ALG Problem Set 1

Problem 1

We show that the following loop invariant holds: At the beginning of each iteration, $m = \max\{A[1..i-1]\}$.

Initialization: At the beginning of the first iteration, i = 2, and we have $m = A[1] = \max\{A[1..1]\}$.

Maintenance: Assume that at the beginning of iteration i = k, $m = \max\{A[1..k-1]\}$. In this iteration, if A[k] > m, then we set m = A[k], which is the maximum of A[1..k]. Otherwise, we keep m unchanged, which is still the maximum of A[1..k]. At the beginning of the next iteration, i = k+1, we have $m = \max\{A[1..k]\}$.

Termination: When the loop terminates, we have i = n + 1 and $m = \max\{A[1..n]\}$.

Problem 2

Algorithm 1 INSERTION SORT

```
1: for j = 2 to n do
2: key = A[j]
3: i = j - 1
4: while i > 0 and A[i] > key do
5: A[i + 1] = A[i]
6: i = i - 1
7: end while
8: A[i + 1] = key
9: end for
```

For the outer loop of lines 1-9, we show that at the beginning of each iteration, the subarray A[1..j-1] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1], but in sorted order.

Initialization: It is true prior to the first iteration, where j=2.

Maintenance: Assume at the beginning of iteration j, the subarray A[1..j-1] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1], but in sorted order. Then for the inner loop of lines 4-7, we show that:

At the end of the inner loop, $i \ge 0$ and A[i+2] > key, the subarray A[1..i, i+2..j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1], but in sorted order.

Initialization: If j-1>0 and A[j-1]>key, the program enters the first iteration. At the end of the first iteration, we have $i=j-2\geq 0$. $A[j]\leftarrow A[j-1]$. Hence A[i+2]=A[j]>key. A[1..j-2], A[j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1] in sorted order.

Maintenance: Assume at the beginning of the inner loop i=k, k>0, A[k]>key and A[1..k, k+2..j] is the sorted sequence of original A[1..j-1]. We copy A[k] to A[k+1]. So at the end of the inner loop, we have $i=k-1\geq 0$ and A[i+2]=A[k+1]=A[k]>key, the subarray A[1..k-1,k+1..j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1] in sorted order.

Termination: When the inner loop terminates,

1. If $i \le 0$, then i = 0 and A[2] > key, A[2..j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1] in sorted order.

2. If $i \ge 1$, then $A[i] \le key < A[i+2]$. A[1..i], A[i+2..j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j-1] in sorted order.

After $A[i+1] \leftarrow key$ at L8, A[1..j] consists of the elements originally in A[1..j] in sorted order.

Termination: When the outer loop terminates, j = n + 1 and A[1..n] consists of the elements originally in A[1..n] in sorted order.

Problem 3

(a)

$$n^3 \geq r = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1-i} (n-i-j+2) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (n-2i+1)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i^3 = \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{24}$$

 $\Theta(n^3)$

(b) The number of "s"s = $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lceil \lg \frac{n}{k} \rceil \ge \lg(\frac{n^n}{n!})$ and $\le n + \lg(\frac{n^n}{n!})$.

By Stirling's approximation,

$$n! = \Theta(\frac{n^n \sqrt{n}}{e^n})$$

Hence

$$\frac{n^n}{n!} = \Theta(n^{-1/2}e^n)$$

$$\lg \frac{n^n}{n!} = \Theta(n - \lg n) = \Theta(n)$$

 $\Theta(n)$

Problem 4

(a) [1] is correct.

Proof: By the definition of big O notation, we have:

- $\exists c_1 > 0, n_1 \geq 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_1, f_1(n) \leq c_1 g(n)$.
- $\exists c_2 > 0, n_2 > 0$ such that $\forall n > n_2, f_2(n) < c_2 g(n)$.

Let $c_0 = \max\{c_1, c_2\} > 0, n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then for all $n \ge n_0$, we have $f_1(n) \le c_0 g(n)$ and $f_2(n) \le c_0 g(n)$, which implies $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \le c_0 (g_1(n) + g_2(n))$.

[2] is correct.

Proof: By definition,

- $\exists c_1 > 0, n_1 > 0$ such that $\forall n > n_1, f_1(n) > c_1 g(n)$.
- $\exists c_2 > 0, n_2 \ge 0$ such that $\forall n \ge n_2, f_2(n) \ge c_2 g(n)$.

Let $c_0 = \min\{c_1, c_2\} > 0, n_0 = \max\{n_1, n_2\}$. Then for all $n \ge n_0$, we have $f_1(n) \ge c_0 g(n)$ and $f_2(n) \ge c_0 g(n)$, which implies $f_1(n) + f_2(n) \ge c_0 (g_1(n) + g_2(n))$.

(b) Only [2] is correct

[1] is incorrect. Let $f(n) \equiv 1, g(n) = n, \min\{f(n), g(n)\} = 1 = o(n+1).$

[2]:
$$\frac{f(n)+g(n)}{2} \le \max\{f(n),g(n)\} \le f(n)+g(n)$$
.

Problem 5

```
\begin{array}{l} 1 = n^{1/\lg n} \ll \lg(\lg^* n) \ll \lg^* n = \lg^*(\lg n) \ll 2^{\lg^* n} \ll \sqrt{\lg\lg n} \ll \ln\ln n \ll \ln n \ll \lg^2 n \ll 2^{\sqrt{2\lg n}} \ll n = 2^{\lg n} \ll n\lg n = \lg(n!) \ll (\sqrt{5})^{\lg n} \ll n^2 = 4^{\lg n} \ll n^3 \ll (\lg n)! \ll (\lg n)^{\lg n} = n^{\lg\lg n} \ll (4/3)^n \ll 2^n \ll n \cdot 2^n \ll e^n \ll n! \ll (n+1)! \ll 2^{2^n} \ll 2^{2^{n+1}} \end{array}
```

Problem 6

In this problem, we will implement a stack using 2 queues Q_1, Q_2 and O(1) additional variables (including a global variable t). We maintain the following invariant: After each operation, all elements in the stack are stored in Q_t in the order from bottom to top. Q_{3-t} is empty and used as a temporary storage.

```
\frac{\text{Algorithm 2} \; \text{PUSH}(S, x)}{\text{GLOBAL t}} \\ \text{ENQUEUE}(Q_t, \mathbf{x})
```

Algorithm 3 POP(S)

```
GLOBAL t x = \text{NULL} while Q_t is not empty do x = \text{DEQUEUE}(Q_t) if Q_t is not empty then \text{ENQUEUE}(Q_{3-t}, \mathbf{x}) end if end while t = 3 - t return \mathbf{x}
```

The running time of PUSH is O(1).

Assume there are n elements in the stack. The running time of POP is O(n), as it needs to dequeue all elements from one queue and enqueue all but one of them into the other.

By carefully manipulating the size of Q_1 and Q_2 , we can obtain an amortized O(1) PUSH and $O(\sqrt{n})$ POP. The efficient implementation satisfies the following invariant: After each operation, Q_1 contains the bottom part of the stack elements and Q_2 contains the top part, both in the order from bottom to top. And $|Q_2|^2 \leq |Q_1|$.

Algorithm 4 PUSH'(S, x)

```
\begin{split} & \text{ENQUEUE}(Q_2,\,\mathbf{x}) \\ & \text{if } |Q_2|^2 > |Q_1| \text{ then} \\ & \text{y} = \text{DEQUEUE}(Q_2) \\ & \text{ENQUEUE}(Q_1,\,\mathbf{y}) \\ & \text{end if} \end{split}
```

Algorithm 5 POP'(S)

```
1: if Q_2 is not empty then
     for i=1 to |Q_2|-1 do
       x = DEQUEUE(Q_2)
3:
       ENQUEUE(Q_2, x)
4:
5:
     end for
6:
     return DEQUEUE(Q_2)
7: end if
   if Q_1 is empty then
     return NULL
10: end if
11: len = |Q_1|
12: for i = 1 to len - 1 do
     x = DEQUEUE(Q_1)
13:
     if (|Q_2|+1)^2 \le |Q_1| then
14:
       ENQUEUE(Q_2, x)
15:
16:
       ENQUEUE(Q_1, x)
17:
     end if
18:
19: end for
20: return DEQUEUE(Q_1)
```

In amortized analysis, the running time of PUSH' is O(1) and that of POP' is $O(\sqrt{n})$.

First we prove that $|Q_2|^2 \le |Q_1|$.

- Initially, $|Q_1| = 0, |Q_2| = 0.$
- When we push an element: After enqueueing into Q_2 , if $|Q_2|^2 > |Q_1|$, we move one element from Q_2 to Q_1 . $|Q_1|$ increased by 1 and $|Q_2|$ remains unchanged. $|Q_2|^2 \le |Q_1|$ holds.
- When we pop an element, if $|Q_2|=0$, by checking before ENQUEUE at line 14, we ensure that $|Q_2|^2 \leq |Q_1|$ always holds.

Thus, we have $|Q_2|^2 \leq |Q_1|$ at all times.

Then let the potential function be $\Phi = |Q_1| - |Q_2|^2 \ge 0$.

The amortized cost of PUSH': $O(1) + O(|Q_1| + 1 - |Q_2|^2 - (|Q_1| - |Q_2|^2)) = O(1) + O(1) = O(1)$.

Let $n = |Q_1| + |Q_2|$ be the total number of elements. The amortized cost of POP':

- When $|Q_2| > 0$, $|Q_2| (|Q_2| 1)^2 + |Q_2|^2 = O(|Q_2|) = O(\sqrt{n})$.
- When $|Q_2|=0$, $|Q_1|+(|Q_1|-t)-t^2-|Q_1|=|Q_1|-t-t^2$, where t is the size of new Q_2 . $(t+1)^2>|Q_1|-t-1$. Hence $|Q_1|-t-t^2<\frac{|Q_1|}{t+2}<\sqrt{|Q_1|}=O(\sqrt{n})$.