

Piracy Threat - Important update to keep your details safe and secure. Click here for further information.

ARTICLES

CANDELS: THE COSMIC ASSEMBLY NEAR-INFRARED DEEP EXTRAGALACTIC LEGACY SURVEY—THE HUBBLE SPACE TELESCOPE OBSERVATIONS, IMAGING DATA PRODUCTS, AND MOSAICS

Anton M. Koekemoer¹, S. M. Faber², Henry C. Ferguson¹, Norman A. Grogin¹, Dale D. Kocevski², David C. Koo², Kamson Lai², Jennifer M. Lotz¹, Ray A. Lucas¹, Elizabeth J. McGrath²

+ Show full author list

Published 2011 December 6 • © 2011. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved.

The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, Volume 197, Number 2

Citation Anton M. Koekemoer et al 2011 ApJS 197 36

Help

¹ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD, 21218, USA

² University of California Observatories/Lick Observatory, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, KY, USA PDF

⁵ INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, Via Frascati 33, I-00040, Monteporzio, Italy

⁶ National Optical Astronomy Observatories, 950 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

⁷ Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

⁸ Observatories of the Carnegie Institution for Science, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, USA

⁹ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

¹⁰ The Ohio State University Research Foundation, OH, USA

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA Cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see pur Department of Physics Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

- ¹³ University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom
- ¹⁴ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany
- ¹⁵ Institute of Astro- and Particle Physics, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria
- ¹⁶ Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 500 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
- ¹⁷ Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique, & Laboratoire AIM Paris-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- ¹⁸ SUPA, Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK
- ¹⁹ Harvard College Observatory, Cambridge, MA, USA
- ²⁰ California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA
- ²¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA
- ²² Centre for Astrophysics and Supercomputing, Swinburne University of Technology, PO Box 218, Hawthorn, VIC 3122, Australia
- ²³ Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry St., Tucson, AZ 85721
- ²⁴ NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, Laboratory for Observational Cosmology, 8800 Greenbelt Rd., Greenbelt, MD 20771
- ²⁵ Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ, UK
- ²⁶ Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
- ²⁷ University of Victoria, Victoria, Australia
- ²⁸ CEA-Saclay/DSM/DAPNIA/Service důAstrophysique, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
- ²⁹ Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA
- ³⁰ Texas A&M Research Foundation, 3578 TAMU College Station, TX 77843, USA View PDF
- ³¹ Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA
- 32 Smithsonian Institution Astrophysical Observatory, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA, USA

PDF

8

³³ Yale Center for Astronomy & Astrophysics, New Haven, CT, USA

Help

- ³⁴ Department of Physics, University of Missouri-Kansas City, MO, USA
- ³⁵ Royal Observatory Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK
- ³⁶ Department of Physics and Astronomy, UC Riverside, 900 University Ave, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
- ³⁷ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA
- ³⁸ Imperial College of Science, London, UK
- ³⁹ University of Pittsburgh, Department of Physics and Astronomy and PITT-PAC, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

- ⁴⁰ Inter-University Center for Astronomy & Astrophysics, Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune, Maharashtra - 411007, India
- ⁴¹ Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Padova, Italy
- ⁴² Institut de Ciencies del Cosmos, ICC-UB, IEEC, Marti i Franques 1, 08028, Barcelona, Spain
- ⁴³ Dominion Astrophysical Observatory, BC, Canada
- ⁴⁴ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ⁴⁵ Physics Department, Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, and SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA

Received 2011 May 12 Accepted 2011 November 8 Published 2011 December 6

https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/197/2/36

cosmology: observations; galaxies: high-redshift

Journal RSS

Create or edit your corridor alerts

What are corridors? □

Create citation alert

ABSTRACT

This paper describes the *Hubble Space Telescope* imaging data products and data reduction procedures for the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS). This survey is designed to document the evolution of galaxies and black holes at $z \approx 1.5-8$, and to study Type Ia supernovae at z > 1.5. Five premier multi-wavelength sky regions are selected, each with extensive multi-wavelength observations. The primary CANDELS data consist of imaging obtained in the Wide Help Field Camera 3 infrared channel (WFC3/IR) and the WFC3 ultraviolet/optical channel, along with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS). The CANDELS/Deep survey covers ~125 arcmin² within GOODS-N and GOODS-S, while the remainder consists of the CANDELS/Wide survey, achieving a total of ~800 arcmin² across GOODS and three additional fields (Extended Groth Strip, COSMOS, and Ultra-Deep Survey). We summarize the observational aspects of the survey as motivated by the scientific goals and present a detailed description of the data reduction procedures and products from the survey. Our data reduction methods utilize the most up-to-date calibration files and image combination procedures. We have paid special attention to correcting a range of instrumental effects,

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see including charge transfer efficiency degradation for ACS, removal of electronic bias-striping present our Privacy and cookies policy.

ACS data after Servicing Mission 4, and persistence effects and other artifacts in WFC3/IR. For each field, we release mosaics for individual epochs and eventual mosaics containing data from all epochs combined, to facilitate photometric variability studies and the deepest possible photometry. A more detailed overview of the science goals and observational design of the survey are presented in a companion paper.

Export citation and abstract BibTeX RIS

◆ Previous article in issue

Next article in issue

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we describe the *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) imaging and mosaic data products from the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS), a 902-orbit Multi-Cycle Treasury (MCT) program aimed at documenting the evolution of galaxies and black holes from redshift $z \approx 1.5$ to 8, characterizing Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) at z > 1.5 to better constrain the nature of dark energy, and probing galaxy evolution into the epoch of reionization. The CANDELS program uses the Wide Field Camera 3 infrared channel (WFC3/IR) as its prime instrument, as well as the WFC3 ultraviolet/optical channel (WFC3/UVIS), and obtains parallel observations with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS). It is executing across Cycles 18, 19, and 20, and resulted from View PDF the combination of two approved proposals that were submitted in response to the special *Hubble* MCT Call for Proposals in 2009, which provided for large programs to address unique and broad science themes that could not be accommodated within the standard annual time-allocation process.

The structure of the survey includes essential elements of two MCT programs that were submitted separately: one, led by H.C.F., involved studying the full area of the GOODS-North and GOODS-South fields (Giavalisco et al. 2004) to a uniform depth, including also ultraviolet (UV) imaging, and carrying out an extensive search for high-redshift SNe Ia; the other program, led by S.M.F., aimed at studying half the GOODS-N and GOODS-S areas to a greater depth, together with wider/shallower imaging of the Extended Groth Strip (EGS; Davis et al. 2007; J. A. Newman et al. 2011, in preparation), COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007), and the UKIDSS Ultra-Deep Survey (UDS; Lawrence et al. 2007; Cirasuolo et al. 2007), while also permitting a search for SNe Ia.

Help

€

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

The combined CANDELS program obtains observations across all five fields, as well as including the SN Ia follow-up program, the UV imaging, and the multi-tier Deep+Wide observing strategy. Most of the observations use WFC3/IR as prime and ACS/WFC in parallel, and substituting UV imaging with WFC3/UVIS for parts of the orbit in *Hubble's* continuous viewing zone (CVZ) that are too bright for WFC3/IR imaging. More detailed information is presented at our CANDELS Web site, http://candels.ucolick.org, and by Grogin et al. (2011), which provides a thorough overview of the science goals and observing strategy.

The structure of this paper is as follows. A brief outline of the major science goals is given in Section 2 to place the data products in context, a description of the fields is provided in Section 3, the observations are described in Section 4, the data products are presented in Section 5, and we conclude in Section 6.

2. SCIENCE GOALS

We summarize here the CANDELS science goals in the context of how they relate to the *Hubble* data products. We refer to Grogin et al. (2011) for a more detailed description of the science goals, which include studies of galaxies in the reionization era ("cosmic dawn"), the growth and morphological transformation of galaxies during the era of peak star formation and active galactic nucleus (AGN) activity ("cosmic high noon"), and measurements of high-redshift SNe Ia to constrain dark energy and measure supernova rate evolution.

Cosmic Dawn. Quasar observations (Fan et al. 2006) and the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (Page et al. 2007; Spergel et al. 2007) indicate that the intergalactic medium was reionized betweetic wn ₱DF 0.5 and 1 Gyr. The intergalactic medium was seeded with metals to $Z \approx 4 \times 10^{-4}$ within the first billion years, and the energy released by the stars that produced these metals appears sufficient to reionize the **PDF** intergalactic medium (Songaila 2001; Ryan-Weber et al. 2006). The bright end of the UV luminosity Help function (UVLF) of star-forming galaxies evolves rapidly at $4 \le z \le 7$ (e.g., Dickinson et al. 2004; Bouwens et al. 2007, 2008, 2010), but the UV flux from these galaxies appears insufficient to explain reionization without extrapolations—an important puzzle to solve. Current $z \approx 8$ luminosity functions are based on only a handful of objects, mostly with $L_{\rm UV} \lesssim L^*$. The CANDELS data in the z_850 , Y_{105} , J_{125} , and H_{160} filters can provide measurements of the bright end of the UVLF at $z \approx 7-8$ and also permit robust Lyman-break galaxy (LBG) color selection at $\langle z \rangle = 5.8, 6.6, \text{ and } 8.0, \text{ for } L^* \text{ for } z = 7$ $(J_{125} \approx 27 \text{ mag})$ and 1.5 L* for z = 8 ($H_{160} \approx 27 \text{ mag}$), as well as fainter LBGs at higher redshifts. This can constrain extinction via UV spectral slopes and improve measurements of their evolution. This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see € our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Furthermore, the evolution of faint AGNs at $z \gtrsim 6-7$ can be directly probed by cross-correlating the drop-out samples with the deep X-ray data in these fields (e.g., Fan et al. 2003; Koekemoer et al. 2004; Aird et al. 2008; Brusa et al. 2009) for which the depth in the near-IR data is crucial. Moreover, photometric redshifts and non-LBG color criteria can help reveal whether there are non-star-forming galaxies lurking at these redshifts (Mobasher et al. 2005; Wiklind et al. 2008; Chary et al. 2007; Dunlop et al. 2007), thereby driving the photometric requirements of the CANDELS data products. The CANDELS data also allow fluctuations in the extragalactic background light to be probed, potentially constraining the properties of the first generations of stars (Cooray et al. 2004; Fernandez et al. 2010), as well as enabling the use of clustering statistics to constrain the properties of dark matter halos (Conroy et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2006, 2009), which drives the need to produce contiguous mosaics across each of the CANDELS fields.

Cosmic High Noon. At $z \approx 2$, star formation and nuclear activity within galaxies are at their peaks while the morphological differentiation of galaxies is well underway. A key question is what drives stellar mass buildup, bulge growth, and the emergence of passive ellipticals. To resolve this requires accurate mass function measurements well below M^* , achieved by robust spectral energy distribution fitting at rest-frame optical wavelengths, where the 4000 Å and Balmer breaks constrain accurate photometric-redshift measurements, stellar population ages, and M/L ratios. The CANDELS data are designed to match the photometric depths of the existing *Spitzer* Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) and HST ACS data, accurately determining the mass function of quiescent galaxies for $M > 10^9 M_{\odot}$ at $z \approx 2$ and their contribution to the global mass density. Another key question in galaxy growth is the relative importance of mergers and structural instabilities. The discovery of large, rotating, clumpy, gas-rich disks at $z \approx 2$ implies that disk instabilities may drive bulge formation more rapidly than previously thought (Förster Schreiber et al. 2006, 2009; Genzel et al. 2006, 2008).

The star formation rate (SFR) also correlates strongly with stellar mass M_{\star} , and the zero point of the SFR(M_{\star}) relation declines steadily below $z\approx 2.5$ (Daddi et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Noeske et al. 2007). The empirical evidence is now reminiscent of the "clump-merging" scenario for the growth of bulges in gas-rich disks from numerical simulations (e.g., Noguchi 1999; Immeli et al. 2004; Bournaud et al. 2007; Elmegreen et al. 2008). CANDELS can provide a census of clumps within galaxies along with their sizes and masses, and the resulting estimates of bulge formation rates can be compared to the timescale of clump migration driven by dynamical friction. Through comparison with the deep X-ray catalogs, CANDELS also provides detailed morphological information on AGNs in this redshift range, tracking the connection between galaxy mergers and black hole growth. Finally, the evolution of **Talaxiesweithockiyslow specific Steres (phissive galaxies) is also of interests**, Withinsburten having been our Privacy and Cookies policy.

found out to at least z = 2.5 (e.g., Daddi et al. 2005; Trujillo et al. 2006; Cimatti et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2008). CANDELS enables the luminosity function of large numbers of these sources to be directly constrained, in addition to probing their morphological structure to faint limits in the near-IR with better resolution than previous studies.

Deep Ultraviolet Observations. An important feature of CANDELS is the fact that the GOODS-N field is in the HST CVZ; thus, we use the bright day side of the orbit to observe with WFC3/UVIS in the UV (F275W and F336W). This enables measurements of the Lyman continuum (LyC) escape fraction ($f_{\rm esc}$) from galaxies at $z\approx2.5$, identification of ~350 LBGs at $z\approx2$, and measurements of the SFR in low-luminosity dwarfs which may just be "turning on" at $z\approx1$ (Babul & Rees 1992; Bullock et al. 2000). There are ~40–50 UV-luminous LBGs ($L_{\rm UV}>0.25\,L^*$) in this field at 2.38 < z<2.55 (half with spectroscopic redshifts), which is the optimal redshift for constraints with the F275W filter, many of which may be bright enough to detect if $f_{\rm esc}>0.5$ (e.g., Shapley et al. 2006; Iwata et al. 2009). Importantly, these galaxies are at redshifts that allow H α measurements for an independent measure of the ionizing continuum. The resolved LyC distributions provide tests of different mechanisms for high $f_{\rm esc}$ including supernova winds (Clarke & Oey 2002; Fujita et al. 2002), minor galaxy interactions (Gnedin et al. 2008), and emission from globular cluster formation (Ricotti 2002).

Supernova Cosmology. While SNe Ia at $z \lesssim 1$ –1.5 have already provided startling evidence of dark energy (Riess et al. 1998, 2004, 2007; Perlmutter et al. 1999), CANDELS now provides a direct probe of 1.5 < z < 2.5 to test the nature of SN Ia progenitors and their possible evolution (Ruiz-Lapuente & Canal 1998; Mannucci et al. 2005; Kobayashi & Nomoto 2009; Greggio et al. 2008), which can be tested with CANDELS since the predicted rates diverge significantly at z > 1.5. In addition, CANDELS DF yields SNe Ia at $z \lesssim 1.5$ which remain crucial tracers of the evolution in the dark energy equation-of-state parameter w. Increasing the samples at 0.7 < z < 1 in the IR reduces the uncertainties in host-galaxy extinction, thereby testing whether dust is a factor in the declining high-redshift SN Ia rate.

CANDELS also includes follow-up WFC3 or ACS grism observations to determine the supernovae type and redshift, and rest-frame B and V light curves for each SN (thus limiting the K-correction errors to below the random distance error of the SNe). We note also that related follow-up programs to CANDELS (including the SN Ia search) obtain grism data on these fields (e.g., GO-12099), but these are separate programs from the CANDELS imaging survey and are not discussed in further detail here.

3. THE CANDELS FIELDS

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

€

Here we summarize the general properties of the CANDELS fields and refer to Grogin et al. (2011) for a more detailed description. The CANDELS survey consists of a two-tier Deep+Wide survey designed to address the science goals discussed in Section 2 as well as providing a legacy data set on these fields. The CANDELS Deep portion covers ~125 arcmin² to ~10-orbit depth within the GOODS-N and GOODS-S fields (Giavalisco et al. 2004), including the E-CDFS (Rix et al. 2004) as well as the WFC3 ERS2 field⁴⁹ (Windhorst et al. 2011). The full area of the CANDELS survey covers a total of ~800 arcmin², where the additional area includes the shallower Wide portion to ~2-orbit depth around the Deep portions of GOODS, together with subsections of three additional fields, namely the EGS (Davis et al. 2007; J. A. Newman et al. 2011, in preparation), COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007), and the UKIDSS UDS (Lawrence et al. 2007; Cirasuolo et al. 2007). When combined with the existing Ultra Deep Field (UDF; Beckwith et al. 2006; Thompson et al. 2005; Oesch et al. 2007; Bouwens et al. 2010) within GOODS-S, CANDELS provides a unifying survey at three principal exposure-time depths with roughly an order of magnitude difference between each. Another unifying aspect of the survey is that all five CANDELS fields are the targets of the Spitzer Extended Deep Survey (G. G. Fazio et al. 2011, in preparation), which is a 2108 hr program, together with a more recently approved 1200 hr follow-on program, covering each of these regions with Spitzer IRAC 3.6 μm and 4.5 μm imaging to a total depth of 12 hr per pointing.

Each of the five CANDELS fields has a wealth of additional imaging and spectroscopic ancillary data from X-rays to radio wavelengths, described in the aforementioned papers and references therein. For the present work, we note in particular that all of them, except the UDS, have pre-existing *HST* data covering the field. In addition, all five fields have extensive pre-existing catalogs that can serve as astrometric and photometric reference standards as well as being combined with the catalogs from new *HST* data to obtain derived measurements of source properties including photometric redshifts, stellar masses, and star formation histories.

Help

4. OBSERVATIONS

Here we briefly outline the general layout of the observations, referring to Grogin et al. (2011) for a more detailed description. The *HST* observations in the CANDELS fields can be summarized as consisting of three complementary sets of imaging data: WFC3/IR, WFC3/UVIS, and ACS/WFC imaging exposures.⁵⁰⁵¹ In all cases, the WFC3 observations are taken as the prime observations, while the ACS data are obtained in parallel. The filter breakdown is shown in Table 1 for each of the different fields. We also discuss two generally different sets of observations, namely the GOODS fields, which

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



contain the CANDELS-Deep pointings (together with a CANDELS-Wide "flanking field" in GOODS-S), and the other three fields (COSMOS, EGS, and UDS), which only consist of the CANDELS-Wide component and thus have a somewhat different structure.

Table 1. Total HST WFC3 and ACS Exposure Depths in the CANDELS Fields^a

Field		WFC3/IR		V	WFC3/UV	TIS		ACS/WF0	C
	F105W	F125W	F160W	F275W	F336W	F350LP	F606W	F814W	F850LP
UDS (Wide)		2/3	4/3			~0.3	1	1	
GOODS-S Deep	3	4	6			~1	2	9	1
GOODS-S Wide		2/3	4/3			~0.3	1	1	
EGS (Wide)	•••	2/3	4/3	•••	•••	~0.3	1	1	
GOODS-N Deep	3	4	6	2	2	~1	2	9	1
GOODS-N Wide	•••	2/3	4/3	•••	•••	~0.3	1	1	
COSMOS (Wide)		2/3	4/3			~0.3	1	1	

Note. ^aApproximate exposure depth in each filter, in *HST* orbits (for details, see Section 4).

Download table as:

ASCII

Typeset image

View PDF

4.1. Filters and Exposure Times

We first describe the filter choice and exposure structure of the three Wide fields (COSMOS, EGS, and DF UDS), each of which is covered using a mosaic grid of tiles and repeated over two epochs. During every epoch, each tile is observed for one orbit (~2000 s), divided into two exposures in F125W (at a depth of ~1/3 orbit) and two exposures in F160W (at a depth of ~2/3 orbit), together with parallel exposures using ACS/WFC in F606W and F814W. However, some WFC3/IR tiles near one end of the Wide mosaics are not covered by ACS parallels so a short 434 s WFC3/UVIS F350LP exposure is inserted, creating a total of five WFC3 exposures per orbit for these tiles, and five ACS/WFC exposures in parallel.

The GOODS-N and GOODS-S fields contain the Deep portions of the CANDELS survey, with a total depth of at least 4 orbits in both WFC3/IR F125W and F160W and 3 orbits in F105W, spread across 10 epochs. Each single-orbit pointing, for each epoch, contains four WFC3/IR exposures (two F125W and two F160W), and one WFC3/UVIS (F350LP). In parallel, we also obtain five ACS/WFC exposures, where the primary requirement is to obtain at least 32,000 s depth in F814W. Once this requirement is met, the next ACS/WFC priorities are ~2500 s of F850LP, followed by ~5000 s in F606W, and finally any remaining depth is placed back into F814W. Since the GOODS-N field is in the CVZ, some portions of the orbit are too bright for observations using WFC3/IR, so WFC3/UVIS exposures are substituted using the F275W and F336W filters. In these cases the ACS parallels retain their structure as described for the remainder of the Deep observations.

Finally, the GOODS-S Deep portion has a wider "flanking field," similar to the Wide fields in its filter choice and exposure time requirements. This is divided into two epochs, achieving a depth of ~1/3 orbit in F125W and ~2/3 orbit in F160W per epoch, also using short WFC3/UVIS F350LP exposures where necessary, and with ACS/WFC F606W and F814W exposures in parallel. For the ACS/WFC exposures falling outside the Deep area, the exposure time requirements are first to obtain ~2500 s in F814W, followed by ~2500 s in F850LP, and distribute any remaining time according to the same priorities as for the Wide mosaics. The GOODS-N "flanking field" is similar in design, with a slightly different layout. Note that, since the ACS/WFC field of view is larger than WFC3/IR, the ACS parallel pointings overlap considerably and their effective exposure time on overlapping pointings can exceed the nominal two-orbit observing time, due to the dense packing of pointings for contiguous WFC3/IR coverage.

View PDF

In Table 2, we list the current zero points (in the AB magnitude system) for all the filters used in the CANDELS survey observations. We note that these are subject to change and we provide links to the instrument Web sites where the most up-to-date zero points can be obtained in future. The primary uncertainties associated with these are related to the spectral characteristics of the standard stars that are used by staff at STScI to carry out the photometric zero-point calibrations, as well as changes in the instrument and filters over time. Generally these are accurate to better than ~1%–2%, and we present later in Section 5.9 a quantitative validation of this level of accuracy using the photometry from our CANDELS data, compared with photometric data from ground-based imaging.

Table 2. CANDELS ACS and WFC3 Filter Zero Points ^a
This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to zero Point (ABmag) To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Instrument/Camera	Filter	Zero Point (ABmag)
ACS/WFC	F606W	26.49
ACS/WFC	F814W	25.94
ACS/WFC	F850LP	24.84
WFC3/UVIS	F275W	24.14
WFC3/UVIS	F336W	24.64
WFC3/UVIS	F350LP	26.94
WFC3/IR	F105W	26.27
WFC3/IR	F125W	26.25
WFC3/IR	F160W	25.96

Note. ^aFor current filter zero-point information, please see the following Web sites:

http://www.stsci.edu/hst/acs/analysis/zeropoints; http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfc3/phot zp lbn

Download table as:

ASCII

Typeset image

4.2. Mosaic Layout Design

For each of the five CANDELS fields, the goal is to cover a contiguous area with WFC3/IR (thus, the larger ACS/WFC parallel exposures overlap somewhat to create deeper pointings), and to overlap as PDF much as possible the existing relevant ancillary data sets. Here we summarize the specific considerations for each of the fields and how they impact the overall design of the mosaic observations.

PDF

8

For the three Wide fields (COSMOS, EGS, and UDS), the layout consists of a rectangular region, which for COSMOS and UDS comprises a grid of 4 × 11 tiles (~8.6 × 23.8), at spacing intervals designed to allow for maximal contiguous coverage in WFC3/IR without introducing gaps between tiles as a result of pointing errors. The exposures are all oriented so that the ACS/WFC parallels are offset along the long axis of the mosaic, thereby producing a similar-sized mosaic overlapping the bulk of the WFC3/IR mosaic, except at its ends where some tiles are covered only by WFC3/IR or by ACS/WFC, but not both. For the EGS field the mosaic is instead 3 × 15 tiles (~6.5 × 32.5), to optimize coverage with ancillary data.

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

For the GOODS-N and GOODS-S Deep regions, the layout consists of a smaller rectangular grid of 3 × 5 tiles (~6.5 × 10.8). In GOODS-S the WFC3/IR pointings are placed adjacent to the existing WFC3/IR ERS2 observations (Windhorst et al. 2011). Since the field is observed over 10 epochs, the orientation of the tiles rotates by ~45°–50° from one epoch to the next. This also changes the coverage of the parallel ACS/WFC observations, creating a net effect of a larger area covered by ACS/WFC to shallower depth, surrounding the deep central WFC3/IR data, which therefore has a slight deficit of ACS coverage.

Finally, the shallower "flanking field" region in GOODS-S covers $\sim 2 \times 4$ tiles, divided into two epochs, and using a similar filter choice and exposure time strategy as the other Wide fields. In GOODS-N the layout is similar, with differences due to the field geometry. The pointings are oriented such that the ACS/WFC parallels land mostly on the GOODS-S Deep region. The GOODS-S "flanking field" region is also covered with F105W to one-orbit depth which provides additional parallel ACS/WFC data for the central region.

4.3. Sub-pixel Dither Pattern

Each mosaic tile is observed for one orbit during each epoch, where the prime WFC3/IR observations consist of four exposures. In most cases these four exposures consist of two exposures each in F125W and F160W, except for the *Y*-band visits where all four exposures are obtained in F105W. Due to the relatively large pixel scale of the WFC3/IR detector (0;128 pixel⁻¹ at its central reference pixel), we offset the four WFC3/IR exposures in each orbit using a four-point small-scale dither pattern to provide half-pixel subsampling of the point-spread function (PSF) while also mitigating the impact of hot pixels and persistence. The strategy of dividing the four-point dither pattern into two pointings with F1**25**W PDF and two with F160W was particularly motivated by the supernova science, where we carried out tests to ensure that good supernova subtraction could be achieved. Since the second epoch on these tiles also contain two exposures in F125W and F160W, we ultimately achieve a four-point dither pattern in each Help filter, on all tiles, once the data from all the epochs are combined.

The dither pattern serves two complementary purposes: (1) provide non-integer shifts to subsample the PSF and (2) add integer components to these shifts in order to ensure that hot pixels and possible persistence from previous bright sources are moved around sufficiently. In particular, persistence is a concern, especially "self-persistence" from sources in previous CANDELS exposures executed as part of the dither pattern, since it typically tends to be more extended than a single pixel and is diffuse, thereby subtly impacting the photometry if it is not mitigated. For compact sources, the expected spatial extent has been quantified as a circle ~2.5 pixels in diameter (WFC3/IR), and therefore constrains the This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see

offset of a certain number of pixels at the center corresponds to a different number of pixels near the edge, and for sufficiently large shifts the subsampling can vary from half-pixel to integer several times between the center and the edge of the detector, introducing non-uniform sub-pixel sampling.

Therefore, the desire to retain uniform half-pixel sampling across the entire WFC3/IR detector during each epoch constrains the dither offsets to be as small as possible, which in this case is the minimum size needed to avoid issues from persistent regions ~2.5 pixels across. As a result, larger detector blemishes (such as the WFC3/IR "death star," a circular region of bad pixels ~50 pixels in diameter, or ~6"4) are not covered during a given epoch, and instead subsequent epochs at different orientations are used to provide coverage. The final pattern that satisfies both the persistence requirements and the PSF sampling requirements is a four-point dither pattern with parameters shown in Table 3, and presented graphically in Figure 1. This has the advantage of being large enough to avoid small-scale detector defects and persistence from compact sources, but small enough for the half-pixel subsampling to change by $\leq 0.1-0.2$ pixels across the detector.

Figure 1. CANDELS WFC3/IR sub-pixel dither pattern, indicated by the set of four red labels H1, J2, J3, and H4, as well as the standard default dither pattern (indicated by the four black labels). The large circles represent the 2.5 pixel diameter regions that are expected to be impacted by persistence from a point source, thus their overlap ends to be minimized. The new CANDELS four-point dither pattern is chosen to be a few pixels wider, simultaneously still providing half-pixel subsampling for the WFC3/IR observations as well as introducing large iew PDF offsets to help mitigate the effects of persistence from a previous exposure, by ensuring that any persistent pixels from previously observed bright sources are moved around to different, non-repeated pixel locations and can therefore be excluded from the final image combination.

PDF

Help

Table 3. CANDELS WFC3/IR Sub-pixel Dither Pattern

Position	Filter	X Offset	Y Offset
		(pixel)	(pixel)
1	F160W	-2.5	-2.5

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see F125W -4.5 +2.0our Privacy and Cookies policy. 2

Position	Filter	X Offset	Y Offset
		(pixel)	(pixel)
3	F125W	+2.0	+2.5
4	F160W	+4.0	-2.0
Тур	eset image	9	

Download table as:

ASCII

4.4. Detector Characteristics and Readout Modes

All the near-infrared *HST* observations on the CANDELS fields are obtained using the WFC3/IR detector, which consists of a 1024 × 1024 Teledyne HgCdTe array, comprising a central 1014 × 1014 pixel area for imaging (covering a region ~130" across, with a plate scale of 0".128 pixel⁻¹ at its central reference pixel), surrounded by a 5 pixel wide strip of reference pixels along the edges, which are unexposed to light and are used to track changes in bias level that may occur during an exposure. The exposures are all obtained using the WFC3 IR-FIX aperture, which samples the full imaging field of view of the WFC3/IR detector. All exposures are obtained in MULTIACCUM mode using either a SPARS50 or SPARS100 readout sequence, enabling the pixels to be sampled nondestructively every 50 or 100 s, respectively. These SPARS read samples are repeated for each sequence by specifying the NSAMP parameter, which range from 9 to 14 for the SPARS50 sequences and from 6 to 10 for the SPARS100 sequences before reading out the array, depending on the scheduling constraints for each particular field and epoch, leading to exposure times in the range 450–1000 s. In addition, each of the SPARSDF MULTIACCUM exposures is preceded by a short read 2.9 s in duration (the zeroth read), which serves as a measure of the bias structure across the array at the start of each exposure.

PDF

The optical and UV observations are all obtained using the WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC cameras, which are rather similar in construction, each consisting of two thinned, back-illuminated CCDs with a usable area of either 4096 × 2048 pixels (ACS) or 4096 × 2051 pixels (WFC3), located adjacent to one another to form an imaging area approximately square in size, with a small physical gap between the detectors. The WFC3/UVIS wavelength response is optimized to be UV sensitive, as compared with the ACS/WFC which we use for observations at longer wavelengths. Each detector has two amplifiers, one at each corner, with half the pixels being read out in parallel by each. The ACS/WFC CCDs were manufactured by SITe (Scientific Imaging Technologies) and are each physically 4144 × 2048 pixels.

The first and last 24 columns are read out as physical overscan pixels which are unexposed to light, This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see while an additional 20 prows of virtual overscan are added while clocking out each column during



readout. This yields a usable image area of 4096 × 2048 pixels for each of the two chips, provided by the WFC aperture which we use for all the ACS observations, with the physical gap between them corresponding to about a 50 pixel width (~2.1.5), and covering a combined area ~200" in extent with a plate scale of 0.1.05 pixel⁻¹ at the central reference pixel. The WFC3/UVIS CCDs were manufactured by e2v (formerly Marconi) and are each physically 4146 × 2051 pixels, being read out using the first and last 25 columns as physical overscan pixels which are unexposed to light, while an additional 30 columns of serial virtual overscan are added at the boundary between the two amplifier regions on each CCD, together with an additional 19 rows of parallel virtual overscan added at the end of each column during readout. Thus their usable image area, provided by the UVIS-IR-FIX and UVIS-CENTER apertures that we use for all the WFC3/UVIS observations, is 4096 × 2051 pixels for each of the two chips, with the physical gap between them corresponding to about 30 pixels (~1.1.2), and covering a combined area ~160" in extent with a plate scale of 0.1.0396 pixel⁻¹ at the central reference pixel.

The WFC3/UVIS camera is used for the UV F275W and F336W observations in the CVZ for GOODS-N, as well as for the F350LP observations for all fields, which is a long-pass filter covering the detector response from ~3500 Å to its red cutoff around 1 μm. The latter exposures are all 434 s in length, with no CRSPLIT, consisting of only a single exposure per visit. The ACS exposures are all obtained in the F606W, F814W, and F850LP filters, depending on which field is being observed, and are all obtained as parallel exposures to either the WFC3/IR or WFC3/UVIS exposures. As a result, they have a range of exposure times between 225 s and 807 s, driven by readout times and overhead considerations associated with managing the buffer dumps of both ACS and WFC3 in parallel, depending on which field is being observed. In addition, the ACS/WFC coverage from one pointing to the next is also less homogeneous, both in terms of the filters used and also its depth, particularly for the three CANDELS Wide fields, due to the scheduling and buffer time management constraints.

5. DATA PROCESSING AND PRODUCTS

PDF Help

For each of the five CANDELS fields, the final data products consist of a set of mosaics for each camera/filter combination, processed using the "MosaicDrizzle" pipeline (described here), which carries out astrometric registration and image combination using MultiDrizzle (Koekemoer et al. 2002) and Drizzle (Fruchter & Hook 2002). Here we describe the original data, together with each of the calibration and pipeline processing steps included in the "MosaicDrizzle" pipeline (outlined in Figure 2), as well as the properties of the final combined mosaic images. All the processing is done on a dedicated cluster of linux machines at STScI, using the Pyraf/STSDAS packages.

Figure 2. General overview of the "MosaicDrizzle" pipeline used for the CANDELS data processing, showing each of the steps that are used to process each new data set as it arrives, including automated calibration and MultiDrizzle combination, as well as data quality validation, astrometric registration, and mosaic combination.

For all exposures in each visit, the raw files are processed first through a number of basic calibration steps that are needed to produce a final calibrated file for each exposure. Since these steps are somewhat different for the WFC3/IR detectors than for the CCD cameras, we discuss the WFC3/IR data calibration steps separately. The WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC calibration steps, however, are very similar to one another and are therefore discussed together, with differences highlighted where necessary.

5.1. WFC3/IR Detector Calibration

Here we describe the calibration steps carried out to convert the WFC3/IR data from raw counts to a set of final, flat-fielded, and flux-calibrated exposures, which are subsequently all combined to create the final mosaics.

5.1.1. Standard Pipeline Calibration with calwf3

The raw WFC3/IR exposures, still containing all the separate MULTIACCUM read samples, are calibrated using the Pyraf/STSDAS task calwf3.⁵² This task populates the bad pixel arrays using known bad pixel tables, followed by a bias subtraction for each read, based on the mean value of all the pixels in the 5 pixel wide reference pixel region. It then carries out a subtraction of the zeroth read in order to remove the bias structure across the detector, followed by a subtraction of the dark current reference files for either the SPARS50 or SPARS100 readout sequences, as applicable. This is followed by the PDF non-linearity correction and photometric keyword calculation, using the current filter throughput tables Help and detector quantum efficiency curves.

Once all the separate MULTIACCUM reads are calibrated as described above, they then move through the next steps in calwf3, namely up-the-ramp slope fitting and cosmic-ray rejection. For each pixel, this step performs a linear fit to the accumulating counts that are sampled during each MULTIACCUM read, while rejecting outliers from the fit as being due to cosmic rays. Currently a threshold of 4σ is used for this rejection, and flags are populated in the data quality arrays corresponding to the read during which the cosmic ray occurred. A final count-rate value is then computed for each pixel using Thlystheurer Raggicial readsonanting stored this thic counterate in the stand cookies fed for best unique while the our Privacy and Cookies policy.

uncertainty in the slope of counts versus time is stored in the error extension of the image. The final steps of calwf3 include multiplicative corrections for the detector gain and the flat-field structure across the detector, appropriate to each filter. Other factors affecting the fluxes include different pixel sizes due to detector distortion and are still present at this stage; these are corrected later when the geometric distortion is removed.

5.1.2. Additional Corrections for Persistence, Warm Pixels, and Flat-field Residuals

In addition to the default calibrations, there are also a number of additional corrections carried out to further improve the WFC3/IR data, but which are not part of the standard calwf3 pipeline. The CANDELS team has implemented these as additional steps in our automated image processing pipelines, and they are executed in conjunction with the standard calwf3 calibration steps.

The first of these additional corrections concerns the presence of persistent flux in certain pixels due to bright sources having been observed in previous exposures, which can be a significant issue for the WFC3/IR detector.⁵³ For the first few epochs of this program, darks from the WFC3 calibration program that execute just prior to CANDELS visits are used to aid in identifying and measuring problematic pixels. Pixels with persistent flux are then identified in these dark frames if they exceeded a count-rate threshold of 5σ above the mean, and are flagged in the following science exposures. For subsequent orbits during a visit, the CANDELS team can determine directly from the preceding CANDELS exposures which pixels may contain sufficient flux to cause persistence; the calibration darks are only used for the first orbit in a visit, when the previous data may be from another program and not necessarily accessible. During the initial calibrations for the CANDELS project, pixels flagged as having significant persistent flux are excluded when performing the mosaic combinations, but weak possible pixels to be used.

PDF

Another correction that is implemented at this stage is the identification of additional "warm" pixels in $_{\text{Help}}$ the exposures, which might be fluctuating and therefore perhaps not present in the calibration reference dark files but only in the images. The calibration darks are used to identify these pixels if they exceed a threshold of 5σ above the mean, in which case they are flagged in the data quality arrays that are associated with each exposure, and are excluded from the final image combination.

Finally, the initial WFC3/IR data obtained for the CANDELS program were calibrated using an early generation of flat-field files in the STScI archive pipeline that did not fully correct for all the flat-field features present in the data. Specifically, these included the IR "blobs" that have appeared in the WFSBERSHAMMESSBYCCIATION STORES FOR CHAIN AND THE STORES FOR C

large-scale variation in the overall structure of the flat-field files. We therefore created residual flat-field files which we applied in our pipelines to correct these effects after having run the data through the initial calibration. Both of these issues have subsequently been corrected by constructing an extensive set of sky flats for each of the different filters, and the resulting corrected flat-field reference files are now in the STScI archive pipeline and are used when the calwf3 calibration software is run.

We have verified that the degree of flatness of the final sky backgrounds in the images is within ~1%—2% of the mean sky level. In particular, after adopting the improved WFC3/IR flat fields that we have discussed here, we verified that the photometric repeatability across the detector was consistent to the same level of accuracy, with no significant deviations found beyond ~1%—2%. We present a more detailed comparison in Section 5.9 between our WFC3/IR photometry and existing ground-based photometry, to illustrate the degree of consistency that is achieved.

The final, calibrated images for each WFC3/IR exposure are then used in the subsequent steps of astrometric alignment and MultiDrizzle mosaic combination, as described in more detail in Section 5.8.

5.2. WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC Detector Calibration

In this subsection the WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC observations are described together since both of these cameras have rather similar physical characteristics, with differences highlighted where necessary.

5.2.1. Standard Pipeline Calibration with calwf3 and calacs

Each of the raw ACS/WFC and WFC3/UVIS exposures are initially calibrated using the Pyraf/STSDAS tasks calacs and calwf3, respectively, for which the standard steps are quite similariew PDF although additional steps are incorporated as described later in this section. For the standard calibration, in each case the CCD data first have an overall bias correction applied, which is measured from the **PDF** physical and virtual overscan regions that are around the edges of the detector. This is done by carrying out a linear fit along the overscan rows and columns, to capture any potential slope across the rest of the detector that might be the result of a bias drift. Subsequently, a bias reference file image is subtracted from each exposure to account for the remaining pixel-to-pixel bias structure in the detectors. In addition, the dark reference file is subtracted from each exposure to take into account the dark current structure across the detector and help mitigate warm pixels that may be present in the images. Finally, the multiplicative gain correction and flat-field structure reference files are applied, followed by photometric keyword calibration using the current filter throughput curves and detector sensitivity information, thereby resulting in a set of exposures for WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC that are This site was according By continuing and use this site and agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see 8 our Privacy and Cookies policy.

5.2.2. Additional Corrections for Bias Striping, CTE Degradation, and Amplifier Quadrant Offsets

In addition to these default calibrations, a number of other corrections need to be carried out particularly on the ACS/WFC images, primarily related to the length of time that the detectors have been on orbit, and the changes in the detector readout electronics as a result of the new CCD Electronics Box Replacement (CEB-R) that was installed during Servicing Mission 4 (SM4) to restore the instrument to operation. These additional steps, described below, are implemented in our automated CANDELS image processing pipeline and are executed in conjunction with the standard calacs calibration steps, with some modifications.

The first of these additional effects is the removal of bias striping noise from the ACS/WFC exposures. This effect is introduced by the SIDECAR ASIC electronics (System Image, Digitizing, Enhancing, Controlling, and Retrieving/Application Specific Integrated Circuit, manufactured by Teledyne) which was part of the new CEB-R installed on ACS during SM4. This circuitry exhibits a low-frequency (~0.001–1 Hz) noise with a power spectrum similar to 1/f noise, which is introduced into one of the reference voltages for the ACS/WFC CCDs and subsequently manifests itself as a bias amplitude variation from one row to the next. However, this noise has the property of being relatively uniform across the detectors, with its amplitude distribution being Gaussian with $\sigma = 0.75e^-$ (significantly less than the ~3–4 e^- read noise of these CCDs). The relative uniformity of the signal along each row enables this striping pattern to be characterized and largely removed using an algorithm (Grogin et al. 2010) which fits each CCD row independently, determining the background level using an iterative σ -clipping technique to reject non-sky pixels, followed by a hybrid mean and median estimator to compensate for lower-level signal from faint sources and cosmic-ray hits. This algorithm has been implemented in our CANDELS image calibration pipeline in conjunction with the calibration sta\(\frac{VeWn}{2} \) Por reduces this noise to $(0.3-0.4e^-)$, thereby largely removing its impact on the data.

The second detector effect addressed in our pipelines is the impact of charge transfer efficiency (CTE) PDF degradation. This effect comes about because of the readout scheme that is implemented for CCDs, whereby charge that has been detected by each pixel on the array is first transferred down all the remaining pixels in the same column, and subsequently across all the remaining columns to the amplifier where it is read out. As the charge packets are transferred from one pixel to the next, charge traps that are present in the pixels due to impurities and crystalline defects can capture some of the electrons, releasing them after a short period of time. This leads to a loss of flux in the original pixel and manifests itself as deferred-charge trails along the columns behind bright pixels in each exposure, while also producing a net astrometric shift up along in the column for bright sources. The effect the producing a net astrometric shift up along in the column for bright sources. The effect the producing a net astrometric shift up along in the column for bright sources. The effect the producing a net astrometric shift up along in the column for bright sources. The effect the producing a net astrometric shift up along in the column for bright sources. The effect

increases depending on the length of time for which a detector has been on orbit, which is now \gtrsim 8 yr for the ACS/WFC. While WFC3/UVIS was installed more recently, indications are that its CTE is degrading more rapidly than ACS and it may also need to be corrected, though its level of CTE degradation is currently small and has not yet been characterized as fully as ACS, which we focus on here. Figure 3 shows an example of the impact of the bias stripe and CTE degradation in the images.

Figure 3. Example showing the removal of bias stripe and CTE degradation in the ACS data: left—original exposure after calibration with calacs; middle—same exposure after removal of the bias stripes (Grogin et al. 2010); right—final data set after subsequent correction for the CTE degradation (Anderson & Bedin 2010).

We implement a correction for this CTE degradation in the CANDELS imaging pipelines, making use of a pixel-based algorithm that carries out a fit to the deferred-charge trail behind each bright pixel and redistributes the charge into the pixels in a way that is intended to represent the original flux as detected, before any CTE degradation (as implemented by Anderson & Bedin 2010; see also Massey 2010). A key point about this algorithm is that it is effectively a deconvolution, by virtue of the fact that it restores the charge profiles of pixels along a particular column to their original shape, which is sharper and more concentrated than the observed profiles that have been smeared by the deferred charge trails. As such, the pixel-to-pixel noise in the final reconstructed image is also somewhat higher View PDF than in the original exposure. In addition, the algorithm includes the effect of readout noise, which is introduced at the amplifier and does not participate in the deferred-charge smearing on the detector pixels, by treating this as a separate noise component from the noise on the detector. Tests to date have PDF shown that this algorithm correctly reproduces the expected noise that would be present in the images iffelp no CTE degradation had been present, and in addition restores both the photometry and the astrometric accuracy to levels that are comparable to images without CTE degradation. Therefore, this algorithm is included in our pipelines in conjunction with the standard detector imaging calibration steps. We will continue to review the performance of this correction as more data are collected.

Finally, the ACS/WFC detector amplifier quadrants exhibit additional bias-related offsets between one another that are not fully corrected during standard calibration. We implement a routine that fits for the differences between these, using an iterative clipping procedure to eliminate signal from astronomical This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

sources and preserve only the background flux, which is then used to remove the residual amplifier quadrant differences and place all four quadrants on a uniform background level.

The resulting calibrated, flat-fielded exposures for all the CCD observations are then used in the subsequent steps of alignment, cosmic-ray rejection, and image combination, as described in the following section.

5.3. Relative Astrometry and Distortion

Once all the individual WFC3/IR, WFC3/UVIS, and ACS/WFC exposures have had their detector-level calibrations applied, they are next passed through the rest of the CANDELS calibration and mosaicing processing pipelines. The overall design of this pipeline is shown in Figure 2 and is described here in more detail. At the starting point in this process, the data from all three detectors, WFC3/IR, WFC3/UVIS, and ACS/WFC have all been calibrated and are in a very uniform set of formats, effectively representing the incoming photons detected on each pixel. Therefore, from this stage onward they are processed through very similar steps in the remainder of the pipeline that generate the higher-level products, and are all discussed together in this section, with occasional differences highlighted as appropriate. The first stage of this pipeline processes all the exposures in a given visit, for each of the different cameras, and addresses the relative shifts between exposures in each given single-orbit visit.

5.3.1. Dithering and Pointing Uncertainties

The four WFC3/IR exposures, together with their corresponding ACS parallels, are all obtained in a four-point dither box pattern aimed at providing half-pixel subsampling for the WFC3/IR detectors View PDF along both axes of the pixel, together with a small integer pixel shift to ensure that bad pixels and other artifacts (e.g., persistence) are moved around. Due to the geometrical distortion of the detector, shifts that are too large correspond to a substantially different number of pixels along the edge than at the PDF center where the shifts are defined, which would cause the pixel subsampling phase to change across the detector. Therefore, the shifts are kept small enough to retain the intended half-pixel subsampling across much of the detector with no significant change in phase. This means, however, that large artifacts such as the WFC3/IR "death star," or the WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC detector chip gaps (~2"–3" across) are not covered by the dither pattern in a single orbit, therefore we rely on observations during subsequent epochs to cover these missing areas and provide coverage across the entire field. While the dithers are small, there is nonetheless a spacecraft positioning error associated with these small angle maneuvers, which is on the level ~3–5 mas (i.e., ~0.05–0.1 pixel for the WFC3/UVIS and

€

ACS/WFC CCDs). This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

In addition to the slight positioning uncertainties introduced by the small angle maneuvers, an optical offset is introduced whenever a different filter is inserted into the optical path. This offset is currently not included in the geometric and astrometric information for the *HST* instruments, thereby leading to an apparent astrometric change which can be quite significant, on the order ~0.2–0.3 pixel depending on the filter and instrument. Moreover, during each orbit the spacecraft undergoes thermal expansion and contraction ("breathing") due to changes in solar illumination, which lead to changes in the optical path length to the detectors, hence resulting in slight scale changes from one exposure to the next. This scale change is distinct from that which is produced by velocity aberration related to the orbital motion of the spacecraft around the Earth (which is accurately known and can be corrected), and therefore needs to be treated separately. Finally, uncertainties in guide star reacquisition from one orbit to the next can lead to errors in position as well as small rotation uncertainties, while a full acquisition of a new guide star has astrometric uncertainties of ~0.43-0.45 (reflecting the absolute astrometric uncertainties in the Guide Star Catalog 2, GSC-2; Lasker et al. 2008).

5.3.2. Distortion Calibration Models

In addition to the pointing and guide star uncertainties related to the spacecraft, the astrometric accuracy also depends on the degree to which the detector geometric distortions are calibrated. For the ACS/WFC camera, the detector distortion is modeled using a fourth-order polynomial that has been measured separately for each filter, including also a time-dependent evolution of the first-order linear skew terms (Anderson 2007), which is independent of filter. In addition, the measured distortion on the detector deviates slightly from a polynomial description, and is captured in a "distortion residual image" that is combined with the polynomials when correcting for the distortion. For ACS/WFC, the global accuracy of the distortion solution is now within ~0.02–0.03 pixel (Anderson 2007).

For the WFC3/IR and WFC3/UVIS detectors, the on-orbit distortion is less well characterized due to the relatively short time for which the instrument has been in operation. The latest distortion solutions PDF have been delivered on 2010 October 11 for both cameras (see Kozhurina-Platais et al. 2009 for general reference) and contain empirical measurements of the distortion in all the filters used in the CANDELS program. In all cases the distortion is modeled as a fourth-order polynomial, but there is not yet any evidence of possible skew term evolution, and there are also not yet any distortion residual images that are to be combined with the polynomials. The global accuracy of the present solutions is $\lesssim 0.1$ pixel in each camera, as verified also by our testing on the exposures. If these solutions improve in the future, we will include them in subsequent reprocessing of the data.

5.3.3. Cross-correlation Shift Determination

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.



To solve for and remove the residual uncertainties in the spacecraft dither offsets between all the exposures in each orbit, a cross-correlation procedure is applied to all the exposures for a given instrument in each orbit. The involves taking the first exposure as a reference, and cross-correlating all the subsequent exposures against that. Filters that are close in wavelength (e.g., F606W and F814W for ACS, or F125W and F160W for WFC3/IR) can be successfully cross-correlated because the strength of the cross-correlation signal from even a small sub-pixel shift is coherent across the image and is much stronger than any morphological differences in galaxies between adjacent filters. Thus, for example, if an orbit contains four WFC3/IR exposures (two F125W and F160W), then the first exposure would be used as a reference and the other three would be cross-correlated against it (since they are all obtained with the same instrument, even though the filters are different). Similarly, if the same orbit contains four parallel ACS exposures, then the first ACS exposure would be used as a reference and the other three would be cross-correlated against it; the ACS and WFC3 are treated completely independently since the detectors have quite different properties. Currently this technique is only applied within a single orbit since other astrometric errors such as orientation differences can be present between different orbits, or different visits, and these are solved for in a different part of the pipeline.

The cross-correlation procedure first passes all the exposures for each instrument (using all the filters) through a partial run of MultiDrizzle, up to the point where single-drizzled images are produced for all the individual exposures. These images have had the instrument distortion removed, as well as having had the commanded spacecraft offsets applied, and are all aligned on the same pixel grid so that astronomical sources should be at the same pixel locations if no residual shifts were present. These images are then masked so that all empty or faint regions are set to zero, retaining only regions around objects that contain sufficient signal for the cross-correlation. Bright saturated sources, in particular stars with long charge-bleed columns, are also excluded since such columns degrade the quality of the cross-correlation solutions. The regions containing all the remaining objects are also tapered to avoid PDF introducing artificial ringing in the cross-correlation step.

Each of these images is then cross-correlated relative to the first one, producing a Fourier transform image for each exposure. The Fourier transform image contains a strong peak, offset from its center by an amount that corresponds to the residual shift between the two exposures. The profile of this peak is fit using a two-dimensional fitting routine to determine its location and associated uncertainty, which is then directly translated into a shift between the two exposures. The uncertainties are typically less than a few hundredths of a pixel.

After a set of first-pass cross-correlation shifts has been obtained, these shifts are propagated back to the input exposures, in order to do a second-pass run of MultiDrizzle, which includes a cosmic-ray rejection step with the improved shifts. The pixels flagged as cosmic rays in each exposure then have their flux replaced with pixels from the clean drizzled image, then subsequently re-drizzled to a new set of single-drizzled images for each separate exposure, which are again masked and used as input for the second-pass cross-correlation step. This provides a much cleaner cross-correlation signal, since much of the noise in the first-pass cross-correlation is due to cosmic rays. Finally, the shifts from the second-pass cross-correlation are propagated into the image headers for a third-pass MultiDrizzle, cosmic-ray rejection and cross-correlation step, and are also stored as the final set of relative coordinates for all the exposures within a given orbit. At this stage the expected shifts are zero, hence any remaining shifts between the second and third cross-correlation iterations provide a good diagnostic of the residual uncertainties in the shift measurement.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the uncertainties in the measured shifts, for all CANDELS exposures obtained to date in WFC3/IR and ACS/WFC. These are derived from the uncertainties on the location of the cross-correlation peak, which are obtained during the fitting procedure. The uncertainties are typically $\sim 0.01-0.02$ pixel, thus $\sim 0.5-1$ mas for ACS/WFC and $\sim 1-2$ mas for WFC3/IR due to its larger pixel size. Occasionally, the uncertainties are somewhat higher depending on the structure of the sources within a given exposure, or residual anomalies such as satellite trails or other defects that might be present within the sources used for the cross-correlation, but in all cases they are still less than 0\''01. This technique was used to correct for the shifts from one exposure to the next in each filter as a result of pointing uncertainties in executing dither offsets (up to ~5 mas) as well as the much larger astrometric shifts introduced by filter changes (~10-25 mas, depending on the instrument/filter) View PDF not accounted for by the distortion information and need to be solved empirically. A comprehensive program of testing has been carried out to validate this routine, and its final implementation in the **PDF** pipeline is able to correct the relative shift errors present between the exposures in each orbit to a level Help of accuracy better than a few milliarcseconds, thereby correcting the small errors introduced when the spacecraft executes small angle maneuvers for dither offsets, as well as correcting the offsets introduced by filter changes.

Figure 4. Distribution of uncertainties in the measured shifts obtained by cross-correlation, for all the exposures for a given instrument during a given orbit, for all the data obtained so far

This site uses cookies By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more see using ACS/WF Cyfell) and WFC3/IR (right). The measured uncertainties are typically ~0.01—our Privacy and Cookies policy.

0.02 pixel, thus \sim 0.5–1 mas for ACS/WFC and \sim 1–2 mas for WFC3/IR due to its larger pixel size. This technique was used to correct for the shifts between exposures as a result of spacecraft pointing uncertainties in executing dither offsets (up to \sim 5 mas) as well as the more significant astrometric shifts introduced by filter changes (\sim 10–25 mas, depending on the instrument/filter) which are not accounted for by the distortion information and need to be solved for empirically.

It should be noted that this level of accuracy refers specifically to the overall relative alignment from one exposure to the next during an orbit as determined by cross-correlation, and does not reflect the astrometric accuracy of individual sources across the images. These are still limited by the accuracy of the distortion models along with the absolute astrometric uncertainties, which are further discussed in Section 5.5.

5.4. Cosmic-Ray Rejection

The clean median image is then transformed back to the distorted detector frame of each input exposure PDF to carry out cosmic-ray rejection using the following approach. The input counts in a given pixel in the original exposure, I_{exp} , are compared with the counts from the median image, I_{med} , for the same pixel, together with the derivative of the median image, Δ_{med} , defined as the steepest gradient from that pixel to its surrounding pixels (with all these quantities being in units of electrons). A pixel is flagged as a cosmic ray if it exceeds a threshold defined as follows:

where S and S/N (the signal-to-noise ratio) are adjustable scaling factors and B is the background sky value that has been measured for the exposure. The inclusion of the gradient term Δ_{med} effectively This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see "softens" the cosmic-ray rejection in regions of relatively steep gradients such as bright cores of our Privacy and Cookies policy.

8

objects, where the pixel-to-pixel variation can exceed simple Poissonian statistics. For the data processing in the CANDELS pipelines, this rejection is performed over two iterations, with the first pass going through all the pixels in the image and using S = 1.2 and S/N = 3.5, followed by a second pass in a 1 pixel wide region around each of the pixels flagged in the first pass, but using more stringent criteria of S = 0.7 and S/N = 3.0. This ensures that fainter pixels around cosmic rays are also flagged.

In addition, the ACS/WFC exposures are significantly impacted by CTE degradation, which introduces substantial deferred-charge trails extending along columns away from cosmic-ray hits. Although this has already been accounted for to some extent by means of the CTE algorithm described in Section 5.2.2, some residual effects can remain in the images near the locations of bright cosmic rays. These are mitigated in MultiDrizzle by a subsequent rejection iteration whereby the cosmic-ray masks are convolved with a linear kernel in the direction opposite to the readout direction, and with a length of 30 pixels, which is about the worst-case length of the CTE trails. The rejection is then carried out in this additional region, using the same parameters as were used in the second-pass iteration for pixels around the originally flagged ones.

For the WFC3/IR images, most of the cosmic rays are already rejected during the up-the-ramp sampling. However, there are occasional cosmic rays that are not fully removed, or warm pixels that are not accounted for in the dark file correction, so the WFC3 exposures for each filter are also passed through this step. For the ACS/WFC data, there are typically between two and four exposures per filter in a given orbit. Given the typical cosmic-ray rate of $\sim 1\%$ –2% during our exposure times, this means that for a four-exposure depth, $\lesssim 1$ –2 pixels can be expected to be hit by cosmic rays during all four exposure, while for two exposures this increases to ~ 2000 –6000 pixels that would be affected by PDF cosmic rays during both exposures. However, this is still only $\sim 0.01\%$ –0.04%, meaning that ~ 1 out of every 100 small galaxies (~ 100 pixels in area) would be affected, losing $\sim 1\%$ –4% of its pixels on PDF average.

Help

5.5. Absolute Astrometric Calibration

The absolute astrometric accuracy of any *HST* observation is limited by the astrometric uncertainty of the primary guide star, which for the GSC-2 is ~0.43-0.45. In addition to a shift, these uncertainties also introduce errors in the knowledge of the orientation of the telescope, which is derived from the guide star positions as well. All these uncertainties can be problematic for a program like CANDELS, where observations from many different visits and epochs, taken with potentially different guide stars, need to be combined into a single set of images, and where accuracy to levels better than ~0.1 pixel are demanded. We address this using a two-stage technique: first, obtaining the best possible astrometric This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see softhiogenal Glockies its lie attive to one another by using a deep external ground-based catalog of the

field that provides sufficient source density to match enough objects on the *HST* images, and second by ensuring that the entire ground-based catalog/mosaic reference frame is registered to an absolute external astrometric reference coordinate system.

For each of the five different CANDELS fields, appropriate external astrometric reference catalogs are available, which we list in Table 4 and describe here briefly. For GOODS-N, the astrometry is based on the Subaru/Suprime-Cam R-band imaging (Capak et al. 2004), whose absolute astrometric reference frame has been registered to the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS), and the new deep Very Large Array (VLA) 20 cm survey of the field (Morrison et al. 2010). For GOODS-S, we use an R-band mosaic from the ESO-MPI 2.2 m/Wide Field Imager (WFI) obtained as part of the ESO Imaging Survey (EIS) of the field (Arnouts et al. 2001), registered to the GSC-2 (Lasker et al. 2008). For the COSMOS field, the catalog used is based on Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT)/Megacam i* imaging, supplemented by deeper Subaru/Suprime-Cam I+ imaging (both described in Capak et al. 2007), with absolute astrometry determined by registering to the VLA 20 cm survey of the COSMOS field (Schinnerer et al. 2004). For the EGS field, we use the Deep2 CFHT/12k mosaic imaging of the field (Coil et al. 2004; Davis et al. 2007), initially registered to the USNO-A2.0 catalog and subsequently improved by matching to the VLA 20 cm survey of this field (Ivison et al. 2007). The absolute astrometric accuracy is generally $\lesssim 0$ "1 for each of these reference catalogs, limited primarily by residual uncertainties in the underlying reference frame. In addition, since extensive HST imaging is available for all these fields, which has already been registered onto the astrometric systems provided by these catalogs and are much deeper with better resolution, we use these HST data in determining the astrometry for the new CANDELS data, and only use the ground-View PDF based catalogs in regions that are not covered by the existing HST data. Only the fifth field, UKIDSS/UDS, has insufficient existing HST coverage. Therefore, our fundamental astrometric frame for this is the UKIDSS K-band catalog (O. Almaini et al. 2011, private communication; Lawrence et alpDF 2007), which has been obtained by imaging the field with UKIRT/WFCAM and is registered to an absolute reference based on 2MASS stars within the WFCAM images (Dye et al. 2006) to a similar level of astrometric accuracy as the other surveys.

ield	Area	Telescope	Filter	Depth (5σ	Resolution	Reference
				AB)		

Field	Area	Telescope	Filter	Depth (5σ AB)	Resolution	Reference
		HST/ACS	F850LP	27.4	0''08	Giavalisco et al. (2004)
GOODS-S	34' × 33'	ESO/2.2 m WFI	R	25.5	08	Arnouts et al. (2001)
		HST/ACS	F850LP	27.4	0''08	Giavalisco et al. (2004)
COSMOS	2° × 2°	Subaru/Suprime- Cam	i^+	26.2	09	Capak et al. (2007)
		HST/ACS	F814W	27.2	008	Koekemoer et al. (2007)
EGS	1° × 1°	CFHT/12k	R	24.7	0''9	Coil et al. (2004)
		HST/ACS	F814W	27.2	0''08	Davis et al. (2007)
UDS	1° × 1°	UKIRT/WFCAM	K	24	0''8	Lawrence et al. (2007)
Download	table as:	ASCII Typ	eset image			

For all the exposures of a given instrument in each orbit, an "astrometric detection image" is then produced by doing another run of MultiDrizzle to produce a single combined image (separately for each different instrument), for the tile covered during that orbit, containing all the exposures of all the filters for that instrument, and applying the cosmic-ray masks that have been produced when combining the filters separately. This is motivated by the fact that the relative shifts for all the exposures, for all filters in a given orbit, have now already been determined to an accuracy of ~0.5–1 mas. Hence, ppf nothing further would be gained by now attempting to solve for shifts separately for different filters in a given orbit. Therefore, the exposures for all the filters are combined into a single image (separately for each different instrument), yielding one image for WFC3/IR, another for WFC3/UVIS, and another for ACS/WFC, for each orbit. A catalog is then produced from this single multi-filter image, which also has the advantage of providing increased depth and reducing the impact from cosmic rays compared with the individual filter images.

All the sources in the multi-filter catalog for each orbit are then matched to the sources in the relevant portion of the external catalog, using a number of iterative steps. The first iteration uses a relatively like itelescence (sign to be a few increase) hand to ply the contightests a 20 c 30 k is sufficed in cathornage, in order our Privacy and Cookies policy.

to determine the dominant terms in the shifts for right ascension and declination. Once these have been accounted for, several additional iterations are carried out using the full catalog of sources in each image, using progressively tighter matching tolerances down to 0"1 and solving for the residual remaining shifts as well as the rotation errors due to the uncertainties in guide star position. For all visits, ~300–400 sources are typically then matched at the faintest levels and tightest tolerances between the HST MultiDrizzle-combined image and the reference catalog.

The results of this procedure are shown in Figures 5 and 6, for the ACS/WFC and WFC3/IR images of the GOODS-S field. Figure 5 shows the distribution in right ascension and declination offsets between the reference catalog positions of the sources and those measured on the new CANDELS data, after having solved for the astrometry as described above, for all sources in the first epoch of the GOODS-S field by way of example. In general, the offset between the new measured position and the catalog position is ≤ 0 "1 per object, where these residual differences are due to differences in sensitivity between the new and the old data, as well as morphological differences due to different filters, and astrometric uncertainties in the reference catalog. Since the ACS/WFC and WFC3/IR images for each orbit typically contain at least $\sim 300-400$ sources, each with a residual ≤ 0.1 , this means that the overall absolute astrometric solutions for the exposures in each orbit are known to better than $\lesssim 0.005$ (i.e., ~0.1 pixel for ACS), once the uncertainties on the positions of all the individual objects have been combined in quadrature.

Figure 5. Results showing the residual uncertainties in the positions of all the sources in the View PDF first epoch of GOODS-S as an example, where the plotted values indicate the difference in position for each source as measured from the new CANDELS data, compared with the position of the same source in the reference GOODS astrometric catalog, for the ACS/WFC images (left) and WFC3/IR images (right). For WFC3/IR the larger uncertainties are predominantly related to the broader PSF and larger pixel size, relative to ACS. The new CANDELS ACS data that overlap the previous GOODS-ACS data generally have smaller residuals than the WFC3/IR data. However, a subset of the new CANDELS ACS data lie outside the existing GOODS-ACS region, where we had to register the images to the groundbased WFI R-band data, and these sources have larger residuals (up to ~ 0 "1 per source). Given ~300–400 sources per tile, with the measurement errors on each source being drawn from this distribution, the overall accuracy on the absolute astrometric alignment of a given This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies II the individual objects our Privacy and Cookies policy.

PDF

Help

have been combined in quadrature. Therefore, for both instruments, the resulting absolute astrometry for each orbit, after combining the astrometric information from all the sources, is accurate to better than ≤ 0.1 pixel, sufficient to enable robust combination of data in overlapping regions as well as permitting cosmic-ray rejection across multiple epochs once the images have been placed onto this common astrometric grid.

Figure 6. Binned vector residual plots showing the distribution of astrometric uncertainties across the GOODS-S field, once the images have all been registered onto the GOODS astrometric grid, again for the first epoch of GOODS-S, showing the ACS/WFC data (left) and WFC3/IR (right). The binned cells are 40" in extent, where the size is chosen for display purposes to ensure a sufficient number of objects per cell while also providing a sufficient number of cells across the mosaic to show the general structure of the residuals. The two cameras are offset from one another since the ACS/WFC data were obtained in parallel to the WFC3/IR data. Note also the sharp change in accuracy for the ACS data toward the southwest. This area lies outside the GOODS ACS v2.0 catalog, where we had to register the images to the ground-based WFI R-band data. However, even in this region the accuracy remains reliable to $\lesssim 0.1$ for each individual source, which means that when an overall shift is computed for these exposures using the full sample of ~300–400 sources per exposure, the final shift is accurate to $\lesssim 0.005-0.01$ once the measurements from all the different source View PDF are combined, thereby enabling robust alignment of each exposure relative to those from different orbits. PDF

Figure 6 is based on the same data as in Figure 5, but this time showing the residuals as a function of position across the field, where each vector indicates the mean residual in a grid of cells, each 40" on a side, where the size is chosen for display purposes to ensure a sufficient number of objects per cell while also providing a sufficient number of cells across the mosaic to show the general structure of the residuals. The residuals are generally below ~0\(^10\)5 for most sources across the GOODS-S region, except for the CANDELS fields in the southwestern corner which lie outside the previous GOODS-ACS coverage. For those fields, the only available reference catalog positions are those from the This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more see ground-based 2.2 m ESO WFI R-band catalog previously discussed, and therefore the residuals per our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Help

object are somewhat larger in that region. However, even for those tiles, the overall astrometric solutions for each orbit better than ~0.1 pixel for ACS once all the objects in all the exposures are taken into account, thereby enabling these exposures to be accurately aligned with the rest of the data.

We are continuing to investigate further improvements to these technique as we accumulate more data on the CANDELS fields, including the possibility of solving simultaneously for the positions of all sources, on all exposures, for all filters and all pointings, using the ground-based catalog as an external constraint. This may have the additional advantage of solving for the small residual scale changes from one exposure to the next, as well as any possible changes in rotation during an orbit, and perhaps even long-term changes in the skew terms of the detector which might not yet be captured in the distortion models. However, the current approach yields an absolute astrometric accuracy on the order of ~5 mas, or ~0.1 pixel for ACS/WFC, between images obtained with different guide stars. This is sufficient to prevent registration problems between different *HST* observations of the same object in overlapping images from different visits or epochs and thereby preserve the morphological properties of galaxies in the survey.

5.6. Satellite Trails, Optical Ghosts, and Cross Talk

In addition to cosmic rays, several other artifacts are also present in the images and are best identified visually and masked by hand. These include trails from bright satellites, optical filter ghosts from bright stars, and anomalous persistence signals that might not have been identified in other ways. To this end, a data quality validation team has been assembled from across the CANDELS collaboration, who access all the individual exposures and MultiDrizzle-combined images by means of a set of Web sites that enable them to visually inspect graphical displays of the images, as well as to retrieve the images PDF and submit data quality input results that are subsequently collected and used to identify and correct anomalous images.

Due to the relatively low altitude of the orbit of *HST*, several percent of exposures are affected by the passage of satellites across the field of view during the exposure. In addition, the WFC3/IR detector can exhibit persistence at the location of the satellite trail for up to several additional orbits if the satellite is bright enough. All exposures that are affected by this are identified visually, and a software script is then run to mask the satellite trail in the undistorted image and subsequently transform the mask back to the distorted frame of the input exposure. These masks are then included along with the cosmic-ray masks in identifying pixels to be excluded from the combined mosaics for each individual epoch.

Optical ghosts from stars are also visually identified and masked on the affected exposures. In this case the masks are not used in creating the combined image for each individual epoch, since the dither pattern used is sufficiently small that the stellar ghosts do not move by much, which would then result in large holes in the resulting image. Instead, these masks are used when combining multiple epochs, since the locations of optical ghosts in one epoch are generally covered by pixels from another epoch that are not affected by ghosts.

Finally, an electronic effect that is present particularly in CCDs, and to a lesser extent in the WFC3/IR detector, is electronic cross talk from bright sources, producing a region of somewhat lower flux that is located symmetrically in another quadrant. For ACS/WFC this effect is substantially mitigated by our use of GAIN = 2 for all exposures and is not present at any significant level in the CANDELS data. Specifically, the inter-CCD cross talk is negligible at GAIN = 2, while the intra-CCD cross talk of ~0.007% is corrected by the current version of the bias de-striping code. For WFC3/UVIS, however, it is quite noticeable particularly for stars that are bright enough to have a charge-bleeding column of bright pixels, leading to a corresponding low-valued section of columns on the opposite quadrant. The brightest galaxies in these fields also introduce this effect, with the affected region being more diffuse in that case. For WFC3/IR the effect is observed for only the very brightest stars and consists simply of a region with a relatively minor decrease in flux corresponding approximately to the area of saturation of the star. In our CANDELS imaging pipelines, we are able to flag the worst of the WFC3/UVIS cross talk by identifying bleeding charge-trail columns in bright sources and then replicating a pixel mask for the corresponding area on the opposite side of the detector. These pixels are then excluded when creating the combined images for each individual epoch, since the dither offsets are large enough to ensure that such regions are generally sufficiently covered by other pixels that are not affected by this issue.

5.7. Residual Background Subtraction

PDF Help

Prior to combining all the exposures into a final mosaic, it is necessary to remove all background emission due to non-astronomical sources, for example the sky background from earthshine, which is relevant to all three cameras, as well as zodiacal light and low-level thermal background emission, which are more applicable to the WFC3/IR camera. Because these vary with time, their contribution to the pixel counts would lead to photometric errors in the final count rates of sources if their relative differences between one exposure and the next are not removed. Moreover, the early versions of the WFC3/IR flat fields contained significant low-level large-scale residuals, ~3%–4%, and we first embarked on an effort to improve these by constructing sky flats based on empty regions in all the CANSIDLES dark its absention and cookies policy.

team that provide improved flat-field corrections to \sim 1% for WFC3/IR, and after we incorporated these into the CANDELS products we found that they significantly reduced the large-scale structure across the images and improved the consistency of photometric calibration across the detector.

In addition, some of the most severe instances of sky background emission can come from cases where the telescope is observing close to the limb of the bright earth, with backgrounds increasing significantly for bright earth limb angles below ~35°–40°, and in some cases being non-uniform across the detector. For the WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC exposures, not much can be done to mitigate this in any given exposure, since each CCD exposure is just a single integration. For WFC3/IR, however, we have been investigating the possibility of excluding specific reads near the beginning or end of the MULTIACCUM sequence, since the reads are sampled at either 50 s or 100 s and often only a few of them are affected by bright earth limb emission near the beginning or end of the orbit, while the remainder of the reads are obtained at higher limb angles with a more nominal background. Thus, excluding the high-background ones can potentially improve the S/N (since the loss in S/N from excluding some reads can be compensated for by the increased S/N due to a lower background in the remaining ones). The exposures obtained so far generally do not show a sufficient degree of improvement to warrant this, and therefore we are currently retaining all the reads, but this technique remains available as an option for any future observations that may potentially be significantly affected by unusually bright sky backgrounds at low earth limb angles.

For all exposures, once all the low-level residual structure has been removed, the mean background level is then determined from a masked version of the exposure, which is constructed as follows. First, the clean MultiDrizzle-combined image for each visit is run through a source-detection step to create PDF mask of all sources in the images, which is done by smoothing the image and applying a sigma-clipping threshold for pixels that exceed the mean background level. This is necessary because many sources display faint isophotes that reach into the noise of the image and are not excluded when doing a simple sigma-clip on the image, thereby contributing to the pixel statistics at faint levels and biasing the resulting sky estimate. Smoothing the image effectively increases the significance of these faint outer isophotes and thereby enables these pixels to be flagged to much fainter levels than in the unsmoothed image, thereby reducing their impact on the final sky estimates. Typically a total of ~20%–30% of all the pixels in the images are excluded in this way, leading to a significant reduction in the impact of these pixels on the final pixel statistics and a much more stable background sky estimate.

The statistics of the remaining pixels are still slightly biased toward positive values, which is simply a consequence of the much larger number of faint sources below the detection thresholds of the images, This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see whose continuing to use this site you agree to the pixels. To these are added other faint

positive signals such as faint tails from cosmic rays and deferred-charge trails from CTE which in both cases may be below the detection thresholds for the algorithms that are responsible for identifying and masking these. Ultimately, however, these residual effects are approximately uniform from one exposure to the next and represent a net DC component, and all that changes between exposures is the varying sky, zodiacal and thermal background. Therefore, the background level on all the remaining unmasked pixels on each image (~70%–80% of the total number of pixels) is determined by doing an iterative sigma-clipped fit to these pixels and determining its mean, which is then used as the final background offset value and subtracted from each individual exposure to yield an image containing only the counts from the sources.

5.8. Final MultiDrizzle Mosaic Combination

5.8.1. Inverse Variance Images

In preparation for the final step of combining all the exposures for each filter into a single mosaic using MultiDrizzle, our pipelines first create for each exposure a corresponding inverse variance image, which contains all the "intrinsic" error terms associated with each pixel (including noise from accumulated dark current, detector readout, and photon noise from the background as modulated multiplicatively by the flat field and the detector gain), but not the additional "extrinsic" Poisson noise from the astronomical sources in the image. This approach was described by Casertano et al. (2000) for the Hubble Deep Field South and has also been used in GOODS (Giavalisco et al. 2004), COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007; Koekemoer et al. 2007), AEGIS (Davis et al. 2007; J. A. Newman et al. 2011, in preparation), UDF (Beckwith et al. 2006), and other projects, and is implemented as a routine option in MultiDrizzle (see Koekemoer et al. 2002). We provide here a brief description of it as applied to the Wiew PDF new instruments that are used to observe the CANDELS fields, but refer the reader to the above references for further details.

When combining images where each pixel value has noise associated with it, the optimal approach involves weighting each pixel according to the inverse square of its noise. In particular, it can be demonstrated that the appropriate quantity to use consists of the background noise only; it should not include the noise associated with the emission from the object since that would lead to a biased estimation of the true flux in the pixel and result in photometric errors. Our CANDELS pipeline constructs inverse variance images for all the exposures obtained with WFC3/IR, WFC3/UVIS, and ACS/WFC, using essentially the same formalism as described in Casertano et al. (2000) for the original Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2) Hubble Deep Field South observations, but modified to take into account the differences in the data formats for the ACS and WFC3 detectors. In particular, these This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see detectors are calibrated to be electrons per second and have been corrected for the detector gain, while the

WFPC2 data are in DN and therefore still need to have the gain included in the calculation. Specifically, the WFC3/IR images are in electrons per second, while WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC are in units of total electrons; however, the input inverse variance images for all of them are calculated to be in units of counts per second, since the ACS images are scaled to be in electrons per second while drizzling and since that is also what the output units of the mosaics are in all cases. The formula that is used to calculate the inverse variance images, in units of $(e/s)^{-2}$, is given by

where f is the inverse flat field (as defined in the conventions for the flat-field reference files used in calibration), t is the exposure time (in seconds), D is the total accumulated dark current signal during the exposure, B is the total accumulated background level during the exposure, and σ_{read} is the readout noise, with all three of the latter quantities being in units of electrons.

5.8.2. Pixel Scale and Final Drizzle Parameters

The pixel scale for the output mosaics is driven by the detector plate scale and pixel size, together with the full width at half-maximum intensity (FWHM) of the PSF produced by the telescope optics. At the wavelengths of the WFC3/IR F105W to F160W observations, the *HST* PSF has an FWHM ~0"12–0". 18, which is subsequently convolved by the 0"128 WFC3/IR detector pixel scale. Hence, the best PSF that could be recovered (without deconvolution), even in the ideal scenario of combining images using interlacing, which would minimize additional convolutions, still has an FWHM ~0"17–0"19 in the final images. We choose an output pixel scale of 0"06 pixel-1 for the final WFC3/IR mosaics, providing adequate sampling of the PSF.

View PDF

€

Having determined an output pixel scale facilitates the choice of the other relevant Drizzle parameter, namely pixfrac, which defines how much the input pixels are reduced in linear size before being mapped onto the output grid (see the basic description of Drizzle parameters in Koekemoer et al. 2002). The initial PSF produced by the *HST* optics is first convolved by the detector pixel size when imaging the sky, then a second time by the rescaled detector pixel size when mapping onto the output grid (thus applying the pixfrac parameter), then is convolved a final time by the output pixel scale. The impact of the second convolution can thus be minimized by setting pixfrac to a sufficiently small value; setting it to 0 would remove this convolution, corresponding to pure interlacing (as was done for the UDF as described in Beckwith et al. 2006, where up to 144 exposures were available), but for a program like CANDELS with a limited number of exposures, we set pixfrac = 0.8 in order to avoid introducing too much variation between pixels in the corresponding weight images.

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

The final pass of MultiDrizzle is then run using the above output pixel scale and pixfrac settings and applying the inverse variance weight image associated with each exposure, which contains the full set of masks from cosmic rays, bad pixels, satellite trails, and other blemishes in the detector. In each case the images for all filters are drizzled onto a common tangent plane projection on the sky, which is defined to match the existing ones where known, to facilitate a direct comparison with pre-existing data on these fields. Four of the fields (GOODS-N, GOODS-S, COSMOS, and EGS) all have an existing tangent plane point already defined, which we adopt for the CANDELS mosaics as well. For the UDS field, we adopt a common tangent plane point designed to satisfy the current surveys on that field. The World Coordinate System properties of all the CANDELS mosaics are shown in Table 5.

Field	Mosaic Ta	ingent Point	Instrument/Camera	Pixel	Mosa	ic Size	Reference
				Scale			Pixel
	R.A.(°	Decl.(°			x	y	(x, y) View
	J2000)	J2000)			(pixel)	(pixel)	
GOODS-	189.228621	+62.238572	WFC3/IR	0′′06	18600	18600	(9900.5,
N							9600.5)
			WFC3/UVIS,	0''03	37200	37200	(19800.5,
			ACS/WFC				19200.5)
GOODS-	53.122751	-27.805089	WFC3/IR	0''06	18600	18600	(9900.5,
S							9600.5)
			WFC3/UVIS,	0''03	37200	37200	(19800.5,
			ACS/WFC				19200.5)
	150.116321	+2.2009731	WFC3/IR	0′′06	12800	30720	(6400.5,

Field	Mosaic Ta	ngent Point	Instrument/Camera	Pixel Scale	Mosa	ic Size	Reference Pixel
	R.A.(° J2000)	Decl.(° J2000)			x (pixel)	y (pixel)	(x, y)
			WFC3/UVIS, ACS/WFC	0".03	25600	61440	(12800.5, 24000.5)
EGS	214.825000	+52.825000	WFC3/IR	0,406	40800	12600	(29640.5, 7020.5)
			WFC3/UVIS, ACS/WFC	0,,03	81600	25200	(59280.5, 14040.5)
UDS	34.406250	-5.2000000	WFC3/IR	0,406	30720	12800	(12000.5, 6400.5)
			WFC3/UVIS, ACS/WFC	0''03	61440	25600	(24000.5, 12800.5)
Downloa	d table as:	ASCII	Typeset image				

Figures 7–22 show the final combined mosaics obtained to date for the GOODS-S and UDS fields, including the WFC3/IR and UVIS observations, which are all obtained as primary exposures. Also shown are the ACS/WFC exposures, which are obtained in parallel and are therefore offset from the View PDF WFC3 pointings. In each case we show a color mosaic representing the various filters obtained in each given instrument (either WFC3/IR or WFC3/UVIS+ACS) together with the inverse variance weight images, which show the total extent of the coverage and degree of overlap between the WFC3/IR PDF pointings, as well as the WFC3/UVIS and ACS/WFC images. The WFC3/IR exposures successfully adjoin one another with minimal overlap while maximizing the area, as designed. However, the larger ACS/WFC exposures overlap each other significantly, given that the offsets from one pointing to another are governed by the size of the WFC3/IR detector. The ACS/WFC exposures are therefore effectively doubled at most locations across the field.

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Figure 7. Images showing the prime CANDELS WFC3/IR data set for the first epoch obtained on the GOODS-S field (GOODS-S-Deep Epoch 1). This data set also includes the first CANDELS test orbit (obtained in 2010 April) to the northwest. The top panel shows a color composite of the WFC3/IR F125W and F160W images after combined mosaics were created for each filter separately using MultiDrizzle, while the bottom panel shows the corresponding weight images, which are in units of inverse variance. The F125W data are shown in blue and the F160W data are shown in red. Regions containing bad pixels (such as the circular "death star" region) are set to 0 and thus have no weight in this single epoch data set, in which the dither offsets were not yet large enough to move over such features. The overlap between pointings was chosen to be just large enough to provide contiguous coverage while also maximizing total area covered. Occasional tiles are intentionally tilted or offset to enable appropriate guide stars to be selected. The rectangular outlines indicate the nominal boundaries of the existing GOODS coverage.

Figure 8. Images showing the prime CANDELS WFC3/UVIS and parallel ACS/WFC data sets for the first epoch obtained on the GOODS-S field (GOODS-S-Deep Epoch 1). This data set also includes the first CANDELS test orbit (obtained in 2010 April) to the northwest. The top panel shows a color composite of the WFC3/UVIS F350LP and ACS/WFC F814W images after combined mosaics were created for each filter separately using MultiDrizzle, View PDF while the bottom panel shows the corresponding weight images, which are in units of inverse variance. The F350LP data are shown in blue and the F814W data are shown in red. The WFC3/UVIS data consist of only one exposure per pointing and therefore still contain a large number of cosmic rays and other defects. Regions containing bad pixels are masked where necessary. Note also that, in general, the overlap between ACS pointings is sufficient to provide approximately twice the depth of a single pointing across much of the ACS area. The rectangular outlines indicate the nominal boundaries of the existing GOODS coverage.

PDF

Figure 10. As for Figure 8, but for GOODS-S-Deep Epoch 2. **Figure 11.** As for Figure 7, but for GOODS-S-Deep Epoch 3. Figure 12. As for Figure 8, but for GOODS-S-Deep Epoch 3. **Figure 13.** As for Figure 7, but for GOODS-S-Wide Epoch 1. View PDF PDF Figure 14. As for Figure 8, but for GOODS-S-Wide Epoch 1. Help Figure 15. As for Figure 7, but this time showing the full accumulated CANDELS data set on the GOODS-S field so far (including GOODS-S-Deep Epochs 1, 2, 3 as well as GOODS-S-Wide Epoch 1), together also with the WFC3/IR ERS2 F125W and F160W data set, since the This CLANDEDO kiobs Brycotivins inverte desilying detay abugular bodata uset of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Figure 16. As for Figure 8, but this time showing the full accumulated CANDELS data set on the GOODS-S field so far (including GOODS-S-Deep Epochs 1, 2, 3 as well as GOODS-S-Wide Epoch 1).

Figure 17. Images showing the prime CANDELS WFC3/IR data set for the first epoch obtained on the UKIDSS/UDS field (UDS Epoch 1). The top panel shows a color composite of the WFC3/IR F125W and F160W images after combined mosaics were created for each filter separately using MultiDrizzle, while the bottom panel shows the corresponding weight images, which are in units of inverse variance. The F125W data are shown in blue and the F160W data are shown in red. Regions containing bad pixels (such as the circular "death star" region) are set to 0 and thus have no weight in this single epoch data set, in which the dither offsets were not yet large enough to move over such features. Other masked features are largely satellite trails. The overlap between pointings was chosen to be just large enough to provide contiguous coverage while also maximizing total area covered. Occasional tiles are intentionally tilted or offset to enable appropriate guide stars to be selected. Exposures are shorter at the east end of the mosaic in order to accommodate F350LP exposures during the same orbit.

PDF

Help

Figure 18. Images showing the parallel CANDELS ACS/WFC data set for the first epoch obtained on the UKIDSS/UDS field (UDS Epoch 1). The top panel shows a color composite of the ACS/WFC F606W and F814W images after combined mosaics were created for each filter separately using MultiDrizzle, while the bottom panel shows the corresponding weight images, which are in units of inverse variance. The F606W data are shown in blue and the This site uses cookies by containing to Regions icontaining had pixels (such as Fatellite trails) are our Privacy and Cookies policy.

masked where necessary. Note also that, in general, the overlap between ACS pointings is sufficient to provide approximately twice the depth of a single pointing across much of the ACS area.

Figure 19. As for Figure 17, but for UDS Epoch 2.

Figure 20. As for Figure 18, but for UDS Epoch 2.

Figure 21. As for Figure 7, but showing the full, final accumulated CANDELS data set on the UDS field (including epochs 1 and 2).

View PDF

Figure 22. As for Figure 8, but showing the full, final accumulated CANDELS data set on the UDS field (including epochs 1 and 2).

PDF Help

Three months after the observations of a given CANDELS epoch are completed, we release the calibrated mosaics to the public via the STScI archive, ⁵⁴ including the drizzled science mosaics as well as the inverse variance weight files that describe the noise associated with each pixel. Updates on the data obtained will be provided at the primary CANDELS project Web site⁵⁵ as the survey progresses. Subsequent papers will present details on the catalogs and other measurements derived from the CANIDELSS darkies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

5.9. Photometric Validation

We have carried out a series of photometric tests between the *HST* and ground-based imaging in fields for which both types of data sets exist, in order to quantify the level of agreement between photometry derived from the two types of data, with emphasis on the new WFC3/IR imaging. In particular, we examined the UKIDSS/UDS field since this is the first CANDELS field to have been completed, and extensive ground-based imaging exists in the near-IR that we can compare with our new data. The total WFC3/IR system throughput in the F125W and F160W filters, including the filter transmission curves, the detector sensitivity, and the optical telescope assembly response, is somewhat different from the ground-based system, therefore we carried out a rigorous conversion between the two bandpasses using the following procedure.

The first step involved identifying all the unresolved objects in the UDS field that were covered by CANDELS F125W and F160W as well as UKIDSS WFCAM J and H, also ensuring that the objects were unsaturated in all cases and in a magnitude range that is well covered by the UDS data. The UKIDSS magnitudes were then converted to the WFC3/IR system using a set of stellar spectral libraries (Pickles 1998; Gunn & Stryker 1983) to obtain the required filter conversions, using detailed existing information about the filters and total system throughput in each case, following a methodology similar to previous multi-band catalog studies that have involved observations from several different instruments (e.g., Grazian et al. 2006; Castellano et al. 2010). The color corrections between the WFC3/IR and WFCAM bands derived in this way are (1) F125W = J + 0.05(J-H) and (2) F160W = H + 0.25(J-H), where J and H refer to the UKIDSS WFCAM filter bands. We plot the resulting comparison in Figure 23. The agreement is generally tight and shows no significant systematics, with the zero points agreeing to better than a few percent, which is also in good agreement with what has been reported for the performance of WFC3 (MacKenty et al. 2010).⁵⁶ We have also verified that initial checks on the data obtained so far for the other partially completed CANDELS **PDF** fields yield similar results. Help

Figure 23. Photometric comparison of magnitudes measured from the CANDELS WFC3/IR imaging of the UKIDSS/UDS field, relative to the photometry of the same objects from the publicly released UKIDSS J and H data. Since the filter bandpasses are somewhat different, the UDS photometry has been transformed to the WFC3/IR system using stellar spectral

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

libraries (Pickles 1998; Gunn & Stryker 1983), thereby enabling a direct comparison of any remaining possible offsets. The agreement is generally robust to better than a few percent, in agreement with the current published photometric performance of WFC3.

We have also examined the rms noise properties of the final combined mosaics, to verify that the detection thresholds of the images correspond to what would be expected given the exposure times and instrument characteristics. Since the UDS is the first CANDELS field for which we have a complete data set, we present the results of the noise characterization for this field. A total of 10 locations were chosen across the field, in regions that were devoid of sources in both the ACS/WFC and the WFC3/IR mosaics, and the rms noise on the drizzled images was measured for an area corresponding to a 0.77 radius aperture in each of these 10 locations. The average exposure time of all the pixels contributing to each of these apertures was also measured. Mosaics with a pixel scale of 60 mas were used for both the ACS/WFC3 and WFC3/IR noise measurements, to enable a direct comparison between them. We then used these rms values to calculate the 5σ count rate, converted to AB magnitudes using the zero points in Table 2, assuming two limiting cases: (1) including all the pixels in the 0"7 radius aperture and (2) using smaller apertures corresponding more closely to the PSF of HST (which is ~0"1 for ACS). Specifically, these smaller apertures used radii of 3 detector pixels for ACS/WFC and 4 detector pixels for WFC3/IR, corresponding to values generally used in HST exposure time calculations and pointsource photometry. The results are presented in Table 6, for all four HST instrument/filter combinations on the CANDELS UDS field.

Table 6. Measured Sensitivities and Detection Thresholds in the CANDELS UDS Field

Instrument/	Exposure Time	rms	5σ Magnitude	5σ Magnitude	Expected
Filter	(s)	(counts s ⁻¹ pixel ⁻¹)	(0"7 Radiusa)	(Point Sourceb)	Magnitudec
ACS/WFC F606W	3049	0.00766	26.74	28.39	28.4
ACS/WFC F814W	5761	0.00494	26.67	28.32	28.4
WFC3/IR F125W	1963	0.00580	26.80	27.04	27.0
WFC3/IR F160W	3480	0.00404	26.91	27.15	27.1

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

View PDF

PDF

Notes. a For the 0//7 radius aperture, the 5σ limits quoted here are more representative of extended sources rather than point sources, since the small size of the HST PSF (e.g., $\sim 0^{1/1}$ for ACS) generally requires that smaller apertures be used for point-source detection. ^bFor point-source magnitude limits, we use smaller apertures similar to those used in HST exposure time calculations or point-source photometry carried out on HST images. In this case, our apertures correspond to radii of 3 ACS/WFC detector pixels and 4 WFC3/IR detector pixels. ^cThe expected magnitudes are the predicted 5σ point-source limits discussed further in Grogin et al. (2011).

Download table as:

ASCII

Typeset image

It can be seen from Table 6 that there is good agreement between the predicted limiting point-source magnitudes (Grogin et al. 2011) and the 5σ point-source limits measured from these images in the small apertures. The limiting magnitudes for the 0"7 radius apertures are also of interest because these provide a more direct comparison with ground-based detection limits on ~1" scales, and are shallower than the small-aperture point-source limits because more sky background pixels are being included. We note that the presence of correlated noise in the mosaics can also impact the measured rms values, although we generally find this effect to be $\leq 5\%-10\%$. Finally, it should be noted that CTE degradation can impact the inferred magnitudes of sources at the very faint end. Currently the effects of CTE are still in the process of being quantified by instrument teams at STScI, so the impact of this effect on the magnitude limits described here will be addressed in more detail at a later date.

5.10. Point-spread Function Validation

The quality of the PSF is important to verify, both in terms of the scientific utility of the data (in Virting DF morphological measurements and signal-to-noise limits for compact or unresolved sources) as well as the quality of the data (providing a good diagnostic of the alignment accuracy between exposures and PDF the cosmic-ray rejection quality for compact and unresolved sources). Help

Within the CANDELS team, we have compiled an extensive list of unresolved sources in each pointing obtained to date (verified by manual inspection), and we use these here to diagnose the PSF quality of the UKIDSS/UDS data set, since this is the first field to be completed and has the most extensive CANDELS WFC3/IR and ACS/WFC imaging. In Figure 24 we show the measured PSF of all the sources in this field, for all four filters (ACS/WFC F606W and F814W, and WFC3/IR F125W and F160W), and identifying in red the stars that have been manually verified as being valid point sources that are not saturated and are clearly isolated.

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Figure 24. Plot of measured FWHM values for all the sources in the CANDELS UDS field, for all four filters (ACS/WFC F606W and F814W, and WFC3/IR F125W and F160W). Stars that have been manually inspected and verified to be valid, isolated point sources with no significant defects are identified in red. The mean FWHM for these stars is shown as a solid line, with dashed lines indicating the 1σ standard deviation boundaries in each case.

Generally the unresolved sources are very well behaved, until reaching ~18 mag where saturation becomes an issue. We note that the ACS FWHM are slightly broader than might be expected, because all data in this particular data set have been drizzled to a 0,006 pixel⁻¹ scale, primarily to facilitate cross-image cataloging and related work. However we have verified that images drizzled to a finer scale (0"03 pixel⁻¹) recover the ~ 0 "08–0"09 FWHM generally achieved for ACS imaging. The WFC3/IR data display a broader FWHM primarily as a result of the broader HST PSF in the IR as well as the larger ~0"13 detector pixel scale, which results in more dither-related pixelation due to the relatively small number of dither offset positions used. Also, toward the brighter end, approaching saturation, there is a slight tendency for stars to exhibit a slightly broader PSF. This may be due to changes in the location of the center of the star relative to the pixels from one dither position to the next: at some dither locations, the star may be centered exactly on a pixel and thereby lead to saturation, while at other dither locations the same star may experience the opposite extreme of being centered on the corner between 4 pixels, not saturating any of them. This effect would lead to a "transition region" of slightly broader FWHM values toward the brighter end, before reaching the View PDF regime where the stars are saturated in all exposures.

We also examine the measured PSF for this subset of unresolved sources as a function of position across the field, and show the result in Figure 25. This test is useful for identifying regions of the image where misalignment or cosmic-ray rejection problems might cause a net upward shift of the FWHM by a significant amount. However, it is evident that across the field the PSF values remain well behaved, especially for ACS, which is more sensitive to cosmic-ray rejection issues resulting from misalignment, and that no significant systematics are present across the field.

Figure 25. Plot of measured FWHM values for the subset of manually verified unresolved This sterasin Collaborated Solution of position across the field. The plots indicate our Privacy and Gookies policy F160W), as a function of position across the field. The plots indicate

the mean FWHM with a solid line and the standard deviation boundaries using dashed lines. Generally the stars are well behaved across the field, with no significant trends evident as a function of field position. We note that the somewhat higher scatter of the WFC3/IR points is due primarily to the increased importance of pixelation due to its larger detector pixel size, thus the ACS measurements are our primary diagnostic and these do not reveal any significant trends as a function of position across the field.

6. SUMMARY

We have described the HST observational imaging data products and processing pipelines for the CANDELS, a 902-orbit HST MCT program aimed at documenting the first third of galactic evolution from redshift $z \approx 8$ to 1.5 via deep imaging of more than 250,000 galaxies with the HST, together with new constraints on the use of SNe Ia as tracers of dark energy to higher redshifts than previously studied. The survey covers five well-studied extragalactic fields, namely GOODS-North, GOODS-South, COSMOS, EGS, and UKIDSS/UDS, targeting each one predominantly with WFC3/IR as prime and ACS/WFC in parallel, together with some WFC3/UVIS observations where necessary for the science goals. The data are all recalibrated and combined using our own pipelines as described here. Three months after the observations of a given CANDELS epoch are completed, we release the calibrated mosaics to the public via the STScI archive. At the time of writing, we have already released the first few GOODS-S epochs, and the full UDS campaign. We strongly encourage the astronomical community to make use of the CANDELS data to advance their own research. View PDF

We thank the referee for very helpful and valuable comments on this manuscript. We also thank our Program Coordinators, Tricia Royle and Beth Perriello, along with the rest of the Hubble planning **PDF** team, for their efforts to schedule this challenging program. The WFC3 team has made substantial Help contributions to the program by calibrating and characterizing the instrument and have provided much useful advice. Rychard Bouwens provided helpful input on the observing strategy for the CANDELS/Deep survey. John Mackenty suggested using 2 × 2 on-chip binning for the UV observations, which will significantly improve the signal-to-noise ratio of those observations. The CANDELS observations would not have been possible without the contributions of hundreds of other individuals to the Hubble missions and the development and installation of new instruments. Support for HST Programs GO-12060 and GO-12099 (the SN component) is provided by NASA through a grant from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities This rite uses conkies this pitch is a section of the section of t € our Privacy and Cookies policy.

APPENDIX: ADDITIONAL HST DATA IN THE CANDELS FIELDS

In addition to the new CANDELS data described in this paper, several of these survey fields also contain significant previous HST investment, in many cases with instrument/filter combinations that provide further scientific leverage when combined with the CANDELS data. These surveys include GOODS (Giavalisco et al. 2004), GEMS (Rix et al. 2004), UDF (Beckwith et al. 2006; Oesch et al. 2007; Bouwens et al. 2010), WFC3-ERS2 (Windhorst et al. 2011), COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007), and EGS/AEGIS (Davis et al. 2007; J. A. Newman et al. 2011, in preparation), as well as a variety of other programs that have obtained data in various locations on these fields. We note that the HST data sets for these programs are all already public, in many cases with high-level science products already released, although in most cases the calibrations have improved since the data products were originally released. Thus we are reprocessing these data, combining them with newer data using the same CANDELS filters where appropriate, and creating summed mosaic images that have been uniformly processed using updated calibration files and CANDELS methods as described in this paper. We note also that the exposure maps and sensitivity estimates of Grogin et al. (2011) take these prior HST programs into account. These data sets are summarized in Table 7, showing the existing (non-CANDELS) broadband filter coverage in ACS/WFC and WFC3/IR and UVIS, as well as the relevant references where available.

Field	ACS/WFC Filters	WFC3/UVIS	WFC3/IR Filters			
	Filters					
GOODS-	F435W F475W F606W F775W		F140W			
Na	F814WF850LP					
GOODS-	F435W F475W F606W F775W F814W	F225W F275W	F098M F105W F125W F140W			
S^b	F850LP	F336W	F160W			
COSMOSc	F814W	F300W	F140W F160W			
EGS ^d	F606W F814W		F140W			
UDS						

This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

Notes. ^aGiavalisco et al. 2004; Malhotra et al. 2005; Rhoads et al. 2005; Riess et al. 2004. ^bGiavalisco et al. 2004; Beckwith et al. 2006; Bouwens et al. 2010; Malhotra et al. 2005; Rhoads et al. 2005; Riess et al. 2004; Rix et al. 2004; Oesch et al. 2007; Thompson et al. 2005; Windhorst et al. 2011. ^cScoville et al. 2007; Franx et al. 2008; Scarlata et al. 2009; van Dokkum et al. 2006. ^dDavis et al. 2007; J. A. Newman et al. 2011, in preparation; Franx et al. 2008; van Dokkum et al. 2006.

Download table as:

ASCII

Typeset image

The data sets are all retrieved from the archive and are recalibrated using the current generation of reference files, including all the new flat-field, dark current, bias, and geometric distortion files, as well as improved photometric calibrations. We note that some of the earlier ACS data do not suffer from the same electronic issues as the post-SM4 ACS data, most notably the bias striping effect, and CTE degradation is also much less for the first year or two of ACS operations (since CTE degradation gradually worsens over time). However, the flat fields, darks, bias, and distortion reference files are generally much improved, both for the older ACS data and for the newer WFC3 data, and are therefore applied to these reprocessed data sets. These reprocessed data sets will be delivered and served out along with the final combined CANDELS data sets once all the data have been obtained for the survey.

Footnotes

- 49 HST Proposal ID 11539, p.1.: R. O'Connell
- 50 For current details on ACS see http://www.stsci.edu/hst/acs

View PDF

51 For current details on WFC3 see http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfc3

PDF

52 Further documentation for all the PyRAF/STSDAS data reduction software is provided at http://stsdas.stsci.edu/

- 53 http://www.stsci.edu/hst/wfc3/ins_performance/persistence/
- 54 http://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/candels/
- 55 http://candels.ucolick.org/

Related content

JOURNAL ARTICLES

CANDELS: The Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey

CANDELS Multiwavelength Catalogs: Source Identification and Photometry in the CANDELS UKIDSS Ultra-deep Survey Field

3D-HST WFC3-selected Photometric Catalogs in the Five CANDELS/3D-HST Fields: Photometry, Photometric Redshifts, and Stellar Masses

The 2012 Hubble Ultra Deep Field (UDF12): Observational Overview

CANDELS Multi-wavelength Catalogs: Source Identification and Photometry in the CANDELS Extended Groth Strip

UVUDF: Ultraviolet Imaging of the Hubble Ultra Deep Field with Wide-Field Camera 3

View PDF

PDF