# Data Science - Module 4 - Final Project Submission

- Student Name: James Toop
- · Student Pace: Self Paced
- Scheduled project review date/time: Wednesday, 8th September 2021 9.30pm BST
- Instructor name: Jeff Herman
- Blog post URL: <a href="https://toopster.github.io/time">https://toopster.github.io/time</a> poor not poor time management)


# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Business Case
  - A. What is Pneumonia?
  - B. What causes Pneumonia?
  - C. Diagnosing Pneumonia
  - D. Project Purpose
- 2. Exploratory Data Analysis
  - A. The Dataset
  - B. <u>Discovery</u>
  - C. Preprocessing
- 3. Deep Learning Neural Networks
  - A. Model 1: Create a baseline network
  - B. Model 2: Deepen the network and increase the number of neurons in each layer
  - C. Model 3: A deeper network but with a different activation type and reduce the number of neurons
  - D. Model 4: Adding some regularization and reducing the learning rate
  - E. Model 5: Adding a dropout layer and trying other optimizers with a reduced learning rate
  - F. Model 6: Building a CNN model
  - G. Model 7: CNN Model with Data Augmentation

# 1. Business Case and Project Purpose

#### 1A. What is Pneumonia?

Pneumonia is an acute respiratory infection affecting the tiny air sacs in the lungs, called alveoli. When a patient has pneumonia, these air sacs get swollen (inflamed) and fill with fluid making it harder for them to breathe, even painful, and limits oxygen intake.



More people get pneumonia in winter. This is because respiratory viral infections that spread easily from person to person, such as flu, are more common in the winter, and these increase the risk of developing pneumonia. Most people with pneumonia can be completely cured, but it can be life-threatening particularly for people in "high risk" groups such as:

- · babies and very young children
- elderly people
- people who smoke
- people with other health conditions, such as asthma, cystic fibrosis, or a heart, kidney or liver condition
- people with a weakened immune system for example, as a result of a recent illness, such as flu, having HIV or AIDS, having chemotherapy, or taking medicine after an organ transplant

According to the <u>World Health Organisation (https://www.who.int/health-topics/pneumonia#tab=tab\_1)</u>, pneumonia is the single largest infectious cause of death in children worldwide.

### 1B. What causes Pneumonia?

Many kinds of bacteria and viruses can cause pneumonia including coronavirus (COVID-19).

The most common type of pneumonia is **community-acquired pneumonia**, which is when pneumonia affects somebody who is not already in hospital. The most common cause of community-acquired pneumonia is a bacterium called Streptococcus pneumoniae but there are many other causes.

Other types include:

- viral pneumonia caused by a virus, such as coronavirus
- **aspiration pneumonia** caused by breathing in vomit, a foreign object, such as a peanut, or a harmful substance, such as smoke or a chemical

• fungal pneumonia – rare in the UK and more likely to affect people with a weakened immune system

• hospital-acquired pneumonia – pneumonia that develops in hospital while being treated for another condition or having an operation; people in intensive care on breathing machines are particularly at risk of developing ventilator-associated pneumonia

There are two types of vaccine available for pneumonia. They protect against the most common cause of pneumonia, the bacterium Streptococcus pneumoniae.

# 1C. Diagnosing Pneumonia

Community-acquired pneumonia can be difficult to diagnose because it shares many symptoms with other conditions, such as the common cold, bronchitis and asthma.

A doctor may be able to diagnose pneumonia by asking about the patient's symptoms and examining their chest but a chest X-ray is often required to confirm the presence of pneumonia.

The clinical judgement of health professionals in diagnosing pneumonia in primary care has been studied. One study demonstrated that GPs' clinical judgement had a negative predictive value (correctly ruling out pneumonia) of 96%, but a sensitivity (diagnosis after history and physical examination) of only 29%; meaning 71% of pneumonias evident on X-ray had not been suspected clinically (Van Vugt et al, 2013). The study highlighted that health professionals needed additional support to be able to consistently detect pneumonia in primary care.

# 1D. Project Purpose

The purpose of this project is to use Data Science and deep learning techniques to build a model that can classify whether a given patient has pneumonia, given a chest x-ray image.



Treatment of patients with bacterial pneumonia can be managed using antibiotics but the speed of intervention is important in ensuring a successful outcome. In the UK, if the patient has been admitted to hospital, treatment should be administered within 4 hours of admission.

Clearly the intention of the final model would not be to replace the expertise of the doctor but instead to augment, assist and speed up the prioritisation and treatment of patients with pneumonia.

The model might also have application in locations where experienced doctors or radiological examiners are not necessarily immediately available and there may be delays in getting the x-ray analysed. This may also facilitate the treatment of patients at a community level rather than requiring longer term and expensive hospitalisation.

#### Sources:


- \* British Lung Foundation (https://www.blf.org.uk/)
- \* National Health Service (NHS) UK (https://www.nhs.uk/)
- \* World Health Organisation (WHO) (https://www.who.int/)
- \* Nursing Times (https://www.nursingtimes.net/)

# 2. Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

## 2A. The Dataset

This notebook uses x-ray images of paediatric patients to identify whether or not they have pneumonia. The dataset comes from Kermany et al. on  $\underline{\text{Mendeley (https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/rscbjbr9sj/3)}}$ , but, for ease of use, we are using a version of the dataset from  $\underline{\text{Kaggle}}$ 

(https://www.kaggle.com/paultimothymooney/chest-xray-pneumonia) which has already been organised into train, test and val subsets.



(https://www.kaggle.com/paultimothymooney/chest-xray-pneumonia)

#### **IMPORTANT NOTE:**

The images have not been included in the GitHub repository with this notebook and will need to be downloaded and stored in the local repository for the code to run correctly.

# 2B. Data Discovery

This section presents an initial step to investigate, understand and document the available data fields and relationships, highlighting any potential issues / shortcomings within the datasets supplied.

#### In [1]:

```
# Import the relevant libraries
import os
import time
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
%matplotlib inline

import scipy
from scipy import ndimage

import numpy as np
from PIL import Image

import keras
from keras import models
from keras import layers
from keras import regularizers
from keras.preprocessing.image import ImageDataGenerator, array_to_img, img_to_array
np.random.seed(123)
```

Using TensorFlow backend.

#### **Training Data**

#### In [2]:

```
# Specify directory structure for images
train_folder = 'chest_xray/train/'
train_normal = 'chest_xray/train/NORMAL/'
train_pneumonia = 'chest_xray/train/PNEUMONIA/'

# Store all the relevant image names in specific objects
train_images_normal = [file for file in os.listdir(train_normal) if file.endswith('.train_images_pneumonia = [file for file in os.listdir(train_pneumonia) if file.endswith('.train_images_pneumonia) if file.endswith('.train_images_pneumonia)
```

#### In [3]:

```
# Preview filenames for "normal" training images
train_images_normal[0:10]
```

# Out[3]:

```
['NORMAL2-IM-0927-0001.jpeg',
'NORMAL2-IM-1056-0001.jpeg',
'IM-0427-0001.jpeg',
'NORMAL2-IM-1260-0001.jpeg',
'IM-0656-0001-0001.jpeg',
'IM-0561-0001.jpeg',
'NORMAL2-IM-1110-0001.jpeg',
'IM-0757-0001.jpeg',
'NORMAL2-IM-1326-0001.jpeg',
'NORMAL2-IM-1326-0001.jpeg',
```

```
In [4]:
```

```
# Preview filenames for "pneumonia" training images
train_images_pneumonia[0:10]
```

#### Out[4]:

```
['person63_bacteria_306.jpeg',
  'person1438_bacteria_3721.jpeg',
  'person755_bacteria_2659.jpeg',
  'person478_virus_975.jpeg',
  'person661_bacteria_2553.jpeg',
  'person276_bacteria_1296.jpeg',
  'person1214_bacteria_3166.jpeg',
  'person1353_virus_2333.jpeg',
  'person26_bacteria_122.jpeg',
  'person124_virus_238.jpeg']
```

#### In [5]:

```
# Ascertain the size of the training dataset
print('Number of training chest x-ray images that are normal:', len(train_images_nor
print('Number of training chest x-ray images that have pneumonia:', len(train_images
print('\nTotal training chest x-ray images:', len(train_images_normal)+len(train_images)
```

Number of training chest x-ray images that are normal: 1341 Number of training chest x-ray images that have pneumonia: 3875

Total training chest x-ray images: 5216

#### **Test Data**

# In [6]:

```
# Specify directory structure for images
test_folder = 'chest_xray/test/'
test_normal = 'chest_xray/test/NORMAL/'
test_pneumonia = 'chest_xray/test/PNEUMONIA/'

# Store all the relevant image names in specific objects
test_images_normal = [file for file in os.listdir(test_normal) if file.endswith('.jr
test_images_pneumonia = [file for file in os.listdir(test_pneumonia) if file.endswit

# Ascertain the size of the test dataset
print('Number of test chest x-ray images that are normal:', len(test_images_normal))
print('Number of test chest x-ray images that have pneumonia:', len(test_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest x-ray images:', len(test_images_normal)+len(test_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest x-ray images_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest x-ray images_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest x-ray images_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest x-ray images_images_pneuprint('\nTotal test chest_images_pneup
```

Number of test chest x-ray images that are normal: 234 Number of test chest x-ray images that have pneumonia: 390

Total test chest x-ray images: 624

#### **Validation Data**

#### In [7]:

```
# Specify directory structure for images
val_folder = 'chest_xray/val/'
val_normal = 'chest_xray/val/NORMAL/'
val_pneumonia = 'chest_xray/val/PNEUMONIA/'

# Store all the relevant image names in specific objects
val_images_normal = [file for file in os.listdir(val_normal) if file.endswith('.jpecval_images_pneumonia = [file for file in os.listdir(val_pneumonia) if file.endswith)

# Ascertain the size of the validation dataset
print('Number of validation chest x-ray images that are normal:', len(val_images_print('Number of validation chest x-ray images that have pneumonia:', len(val_images_print('\nTotal validation chest x-ray images:', len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_print('\nTotal validation chest x-ray images:', len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images_normal)+len(val_images
```

```
Number of validation chest x-ray images that are normal: 8
Number of validation chest x-ray images that have pneumonia: 8
Total validation chest x-ray images: 16
```

# 2C. Preprocessing

#### In [8]:

```
Found 5216 images belonging to 2 classes. Found 624 images belonging to 2 classes. Found 16 images belonging to 2 classes.
```

# In [9]:

```
# Create the datasets
train_images, train_labels = next(train_generator)
test_images, test_labels = next(test_generator)
val_images, val_labels = next(val_generator)
```

```
In [10]:
```

```
# Explore the dataset again
m_train = train_images.shape[0]
num px = train images.shape[1]
m test = test images.shape[0]
m val = val images.shape[0]
print ("Number of training samples: " + str(m train))
print ("Number of testing samples: " + str(m test))
print ("Number of validation samples: " + str(m val))
print ("train images shape: " + str(train images.shape))
print ("train labels shape: " + str(train labels.shape))
print ("test images shape: " + str(test images.shape))
print ("test_labels shape: " + str(test_labels.shape))
print ("val images shape: " + str(val images.shape))
print ("val labels shape: " + str(val labels.shape))
Number of training samples: 5216
Number of testing samples: 624
Number of validation samples: 16
train_images shape: (5216, 128, 128, 3)
train labels shape: (5216, 2)
test images shape: (624, 128, 128, 3)
test labels shape: (624, 2)
val images shape: (16, 128, 128, 3)
val labels shape: (16, 2)
In [11]:
# Preview the training labels
train_labels[:10]
Out[11]:
array([[1., 0.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.],
       [0., 1.]], dtype=float32)
In [12]:
train img = train images.reshape(train images.shape[0], -1)
test image = test images.reshape(test images.shape[0], -1)
val_img = val_images.reshape(val_images.shape[0], -1)
print(train img.shape)
print(test img.shape)
print(val_img.shape)
(5216, 49152)
(624, 49152)
(16, 49152)
```

```
In [13]:
```

```
n_features = train_img.shape[1]
n features
Out[13]:
49152
In [14]:
train_y = np.reshape(train_labels[:,0], (5216,1))
test y = np.reshape(test labels[:,0], (624,1))
val y = np.reshape(val labels[:,0], (16,1))
In [15]:
train y[:10]
Out[15]:
array([[1.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.],
       [0.]], dtype=float32)
In [16]:
# Function for visualising results
def visualize results(results):
    history = results.history
    plt.figure(figsize=(20,8))
    plt.xticks(fontsize=12)
    plt.yticks(fontsize=12)
    plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
    plt.plot(history['val_loss'])
    plt.plot(history['loss'])
    plt.legend(['Validation Loss', 'Training Loss'], fontsize=12)
    plt.title('Loss', fontsize=18)
    plt.xlabel('Epochs', fontsize=14)
    plt.ylabel('Loss', fontsize=14)
    plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
    plt.plot(history['val_acc'])
    plt.plot(history['acc'])
    plt.legend(['Validation Accuracy', 'Training Accuracy'], fontsize=12)
    plt.title('Accuracy', fontsize=18)
    plt.xlabel('Epochs', fontsize=14)
    plt.ylabel('Accuracy', fontsize=14)
    plt.show()
```

# 3. Deep Learning Neural Networks

# 3A. Model 1: Create a baseline network

#### In [17]:

```
np.random.seed(123)

# Build a baseline model
model_1 = models.Sequential()
model_1.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='tanh', input_shape=(n_features,)))
model_1.add(layers.Dense(2, activation='softmax'))

# View summary for model
model_1.summary()
```

# In [18]:


#### In [19]:


```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
5 - acc: 0.7372 - val loss: 0.7289 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 2/30
130 - acc: 0.7467 - val loss: 0.6288 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 3/30
741 - acc: 0.7694 - val loss: 0.5953 - val acc: 0.6571
Epoch 4/30
931 - acc: 0.8282 - val loss: 0.9655 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 5/30
543 - acc: 0.8545 - val loss: 0.5165 - val acc: 0.7660
Epoch 6/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 5s 886us/step - loss: 0.2
733 - acc: 0.8932 - val_loss: 0.8878 - val_acc: 0.6250
Epoch 7/30
633 - acc: 0.8984 - val_loss: 1.2420 - val_acc: 0.6442
Epoch 8/30
719 - acc: 0.8974 - val loss: 0.3953 - val acc: 0.8301
Epoch 9/30
6 - acc: 0.9166 - val loss: 0.9469 - val acc: 0.6811
5216/5216 [============== ] - 4s 856us/step - loss: 0.1
975 - acc: 0.9256 - val loss: 0.4698 - val acc: 0.8109
Epoch 11/30
962 - acc: 0.9204 - val loss: 0.4756 - val acc: 0.8109
Epoch 12/30
889 - acc: 0.9289 - val loss: 1.6166 - val acc: 0.6282
Epoch 13/30
908 - acc: 0.9268 - val_loss: 1.0906 - val_acc: 0.6442
Epoch 14/30
839 - acc: 0.9279 - val loss: 0.7522 - val acc: 0.7067
Epoch 15/30
732 - acc: 0.9314 - val_loss: 0.5765 - val_acc: 0.7660
Epoch 16/30
543 - acc: 0.9404 - val loss: 0.7081 - val acc: 0.7724
Epoch 17/30
426 - acc: 0.9477 - val_loss: 0.4404 - val_acc: 0.8173
```

```
Epoch 18/30
525 - acc: 0.9434 - val loss: 0.6469 - val acc: 0.7484
Epoch 19/30
540 - acc: 0.9427 - val loss: 0.7789 - val acc: 0.7051
Epoch 20/30
4 - acc: 0.9360 - val loss: 0.5352 - val acc: 0.8077
Epoch 21/30
2 - acc: 0.9434 - val loss: 0.7102 - val acc: 0.7228
Epoch 22/30
9 - acc: 0.9452 - val loss: 1.3408 - val acc: 0.6282
Epoch 23/30
542 - acc: 0.9415 - val loss: 1.1977 - val acc: 0.6490
Epoch 24/30
423 - acc: 0.9486 - val loss: 0.9746 - val acc: 0.7083
Epoch 25/30
458 - acc: 0.9390 - val loss: 1.2302 - val acc: 0.6779
Epoch 26/30
281 - acc: 0.9525 - val loss: 0.7236 - val acc: 0.7788
Epoch 27/30
388 - acc: 0.9457 - val loss: 0.7772 - val acc: 0.7372
Epoch 28/30
217 - acc: 0.9546 - val loss: 0.7514 - val acc: 0.7548
Epoch 29/30
186 - acc: 0.9571 - val loss: 0.9737 - val acc: 0.7067
Epoch 30/30
241 - acc: 0.9572 - val loss: 0.8525 - val acc: 0.7564
```

#### In [20]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize results(results 1)





```
In [21]:
```

#### Conclusion

It's starting point and the training accuracy is almost 97%, but it is not entirely clear as to whether the validation accuracy is converging or not. In addition there is a lot of fluctuation in the validation accuracy ranging between a little over 50% (so no better than a coin toss) to almost 50%.

The model is clearly overfitting the training data and is not generalising well when shown unseen data.

# 3B. Model 2: Deepen the network and increase the number of neurons in each layer

#### In [23]:

```
np.random.seed(123)
# Build a deeper model
model 2 = models.Sequential()
model_2.add(layers.Dense(300, activation='tanh', input_shape=(n_features,)))
model_2.add(layers.Dense(100, activation='tanh'))
model_2.add(layers.Dense(2, activation='softmax'))
# View summary for model
model 2.summary()
```

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
dense_3 (Dense)	(None, 300)	14745900
dense_4 (Dense)	(None, 100)	30100
dense_5 (Dense)	(None, 2)	202

Total params: 14,776,202 Trainable params: 14,776,202

Non-trainable params: 0


#### In [24]:

```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
268 - acc: 0.7523 - val loss: 0.6454 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 2/30
440 - acc: 0.8039 - val loss: 0.6126 - val acc: 0.6699
Epoch 3/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 12s 2ms/step - loss: 0.3
567 - acc: 0.8568 - val_loss: 0.3697 - val_acc: 0.8349
Epoch 4/30
069 - acc: 0.8756 - val_loss: 0.5706 - val_acc: 0.7388
Epoch 5/30
827 - acc: 0.8823 - val loss: 0.3968 - val acc: 0.7965
Epoch 6/30
288 - acc: 0.9093 - val loss: 0.3520 - val acc: 0.8365
Epoch 7/30
447 - acc: 0.9007 - val loss: 1.3535 - val acc: 0.6410
Epoch 8/30
891 - acc: 0.9271 - val_loss: 0.5153 - val_acc: 0.7917
Epoch 9/30
155 - acc: 0.9132 - val loss: 1.1850 - val acc: 0.6442
Epoch 10/30
611 - acc: 0.9340 - val loss: 0.7227 - val acc: 0.7596
Epoch 11/30
482 - acc: 0.9411 - val_loss: 0.5716 - val_acc: 0.7869
Epoch 12/30
498 - acc: 0.9427 - val loss: 0.7528 - val acc: 0.7532
Epoch 13/30
512 - acc: 0.9396 - val_loss: 0.8770 - val_acc: 0.7083
Epoch 14/30
5216/5216 [=============] - 12s 2ms/step - loss: 0.1
678 - acc: 0.9367 - val loss: 0.9400 - val acc: 0.7163
Epoch 15/30
581 - acc: 0.9390 - val_loss: 1.2281 - val_acc: 0.6907
Epoch 16/30
```

```
568 - acc: 0.9398 - val loss: 0.6014 - val acc: 0.7821
Epoch 17/30
334 - acc: 0.9490 - val loss: 1.0311 - val acc: 0.7051
Epoch 18/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 12s 2ms/step - loss: 0.1
283 - acc: 0.9502 - val loss: 0.7676 - val acc: 0.7644
Epoch 19/30
265 - acc: 0.9503 - val loss: 0.5886 - val acc: 0.7933
Epoch 20/30
194 - acc: 0.9574 - val_loss: 0.7818 - val_acc: 0.7596
Epoch 21/30
189 - acc: 0.9536 - val loss: 0.7428 - val acc: 0.7644
Epoch 22/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 12s 2ms/step - loss: 0.1
172 - acc: 0.9574 - val loss: 0.9787 - val acc: 0.7099
Epoch 23/30
278 - acc: 0.9484 - val loss: 1.1907 - val acc: 0.6843
Epoch 24/30
075 - acc: 0.9611 - val loss: 1.0949 - val acc: 0.7083
Epoch 25/30
223 - acc: 0.9513 - val_loss: 0.7220 - val_acc: 0.7724
Epoch 26/30
104 - acc: 0.9586 - val loss: 0.7076 - val acc: 0.7869
Epoch 27/30
113 - acc: 0.9574 - val_loss: 1.2240 - val_acc: 0.6747
Epoch 28/30
056 - acc: 0.9586 - val loss: 0.6594 - val acc: 0.7997
Epoch 29/30
043 - acc: 0.9605 - val loss: 0.4263 - val acc: 0.8141
Epoch 30/30
5216/5216 [============= ] - 12s 2ms/step - loss: 0.1
240 - acc: 0.9517 - val loss: 1.0710 - val acc: 0.7083
```

#### In [25]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize\_results(results\_2)



#### In [26]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_2_train = model_2.evaluate(train_img, train_labels)
results_2_train
```

5216/5216 [===========] - 3s 668us/step

#### Out[26]:

[0.11773227483171261, 0.9514953987730062]

#### In [27]:

```
# Evaluate the test results
results_2_test = model_2.evaluate(test_img, test_labels)
results_2_test
```

#### Out[27]:

[1.0709681572058263, 0.708333333333333334]

#### Conclusion

Deepening the neural network seems does not appear to have improved the model and, if anything, the accuracy against both the training and validation sets has reduced.

# 3C. Model 3: A deeper network but with a different activation type and reduce the number of neurons

#### In [28]:

```
np.random.seed(123)

# Build a deeper model with less neurons and change activation type
model_3 = models.Sequential()
model_3.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu', input_shape=(n_features,)))
model_3.add(layers.Dense(32, activation='relu'))
model_3.add(layers.Dense(16, activation='relu'))
model_3.add(layers.Dense(2, activation='softmax'))
model_3.summary()
```

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
dense_6 (Dense)	(None, 64)	3145792
dense_7 (Dense)	(None, 32)	2080
dense_8 (Dense)	(None, 16)	528
dense_9 (Dense)	(None, 2)	34

Total params: 3,148,434
Trainable params: 3,148,434
Non-trainable params: 0


#### In [29]:

```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
5801 - acc: 0.7214 - val loss: 0.7539 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 2/30
4513 - acc: 0.8115 - val loss: 0.4598 - val acc: 0.8285
Epoch 3/30
3838 - acc: 0.8368 - val loss: 0.4081 - val acc: 0.8205
Epoch 4/30
3059 - acc: 0.8800 - val_loss: 0.6361 - val_acc: 0.7051
Epoch 5/30
2707 - acc: 0.9015 - val loss: 0.8694 - val acc: 0.6795
Epoch 6/30
68 - acc: 0.9013 - val loss: 0.6069 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 7/30
37 - acc: 0.9109 - val loss: 0.4619 - val acc: 0.7821
Epoch 8/30
36 - acc: 0.9214 - val_loss: 0.4735 - val_acc: 0.7869
Epoch 9/30
16 - acc: 0.9204 - val loss: 1.4242 - val acc: 0.6490
Epoch 10/30
56 - acc: 0.9302 - val loss: 0.7547 - val acc: 0.7308
Epoch 11/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 6s 1ms/step - loss: 0.17
01 - acc: 0.9354 - val_loss: 0.9379 - val_acc: 0.7051
Epoch 12/30
5216/5216 [============] - 7s 1ms/step - loss: 0.16
63 - acc: 0.9383 - val loss: 1.0660 - val acc: 0.6907
Epoch 13/30
86 - acc: 0.9191 - val_loss: 0.6432 - val_acc: 0.7532
Epoch 14/30
1494 - acc: 0.9431 - val_loss: 0.7168 - val_acc: 0.7548
Epoch 15/30
1501 - acc: 0.9410 - val_loss: 0.5706 - val_acc: 0.7788
Epoch 16/30
```

```
1360 - acc: 0.9467 - val loss: 0.6219 - val acc: 0.7788
Epoch 17/30
1580 - acc: 0.9411 - val loss: 0.3938 - val acc: 0.8301
Epoch 18/30
1461 - acc: 0.9423 - val loss: 0.6789 - val acc: 0.7660
Epoch 19/30
1374 - acc: 0.9498 - val loss: 1.1023 - val acc: 0.7051
Epoch 20/30
1410 - acc: 0.9440 - val loss: 0.6120 - val acc: 0.7804
Epoch 21/30
1315 - acc: 0.9480 - val loss: 0.5590 - val acc: 0.7933
Epoch 22/30
1295 - acc: 0.9507 - val loss: 0.6252 - val acc: 0.7885
Epoch 23/30
1266 - acc: 0.9498 - val loss: 0.6282 - val acc: 0.7821
Epoch 24/30
1261 - acc: 0.9511 - val loss: 1.1953 - val acc: 0.6939
Epoch 25/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 4s 714us/step - loss: 0.
1325 - acc: 0.9479 - val_loss: 1.1517 - val_acc: 0.7051
Epoch 26/30
1209 - acc: 0.9534 - val loss: 0.6522 - val acc: 0.7804
Epoch 27/30
1186 - acc: 0.9559 - val_loss: 0.7150 - val_acc: 0.7676
Epoch 28/30
1232 - acc: 0.9528 - val loss: 1.0933 - val acc: 0.7163
Epoch 29/30
02 - acc: 0.9544 - val loss: 0.7218 - val acc: 0.7660
Epoch 30/30
63 - acc: 0.9599 - val loss: 1.2025 - val acc: 0.7083
```

#### In [30]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize\_results(results\_3)



#### In [31]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_3_train = model_3.evaluate(train_img, train_labels)
results_3_train
```

5216/5216 [===========] - 2s 428us/step

#### Out[31]:

[0.12857253192438303, 0.9526457055214724]

#### In [32]:

```
# Evaluate the test results
results_3_test = model_3.evaluate(test_img, test_labels)
results_3_test
```

624/624 [===========] - 0s 344us/step

#### Out[32]:

[1.20247494104581, 0.70833333333333333]

#### Conclusion

A deeper network does not appear to resolve the issue with poor generalisation and, in conjunction with this, changing the activation type and reducing the number of neurons also does not appear to offer any improvement on the model.

Reading up on the issue of generalisation, reducing the learning rate and introducing regularization could be beneficial.

# 3D. Model 4: Adding some regularization and reducing the learning rate

#### In [33]:

```
np.random.seed(123)

# Build the model
model_4 = models.Sequential()
model_4.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu', input_shape=(n_features,)))
model_4.add(layers.Dense(32, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.12(0.005), activation=
model_4.add(layers.Dense(2, activation='softmax'))

# View summary for model
model_4.summary()
```

Layer (type)	Output Shape	Param #
dense_10 (Dense)	(None, 64)	3145792
dense_11 (Dense)	(None, 32)	2080
dense_12 (Dense)	(None, 2)	66
Total params: 3,147,938		

Trainable params: 3,147,938
Non-trainable params: 0


#### In [34]:


```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
232 - acc: 0.7444 - val loss: 0.8365 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 2/30
662 - acc: 0.7630 - val loss: 0.7745 - val acc: 0.6458
Epoch 3/30
277 - acc: 0.8037 - val loss: 0.7685 - val acc: 0.6490
Epoch 4/30
980 - acc: 0.8342 - val loss: 0.7687 - val acc: 0.6538
Epoch 5/30
747 - acc: 0.8526 - val_loss: 0.7242 - val_acc: 0.7035
Epoch 6/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 5s 868us/step - loss: 0.5
550 - acc: 0.8710 - val loss: 0.7121 - val acc: 0.7228
Epoch 7/30
388 - acc: 0.8819 - val_loss: 0.6926 - val_acc: 0.7484
Epoch 8/30
249 - acc: 0.8919 - val loss: 0.6971 - val acc: 0.7388
Epoch 9/30
135 - acc: 0.8963 - val_loss: 0.6902 - val_acc: 0.7404
Epoch 10/30
025 - acc: 0.9032 - val loss: 0.6758 - val acc: 0.7628
Epoch 11/30
927 - acc: 0.9057 - val_loss: 0.6780 - val_acc: 0.7628
Epoch 12/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 5s 871us/step - loss: 0.4
846 - acc: 0.9105 - val_loss: 0.6607 - val_acc: 0.7756
Epoch 13/30
7 - acc: 0.9126 - val loss: 0.6848 - val acc: 0.7500
Epoch 14/30
7 - acc: 0.9158 - val loss: 0.6728 - val acc: 0.7708
Epoch 15/30
```

```
2 - acc: 0.9189 - val loss: 0.6848 - val acc: 0.7564
Epoch 16/30
574 - acc: 0.9204 - val loss: 0.6836 - val acc: 0.7596
Epoch 17/30
521 - acc: 0.9229 - val loss: 0.6659 - val acc: 0.7692
Epoch 18/30
0 - acc: 0.9254 - val loss: 0.6818 - val acc: 0.7628
Epoch 19/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 5s 908us/step - loss: 0.4
419 - acc: 0.9268 - val_loss: 0.6714 - val_acc: 0.7596
Epoch 20/30
354 - acc: 0.9293 - val loss: 0.6961 - val acc: 0.7580
Epoch 21/30
307 - acc: 0.9308 - val loss: 0.6851 - val acc: 0.7596
Epoch 22/30
3 - acc: 0.9321 - val loss: 0.7180 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 23/30
233 - acc: 0.9325 - val loss: 0.7036 - val acc: 0.7564
Epoch 24/30
196 - acc: 0.9323 - val loss: 0.6778 - val acc: 0.7644
Epoch 25/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 4s 793us/step - loss: 0.4
167 - acc: 0.9342 - val loss: 0.6930 - val acc: 0.7580
Epoch 26/30
132 - acc: 0.9354 - val_loss: 0.6512 - val_acc: 0.7788
Epoch 27/30
107 - acc: 0.9371 - val loss: 0.6763 - val acc: 0.7660
Epoch 28/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 4s 798us/step - loss: 0.4
081 - acc: 0.9387 - val_loss: 0.6747 - val_acc: 0.7676
Epoch 29/30
055 - acc: 0.9383 - val loss: 0.6467 - val acc: 0.7804
Epoch 30/30
032 - acc: 0.9387 - val loss: 0.7020 - val acc: 0.7596
```

#### In [35]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize\_results(results\_4)





# In [36]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_4_train = model_4.evaluate(train_img, train_labels)
results_4_train
```

5216/5216 [===========] - 1s 275us/step

#### Out[36]:

[0.4013467974092331, 0.9369248466257669]

## In [37]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_4_test = model_4.evaluate(test_img, test_labels)
results_4_test
```

624/624 [========= ] - 0s 333us/step

# Out[37]:

[0.7019937435785929, 0.7596153846153846]

#### Conclusion

Reducing the learning rate has indeed improved the model with the validation loss clearly converging and the wild fluctuations smoothing the curve.

There is, however, still quite a difference between the training accuracy and the validation accuracy which suggests the model is overfitting and still not generalising well.

# 3E. Model 5: Adding a dropout layer and trying other optimizers with a reduced learning rate

#### In [38]:

```
np.random.seed(123)

# Build the model
model_5 = models.Sequential()
model_5.add(layers.Dropout(0.3, input_shape=(n_features,)))
model_5.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model_5.add(layers.Dropout(0.3))
model_5.add(layers.Dense(32, kernel_regularizer=regularizers.12(0.005), activation=
model_5.add(layers.Dropout(0.3))
model_5.add(layers.Dense(2, activation='softmax'))

# View summary for model
model_5.summary()
```

Layer (type)	Output	Shape	Param #
dropout_1 (Dropout)	(None,	49152)	0
dense_13 (Dense)	(None,	64)	3145792
dropout_2 (Dropout)	(None,	64)	0
dense_14 (Dense)	(None,	32)	2080
dropout_3 (Dropout)	(None,	32)	0
dense_15 (Dense)	(None,	2)	66
Total params: 3,147,938 Trainable params: 3,147,938 Non-trainable params: 0			

#### In [39]:


```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
9 - acc: 0.7705 - val_loss: 0.7992 - val_acc: 0.6346
Epoch 2/30
5 - acc: 0.8568 - val loss: 0.6754 - val acc: 0.7548
Epoch 3/30
1 - acc: 0.8714 - val_loss: 0.7203 - val_acc: 0.7500
Epoch 4/30
0 - acc: 0.8957 - val_loss: 0.6028 - val_acc: 0.8077
Epoch 5/30
01 - acc: 0.9013 - val loss: 0.7107 - val acc: 0.7740
Epoch 6/30
0 - acc: 0.9057 - val_loss: 0.6304 - val_acc: 0.7853
Epoch 7/30
9 - acc: 0.9128 - val loss: 0.7081 - val acc: 0.7837
Epoch 8/30
2 - acc: 0.9199 - val loss: 0.7311 - val acc: 0.7388
Epoch 9/30
7 - acc: 0.9128 - val_loss: 0.6272 - val_acc: 0.8077
Epoch 10/30
08 - acc: 0.9178 - val loss: 0.9661 - val acc: 0.7388
Epoch 11/30
8 - acc: 0.9170 - val_loss: 0.7173 - val_acc: 0.7244
Epoch 12/30
08 - acc: 0.9268 - val loss: 1.1465 - val acc: 0.7131
Epoch 13/30
91 - acc: 0.9193 - val_loss: 0.8753 - val_acc: 0.7484
Epoch 14/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 11s 2ms/step - loss: 0.27
72 - acc: 0.9239 - val_loss: 0.6928 - val_acc: 0.7724
Epoch 15/30
```


07/09/2021, 10:50

```
15 - acc: 0.9283 - val loss: 1.1666 - val acc: 0.7196
Epoch 16/30
6 - acc: 0.9224 - val loss: 0.9101 - val acc: 0.7628
Epoch 17/30
17 - acc: 0.9289 - val loss: 0.8791 - val acc: 0.7516
Epoch 18/30
4 - acc: 0.9340 - val loss: 1.0049 - val acc: 0.7436
Epoch 19/30
4 - acc: 0.9317 - val loss: 0.7860 - val acc: 0.7532
Epoch 20/30
6 - acc: 0.9329 - val loss: 0.8099 - val acc: 0.7901
Epoch 21/30
3 - acc: 0.9333 - val loss: 1.3212 - val acc: 0.7019
Epoch 22/30
6 - acc: 0.9310 - val loss: 0.6667 - val acc: 0.7981
Epoch 23/30
38 - acc: 0.9229 - val loss: 1.0940 - val acc: 0.7628
Epoch 24/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 9s 2ms/step - loss: 0.214
2 - acc: 0.9287 - val_loss: 0.7699 - val_acc: 0.7516
Epoch 25/30
9 - acc: 0.9287 - val loss: 0.8806 - val acc: 0.7404
Epoch 26/30
7 - acc: 0.9229 - val_loss: 1.2534 - val_acc: 0.7388
Epoch 27/30
4 - acc: 0.9331 - val loss: 0.7303 - val acc: 0.7837
Epoch 28/30
8 - acc: 0.9356 - val loss: 0.9622 - val acc: 0.7596
Epoch 29/30
8 - acc: 0.9325 - val loss: 0.9114 - val acc: 0.7131
Epoch 30/30
20 - acc: 0.9356 - val loss: 1.0072 - val acc: 0.7388
```

#### In [40]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize\_results(results\_5)





## In [41]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_5_train = model_5.evaluate(train_img, train_labels)
results_5_train
```

5216/5216 [==============] - 2s 411us/step

#### Out[41]:

[0.1313075432839569, 0.9631901840490797]

#### In [42]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_5_test = model_5.evaluate(test_img, test_labels)
results_5_test
```

624/624 [========== ] - 0s 526us/step

## Out[42]:

[1.0072329518122551, 0.7387820512820513]

#### Conclusion

Using a different optimizer (Adam instead of SGD) was a retrograde step and again, the validation loss does not appear to be converging to a minimum.

In the next model, we will try using a Convolutional Neural Network for our model.

# 3F. Model 6: Building a CNN model

#### In [43]:


```
np.random.seed(123)
# Build the model
model 6 = models.Sequential()
model 6.add(layers.Conv2D(32, (3, 3), activation='relu', input shape=(128, 128, 3))
model 6.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model 6.add(layers.Conv2D(32, (4, 4), activation='relu'))
model 6.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model 6.add(layers.Conv2D(64, (3, 3), activation='relu'))
model 6.add(layers.MaxPooling2D((2, 2)))
model 6.add(layers.Flatten())
model 6.add(layers.Dense(64, activation='relu'))
model 6.add(layers.Dense(1, activation='sigmoid'))
#Compile the model
model_6.compile(loss='binary_crossentropy',
                optimizer='sgd',
                metrics=['accuracy'])
results 6 = model 6.fit(train images,
                        train y,
                        epochs=30,
                        batch size=32,
                        validation data=(test images, test y))
```


```
Train on 5216 samples, validate on 624 samples
Epoch 1/30
5640 - acc: 0.7396 - val loss: 0.7134 - val acc: 0.6250
Epoch 2/30
4479 - acc: 0.7968 - val loss: 0.8002 - val acc: 0.6266
Epoch 3/30
3027 - acc: 0.8773 - val loss: 0.4316 - val acc: 0.8029
Epoch 4/30
2263 - acc: 0.9116 - val loss: 0.8300 - val acc: 0.6875
Epoch 5/30
1905 - acc: 0.9270 - val loss: 0.6713 - val acc: 0.7484
Epoch 6/30
1617 - acc: 0.9363 - val_loss: 0.6320 - val_acc: 0.7468
Epoch 7/30
1485 - acc: 0.9425 - val loss: 0.8703 - val acc: 0.7179
Epoch 8/30
1330 - acc: 0.9511 - val_loss: 0.6963 - val_acc: 0.7372
Epoch 9/30
5216/5216 [============= ] - 139s 27ms/step - loss: 0.
1253 - acc: 0.9553 - val loss: 0.7393 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 10/30
1172 - acc: 0.9561 - val_loss: 1.0241 - val_acc: 0.7083
```

```
Epoch 11/30
1077 - acc: 0.9603 - val loss: 0.8827 - val acc: 0.7340
Epoch 12/30
0990 - acc: 0.9647 - val loss: 1.3327 - val acc: 0.6971
Epoch 13/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 140s 27ms/step - loss: 0.
0983 - acc: 0.9632 - val loss: 0.7162 - val acc: 0.7564
Epoch 14/30
0894 - acc: 0.9689 - val loss: 1.0330 - val acc: 0.7420
Epoch 15/30
0841 - acc: 0.9695 - val loss: 0.8641 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 16/30
0803 - acc: 0.9691 - val loss: 0.9510 - val acc: 0.7356
Epoch 17/30
0746 - acc: 0.9703 - val loss: 0.6305 - val acc: 0.8205
Epoch 18/30
0742 - acc: 0.9732 - val loss: 0.8091 - val acc: 0.7580
Epoch 19/30
0703 - acc: 0.9753 - val loss: 0.8269 - val acc: 0.7788
Epoch 20/30
0694 - acc: 0.9734 - val loss: 0.6469 - val acc: 0.8029
Epoch 21/30
0643 - acc: 0.9764 - val_loss: 1.1280 - val_acc: 0.7356
Epoch 22/30
0602 - acc: 0.9768 - val loss: 1.1124 - val acc: 0.7436
Epoch 23/30
0616 - acc: 0.9764 - val loss: 1.0348 - val acc: 0.7532
Epoch 24/30
5216/5216 [============= ] - 173s 33ms/step - loss: 0.
0592 - acc: 0.9783 - val loss: 0.9806 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 25/30
5216/5216 [============== ] - 169s 32ms/step - loss: 0.
0535 - acc: 0.9803 - val loss: 1.3470 - val acc: 0.7292
Epoch 26/30
0592 - acc: 0.9801 - val_loss: 0.9619 - val_acc: 0.7596
Epoch 27/30
0527 - acc: 0.9799 - val_loss: 0.8507 - val_acc: 0.7853
Epoch 28/30
0507 - acc: 0.9812 - val loss: 1.2119 - val acc: 0.7468
Epoch 29/30
5216/5216 [============= ] - 173s 33ms/step - loss: 0.
0505 - acc: 0.9818 - val_loss: 1.0437 - val_acc: 0.7628
Epoch 30/30
5216/5216 [============= ] - 178s 34ms/step - loss: 0.
0492 - acc: 0.9822 - val loss: 1.4505 - val acc: 0.7292
```

#### In [44]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize\_results(results\_6)





#### In [45]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_6_train = model_6.evaluate(train_images, train_y)
results_6_train
```

5216/5216 [===========] - 54s 10ms/step

#### Out[45]:

[0.05278187918268213, 0.9796779141104295]

#### In [46]:

```
# Evaluate the training results
results_6_test = model_6.evaluate(test_images, test_y)
results_6_test
```

624/624 [========== ] - 6s 10ms/step

#### Out[46]:

[1.4505285299741304, 0.7291666666666666]

# 3G. Model 7: CNN Model with Data Augmentation

```
In [47]:
```

#### In [48]:

```
# get all the data in the directory split/train (5216 images), and reshape them
train generator = train datagen.flow from directory(
        train folder,
        target size=(128, 128),
        batch size = 32,
        class mode='binary')
# get all the data in the directory split/test (624 images), and reshape them
test generator = ImageDataGenerator(rescale=1./255).flow from directory(
        test folder,
        target size=(128, 128),
        batch size = 180,
        class mode='binary')
# get all the data in the directory split/validation (16 images), and reshape them
val generator = ImageDataGenerator(rescale=1./255).flow from directory(
        val folder,
        target size=(128, 128),
        batch size = 32,
        class mode='binary')
```

Found 5216 images belonging to 2 classes. Found 624 images belonging to 2 classes. Found 16 images belonging to 2 classes.

#### In [49]:


#### In [50]:


```
Epoch 1/30
25/25 [============== ] - 140s 6s/step - loss: 0.6011 -
acc: 0.7238 - val loss: 0.7116 - val acc: 0.6264
Epoch 2/30
acc: 0.7338 - val loss: 0.6842 - val acc: 0.6264
Epoch 3/30
25/25 [============ ] - 155s 6s/step - loss: 0.6033 -
acc: 0.7137 - val_loss: 0.6872 - val_acc: 0.6239
Epoch 4/30
25/25 [============ ] - 148s 6s/step - loss: 0.5721 -
acc: 0.7450 - val_loss: 0.7013 - val_acc: 0.6233
Epoch 5/30
25/25 [============== ] - 112s 4s/step - loss: 0.5591 -
acc: 0.7525 - val loss: 0.6742 - val acc: 0.6274
Epoch 6/30
25/25 [============== ] - 120s 5s/step - loss: 0.5777 -
acc: 0.7263 - val loss: 0.6551 - val acc: 0.6236
Epoch 7/30
acc: 0.7512 - val loss: 0.7040 - val acc: 0.6228
Epoch 8/30
25/25 [============== ] - 106s 4s/step - loss: 0.5763 -
acc: 0.7150 - val_loss: 0.6438 - val_acc: 0.6262
Epoch 9/30
25/25 [============ ] - 119s 5s/step - loss: 0.5469 -
acc: 0.7388 - val loss: 0.6003 - val acc: 0.6282
Epoch 10/30
25/25 [=========== ] - 88s 4s/step - loss: 0.4902 -
acc: 0.7863 - val loss: 0.6835 - val acc: 0.6185
Epoch 11/30
25/25 [============= ] - 124s 5s/step - loss: 0.4849 -
acc: 0.7800 - val loss: 0.6152 - val acc: 0.6493
Epoch 12/30
25/25 [============== ] - 130s 5s/step - loss: 0.5515 -
acc: 0.7250 - val loss: 0.5830 - val acc: 0.6267
Epoch 13/30
25/25 [============== ] - 117s 5s/step - loss: 0.4861 -
acc: 0.7863 - val loss: 0.5647 - val acc: 0.6379
Epoch 14/30
25/25 [============== ] - 116s 5s/step - loss: 0.4944 -
acc: 0.7725 - val_loss: 0.5238 - val_acc: 0.7006
Epoch 15/30
25/25 [=========== ] - 111s 4s/step - loss: 0.4896 -
acc: 0.7612 - val_loss: 0.6166 - val_acc: 0.6282
Epoch 16/30
25/25 [============== ] - 106s 4s/step - loss: 0.4272 -
acc: 0.8200 - val loss: 0.5069 - val acc: 0.7769
Epoch 17/30
25/25 [============] - 113s 5s/step - loss: 0.5004 -
acc: 0.7750 - val loss: 0.4997 - val acc: 0.8050
Epoch 18/30
25/25 [=========== ] - 101s 4s/step - loss: 0.4936 -
```

```
acc: 0.7688 - val loss: 0.4632 - val acc: 0.8122
Epoch 19/30
acc: 0.7963 - val loss: 1.0287 - val acc: 0.6241
Epoch 20/30
25/25 [============== ] - 135s 5s/step - loss: 0.4670 -
acc: 0.7825 - val loss: 0.5187 - val acc: 0.7085
Epoch 21/30
25/25 [============= ] - 110s 4s/step - loss: 0.4412 -
acc: 0.7812 - val loss: 0.4500 - val acc: 0.8229
Epoch 22/30
25/25 [============ ] - 109s 4s/step - loss: 0.4133 -
acc: 0.8263 - val loss: 0.4254 - val acc: 0.8252
Epoch 23/30
25/25 [============== ] - 100s 4s/step - loss: 0.4724 -
acc: 0.7925 - val loss: 0.4606 - val acc: 0.7709
Epoch 24/30
25/25 [=============== ] - 99s 4s/step - loss: 0.3933 -
acc: 0.8400 - val loss: 0.4295 - val acc: 0.8041
Epoch 25/30
acc: 0.8150 - val_loss: 0.4088 - val_acc: 0.8219
Epoch 26/30
25/25 [============== ] - 112s 4s/step - loss: 0.4018 -
acc: 0.8225 - val loss: 0.4372 - val acc: 0.7951
Epoch 27/30
acc: 0.8362 - val loss: 0.4305 - val acc: 0.7949
Epoch 28/30
25/25 [============== ] - 113s 5s/step - loss: 0.3445 -
acc: 0.8550 - val loss: 0.4624 - val acc: 0.7793
Epoch 29/30
25/25 [============== ] - 87s 3s/step - loss: 0.4104 -
acc: 0.8188 - val_loss: 0.4213 - val_acc: 0.8040
Epoch 30/30
acc: 0.8538 - val loss: 0.4017 - val acc: 0.8114
```

#### In [51]:

# Visualise the loss and accuracy of the training and validation sets across epochs
visualize results(results 7)





```
07/09/2021, 10:50
                                                index
 In [52]:
 train_x, train_y = next(train_generator)
 In [53]:
 # Evaluate the training results
 results_7_train = model_7.evaluate(train_x, train_y)
 results_7_train
 32/32 [======== ] - 1s 19ms/step
 Out[53]:
 [0.1908160299062729, 0.9375]
 In [54]:
 test_x, test_y = next(test_generator)
 In [55]:
 # Evaluate the training results
 results 7 test = model 7.evaluate(test x, test y)
 results_7_test
```

# Out[55]:

[0.3883267707294888, 0.8111111137602064]

#### In [56]:


```
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score, confusion matrix
preds = model 7.predict(test x)
acc = accuracy score(test y, np.round(preds))*100
cm = confusion_matrix(test_y, np.round(preds))
tn, fp, fn, tp = cm.ravel()
print('CONFUSION MATRIX ----')
print(cm)
print('\nTEST METRICS -----')
precision = tp/(tp+fp)*100
recall = tp/(tp+fn)*100
print('Accuracy: {}%'.format(acc))
print('Precision: {}%'.format(precision))
print('Recall: {}%'.format(recall))
print('F1-score: {}'.format(2*precision*recall/(precision+recall)))
print('\nTRAIN METRIC ----')
print('Train acc: {}'.format(np.round((results 7.history['acc'][-1])*100, 2)))
CONFUSION MATRIX -----
[[ 36 25]
   9 110]]
TEST METRICS -----
Accuracy: 81.111111111111111118
Precision: 81.48148148148148%
Recall: 92.43697478991596%
F1-score: 86.61417322834646
TRAIN METRIC -----
Train acc: 85.38
```

#### In [57]:

```
import seaborn as sns
sns.heatmap(cm/np.sum(cm), annot=True, fmt='.2%', cmap='Blues')
```

# Out[57]:

<matplotlib.axes. subplots.AxesSubplot at 0x7ff61b365240>



```
In [58]:
```

```
results 7 1 = model 7.fit generator(train generator,
                            steps per epoch=25,
                            epochs=50,
                            validation data=test_generator,
                            validation steps=25)
acc: 0.8638 - val loss: 0.3398 - val_acc: 0.8550
Epoch 37/50
25/25 [========== ] - 110s 4s/step - loss: 0.2833
- acc: 0.8875 - val loss: 0.4143 - val acc: 0.8203
Epoch 38/50
acc: 0.8825 - val loss: 0.3406 - val acc: 0.8588
Epoch 39/50
25/25 [=========== ] - 104s 4s/step - loss: 0.3113
- acc: 0.8725 - val_loss: 0.3822 - val_acc: 0.8287
Epoch 40/50
25/25 [============= ] - 110s 4s/step - loss: 0.2712
- acc: 0.8875 - val loss: 0.3642 - val acc: 0.8339
Epoch 41/50
25/25 [============ ] - 118s 5s/step - loss: 0.3173
- acc: 0.8612 - val loss: 0.3353 - val acc: 0.8598
Epoch 42/50
25/25 [============ ] - 133s 5s/step - loss: 0.2862
- acc: 0.8775 - val loss: 0.3552 - val acc: 0.8494
In [59]:
# Evaluate the training results
results 7 1 train = model 7.evaluate(train x, train y)
results 7 1 train
32/32 [============= ] - 1s 35ms/step
Out[59]:
[0.11727042496204376, 0.96875]
In [60]:
# Evaluate the training results
results 7 1 test = model 7.evaluate(test x, test y)
results 7 1 test
180/180 [=========== ] - 2s 11ms/step
Out[60]:
[0.3466956992944082, 0.8500000026490954]
In [ ]:
```