

**Design, Simulation, and Prototyping of a Novel Hybrid-  
Excitation Flux-Switching Permanent Magnet  
Machine with Segmental Magnet for  
Electric Vehicle Applications**



**Amirkabir University of Technology  
(Tehran Polytechnic)**

**Tohid Sharifi**

**Supervisor: Dr. Mojtaba Mirsalim**

Department of Electrical Engineering  
Amirkabir University of Technology

This thesis is submitted for partial fulfillment of the degree of  
*Master of Science*

October 2021



I would like to dedicate this thesis to:

**My loving family and especially**

**My Beautiful Angel,**

**My Mother.**



## **Declaration**

I hereby declare that except where specific reference is made to the work of others, the contents of this dissertation are original and have not been submitted in whole or in part for consideration for any other degree or qualification in this or any other university. This dissertation is my work and contains nothing about the outcome of work done in collaboration with others, except as specified in the text and acknowledgments.

Tohid Sharifi

Supervisor: Dr. Mojtaba Mirsalim

October 2021



## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Mojtaba Mirsalim for his support and encouragement during this project. At many stages in this thesis, I benefited from his advice, particularly when exploring new ideas. His positive outlook and confidence in my research inspired me and gave me confidence. His careful editing contributed enormously to the production of this thesis. A project of this nature is only possible with the help of many people. I profoundly thank them.





## **Abstract**

Electrical drive (ED) systems are the fundamental components of many modern appliances and industrial systems. For best performance, various methods have been developed for the design optimization of EDs. Torque density and cost are the most critical and demanding criteria for automotive and aerospace applications. However, these objectives are conflicting, and so there should be a related trade-off region for them.

By placing the PMs on the stator side as in flux switching PM (FSPM) machines, we can manage their temperature rise more efficiently, and the risk of demagnetization decreases significantly. FSPM machines have attracted significant attention from researchers, particularly over the last decade, because of high torque density, low torque ripple, high efficiency, excellent thermal behavior, and ease of control. The magnet-less rotor structure of the FSPM machine is quite robust, cost-effective, and applicable at high speeds, unlike some other PM motors. Hybrid excitation machines are of attention due to the potential of combining the high-power density of PM machines with the flux-adjusting capability of wound field excitation machines. Magnet segmentation is a common technique in different PM machines, including the FSPM machine. The main aim of such a method is to reduce the eddy current loss in the PMs. By this method, the location and thickness of each segment can be optimized to have the best torque and cost performances. In other words, by the segmentation method, one can obtain more freedom in the design.

Although electromagnetic solution determines the torque performance of the machine for most portions, considering other issues is also crucial in practical situations. Thermal, mechanical, and vibration are the essential motor-related problems that one should assess for a reliable and safe operation. Temperature distribution and dynamics for all parts, appropriate cooling method, mechanical deformation and stress, and vibration noise are the most valuable outputs of this analysis. The result of the multi-physics analysis determines the final confirmation of the machine design.



## Table of contents

<b>Table of contents</b>	xi
<b>List of figures</b>	xvi
<b>List of tables</b>	xxi
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Energy and environmental challenges	2
1.3 Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEVs)	4
1.4 Plug-in hybrid electric vehicles (PHEVs)	4
1.5 Electric Vehicles (EVs)	5
1.6 PM Brushless machines	5
1.7 Electric machines with magnets on the rotor	6
1.7.1 Thermal management	6
1.7.2 Centrifugal forces	7
1.8 Electric machines with the magnetic stator	7
1.9 Flux Switching Permanent Magnet Machine	8
1.10 Hybrid-Excited FSPM Machines (HEFSPMM)	9
1.11 Torque capability in the FSPM machines	11
1.12 Literature review of the HEFSPMMs	11
1.13 Thesis Organization	19
<b>Chapter 2 Proposed Topology</b>	23
2.1 Introduction	24
2.2 The design-optimization process for electrical machines	24
2.3 Main aspects of the design - optimization in electrical machines	24
2.4 Proposed HEFSPMM	25
2.5 Novelties	26
2.6 Performance evaluation	29
2.7 Power losses	30
2.8 Results	32
<b>Chapter 3 Optimization</b>	33

3.1	Introduction -----	34
3.2	Appropriate optimization method selection -----	34
3.2.1	Robust and deterministic algorithms-----	34
3.2.2	Single-objective and multi-objective algorithms-----	35
3.3	Optimization algorithms-----	35
3.4	Non dominated sorting genetic algorithm-II (NSGA-II) -----	35
3.4.1	GA for multi-objective optimization-----	35
3.5	Multi-objective particle swarm optimization (MOPSO) -----	36
3.6	Strength Pareto evolutionary algorithm-II (SPEA-II)-----	37
3.7	Definition of the optimization problem -----	37
3.7.1	Decision variables and objective functions -----	37
3.8	NSGA-II optimization -----	40
3.8.1	NSGA-II Results-----	40
3.9	MOPSO optimization-----	43
3.9.1	MOPSO Results-----	44
3.10	SPEA-II optimization-----	46
3.10.1	SPEA-II Results-----	47
3.11	Comparisons -----	47
3.12	Performance evaluation and comparison -----	49
3.13	Results -----	53
<b>Chapter 4 Analytical Methods and Motor Sizing -----</b>		<b>55</b>
4.1	Introduction -----	56
4.2	Performance Comparison -----	56
4.3	Electrical equivalent circuit-----	58
4.4	Sizing equation of the HEFSPMM -----	61
4.5	Results -----	64
<b>Chapter 5 Comparison with other HEFSPMMs-----</b>		<b>65</b>
5.1	Introduction -----	66
5.2	Hybrid excited flux switching PM machine-----	66
5.2.1	Performance comparison -----	67
5.3	Hybrid excited flux switching permanent magnet machine-----	70
5.3.1	Performance comparison -----	70
5.4	Hybrid excited flux switching permanent magnet machine -----	73
5.4.1	Performance evaluation -----	74
5.5	Hybrid excited flux switching permanent magnet machine-----	76

5.5.1	Performance evaluation-----	77
5.6	Results -----	80
<b>Chapter 6 Multi-physics Analysis and Thermal Test-----</b>		<b>81</b>
6.1	Introduction -----	82
6.2	Thermal analysis -----	82
6.3	Thermal analysis of HEFSPMM-----	84
6.4	Temperature test-----	88
6.5	Differences between the PRTs and thermocouples-----	89
6.6	Thermal Test -----	90
6.7	Mechanical Analysis-----	93
6.7.1	Rotational movement of the rotor -----	93
6.7.2	Thermal expansion -----	96
6.7.3	Harmonic analysis -----	100
6.8	Vibration noise analysis -----	100
6.9	Results -----	103
<b>Chapter 7 Manufacturing and Testing -----</b>		<b>104</b>
7.1	Introduction -----	105
7.2	Structure of the motor -----	105
7.3	Vector (field-oriented) control of the FSPMM -----	109
7.4	Main Drive Circuit -----	109
7.5	Closed-loop vector control-----	110
7.6	Results of the closed-loop vector control of the HEFSPMM -----	110
7.7	Generator Test -----	112
7.7.1	Back EMF waveform for MMFexcitation=40 A.t -----	112
7.7.2	Back EMF waveform for MMFexcitation=-40 A.t -----	113
7.8	Results -----	114
<b>Chapter 8 Conclusions and Recommendations -----</b>		<b>115</b>
8.1	Conclusions -----	116
8.2	Recommendations-----	117
<b>References -----</b>		<b>119</b>
<b>Appendix 1 Analytical Considerations -----</b>		<b>132</b>
A.1	Analytical formulation of the HEFSPMM-----	133
A.2	Voltage and torque equations in machine variables -----	133
A.3	Voltage and torque equations in rotor reference frame variables -----	135

<b>Appendix 2 Optimization Theory</b>	<b>137</b>
B.1 Introduction	138
B.2 Structure of an optimization problem	138
B.3 GA operators	138
B.3.1 Definition 1	140
B.4 MOPSO	141
B.5 SPEA-II	142



## List of figures

<b>Fig. 1.1</b> Global electricity demand -----	3
<b>Fig. 1.2</b> Estimated electricity demands for electric motors -----	3
<b>Fig. 1.3</b> Estimated demands for electric motors by different applications -----	4
<b>Fig. 1.4</b> HEV, PHEV, EV sales -----	6
<b>Fig. 1.5</b> Surface mounted permanent magnet synchronous machine -----	8
<b>Fig. 1.6</b> Robust rotor structure -----	10
<b>Fig. 1.7</b> Conventional 12/10 FSPM machine -----	10
<b>Fig. 1.8</b> Structure of the 12/10 HEFSPMM -----	12
<b>Fig. 1.9</b> Design Flowchart -----	22
<b>Fig. 2.1</b> Design and optimization flowchart -----	26
<b>Fig. 2.2</b> The proposed HEFSPMM (initial design)-----	27
<b>Fig. 2.3</b> Initial design for HEFSPMM with segmental magnets-----	27
<b>Fig. 2.4</b> Electromagnetic performance of the initial motor-----	31
<b>Fig. 2.5</b> Block diagram of the thermal analysis problem -----	32
<b>Fig. 2.6</b> Power losses of the initial motor-----	32
<b>Fig. 3.1</b> Robust and deterministic solutions of the optimization problem -----	34
<b>Fig. 3.2</b> Objective functions of the multi-objective problem -----	38
<b>Fig. 3.3</b> Decision variables of the problem -----	39
<b>Fig. 3.4</b> Pareto front for the average torque and torque ripple (NSGA-II)-----	41
<b>Fig. 3.5</b> Pareto front for the torque ripple, total area of iron, and power loss -----	42
<b>Fig. 3.6</b> Pareto front for the average torque, total area of iron, and power loss -----	42
<b>Fig. 3.7</b> Pareto front for the average torque, torque ripple, and total area of iron -----	42
<b>Fig. 3.8</b> Pareto front for the average torque and torque ripple (MOPSO)-----	44
<b>Fig. 3.9</b> Pareto front for the torque ripple, total area of iron, and power loss -----	45
<b>Fig. 3.10</b> Pareto front for the average torque, total area of iron, and power loss -----	45
<b>Fig. 3.11</b> Pareto front for the average torque, torque ripple, and area of iron -----	45
<b>Fig. 3.12</b> Pareto front for the average torque and torque ripple (SPEA-II) -----	48
<b>Fig. 3.13</b> Pareto front for the torque ripple, total area of iron, and power loss-----	48
<b>Fig. 3.14</b> Pareto front for the average torque, total area of iron, and power loss -----	48



<b>Fig. 3.15</b> Pareto front for the average torque, torque ripple, and area of iron -----	49
<b>Fig. 3.16</b> Selected HEFSPMM for the manufacturing -----	50
<b>Fig. 3.17</b> performance comparison of the initial and optimal designs -----	52
<b>Fig. 3.18</b> a) Harmonic contents b) Airgap flux density -----	53
<b>Fig. 4.1</b> Self-inductance of Phase A from analytical and FEA methods -----	58
<b>Fig. 4.2</b> Mutual-inductance of Phases A and B from analytical and FEA methods -----	59
<b>Fig. 4.3</b> Input power of the motor calculated by analytical method -----	59
<b>Fig. 4.4</b> Torque performance calculated by the analytical (ABC) and FEA -----	59
<b>Fig. 4.5</b> Torque performance calculated by the analytical (DQ) and FEA method -----	60
<b>Fig. 4.6</b> Output power calculated by the analytical and FEA method -----	60
<b>Fig. 4.7</b> Efficiency calculated by the analytical and FEA method -----	60
<b>Fig. 4.8</b> Electrical equivalent circuit for the a) d-sequence, b) q-sequence -----	62
<b>Fig. 4.9</b> Voltage and current waveform in the HEFSPMM -----	62
<b>Fig. 5.1</b> HEFSPMM with external excitations -----	66
<b>Fig. 5.2</b> Electromagnetic performance comparison -----	68
<b>Fig. 5.3</b> Flux regulation characteristics, a) Proposed motor, b) Compared motor -----	69
<b>Fig. 5.4</b> Harmonic content of the proposed and compared motors -----	69
<b>Fig. 5.5</b> HEFSPMM with inner and outer excitations -----	70
<b>Fig. 5.6</b> Electromagnetic performance comparison -----	71
<b>Fig. 5.7</b> Flux regulation characteristics, a) Proposed motor, b) Compared motor -----	72
<b>Fig. 5.8</b> Harmonic content of the proposed and compared motors -----	72
<b>Fig. 5.9</b> HEFSPMM with inner excitations -----	73
<b>Fig. 5.10</b> Electromagnetic performance comparison -----	75
<b>Fig. 5.11</b> Flux regulation characteristics, a) Proposed motor, b) Compared motor -----	76
<b>Fig. 5.12</b> Harmonic content of the proposed and compared motors -----	76
<b>Fig. 5.13</b> HEFSPMM with external excitations -----	77
<b>Fig. 5.14</b> Electromagnetic performance comparison -----	78
<b>Fig. 5.15</b> Flux regulation characteristics, a) Proposed motor, b) Compared motor -----	79
<b>Fig. 5.16</b> Harmonic content of the proposed and compared motors -----	79
<b>Fig. 6.1</b> Stator meshing for the thermal problem -----	84
<b>Fig. 6.2</b> Shaft and housing meshing for the thermal problem -----	85
<b>Fig. 6.3</b> Rotor meshing for the thermal problem -----	85
<b>Fig. 6.4</b> Thermal meshing for Ansys Fluent (3D view) -----	85

<b>Fig. 6.5</b> Temperature results for the PM and rotor -----	86
<b>Fig. 6.6</b> Temperature results for the rotor and shaft-----	86
<b>Fig. 6.7</b> Temperature results for the stator, rotor, and shaft-----	87
<b>Fig. 6.8</b> Temperature results for the windings -----	87
<b>Fig. 6.9</b> Temperature result for the end doors-----	87
<b>Fig. 6.10</b> Temperature results for the housing and foot-----	88
<b>Fig. 6.11</b> Temperature results for the shaft and rear door -----	88
<b>Fig. 6.12</b> Temperature data acquisition circuit and Pt100 sensors -----	91
<b>Fig. 6.13</b> Location of the Pt100 sensors in the thermal test of the HEFSPMM -----	91
<b>Fig. 6.14</b> Setup for the thermal test -----	92
<b>Fig. 6.15</b> Results of the thermal test for the winding, housing, and ambient temperatures----	92
<b>Fig. 6.16</b> Mechanical meshing in the rotor and stator-----	94
<b>Fig. 6.17</b> Mechanical meshing in the shaft -----	94
<b>Fig. 6.18</b> Mechanical damage in the rotational problem -----	94
<b>Fig. 6.19</b> Life characteristic of the machine parts in the rotational problem -----	95
<b>Fig. 6.20</b> Elastic strain intensity of the machine parts in the rotational problem -----	95
<b>Fig. 6.21</b> Stress intensity of the machine parts in the rotational problem -----	95
<b>Fig. 6.22</b> Normal stress (X-axis) of the machine parts in the rotational problem-----	96
<b>Fig. 6.23</b> Total deformation of the machine parts in the rotational problem -----	96
<b>Fig. 6.24</b> Mechanical damage on machine parts of the thermal expansion problem -----	97
<b>Fig. 6.25</b> Life characteristics of the machine parts of the thermal expansion problem -----	98
<b>Fig. 6.26</b> Total deformation of the machine parts of the thermal expansion problem-----	98
<b>Fig. 6.27</b> Normal stress of the thermal expansion problem -----	98
<b>Fig. 6.28</b> Safety factor of the mechanical problem of the thermal expansion problem -----	99
<b>Fig. 6.29</b> Stress intensity on machine parts of the thermal expansion problem -----	99
<b>Fig. 6.30</b> Thermal strain on the x-axis of the thermal expansion problem-----	99
<b>Fig. 6.31</b> Total deformation for the first mode of the harmonic analysis -----	100
<b>Fig. 6.32</b> Normal stress (X-axis) for the first mode of the harmonic analysis-----	101
<b>Fig. 6.33</b> Stress intensity for the first mode of the harmonic analysis-----	101
<b>Fig. 6.34</b> Radiated power versus frequency for different speeds-----	101
<b>Fig. 6.35</b> ERP level waterfall diagram of the HEFSPMM -----	102
<b>Fig. 6.36</b> The sound of the motor in the Audacity software -----	102
<b>Fig. 7.1</b> Rear door of the motor -----	105

<b>Fig. 7.2</b> Front door of the motor -----	106
<b>Fig. 7.3</b> Housing of the motor -----	106
<b>Fig. 7.4</b> Rotor of the motor -----	106
<b>Fig. 7.5</b> Shaft and rotor of the motor -----	107
<b>Fig. 7.6</b> Stator block of the motor in three views-----	107
<b>Fig. 7.7</b> Stator of the motor -----	107
<b>Fig. 7.8</b> DC and AC windings of the motor -----	108
<b>Fig. 7.9</b> Stator, PMs, and windings of the motor -----	108
<b>Fig. 7.10</b> DC Windings of the motor -----	108
<b>Fig. 7.11</b> Main drive circuit of the HEFSPMM-----	109
<b>Fig. 7.13</b> Motor test setup -----	111
<b>Fig. 7.14</b> Electromagnetic torque and speed of the motor (test)-----	111
<b>Fig. 7.15</b> Three-phase currents of the motor (test) -----	112
<b>Fig. 7.16</b> Generator test setup -----	112
<b>Fig. 7.17</b> Back-EMF of the motor for excitation current = 0 (test) -----	113
<b>Fig. 7.18</b> Back-EMF of the motor for positive excitation current (test)-----	113
<b>Fig. 7.19</b> Back-EMF of the motor for negative excitation current (test) -----	114
<b>Fig. 7.20</b> Three-phase currents for the generator test -----	114
<b>Fig. B.1</b> Basic blocks of the GA -----	139
<b>Fig. B.2</b> Crossover operator in the GA -----	139
<b>Fig. B.3</b> Mutation Operator in the GA -----	140
<b>Fig. B.4</b> Flowchart of the GA -----	140
<b>Fig. B.5</b> Flowchart of the PSO -----	142
<b>Fig. B.6</b> Flowchart of the SPEA-II algorithm-----	143



## List of tables

<b>Table 2.1</b> Main design parameters -----	28
<b>Table 2.2</b> Initial motor performance -----	31
<b>Table 3.1</b> Settings of the NSGA-II -----	40
<b>Table 3.2</b> Analysis of the NSGA-II performance -----	41
<b>Table 3.3</b> Settings of the MOPSO -----	43
<b>Table 3.4</b> Analysis of the MOPSO performance -----	43
<b>Table 3.5</b> Settings of the SPEA-II -----	46
<b>Table 3.6</b> Analysis of the SPEA-II performance -----	47
<b>Table 3.7</b> The optimal design resulted from the algorithms -----	49
<b>Table 4.1</b> Parameters for the electrical equivalent circuit-----	61
<b>Table 4.2</b> Electromagnetic parameters used in the sizing equation-----	64
<b>Table 6.1</b> Material properties used for thermal analysis -----	89
<b>Table 6.2</b> Difference between simulation and test results of the thermal problem -----	90
<b>Table 6.3</b> Thermal expansion coefficient of the materials used in the HEFSPMM -----	97
<b>Table B.1</b> Final optimal designs and the selected motor from NSGA-II -----	144
<b>Table B.2</b> Final optimal designs and the selected motor from MOPSO -----	145
<b>Table B.3</b> Final optimal designs and the selected motor from SPEA-II -----	146



# **Chapter 1**

## **Introduction**