| Sentinel Node Dissection |
|--|
| MRN: |
| NAME |
| Date of Procedure: |
| DOB: |
| Attending: |
| Dictating: |
| PREOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS: IB midline vulvar cancer. |
| POSTOPERATIVE DIAGNOSIS: IB midline vulvar cancer with 2 left and 2 right sentinel lymph nodes that were both hot |
| and blue. |
| PROCEDURE: |
| Sentinel lymph node injection, identification, and removal of bilateral inguinal-femoral sentinel lymph nodes. |
| SURGEON: |
| FIRST ASSISTANT: |
| ANESTHESIA: |
| ESTIMATED BLOOD LOSS: |
| COMPLICATIONS: |
| OPERATIVE FINDINGS: |
| Previously, Tc99 was injected at the leading edge on both sides of the prior midline vulvar scar. |
| Intraoperatively, Lymphazurin blue, 2.5 mL, was injected at the same sites. The gamma probe, as well as following |
| the Lymphazurin blue channels, were then used to identified the sentinel lymph nodes. There were 2 sentinel lymph |
| nodes on the left. Left sentinel lymph node #1 was blue and hot with the gamma probe measurement of 1471. Left |
| sentinel lymph node #2 was blue and hot with gamma probe measurement of 250. On the right, right sentinel lymph node |
| #1 was blue and hot and the gamma probe measurement was 2287. Right sentinel lymph node #2 was blue and hot, and |
| the gamma probe measurement was 357. There were no other blue or hot areas noted in the dissection beds. The |
| sentinel lymph nodes removed were not grossly suspicious for metastatic disease. |
| PROCEDURE: |
| The patient was taken to the operating room, where anesthesia was found to be adequate. She was prepped and draped |
| in the normal sterile fashion in dorsal lithotomy position. Foley catheter was placed in the bladder. 2.5 mL |
| of Lymphazurin blue was injected into the left leading edge of her incisional scar from her previous vulvar resection. The |
| sentinel node had been previously marked by the nuclear medicine team after her technetium-99 injection the prior |
| day. An approximately 4 cm incision was made overlying the candidate sentinel lymph node parallel to the inguinal |
| ligament. This dissection was then carried down to the underlying layer of the fascia. The fascia was incised and careful |
| dissection was performed until blue channels were noted. The lymph nodes were identified and noted to be hot and |
| blue. These lymph nodes were then carefully dissected away from the surrounding tissue using a combination of cautery |
| and blunt dissection. The sentinel nodes were then measured off the field for a 10 second count, as noted |
| above. Excellent hemostasis was noted. The area was copiously irrigated. The subcutaneous tissue was |
| then reapproximated with 2-0 Vicryl, and the skin was then closed with a 4-0 Biosyn in a running fashion. |
| Attention was turned to the right sentinel node dissection; 2.5 mL of Lymphazurin blue was injected in the right leading |
| edge of the previous resection scar. An incision was made over the previously marked lymph node approximately 4 cm |
| parallel to the inguinal ligament. This was carried through to the underlying layer of fascia. The fascia was incised, and |
| careful dissection was performed to find the blue channels. The blue channels were then traced to the blue nodes, which |
| were then carefully dissected away from the underlying tissue with combination of blunt and cautery |
| dissection. Excellent hemostasis was noted. They were measured off the field, as noted above. Copious irrigation was |
| performed. The fascia was then closed with multiple interrupted sutures. The skin was then closed in a running |
| fashion. The patient tolerated procedure well. Sponge, lap and needle counts were correct x2, and she was transferred |
| to the PACU in stable condition. |

ATTESTATION STATEMENT: Dr. _____was present and participated in the entire procedure.