

MA376 Lesson 1

Public sentiment on the use of facial recognition

Background: In the past, facial recognition technology (FRT) was viewed as something straight out of science fiction. However, over the past decade, this technology has become viable and increasingly widespread. Recently, several lawmakers have expressed concern that certain groups are not using FRT responsibly. Let us examine public sentiment on this topic using a dataset based on a portion of the Pew Research Center's American Trends Panel (Pew Research Center, 2019).¹ The variables in the dataset are described in the table.

Variable	Description
TrustAdvertisers	Do you trust advertisers to use facial recognition technology responsibly?
TrustTechCompany	Do you trust technology companies to use facial recognition technology responsibly?
TrustPolice	Do you trust law enforcement to use facial recognition technology responsibly?
Education	College Educated
Race	Race

Step 1: Ask a research question

Write a research question involving two variables in the dataset.

Type your answers here

Step 2: Design a study and collect data

Use no more than three sentences to describe the data. Be sure to indicate how many subjects are in the analysis and what values the variables take on. *Type your answers here*

Step 3: Explore the data

- Create a bar graph of the response variable. Describe the plot.

Type your answers here

- Create a contingency table and mosaic plot to summarize the response and explanatory variables. Does the plot suggest an association exists? Explain.

Type your answers here

¹All variable modifications are documented here. Additional information about the Pew study can be found in the ATP W49 methodology pdf.

- c. Identify a potential confounding variable and explain how it may be confounding in this study.

Type your answers here

- d. Create another mosaic plot that includes the third variable. Does the plot suggest that the third variable is confounding? Explain.

Type your answers here

- e. Construct the Sources of Variation Diagram:

*Type your answers here*²

Step 4: Draw inferences beyond the data

Name one theory-based approach we could use to determine if there was a statistically significant difference between the levels of the explanatory variable.

Type your answers here

Step 5: Formulate conclusions

How far would can we generalize our conclusions? Could we draw a cause-effect conclusion if we observed statistically significant differences in Step 4? Why or why not?

Type your answers here

Step 6: Look back and ahead

What are the limitations to our approach? Propose two ways to address these limitations in a future study.

Type your answers here

Further Investigation

With your group partner, explore another response variable. Are the conclusions the same? *Type your answers here*

²A convenient table generator can be found [here](#).

References

Pew Research Center. American Trends Panel Wave 49. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/dataset/american-trends-panel-wave-49/> (2019). Accessed: 2020-08-12.

```
library('tidyverse')

dat <- read_csv('C:/Users/annyclaude.joseph/Documents/Joseph_Teaching/AY21-1/MA376/Lectures/American Tr
# head(dat)

# Insert your code here
```