test Bayesian way using Gibbs sampler.

Assume that high-protein diet measurements y_{1i} , i = 1, ..., 12 are coming from normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta_1, 1/\tau_1)$, where τ_1 is precision parameter,

$$f(y_{1i}|\theta_1, \tau_1) \propto \tau_1^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_1}{2}(y_{1i} - \theta_1)^2\right\}, \ i = 1, \dots, 12.$$

Low-protein diet measurements y_{2i} , i = 1, ..., 7 are coming from normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\theta_2, 1/\tau_2)$,

$$f(y_{2i}|\theta_2, \tau_2) \propto \tau_2^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\tau_2}{2}(y_{2i} - \theta_2)^2\right\}, \ i = 1, \dots, 7.$$

Assume that θ_1 and θ_2 have normal priors $\mathcal{N}(\theta_{10}, 1/\tau_{10})$ and $\mathcal{N}(\theta_{20}, 1/\tau_{20})$, respectively. Take prior means as $\theta_{10} = \theta_{20} = 110$ (apriori no preference) and precisions as $\tau_{10} = \tau_{20} = 1/100$.

Assume that τ_1 and τ_2 have the gamma $\mathcal{G}a(a_1,b_1)$ and $\mathcal{G}a(a_2,b_2)$ priors with shapes $a_1=a_2=0.01$ and rates $b_1=b_2=4$.

- (a) Construct Gibbs sampler that will sample $\theta_1, \tau_1, \theta_2$, and τ_2 from their posteriors.
- (b) Find sample differences $theta_1 theta_2$. Proportion of positive differences approximates posterior probability of hypothesis $H_0: \theta_1 > \theta_2$. What is this proportion?
- (c) Using sample quantiles find the 95% equitailed credible set for $\theta_1 \theta_2$. Does this set contain 0?

Hint: You can consult Handout GIBBS.pdf from the course web repository.