

Categories

The Clowder Project Authors

May 3, 2024

00W8 This chapter contains some elementary material about categories, functors, and natural transformations. Notably, we discuss and explore:

1. Categories ([Section 1](#)).
2. The quadruple adjunction $\pi_0 \dashv (-)_{\text{disc}} \dashv \text{Obj} \dashv (-)_{\text{indisc}}$ between the category of categories and the category of sets ([Section 2](#)).
3. Groupoids, categories in which all morphisms admit inverses ([Section 3](#)).
4. Functors ([Section 4](#)).
5. The conditions one may impose on functors in decreasing order of importance:
 - (a) [Section 5](#) introduces the foundationally important conditions one may impose on functors, such as faithfulness, conservativity, essential surjectivity, etc.
 - (b) [Section 6](#) introduces more conditions one may impose on functors that are still important but less omni-present than those of [Section 5](#), such as being dominant, being a monomorphism, being pseudomonadic, etc.
 - (c) [Section 7](#) introduces some rather rare or uncommon conditions one may impose on functors that are nevertheless still useful to explicit record in this chapter.
6. Natural transformations ([Section 8](#)).
7. The various categorical and 2-categorical structures formed by categories, functors, and natural transformations ([Section 9](#)).

Contents

1	Categories.....	4
1.1	Foundations.....	4
1.2	Examples of Categories.....	6
1.3	Posetal Categories.....	9
1.4	Subcategories.....	10
1.5	Skeletons of Categories.....	11
1.6	Precomposition and Postcomposition.....	12
2	The Quadruple Adjunction With Sets.....	14
2.1	Statement.....	14
2.2	Connected Components and Connected Categories.....	15
2.3	Discrete Categories.....	17
2.4	Indiscrete Categories.....	19
3	Groupoids.....	21
3.1	Foundations.....	21
3.2	The Groupoid Completion of a Category.....	21
3.3	The Core of a Category.....	24
4	Functors.....	27
4.1	Foundations.....	27
4.2	Contravariant Functors.....	31
4.3	Forgetful Functors.....	33
4.4	The Natural Transformation Associated to a Functor.....	35
5	Conditions on Functors.....	36
5.1	Faithful Functors.....	36
5.2	Full Functors.....	39
5.3	Fully Faithful Functors.....	42
5.4	Conservative Functors.....	46
5.5	Essentially Injective Functors.....	48
5.6	Essentially Surjective Functors.....	48
5.7	Equivalences of Categories.....	49
5.8	Isomorphisms of Categories.....	52

6	More Conditions on Functors	53
6.1	Dominant Functors.....	53
6.2	Monomorphisms of Categories	54
6.3	Epimorphisms of Categories.....	55
6.4	Pseudomonic Functors.....	56
6.5	Pseudoepic Functors	58
7	Even More Conditions on Functors.....	61
7.1	Injective on Objects Functors	61
7.2	Surjective on Objects Functors.....	61
7.3	Bijjective on Objects Functors	61
7.4	Functors Representably Faithful on Cores	62
7.5	Functors Representably Full on Cores.....	62
7.6	Functors Representably Fully Faithful on Cores.....	63
7.7	Functors Corepresentably Faithful on Cores.....	65
7.8	Functors Corepresentably Full on Cores	65
7.9	Functors Corepresentably Fully Faithful on Cores	66
8	Natural Transformations	67
8.1	Transformations	67
8.2	Natural Transformations	68
8.3	Vertical Composition of Natural Transformations.....	69
8.4	Horizontal Composition of Natural Transformations.....	72
8.5	Properties of Natural Transformations.....	78
8.6	Natural Isomorphisms.....	79
9	Categories of Categories.....	81
9.1	Functor Categories	81
9.2	The Category of Categories and Functors.....	84
9.3	The 2-Category of Categories, Functors, and Natural Transfor- mations.....	85
9.4	The Category of Groupoids	86
9.5	The 2-Category of Groupoids	86
A	Other Chapters.....	86

00W9 1 Categories

00WA 1.1 Foundations

00WB **Definition 1.1.1.1.** A **category** $(C, \circ^C, \mathbb{1}^C)$ consists of:

- *Objects.* A class $\text{Obj}(C)$ of **objects**.
- *Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, a class $\text{Hom}_C(A, B)$, called the **class of morphisms of C from A to B** .
- *Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, a map of sets

$$\mathbb{1}_A^C: \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, A),$$

called the **unit map of C at A** , determining a morphism

$$\text{id}_A: A \rightarrow A$$

of C , called the **identity morphism of A** .

- *Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(C)$, a map of sets

$$\circ_{A,B,C}^C: \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, C),$$

called the **composition map of C at (A, B, C)** .

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. *Associativity.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{Hom}_C(C, D) \times (\text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B)) & & \\
 & \nearrow \alpha_{\text{Hom}_C(C,D), \text{Hom}_C(B,C), \text{Hom}_C(A,B)}^{\text{Sets}} & & \searrow \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(C,D)} \times \circ_{A,B,C}^C & \\
 (\text{Hom}_C(C, D) \times \text{Hom}_C(B, C)) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & & & & \text{Hom}_C(C, D) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, C) \\
 \downarrow \circ_{B,C,D}^C \times \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A,B)} & & & & \downarrow \circ_{A,C,D}^C \\
 \text{Hom}_C(B, D) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A,B,D}^C} & & & \text{Hom}_C(A, D)
 \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for each composable triple (f, g, h) of morphisms of C , we have

$$(f \circ g) \circ h = f \circ (g \circ h).$$

2. *Left Unitality.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pt} \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & & \\ \downarrow \mathbb{1}_B^C \times \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)} & \searrow \lambda_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)}^{\text{Sets}} & \\ \text{Hom}_C(B, B) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A, B, B}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for each morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of C , we have

$$\text{id}_B \circ f = f.$$

3. *Right Unitality.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \times \text{pt} & & \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)} \times \mathbb{1}_A^C & \searrow \rho_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)}^{\text{Sets}} & \\ \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, A) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A, A, B}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for each morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of C , we have

$$f \circ \text{id}_A = f.$$

00WC Notation 1.1.1.2. Let C be a category.

00WD 1. We also write $C(A, B)$ for $\text{Hom}_C(A, B)$.

00WE 2. We write $\text{Mor}(C)$ for the class of all morphisms of C .

00WF Definition 1.1.1.3. Let κ be a regular cardinal. A category C is

00WG 1. **Locally small** if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the class $\text{Hom}_C(A, B)$ is a set.

00WH 2. **Locally essentially small** if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the class

$$\text{Hom}_C(A, B) / \{\text{isomorphisms}\}$$

is a set.

00WJ 3. **Small** if C is locally small and $\text{Obj}(C)$ is a set.

00WK 4. κ -**Small** if C is locally small, $\text{Obj}(C)$ is a set, and we have $\#\text{Obj}(C) < \kappa$.

00WL 1.2 Examples of Categories

00WM **Example 1.2.1.1.** The **punctual category**¹ is the category \mathbf{pt} where

- *Objects.* We have

$$\mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{pt}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\star\}.$$

- *Morphisms.* The unique Hom-set of \mathbf{pt} is defined by

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{pt}}(\star, \star) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\text{id}_{\star}\}.$$

- *Identities.* The unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_{\star}^{\mathbf{pt}} : \mathbf{pt} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{pt}}(\star, \star)$$

of \mathbf{pt} at \star is defined by

$$\text{id}_{\star}^{\mathbf{pt}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_{\star}.$$

- *Composition.* The composition map

$$\circ_{\star, \star, \star}^{\mathbf{pt}} : \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{pt}}(\star, \star) \times \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{pt}}(\star, \star) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{pt}}(\star, \star)$$

of \mathbf{pt} at (\star, \star, \star) is given by the bijection $\mathbf{pt} \times \mathbf{pt} \cong \mathbf{pt}$.

00WN **Example 1.2.1.2.** We have an isomorphism of categories²

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Mon} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cats} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \text{Obj} \\ \mathbf{pt} & \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{pt}]} & \mathbf{Sets} \end{array}$$

$\mathbf{Mon} \cong \mathbf{pt} \times_{\mathbf{Sets}} \mathbf{Cats},$

¹Further Terminology: Also called the **singleton category**.

²This can be enhanced to an isomorphism of 2-categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Mon}_{2\text{disc}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Cats}_{2,*} \\ \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \text{Obj} \\ \mathbf{pt}_{\text{bi}} & \xrightarrow{[\mathbf{pt}]} & \mathbf{Sets}_{2\text{disc}} \end{array}$$

$\mathbf{Mon}_{2\text{disc}} \cong \mathbf{pt}_{\text{bi}} \times_{\mathbf{Sets}_{2\text{disc}}} \mathbf{Cats}_{2,*},$

via the delooping functor $B: \mathbf{Mon} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cats}$ of ?? of ??, exhibiting monoids as exactly those categories having a single object.

Proof. Omitted. \square

00WP Example 1.2.1.3. The **empty category** is the category \emptyset_{cat} where

- *Objects.* We have

$$\text{Obj}(\emptyset_{\text{cat}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset.$$

- *Morphisms.* We have

$$\text{Mor}(\emptyset_{\text{cat}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset.$$

- *Identities and Composition.* Having no objects, \emptyset_{cat} has no unit nor composition maps.

00WQ Example 1.2.1.4. The **n th ordinal category** is the category \mathfrak{n} where³

- *Objects.* We have

$$\text{Obj}(\mathfrak{n}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[0], \dots, [n]\}.$$

between the discrete 2-category $\mathbf{Mon}_{2\text{disc}}$ on \mathbf{Mon} and the 2-category of pointed categories with one object.

³In other words, \mathfrak{n} is the category associated to the poset

$$[0] \rightarrow [1] \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow [n-1] \rightarrow [n].$$

The category \mathfrak{n} for $n \geq 2$ may also be defined in terms of \emptyset and joins ($??, ??$): we have isomorphisms of categories

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\cong \emptyset \star \emptyset, \\ 2 &\cong 1 \star \emptyset \\ &\cong (\emptyset \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset, \\ 3 &\cong 2 \star \emptyset \\ &\cong (1 \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset \\ &\cong ((\emptyset \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset, \\ 4 &\cong 3 \star \emptyset \\ &\cong (2 \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset \\ &\cong ((1 \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset \\ &\cong (((\emptyset \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset) \star \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

and so on.

- *Morphisms.* For each $[i], [j] \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{n})$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}([i], [j]) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \{\text{id}_{[i]}\} & \text{if } [i] = [j], \\ \{[i] \rightarrow [j]\} & \text{if } [j] < [i], \\ \emptyset & \text{if } [j] > [i]. \end{cases}$$

- *Identities.* For each $[i] \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{n})$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_{[i]}^{\mathfrak{n}} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}([i], [i])$$

of \mathfrak{n} at $[i]$ is defined by

$$\text{id}_{[i]}^{\mathfrak{n}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_{[i]}.$$

- *Composition.* For each $[i], [j], [k] \in \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{n})$, the composition map

$$\circ_{[i],[j],[k]}^{\mathfrak{n}} : \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}([j], [k]) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}([i], [j]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{n}}([i], [k])$$

of \mathfrak{n} at $([i], [j], [k])$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{[i]} \circ \text{id}_{[i]} &= \text{id}_{[i]}, \\ ([j] \rightarrow [k]) \circ ([i] \rightarrow [j]) &= ([i] \rightarrow [k]). \end{aligned}$$

00WR Example 1.2.1.5. Here we list some of the other categories appearing throughout this work.

- 00WS** 1. The category Sets_* of pointed sets of **Pointed Sets**, **Definition 1.3.1.1**.
- 00WT** 2. The category Rel of sets and relations of **Relations**, **Definition 2.1.1.1**.
- 00WU** 3. The category $\text{Span}(A, B)$ of spans from a set A to a set B of **??**, **??**.
- 00WV** 4. The category $\text{ISets}(K)$ of K -indexed sets of **??**, **??**.
- 00WW** 5. The category ISets of indexed sets of **??**, **??**.
- 00WX** 6. The category $\text{FibSets}(K)$ of K -fibred sets of **??**, **??**.
- 00WY** 7. The category FibSets of fibred sets of **??**, **??**.
- 00WZ** 8. Categories of functors $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ as in **Definition 9.1.1.1**.
- 00X0** 9. The category of categories Cats of **Definition 9.2.1.1**.
- 00X1** 10. The category of groupoids Grpd of **Definition 9.4.1.1**.

00X2 1.3 Posetal Categories**00X3 Definition 1.3.1.1.** Let (X, \preceq_X) be a poset.**00X4** 1. The **posetal category associated to** (X, \preceq_X) is the category X_{pos} where

- *Objects.* We have

$$\text{Obj}(X_{\text{pos}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X.$$

- *Morphisms.* For each $a, b \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{pos}})$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{X_{\text{pos}}}(a, b) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \text{pt} & \text{if } a \preceq_X b, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- *Identities.* For each $a \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{pos}})$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_a^{X_{\text{pos}}} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{pos}}}(a, a)$$

of X_{pos} at a is given by the identity map.

- *Composition.* For each $a, b, c \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{pos}})$, the composition map

$$\circ_{a,b,c}^{X_{\text{pos}}} : \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{pos}}}(b, c) \times \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{pos}}}(a, b) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{pos}}}(a, c)$$

of X_{pos} at (a, b, c) is defined as either the inclusion $\emptyset \hookrightarrow \text{pt}$ or the identity map of pt , depending on whether we have $a \preceq_X b$, $b \preceq_X c$, and $a \preceq_X c$.

00X5 2. A category C is **posetal**⁴ if C is equivalent to X_{pos} for some poset (X, \preceq_X) .**00X6 Proposition 1.3.1.2.** Let (X, \preceq_X) be a poset and let C be a category.**00X7** 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $(X, \preceq_X) \mapsto X_{\text{pos}}$ defines a functor

$$(-)_{\text{pos}} : \text{Pos} \rightarrow \text{Cats}.$$

00X8 2. *Fully Faithfulness.* The functor $(-)_{\text{pos}}$ of **Item 1** is fully faithful.**00X9** 3. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

⁴Further Terminology: Also called a **thin** category or a $(0, 1)$ -category.

- 00XA (a) The category C is posetal.
- 00XB (b) For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and each $f, g \in \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$, we have $f = g$.

Proof. **Item 1, Functoriality:** Omitted.

Item 2, Fully Faithfulness: Omitted.

Item 3, Characterisations: Clear. □

00XC 1.4 Subcategories

Let C be a category.

00XD **Definition 1.4.1.1.** A **subcategory** of C is a category \mathcal{A} satisfying the following conditions:

1. *Objects.* We have $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{Obj}(C)$.
2. *Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \subset \text{Hom}_C(A, B).$$

3. *Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\mathbb{1}_A^{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{1}_A^C.$$

4. *Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\circ_{A,B,C}^{\mathcal{A}} = \circ_{A,B,C}^C.$$

00XE **Definition 1.4.1.2.** A subcategory \mathcal{A} of C is **full** if the canonical inclusion functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow C$ is full, i.e. if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$, the inclusion

$$\iota_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$$

is surjective (and thus bijective).

00XF **Definition 1.4.1.3.** A subcategory \mathcal{A} of a category C is **strictly full** if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. *Fullness.* The subcategory \mathcal{A} is full.

2. *Closedness Under Isomorphisms.* The class $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ is closed under isomorphisms.⁵

00XG **Definition 1.4.1.4.** A subcategory \mathcal{A} of C is **wide**⁶ if $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Obj}(C)$.

00XH 1.5 Skeletons of Categories

00XJ **Definition 1.5.1.1.** A⁷ **skeleton** of a category C is a full subcategory $\text{Sk}(C)$ with one object from each isomorphism class of objects of C .

00XK **Definition 1.5.1.2.** A category C is **skeletal** if $C \cong \text{Sk}(C)$.⁸

00XL **Proposition 1.5.1.3.** Let C be a category.

- 00XM 1. *Existence.* Assuming the axiom of choice, $\text{Sk}(C)$ always exists.
- 00XN 2. *Pseudofunctoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto \text{Sk}(C)$ defines a pseudofunctor

$$\text{Sk}: \text{Cats}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cats}_2.$$

00XP 3. *Uniqueness Up to Equivalence.* Any two skeletons of C are equivalent.

00XQ 4. *Inclusions of Skeletons Are Equivalences.* The inclusion

$$\iota_C: \text{Sk}(C) \hookrightarrow C$$

of a skeleton of C into C is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. **Item 1, Existence:** See [nLab23, Section “Existence of Skeletons of Categories”].

Item 2, Pseudofunctoriality: See [nLab23, Section “Skeletons as an Endo-Pseudofunctor on \mathbf{Cat} ”].

Item 3, Uniqueness Up to Equivalence: Clear.

Item 4, Inclusions of Skeletons Are Equivalences: Clear. □

⁵That is, given $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $C \in \text{Obj}(C)$, if $C \cong A$, then $C \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{A})$.

⁶*Further Terminology:* Also called **lluf**.

⁷Due to **Item 3** of **Proposition 1.5.1.3**, we often refer to any such full subcategory $\text{Sk}(C)$ of C as *the* skeleton of C .

⁸That is, C is **skeletal** if isomorphic objects of C are equal.

00XR 1.6 Precomposition and Postcomposition

Let C be a category and let $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(C)$.

00XS Definition 1.6.1.1. Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be morphisms of C .

00XT 1. The **precomposition function associated to f** is the function

$$f^*: \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, C)$$

defined by

$$f^*(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi \circ f$$

for each $\phi \in \text{Hom}_C(B, C)$.

00XU 2. The **postcomposition function associated to g** is the function

$$g_*: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_C(A, C)$$

defined by

$$g_*(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g \circ \phi$$

for each $\phi \in \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$.

00XV Proposition 1.6.1.2. Let $A, B, C, D \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be morphisms of C .

00XW 1. *Interaction Between Precomposition and Postcomposition.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_C(B, C) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \text{Hom}_C(B, D) \\
 f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\
 \text{Hom}_C(A, C) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & \text{Hom}_C(A, D).
 \end{array}$$

$g_* \circ f^* = f^* \circ g_*$

00XX 2. *Interaction With Composition I.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_C(X, A) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Hom}_C(X, B) \\
 & \searrow (g \circ f)_* & \downarrow g_* \\
 & & \text{Hom}_C(X, C),
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_C(C, X) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & \text{Hom}_C(B, X) \\
 & \searrow (g \circ f)^* & \downarrow f^* \\
 & & \text{Hom}_C(A, X).
 \end{array}$$

$(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*,$
 $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*,$

00XY 3. *Interaction With Composition II.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pt} & \xrightarrow{[f]} & \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \\
 & \searrow [g \circ f] & \downarrow g_* \\
 & & \text{Hom}_C(A, C)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 [g \circ f] = g_* \circ [f], \\
 [g \circ f] = f^* \circ [g],
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pt} & \xrightarrow{[g]} & \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \\
 & \searrow [g \circ f] & \downarrow f^* \\
 & & \text{Hom}_C(A, C).
 \end{array}$$

00XZ 4. *Interaction With Composition III.* We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A,B,C}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(A, C) \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \times f_* & & \downarrow f^* \\
 \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(X, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{X,B,C}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(X, C), \\
 f^* \circ \circ_{A,B,C}^C = \circ_{X,B,C}^C \circ (f^* \times \text{id}), & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A,B,C}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(A, C) \\
 \downarrow g_* \times \text{id} & & \downarrow g^* \\
 \text{Hom}_C(B, D) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A,B,D}^C} & \text{Hom}_C(A, D). \\
 g_* \circ \circ_{A,B,C}^C = \circ_{A,B,D}^C \circ (\text{id} \times g_*), & &
 \end{array}$$

00Y0 5. *Interaction With Identities.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{id}_A)^* &= \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)}, \\
 (\text{id}_B)_* &= \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. **Item 1**, Interaction Between Precomposition and Postcomposition: Clear.

Item 2, Interaction With Composition I: Clear.

Item 3, Interaction With Composition II: Clear.

Item 4, Interaction With Composition III: Clear.

Item 5, Interaction With Identities: Clear. \square

00Y1 2 The Quadruple Adjunction With Sets

00Y2 2.1 Statement

Let C be a category.

00Y3 Proposition 2.1.1.1. We have a quadruple adjunction

$$(\pi_0 \dashv (-)_{\text{disc}} \dashv \text{Obj} \dashv (-)_{\text{indisc}}): \text{Sets} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\pi_0} \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{disc}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Obj}} \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{indisc}}} \end{array} \text{Cats},$$

witnessed by bijections of sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(\pi_0(C), X) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C, X_{\text{disc}}), \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(X_{\text{disc}}, C) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(X, \text{Obj}(C)), \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Sets}}(\text{Obj}(C), X) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C, X_{\text{indisc}}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$, where

- The functor

$$\pi_0: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Sets},$$

the **connected components functor**, is the functor sending a category to its set of connected components of **Definition 2.2.2.1**.

- The functor

$$(-)_{\text{disc}}: \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Cats},$$

the **discrete category functor**, is the functor sending a set to its associated discrete category of **Item 1**.

- The functor

$$\text{Obj}: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Sets},$$

the **object functor**, is the functor sending a category to its set of objects.

- The functor

$$(-)_{\text{indisc}}: \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Cats},$$

the **indiscrete category functor**, is the functor sending a set to its associated indiscrete category of **Item 1**.

Proof. Omitted. □

00Y4 2.2 Connected Components and Connected Categories

2.2.1 Connected Components of Categories

Let C be a category.

00Y6 **Definition 2.2.1.1.** A **connected component** of C is a full subcategory I of C satisfying the following conditions:⁹

1. *Non-Emptiness.* We have $\text{Obj}(I) \neq \emptyset$.
2. *Connectedness.* There exists a zigzag of arrows between any two objects of I .

2.2.2 Sets of Connected Components of Categories

Let C be a category.

00Y8 **Definition 2.2.2.1.** The **set of connected components** of C is the set $\pi_0(C)$ whose elements are the connected components of C .

00Y9 **Proposition 2.2.2.2.** Let C be a category.

00YA 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto \pi_0(C)$ defines a functor

$$\pi_0: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Sets}.$$

⁹In other words, a **connected component** of C is an element of the set $\text{Obj}(C)/\sim$ with \sim the equivalence relation generated by the relation \sim' obtained by declaring $A \sim' B$ iff there exists a morphism of C from A to B .

00YB 2. *Adjointness.* We have a quadruple adjunction

$$(\pi_0 \dashv (-)_{\text{disc}} \dashv \text{Obj} \dashv (-)_{\text{indisc}}): \begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_0 & \\ \swarrow & \downarrow & \searrow \\ \text{Sets} & \begin{array}{c} \perp \\ (-)_{\text{disc}} \\ \perp \\ \text{Obj} \\ \perp \end{array} & \text{Cats.} \\ \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow \\ & (-)_{\text{indisc}} & \end{array}$$

00YC 3. *Interaction With Groupoids.* If C is a groupoid, then we have an isomorphism of categories

$$\pi_0(C) \cong K(C),$$

where $K(C)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of C of ??.

00YD 4. *Preservation of Colimits.* The functor π_0 of **Item 1** preserves colimits. In particular, we have bijections of sets

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(C \amalg \mathcal{D}) &\cong \pi_0(C) \amalg \pi_0(\mathcal{D}), \\ \pi_0(C \amalg_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D}) &\cong \pi_0(C) \amalg_{\pi_0(\mathcal{E})} \pi_0(\mathcal{D}), \\ \pi_0\left(\text{CoEq}\left(C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xrightarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{D}\right)\right) &\cong \text{CoEq}\left(\pi_0(C) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_0(F)} \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_0(G)} \end{array} \pi_0(\mathcal{D})\right), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

00YE 5. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts.* The connected components functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$(\pi_0, \pi_0^{\amalg}, \pi_0^{\amalg|1}): (\text{Cats}, \amalg, \emptyset_{\text{cat}}) \rightarrow (\text{Sets}, \amalg, \emptyset),$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0^{\amalg|C, \mathcal{D}}: \pi_0(C) \amalg \pi_0(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(C \amalg \mathcal{D}), \\ \pi_0^{\amalg|1}: \emptyset &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(\emptyset_{\text{cat}}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

00YF 6. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products.* The connected

components functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left(\pi_0, \pi_0^\times, \pi_{0|\mathbb{1}}^\times\right): (\mathbf{Cats}, \times, \mathbf{pt}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Sets}, \times, \mathbf{pt}),$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{0|C, \mathcal{D}}^\times: \pi_0(C) \times \pi_0(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(C \times \mathcal{D}), \\ \pi_{0|\mathbb{1}}^\times: \mathbf{pt} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0(\mathbf{pt}),\end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$.

Proof. **Item 1, Functoriality:** Clear.

Item 2, Adjointness: This is proved in **Proposition 2.1.1.1**.

Item 3, Interaction With Groupoids: Clear.

Item 4, Preservation of Colimits: This follows from **Item 2** and ?? of ??.

Item 5, Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts: Clear.

Item 6, Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products: Clear. \square

2.2.3 Connected Categories

00YH Definition 2.2.3.1. A category C is **connected** if $\pi_0(C) \cong \mathbf{pt}$.^{10,11}

00YJ 2.3 Discrete Categories

00YK Definition 2.3.1.1. Let X be a set.

00YL 1. The **discrete category on X** is the category X_{disc} where

- *Objects.* We have

$$\mathbf{Obj}(X_{\text{disc}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X.$$

- *Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \mathbf{Obj}(X_{\text{disc}})$, we have

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(A, B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \text{id}_A & \text{if } A = B, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } A \neq B. \end{cases}$$

¹⁰*Further Terminology:* A category is **disconnected** if it is not connected.

¹¹*Example:* A groupoid is connected iff any two of its objects are isomorphic.

- *Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{disc}})$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_A^{X_{\text{disc}}} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(A, A)$$

of X_{disc} at A is defined by

$$\text{id}_A^{X_{\text{disc}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_A.$$

- *Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{disc}})$, the composition map

$$\circ_{A,B,C}^{X_{\text{disc}}} : \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(A, C)$$

of X_{disc} at (A, B, C) is defined by

$$\text{id}_A \circ \text{id}_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_A.$$

00YM 2. A category C is **discrete** if it is equivalent to X_{disc} for some set X .

00YN **Proposition 2.3.1.2.** Let X be a set.

00YP 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $X \mapsto X_{\text{disc}}$ defines a functor

$$(-)_{\text{disc}} : \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Cats}.$$

00YQ 2. *Adjointness.* We have a quadruple adjunction

$$(\pi_0 \dashv (-)_{\text{disc}} \dashv \text{Obj} \dashv (-)_{\text{indisc}}) : \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & \\ \text{Sets} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ (-)_{\text{disc}} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \text{Obj} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} & \text{Cats} \\ & \xleftarrow{(-)_{\text{indisc}}} & \end{array}$$

00YR 3. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts.* The functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left((-)_{\text{disc}}, (-)_{\text{disc}}^{\coprod}, (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\coprod} \right) : (\text{Sets}, \coprod, \emptyset) \rightarrow (\text{Cats}, \coprod, \emptyset_{\text{cat}}),$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\coprod} : X_{\text{disc}} \coprod Y_{\text{disc}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} (X \coprod Y)_{\text{disc}}, \\ (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\coprod} : \emptyset_{\text{cat}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \emptyset_{\text{disc}}, \end{aligned}$$

natural in $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$.

- 00YS 4. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products.* The functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left((-)_{\text{disc}}, (-)_{\text{disc}}^{\times}, (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\times} \right) : (\text{Sets}, \times, \text{pt}) \rightarrow (\text{Cats}, \times, \text{pt}),$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\times} : X_{\text{disc}} \times Y_{\text{disc}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} (X \times Y)_{\text{disc}}, \\ (-)_{\text{disc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\times} : \text{pt} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{pt}_{\text{disc}}, \end{aligned}$$

natural in $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Functoriality*: Clear.

Item 2, *Adjointness*: This is proved in **Proposition 2.1.1.1**.

Item 3, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts*: Clear.

Item 4, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products*: Clear. \square

00YT 2.4 Indiscrete Categories

00YU **Definition 2.4.1.1.** Let X be a set.

- 00YV 1. The **indiscrete category on X** ¹² is the category X_{indisc} where

- *Objects.* We have

$$\text{Obj}(X_{\text{indisc}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X.$$

- *Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{indisc}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{disc}}}(A, B) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[A] \rightarrow [B]\} \\ &\cong \text{pt}. \end{aligned}$$

- *Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{indisc}})$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_A^{X_{\text{indisc}}} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{indisc}}}(A, A)$$

of X_{indisc} at A is defined by

$$\text{id}_A^{X_{\text{indisc}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[A] \rightarrow [A]\}.$$

¹²*Further Terminology:* Sometimes called the **chaotic category on X** .

- *Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(X_{\text{indisc}})$, the composition map

$$\circ_{A,B,C}^{X_{\text{indisc}}} : \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{indisc}}}(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{indisc}}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{X_{\text{indisc}}}(A, C)$$

of X_{disc} at (A, B, C) is defined by

$$([B] \rightarrow [C]) \circ ([A] \rightarrow [B]) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([A] \rightarrow [C]).$$

- 00YW 2. A category C is **indiscrete** if it is equivalent to X_{indisc} for some set X .

00YX **Proposition 2.4.1.2.** Let X be a set.

- 00YY 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $X \mapsto X_{\text{indisc}}$ defines a functor

$$(-)_{\text{indisc}} : \text{Sets} \rightarrow \text{Cats}.$$

- 00YZ 2. *Adjointness.* We have a quadruple adjunction

$$(\pi_0 \dashv (-)_{\text{disc}} \dashv \text{Obj} \dashv (-)_{\text{indisc}}): \text{Sets} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\pi_0} \\ \downarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{disc}}} \\ \downarrow \perp \\ \text{Obj} \\ \downarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{indisc}}} \\ \downarrow \perp \\ \xrightarrow{(-)_{\text{indisc}}} \end{array} \text{Cats}.$$

- 00Z0 3. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products.* The functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left((-)_{\text{indisc}}, (-)_{\text{indisc}}^{\times}, (-)_{\text{indisc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\times} \right) : (\text{Sets}, \times, \text{pt}) \rightarrow (\text{Cats}, \times, \text{pt}),$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$(-)_{\text{indisc}|X,Y}^{\times} : X_{\text{indisc}} \times Y_{\text{indisc}} \xrightarrow{\cong} (X \times Y)_{\text{indisc}},$$

$$(-)_{\text{indisc}|\mathbb{1}}^{\times} : \text{pt} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{pt}_{\text{indisc}},$$

natural in $X, Y \in \text{Obj}(\text{Sets})$.

Proof. **Item 1, Functoriality:** Clear.

Item 2, Adjointness: This is proved in **Proposition 2.1.1.1**.

Item 3, Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products: Clear. \square

00Z1 3 Groupoids

00Z2 3.1 Foundations

Let C be a category.

00Z3 **Definition 3.1.1.1.** A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of C is an **isomorphism** if there exists a morphism $f^{-1}: B \rightarrow A$ of C such that

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ f^{-1} &= \text{id}_B, \\ f^{-1} \circ f &= \text{id}_A. \end{aligned}$$

00Z4 **Notation 3.1.1.2.** We write $\text{Iso}_C(A, B)$ for the set of all isomorphisms in C from A to B .

00Z5 **Definition 3.1.1.3.** A **groupoid** is a category in which every morphism is an isomorphism.

00Z6 3.2 The Groupoid Completion of a Category

Let C be a category.

00Z7 **Definition 3.2.1.1.** The **groupoid completion** of C ¹³ is the pair $(K_0(C), \iota_C)$ consisting of

- A groupoid $K_0(C)$;
- A functor $\iota_C: C \rightarrow K_0(C)$;

satisfying the following universal property:¹⁴

(UP) Given another such pair (\mathcal{G}, i) , there exists a unique functor $K_0(C) \xrightarrow{\exists!} \mathcal{G}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_0(C) \\ & \nearrow \iota_C & \downarrow \exists! \\ C & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

commute.

¹³*Further Terminology:* Also called the **Grothendieck groupoid of C** or the **Grothendieck groupoid completion of C** .

¹⁴See [Item 5](#) of [Proposition 3.2.1.3](#) for an explicit construction.

00Z8 Construction 3.2.1.2. Concretely, the groupoid completion of C is the Gabriel–Zisman localisation $\text{Mor}(C)^{-1}C$ of C at the set $\text{Mor}(C)$ of all morphisms of C ; see ??, ??.
(To be expanded upon later on.)

Proof. Omitted. □

00Z9 Proposition 3.2.1.3. Let C be a category.

00ZA 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto K_0(C)$ defines a functor

$$K_0: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Grpd}.$$

00ZB 2. *2-Functoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto K_0(C)$ defines a 2-functor

$$K_0: \text{Cats}_2 \rightarrow \text{Grpd}_2.$$

00ZC 3. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota): \text{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \end{array} \text{Grpd},$$

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Grpd}}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C, \mathcal{G}),$$

natural in $C \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Grpd})$, forming, together with the functor Core of **Item 1** of **Proposition 3.3.1.4**, a triple adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota \dashv \text{Core}): \text{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Core}} \end{array} \text{Grpd},$$

witnessed by bijections of sets

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Grpd}}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C, \mathcal{G}), \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Grpd}}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Core}(\mathcal{D})), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Grpd})$.

00ZD 4. *2-Adjointness.* We have a 2-adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota): \text{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \xleftarrow[\iota]{\perp_2} \end{array} \text{Grpd},$$

witnessed by an isomorphism of categories

$$\text{Fun}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{G}),$$

natural in $C \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Grpd})$, forming, together with the 2-functor Core of [Item 2](#) of [Proposition 3.3.1.4](#), a triple 2-adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota \dashv \text{Core}): \text{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \xleftarrow[\iota]{\perp_2} \\ \xrightarrow[\text{Core}]{\perp_2} \end{array} \text{Grpd},$$

witnessed by isomorphisms of categories

$$\text{Fun}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{G}),$$

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \text{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \text{Core}(\mathcal{D})),$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Grpd})$.

00ZE 5. *Interaction With Classifying Spaces.* We have an isomorphism of groupoids

$$K_0(C) \cong \Pi_{\leq 1}(|N_{\bullet}(C)|),$$

natural in $C \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$; i.e. the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cats} & \xrightarrow{K_0} & \text{Grp} \\ N_{\bullet} \downarrow & \uparrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \Pi_{\leq 1} \\ \text{sSets} & \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} & \text{Top} \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphism.

00ZF 6. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts.* The groupoid completion functor of [Item 1](#) has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left(K_0, K_0^{\coprod}, K_0^{\coprod} \right): (\text{Cats}, \coprod, \emptyset_{\text{cat}}) \rightarrow (\text{Grpd}, \coprod, \emptyset_{\text{cat}})$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0|C, \mathcal{D}}^{\coprod} : K_0(C) \coprod K_0(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C \coprod \mathcal{D}), \\ K_{0|\mathbb{1}}^{\coprod} : \emptyset_{\text{cat}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(\emptyset_{\text{cat}}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

00ZG 7. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products.* The groupoid completion functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$\left(K_0, K_0^\times, K_{0|\mathbb{1}}^\times \right) : (\text{Cats}, \times, \text{pt}) \rightarrow (\text{Grpd}, \times, \text{pt})$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0|C, \mathcal{D}}^\times : K_0(C) \times K_0(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C \times \mathcal{D}), \\ K_{0|\mathbb{1}}^\times : \text{pt} &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(\text{pt}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Functoriality*: Omitted.

Item 2, *2-Functoriality*: Omitted.

Item 3, *Adjointness*: Omitted.

Item 4, *2-Adjointness*: Omitted.

Item 5, *Interaction With Classifying Spaces*: See Corollary 18.33 of <https://web.ma.utexas.edu/users/dafr/M392C-2012/Notes/lecture18.pdf>.

Item 6, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts*: Omitted.

Item 7, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products*: Omitted. \square

00ZH 3.3 The Core of a Category

Let C be a category.

00ZJ **Definition 3.3.1.1.** The **core** of C is the pair $(\text{Core}(C), \iota_C)$ consisting of

- A groupoid $\text{Core}(C)$;
- A functor $\iota_C : \text{Core}(C) \hookrightarrow C$;

satisfying the following universal property:

(UP) Given another such pair (\mathcal{G}, i) , there exists a unique functor $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\exists!} \text{Core}(C)$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Core}(C) & \\ \nearrow \exists! & \downarrow \iota_C & \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{i} & C \end{array}$$

commute.

00ZK Notation 3.3.1.2. We also write C^\simeq for $\text{Core}(C)$.

00ZL Construction 3.3.1.3. The core of C is the wide subcategory of C spanned by the isomorphisms of C , i.e. the category $\text{Core}(C)$ where¹⁵

1. *Objects.* We have

$$\text{Obj}(\text{Core}(C)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Obj}(C).$$

2. *Morphisms.* The morphisms of $\text{Core}(C)$ are the isomorphisms of C .

Proof. This follows from the fact that functors preserve isomorphisms (Item 1 of Proposition 4.1.1.6). \square

00ZM Proposition 3.3.1.4. Let C be a category.

00ZN 1. *Functoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto \text{Core}(C)$ defines a functor

$$\text{Core}: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Grpd}.$$

00ZP 2. *2-Functoriality.* The assignment $C \mapsto \text{Core}(C)$ defines a 2-functor

$$\text{Core}: \text{Cats}_2 \rightarrow \text{Grpd}_2.$$

00ZQ 3. *Adjointness.* We have an adjunction

$$(\iota \dashv \text{Core}): \text{Grpd} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\text{Core}} \end{array} \text{Cats},$$

¹⁵*Slogan:* The groupoid $\text{Core}(C)$ is the maximal subgroupoid of C .

witnessed by a bijection of sets

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cats}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grpd}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{Core}(\mathcal{D})),$$

natural in $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Grpd})$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats})$, forming, together with the functor K_0 of **Item 1** of **Proposition 3.2.1.3**, a triple adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota \dashv \mathrm{Core}): \quad \mathrm{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Core}} \end{array} \mathrm{Grpd},$$

witnessed by bijections of sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grpd}}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cats}}(C, \mathcal{G}), \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cats}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grpd}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{Core}(\mathcal{D})), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Grpd})$.

00ZR 4. *2-Adjointness*. We have an adjunction

$$(\iota \dashv \mathrm{Core}): \quad \mathrm{Grpd} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\iota} \\ \perp_2 \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{Core}} \end{array} \mathrm{Cats},$$

witnessed by an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) \cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{Core}(\mathcal{D})),$$

natural in $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Grpd})$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats})$, forming, together with the 2-functor K_0 of **Item 2** of **Proposition 3.2.1.3**, a triple 2-adjunction

$$(K_0 \dashv \iota \dashv \mathrm{Core}): \quad \mathrm{Cats} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{K_0} \\ \perp_2 \\ \xleftarrow{\iota} \\ \perp_2 \\ \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Core}} \end{array} \mathrm{Grpd},$$

witnessed by isomorphisms of categories

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fun}(K_0(C), \mathcal{G}) &\cong \mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{G}), \\ \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{D}) &\cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{G}, \mathrm{Core}(\mathcal{D})), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats})$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Grpd})$.

- 00ZS 5. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products.* The core functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$(\text{Core}, \text{Core}^\times, \text{Core}_1^\times) : (\text{Cats}, \times, \text{pt}) \rightarrow (\text{Grpd}, \times, \text{pt})$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Core}_{C, \mathcal{D}}^\times : \text{Core}(C) \times \text{Core}(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Core}(C \times \mathcal{D}), \\ \text{Core}_1^\times : \text{pt} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Core}(\text{pt}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

- 00ZT 6. *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts.* The core functor of **Item 1** has a symmetric strong monoidal structure

$$(\text{Core}, \text{Core}^\amalg, \text{Core}_1^\amalg) : (\text{Cats}, \amalg, \emptyset_{\text{cat}}) \rightarrow (\text{Grpd}, \amalg, \emptyset_{\text{cat}})$$

being equipped with isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Core}_{C, \mathcal{D}}^\amalg : \text{Core}(C) \amalg \text{Core}(\mathcal{D}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Core}(C \amalg \mathcal{D}), \\ \text{Core}_1^\amalg : \emptyset_{\text{cat}} &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Core}(\emptyset_{\text{cat}}), \end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Functoriality*: Omitted.

Item 2, *2-Functoriality*: Omitted.

Item 3, *Adjointness*: Omitted.

Item 4, *2-Adjointness*: Omitted.

Item 5, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Products*: Omitted.

Item 6, *Symmetric Strong Monoidality With Respect to Coproducts*: Omitted. \square

00ZU 4 Functors

00ZV 4.1 Foundations

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

00ZW **Definition 4.1.1.1.** A functor $F : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ from C to \mathcal{D} ¹⁶ consists of:

¹⁶*Further Terminology:* Also called a **covariant functor**.

1. *Action on Objects.* A map of sets

$$F: \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}),$$

called the **action on objects of F** .

2. *Action on Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, a map

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(B)),$$

called the **action on morphisms of F at (A, B)** ¹⁷.

satisfying the following conditions:

1. *Preservation of Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pt} & & \\ \downarrow \mathbb{1}_A^{\mathcal{C}} & \searrow \mathbb{1}_{F(A)}^{\mathcal{D}} & \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A) & \xrightarrow{F_{A,A}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. we have

$$F(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{F(A)}.$$

2. *Preservation of Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{A,B,C}^{\mathcal{C}}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C) \\ \downarrow F_{B,C} \times F_{A,B} & & \downarrow F_{A,C} \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(C)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(B)) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{F(A),F(B),F(C)}^{\mathcal{D}}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(C)) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for each composable pair (g, f) of morphisms of \mathcal{C} , we have

$$F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f).$$

00ZX Notation 4.1.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories, and write \mathcal{C}^{op} for the opposite category of \mathcal{C} of $??, ??$.

¹⁷Further Terminology: Also called **action on Hom-sets of F at (A, B)** .

- 00ZY 1. Given a functor

$$F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

we also write F_A for $F(A)$.

- 00ZZ 2. Given a functor

$$F: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

we also write F^A for $F(A)$.

- 0100 3. Given a functor

$$F: C \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

we also write $F_{A,B}$ for $F(A, B)$.

- 0101 4. Given a functor

$$F: C^{\text{op}} \times C \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

we also write F_B^A for $F(A, B)$.

We employ a similar notation for morphisms, writing e.g. F_f for $F(f)$ given a functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

- 0102 **Notation 4.1.1.3.** Following the notation $\llbracket x \mapsto f(x) \rrbracket$ for a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ introduced in [Sets, Notation 1.1.1.2](#), we will sometimes denote a functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ by

$$F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \llbracket A \mapsto F(A) \rrbracket,$$

specially when the action on morphisms of F is clear from its action on objects.

- 0103 **Example 4.1.1.4.** The **identity functor** of a category C is the functor $\text{id}_C: C \rightarrow C$ where

1. *Action on Objects.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, we have

$$\text{id}_C(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A.$$

2. *Action on Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the action on morphisms

$$(\text{id}_C)_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \underbrace{\text{Hom}_C(\text{id}_C(A), \text{id}_C(B))}_{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_C(A, B)}$$

of id_C at (A, B) is defined by

$$(\text{id}_C)_{A,B} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(A, B)}.$$

Proof. Preservation of Identities: We have $\text{id}_C(\text{id}_A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_A$ for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$ by definition.

Preservation of Compositions: For each composable pair $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ of morphisms of C , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_C(g \circ f) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g \circ f \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_C(g) \circ \text{id}_C(f). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

0104 Definition 4.1.1.5. The **composition** of two functors $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is the functor $G \circ F$ where

- *Action on Objects.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, we have

$$[G \circ F](A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(F(A)).$$

- *Action on Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the action on morphisms

$$(G \circ F)_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(G_{F_A}, G_{F_B})$$

of $G \circ F$ at (A, B) is defined by

$$[G \circ F](f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G(F(f)).$$

Proof. Preservation of Identities: For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{F_{\text{id}_A}} &= G_{\text{id}_{F_A}} && \text{(functoriality of } F) \\ &= \text{id}_{G_{F_A}}. && \text{(functoriality of } G) \end{aligned}$$

Preservation of Composition: For each composable pair (g, f) of morphisms of C , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{F_{g \circ f}} &= G_{F_g \circ F_f} && \text{(functoriality of } F) \\ &= G_{F_g} \circ G_{F_f}. && \text{(functoriality of } G) \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

0105 Proposition 4.1.1.6. Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- 0106 1. *Preservation of Isomorphisms.* If f is an isomorphism in C , then $F(f)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} .¹⁸

Proof. **Item 1, Preservation of Isomorphisms:** Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(f)^{-1} \circ F(f) &= F(f^{-1} \circ f) \\ &= F(\text{id}_A) \\ &= \text{id}_{F(A)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) \circ F(f)^{-1} &= F(f \circ f^{-1}) \\ &= F(\text{id}_B) \\ &= \text{id}_{F(B)}, \end{aligned}$$

showing $F(f)$ to be an isomorphism. □

0107 4.2 Contravariant Functors

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories, and let C^{op} denote the opposite category of C of $??$, $??$.

0108 **Definition 4.2.1.1.** A **contravariant functor** from C to \mathcal{D} is a functor from C^{op} to \mathcal{D} .

0109 **Remark 4.2.1.2.** In detail, a **contravariant functor** from C to \mathcal{D} consists of:

1. *Action on Objects.* A map of sets

$$F: \text{Obj}(C) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D}),$$

called the **action on objects of F** .

2. *Action on Morphisms.* For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, a map

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A)),$$

called the **action on morphisms of F at (A, B)** .

satisfying the following conditions:

¹⁸When the converse holds, we call F *conservative*, see [Definition 5.4.1.1](#).

1. *Preservation of Identities.* For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{pt} & & \\ \downarrow \mathbb{1}_A^C & \searrow \mathbb{1}_{F(A)}^{\mathcal{D}} & \\ \text{Hom}_C(A, A) & \xrightarrow{F_{A,A}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(A)) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. we have

$$F(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{F(A)}.$$

2. *Preservation of Composition.* For each $A, B, C \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(C), F(B)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A)) & & \\ & \nearrow F_{B,C} \times F_{A,B} & \searrow \sigma_{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(C), F(B)), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A))}^{\text{Sets}} & & \\ \text{Hom}_C(B, C) \times \text{Hom}_C(A, B) & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A)) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(C), F(B)) & & \\ \downarrow \circ_{A,B,C}^C & & \downarrow \circ_{F(C), F(B), F(A)}^{\mathcal{D}} & & \\ \text{Hom}_C(A, C) & \xrightarrow{F_{A,C}} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(C), F(A)) & & \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. for each composable pair (g, f) of morphisms of C , we have

$$F(g \circ f) = F(f) \circ F(g).$$

010A Remark 4.2.1.3. Throughout this work we will not use the term “contravariant” functor, speaking instead simply of functors $F: C^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. We will usually, however, write

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(B), F(A))$$

for the action on morphisms

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{C^{\text{op}}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(A), F(B))$$

of F , as well as write $F(g \circ f) = F(f) \circ F(g)$.

010B **4.3 Forgetful Functors**

010C **Definition 4.3.1.1.** There isn't a precise definition of a **forgetful functor**.

010D **Remark 4.3.1.2.** Despite there not being a formal or precise definition of a forgetful functor, the term is often very useful in practice, similarly to the word “canonical”. The idea is that a “forgetful functor” is a functor that forgets structure or properties, and is best explained through examples, such as the ones below (see [Examples 4.3.1.3](#) and [4.3.1.4](#)).

010E **Example 4.3.1.3.** Examples of forgetful functors that forget structure include:

- 010F 1. *Forgetting Group Structures.* The functor $\text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sending a group (G, μ_G, η_G) to its underlying set G , forgetting the multiplication and unit maps μ_G and η_G of G .
- 010G 2. *Forgetting Topologies.* The functor $\text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sending a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}_X) to its underlying set X , forgetting the topology \mathcal{T}_X .
- 010H 3. *Forgetting Fibrations.* The functor $\text{FibSets}(K) \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ sending a K -fibred set $\phi_X: X \rightarrow K$ to the set X , forgetting the map ϕ_X and the base set K .

010J **Example 4.3.1.4.** Examples of forgetful functors that forget properties include:

- 010K 1. *Forgetting Commutativity.* The inclusion functor $\iota: \text{CMon} \hookrightarrow \text{Mon}$ which forgets the property of being commutative.
- 010L 2. *Forgetting Inverses.* The inclusion functor $\iota: \text{Grp} \hookrightarrow \text{Mon}$ which forgets the property of having inverses.

010M **Notation 4.3.1.5.** Throughout this work, we will denote forgetful functors that forget structure by 忘 , e.g. as in

$$\text{忘}: \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}.$$

The symbol 忘 , pronounced *wasureru* (see [Item 1](#) of [Remark 4.3.1.6](#) below), means *to forget*, and is a kanji found in the following words in Japanese and Chinese:

- 010N 1. 忘れる, transcribed as *wasureru*, meaning *to forget*.
- 010P 2. 忘却関手, transcribed as *boukyaku kanshu*, meaning *forgetful functor*.

- 010Q 3. 忘记 or 忘記, transcribed as *wàngjì*, meaning *to forget*.
- 010R 4. 遗忘函子 or 遺忘函子, transcribed as *yíwàng hánzǐ*, meaning *forgetful functor*.
- 010S **Remark 4.3.1.6.** Here we collect the pronunciation of the words in **Notation 4.3.1.5** for accuracy and completeness.
- 010T 1. Pronunciation of 忘れる:
- Audio: see <https://topological-modular-forms.github.io/the-clowder-project/static/sounds/wasureru-01.mp3>
 - IPA broad transcription: [wäsureru].
 - IPA narrow transcription: [w^βäsi^βre^βu^β].
- 010U 2. Pronunciation of 忘却関手: Pronunciation:
- Audio: see <https://topological-modular-forms.github.io/the-clowder-project/static/sounds/wasureru-02.mp3>
 - IPA broad transcription: [bɔ:kjäku kǎũɕu].
 - IPA narrow transcription: [bɔ:kjäku^β kǎũɕu^β].
- 010V 3. Pronunciation of 忘记:
- Audio: see <https://topological-modular-forms.github.io/the-clowder-project/static/sounds/wasureru-03.ogg>
 - Broad IPA transcription: [wanɲtɕi].
 - Sinological IPA transcription: [wan⁵¹⁻⁵³tɕi⁵¹].
- 010W 4. Pronunciation of 遗忘函子:
- Audio: see <https://topological-modular-forms.github.io/the-clowder-project/static/sounds/wasureru-04.mp3>
 - Broad IPA transcription: [iwanɲ xänfɕzi].
 - Sinological IPA transcription: [i³⁵wan⁵¹ xän³⁵fɕz²¹⁴⁻²¹⁽⁴⁾].

010X **4.4 The Natural Transformation Associated to a Functor**

010Y **Definition 4.4.1.1.** Every functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ defines a natural transformation¹⁹

$$F^\dagger: \text{Hom}_C \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_D \circ (F^{\text{op}} \times F),$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^{\text{op}} \times C & \xrightarrow{F^{\text{op}} \times F} & D^{\text{op}} \times D \\ & \searrow \text{Hom}_C \quad \swarrow \text{Hom}_D & \\ & \text{Sets,} & \end{array}$$

called the **natural transformation associated to F** , consisting of the collection

$$\left\{ F_{A,B}^\dagger: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_D(F_A, F_B) \right\}_{(A,B) \in \text{Obj}(C^{\text{op}} \times C)}$$

with

$$F_{A,B}^\dagger \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_{A,B}.$$

Proof. The naturality condition for F^\dagger is the requirement that for each morphism

$$(\phi, \psi): (X, Y) \rightarrow (A, B)$$

of $C^{\text{op}} \times C$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_C(X, Y) & \xrightarrow{\phi^* \circ \psi_* = \psi_* \circ \phi^*} & \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \\ F_{X,Y} \downarrow & & \downarrow F_{A,B} \\ \text{Hom}_D(F_X, F_Y) & \xrightarrow{F(\phi)^* \circ F(\psi)_* = F(\psi)_* \circ F(\phi)^*} & \text{Hom}_D(F_A, F_B), \end{array}$$

acting on elements as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f & \longmapsto & \psi \circ f \circ \phi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(f) & \longmapsto & F(\psi) \circ F(f) \circ F(\psi) = F(\psi \circ f \circ \phi) \end{array}$$

commutes, which follows from the functoriality of F . \square

¹⁹This is the 1-categorical version of **Constructions With Sets, Item 1** of **Proposition 4.1.1.3**.

010Z Proposition 4.4.1.2. Let $F: C \rightarrow D$ and $G: D \rightarrow E$ be functors.

0110 1. *Interaction With Natural Isomorphisms.* The following conditions are equivalent:

0111 (a) The natural transformation $F^\dagger: \text{Hom}_C \Rightarrow \text{Hom}_D \circ (F^{\text{op}} \times F)$ associated to F is a natural isomorphism.

0112 (b) The functor F is fully faithful.

0113 2. *Interaction With Composition.* We have an equality of pasting diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C^{\text{op}} \times C & \xrightarrow{F^{\text{op}} \times F} & D^{\text{op}} \times D \xrightarrow{G^{\text{op}} \times G} E^{\text{op}} \times E \\
 \searrow \text{Hom}_C & \nearrow F^\dagger & \downarrow \text{Hom}_D \xrightarrow{G^\dagger} \text{Hom}_E \\
 & \text{Hom}_D & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & \text{Sets} &
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 C^{\text{op}} \times C & \xrightarrow{(G \circ F)^{\text{op}} \times (G \circ F)} & E^{\text{op}} \times E \\
 \searrow \text{Hom}_C & \nearrow (G \circ F)^\dagger & \downarrow \text{Hom}_E \\
 & (G \circ F)^\dagger & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & \text{Sets} &
 \end{array}$$

in Cats_2 , i.e. we have

$$(G \circ F)^\dagger = \left(G^\dagger \star \text{id}_{F^{\text{op}} \times F} \right) \circ F^\dagger.$$

0114 3. *Interaction With Identities.* We have

$$\text{id}_C^\dagger = \text{id}_{\text{Hom}_C(-1, -2)},$$

i.e. the natural transformation associated to id_C is the identity natural transformation of the functor $\text{Hom}_C(-1, -2)$.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Interaction With Natural Isomorphisms*: Clear.

Item 2, *Interaction With Composition*: Clear.

Item 3, *Interaction With Identities*: Clear. □

0115 5 Conditions on Functors

0116 5.1 Faithful Functors

Let C and D be categories.

0117 Definition 5.1.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **faithful** if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the action on morphisms

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_C(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, F_B)$$

of F at (A, B) is injective.

0118 Proposition 5.1.1.2. Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

0119 1. *Interaction With Postcomposition.* The following conditions are equivalent:

011A (a) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is faithful.

011B (b) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is faithful.

(c) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a representably faithful morphism in Cats_2 in the sense of **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 1.1.1.1.**

011C

011D 2. *Interaction With Precomposition I.* Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

011E (a) If F is faithful, then the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

can fail to be faithful.

011F (b) Conversely, if the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is faithful, then F can fail to be faithful.

011G 3. *Interaction With Precomposition II.* If F is essentially surjective, then the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is faithful.

011H 4. *Interaction With Precomposition III.* The following conditions are equivalent:

011J (a) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is faithful.

011K (b) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is conservative.

011L (c) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is monadic.

(d) The functor $F : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a corepresentably faithful morphism in Cats_2 in the sense of **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 2.1.1.1.**

011M

011N (e) The components

$$\eta_G : G \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F(G \circ F)$$

of the unit

$$\eta : \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})} \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F \circ F^*$$

of the adjunction $F^* \dashv \text{Ran}_F$ are all monomorphisms.

011P

(f) The components

$$\epsilon_G : \text{Lan}_F(G \circ F) \Longrightarrow G$$

of the counit

$$\epsilon : \text{Lan}_F \circ F^* \Longrightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})}$$

of the adjunction $\text{Lan}_F \dashv F^*$ are all epimorphisms.

- 011Q (g) The functor F is dominant (**Definition 6.1.1.1**), i.e. every object of \mathcal{D} is a retract of some object in $\text{Im}(F)$:
- (★) For each $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, there exist:
- An object A of \mathcal{C} ;
 - A morphism $s: B \rightarrow F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} ;
 - A morphism $r: F(A) \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} ;
- such that $r \circ s = \text{id}_B$.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Interaction With Postcomposition*: Omitted.

Item 2, *Interaction With Precomposition I*: See [MSE 733163] for **Item 2a**. **Item 2b** follows from **Item 3** and the fact that there are essentially surjective functors that are not faithful.

Item 3, *Interaction With Precomposition II*: Omitted, but see https://unimath.github.io/doc/UniMath/d4de26f//UniMath.CategoryTheory.precomp_fully_faithful.html for a formalised proof.

Item 4, *Interaction With Precomposition III*: We claim **Items 4a** to **4g** are equivalent:

- **Items 4a** and **4d** Are Equivalent: This is true by the definition of corepresentably faithful morphism; see **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories**, **Definition 2.1.1.1**.
- **Items 4a** to **4c** and **4g** Are Equivalent: See [Adá+01, Proposition 4.1] or alternatively [Fre09, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2] for the equivalence between **Items 4a** and **4g**.
- **Items 4a**, **4e** and **4f** Are Equivalent: See ??, ?? of ??.

This finishes the proof. □

011R 5.2 Full Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

011S **Definition 5.2.1.1.** A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **full** if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the action on morphisms

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, F_B)$$

of F at (A, B) is surjective.

011T Proposition 5.2.1.2. Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

011U 1. *Interaction With Postcomposition.* The following conditions are equivalent:

011V (a) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is full.

011W (b) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is full.

(c) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a representably full morphism in Cats_2 in the sense of **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 1.2.1.1.**

011X

011Y 2. *Interaction With Precomposition I.* If F is full, then the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

can fail to be full.

011Z 3. *Interaction With Precomposition II.* If the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is full, then F can fail to be full.

0120 4. *Interaction With Precomposition III.* If F is essentially surjective and full, then the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is full (and also faithful by **Item 3 of Proposition 5.1.1.2**).

0121 5. *Interaction With Precomposition IV.* The following conditions are equivalent:

0122 (a) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is full.

- 0123 (b) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a corepresentably full morphism in \mathbf{Cats}_2 in the sense of **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 2.1.1.1.**

- 0124 (c) The components

$$\eta_G: G \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F(G \circ F)$$

of the unit

$$\eta: \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})} \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F \circ F^*$$

of the adjunction $F^* \dashv \text{Ran}_F$ are all retractions/split epimorphisms.

- 0125 (d) The components

$$\epsilon_G: \text{Lan}_F(G \circ F) \Longrightarrow G$$

of the counit

$$\epsilon: \text{Lan}_F \circ F^* \Longrightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})}$$

of the adjunction $\text{Lan}_F \dashv F^*$ are all sections/split monomorphisms.

- 0126 (e) For each $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, there exist:

- An object A_B of C ;
- A morphism $s_B: B \rightarrow F(A_B)$ of \mathcal{D} ;
- A morphism $r_B: F(A_B) \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} ;

satisfying the following condition:

- (★) For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and each pair of morphisms

$$r: F(A) \rightarrow B,$$

$$s: B \rightarrow F(A)$$

of \mathcal{D} , we have

$$[(A_B, s_B, r_B)] = [(A, s, r \circ s_B \circ r_B)]$$

$$\text{in } \int^{A \in C} h_{F_A}^{B'} \times h_B^{F_A}.$$

Proof. **Item 1**, *Interaction With Postcomposition*: Omitted.

Item 2, *Interaction With Precomposition I*: Omitted.

Item 3, *Interaction With Precomposition II*: See [BS10, p. 47].

Item 4, *Interaction With Precomposition III*: Omitted, but see https://unimath.github.io/doc/UniMath/d4de26f//UniMath.CategoryTheory.precomp_fully_faithful.html for a formalised proof.

Item 5, *Interaction With Precomposition IV*: We claim **Items 5a** to **5e** are equivalent:

- **Items 5a** and **5b** Are Equivalent: This is true by the definition of corepresentably full morphism; see **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories**, **Definition 2.2.1.1**.
- **Items 5a**, **5c** and **5d** Are Equivalent: See ??, ?? of ??.
- **Items 5a** and **5e** Are Equivalent: See [Adá+01, Item (b) of Remark 4.3].

This finishes the proof. \square

0127 Question 5.2.1.3. **Item 5** of **Proposition 5.2.1.2** gives a characterisation of the functors F for which F^* is full, but the characterisations given there are really messy. Are there better ones?
This question also appears as [MO 468121b].

0128 5.3 Fully Faithful Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

0129 Definition 5.3.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **fully faithful** if F is full and faithful, i.e. if, for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the action on morphisms

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, F_B)$$

of F at (A, B) is bijective.

012A Proposition 5.3.1.2. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

012B 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

012C (a) The functor F is fully faithful.

012D (b) We have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Arr}(C) & \xrightarrow{\text{Arr}(F)} & \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \\ \text{src} \times \text{tgt} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{src} \times \text{tgt} \\ C \times C & \xrightarrow{F \times F} & \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

$\text{Arr}(C) \cong (C \times C) \times_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}} \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}),$

in \mathbf{Cats} .

012E 2. *Conservativity.* If F is fully faithful, then F is conservative.

012F 3. *Essential Injectivity.* If F is fully faithful, then F is essentially injective.

012G 4. *Interaction With Co/Limits.* If F is fully faithful, then F reflects co/limits.

012H 5. *Interaction With Postcomposition.* The following conditions are equivalent:

012J (a) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is fully faithful.

012K (b) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is fully faithful.

(c) The functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a representably fully faithful morphism in \mathbf{Cats}_2 in the sense of [Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 1.3.1.1](#).

012L

012M 6. *Interaction With Precomposition I.* If F is fully faithful, then the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

can fail to be fully faithful.

012N 7. *Interaction With Precomposition II.* If the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is fully faithful, then F can fail to be fully faithful (and in fact it can also fail to be either full or faithful).

- 012P 8. *Interaction With Precomposition III.* If F is essentially surjective and full, then the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is fully faithful.

- 012Q 9. *Interaction With Precomposition IV.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- 012R (a) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is fully faithful.

- 012S (b) The precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Sets}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \text{Sets})$$

is fully faithful.

- 012T (c) The functor

$$\text{Lan}_F : \text{Fun}(C, \text{Sets}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Sets})$$

is fully faithful.

- (d) The functor F is a corepresentably fully faithful morphism in Cats_2 in the sense of **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 2.3.1.1.**

012U

- 012V (e) The functor F is absolutely dense.

- 012W (f) The components

$$\eta_G : G \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F(G \circ F)$$

of the unit

$$\eta : \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})} \Longrightarrow \text{Ran}_F \circ F^*$$

of the adjunction $F^* \dashv \text{Ran}_F$ are all isomorphisms.

012X (g) The components

$$\epsilon_G : \text{Lan}_F(G \circ F) \Longrightarrow G$$

of the counit

$$\epsilon : \text{Lan}_F \circ F^* \Longrightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X})}$$

of the adjunction $\text{Lan}_F \dashv F^*$ are all isomorphisms.

012Y (h) The natural transformation

$$\alpha : \text{Lan}_{h_F}(h^F) \Longrightarrow h$$

with components

$$\alpha_{B', B} : \int^{A \in C} h_{F_A}^{B'} \times h_B^{F_A} \rightarrow h_B^{B'}$$

given by

$$\alpha_{B', B}([\langle \phi, \psi \rangle]) = \psi \circ \phi$$

is a natural isomorphism.

012Z (i) For each $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, there exist:

- An object A_B of C ;
- A morphism $s_B : B \rightarrow F(A_B)$ of \mathcal{D} ;
- A morphism $r_B : F(A_B) \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} ;

satisfying the following conditions:

0130 i. The triple $(F(A_B), r_B, s_B)$ is a retract of B , i.e. we have $r_B \circ s_B = \text{id}_B$.

0131 ii. For each morphism $f : B' \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} , we have

$$[(A_B, s_{B'}, f \circ r_{B'})] = [(A_B, s_B \circ f, r_B)]$$

$$\text{in } \int^{A \in C} h_{F_A}^{B'} \times h_B^{F_A}.$$

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** Omitted.

Item 2, Conservativity: This is a repetition of **Item 2** of **Proposition 5.4.1.2**, and is proved there.

Item 3, Essential Injectivity: Omitted.

Item 4, Interaction With Co/Limits: Omitted.

Item 5, Interaction With Postcomposition: This follows from **Item 1** of **Proposition 5.1.1.2** and **Item 1** of **Proposition 5.2.1.2**.

Item 6, Interaction With Precomposition I: See [MSE 733161] for an example of a fully faithful functor whose precomposition with which fails to be full.

Item 7, Interaction With Precomposition II: See [MSE 749304, Item 3].

Item 8, Interaction With Precomposition III: Omitted, but see https://unimath.github.io/doc/UniMath/d4de26f//UniMath.CategoryTheory.precomp_fully_faithful.html for a formalised proof.

Item 9, Interaction With Precomposition IV: We claim **Items 9a** to **9i** are equivalent:

- **Items 9a and 9d Are Equivalent:** This is true by the definition of corepresentably fully faithful morphism; see **Types of Morphisms in Bicategories, Definition 2.3.1.1**.
- **Items 9a, 9f and 9g Are Equivalent:** See ??, ?? of ??.
- **Items 9a to 9c Are Equivalent:** This follows from [Low15, Proposition A.1.5].
- **Items 9a, 9e, 9h and 9i Are Equivalent:** See [Fre09, Theorem 4.1] and [Adá+01, Theorem 1.1].

This finishes the proof. \square

0132 5.4 Conservative Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

0133 **Definition 5.4.1.1.** A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **conservative** if it satisfies the following condition:²⁰

- (★) For each $f \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$, if $F(f)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} , then f is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} .

0134 **Proposition 5.4.1.2.** Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

0135 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

0136 (a) The functor F is conservative.

²⁰*Slogan:* A functor F is **conservative** if it reflects isomorphisms.

0137 (b) For each $f \in \text{Mor}(C)$, the morphism $F(f)$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{D} iff f is an isomorphism in C .

0138 2. *Interaction With Fully Faithfulness.* Every fully faithful functor is conservative.

0139 3. *Interaction With Precomposition.* The following conditions are equivalent:

013A (a) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is conservative.

013B (b) The equivalent conditions of **Item 4** of **Proposition 5.1.1.2** are satisfied.

Proof. Item 1, Characterisations: This follows from **Item 1** of **Proposition 4.1.1.6**.
Item 2, Interaction With Fully Faithfulness: Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a fully faithful functor, let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism of C , and suppose that F_f is an isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\text{id}_B) &= \text{id}_{F(B)} \\ &= F(f) \circ F(f)^{-1} \\ &= F(f \circ f^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $F(\text{id}_A) = F(f^{-1} \circ f)$. But since F is fully faithful, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ f^{-1} &= \text{id}_B, \\ f^{-1} \circ f &= \text{id}_A, \end{aligned}$$

showing f to be an isomorphism. Thus F is conservative. \square

013C **Question 5.4.1.3.** Is there a characterisation of functors $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ satisfying the following condition:

(★) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is conservative?

This question also appears as [\[MO 468121a\]](#).

013D 5.5 Essentially Injective Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

013E Definition 5.5.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **essentially injective** if it satisfies the following condition:

(★) For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, if $F(A) \cong F(B)$, then $A \cong B$.

013F Question 5.5.1.2. Is there a characterisation of functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

013G 1. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$$

is essentially injective, i.e. if $\phi \circ F \cong \psi \circ F$, then $\phi \cong \psi$ for all functors ϕ and ψ ?

013H 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is essentially injective, i.e. if $F \circ \phi \cong F \circ \psi$, then $\phi \cong \psi$?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

013J 5.6 Essentially Surjective Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

013K Definition 5.6.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **essentially surjective**²¹ if it satisfies the following condition:

(★) For each $D \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, there exists some object A of \mathcal{C} such that $F(A) \cong D$.

013L Question 5.6.1.2. Is there a characterisation of functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

013M 1. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$$

is essentially surjective?

²¹Further Terminology: Also called an **eso** functor, where the name “eso” comes from *essentially*

- 013N 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is essentially surjective?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

013P 5.7 Equivalences of Categories

013Q **Definition 5.7.1.1.** Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

- 013R 1. An **equivalence of categories** between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} consists of a pair of functors

$$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

$$G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

together with natural isomorphisms

$$\eta: \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G \circ F,$$

$$\epsilon: F \circ G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

- 013S 2. An **adjoint equivalence of categories** between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} is an equivalence (F, G, η, ϵ) between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} which is also an adjunction.

013T **Proposition 5.7.1.2.** Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- 013U 1. *Characterisations.* If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are small²², then the following conditions are equivalent:²³

013V (a) The functor F is an equivalence of categories.

013W (b) The functor F is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

surjective on objects.

²²Otherwise there will be size issues. One can also work with large categories and universes, or require F to be *constructively* essentially surjective; see [MSE 1465107].

²³In ZFC, the equivalence between **Item 1a** and **Item 1b** is equivalent to the axiom of choice; see [MO 119454].

In Univalent Foundations, this is true without requiring neither the axiom of choice nor the law of excluded middle.

- 013X (c) The induced functor

$$\upharpoonright F\text{Sk}(C) : \text{Sk}(C) \rightarrow \text{Sk}(\mathcal{D})$$

is an *isomorphism* of categories.

- 013Y (d) For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, X)$$

is an equivalence of categories.

- 013Z (e) For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_* : \text{Fun}(X, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

- 0140 2. *Two-Out-of-Three*. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{G \circ F} & \mathcal{E} \\ & \searrow F \quad \nearrow G & \\ & \mathcal{D} & \end{array}$$

be a diagram in Cats . If two out of the three functors among F , G , and $G \circ F$ are equivalences of categories, then so is the third.

- 0141 3. *Stability Under Composition*. Let

$$C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F'} \\ \xleftarrow{G'} \end{array} \mathcal{E}$$

be a diagram in Cats . If (F, G) and (F', G') are equivalences of categories, then so is their composite $(F' \circ F, G' \circ G)$.

- 0142 4. *Equivalences vs. Adjoint Equivalences*. Every equivalence of categories can be promoted to an adjoint equivalence.²⁴

- 0143 5. *Interaction With Groupoids*. If C and \mathcal{D} are groupoids, then the following conditions are equivalent:

²⁴More precisely, we can promote an equivalence of categories (F, G, η, ϵ) to adjoint equiva-

0144 (a) The functor F is an equivalence of groupoids.

0145 (b) The following conditions are satisfied:

0146 i. The functor F induces a bijection

$$\pi_0(F) : \pi_0(C) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{D})$$

of sets.

0147 ii. For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the induced map

$$F_{x,x} : \text{Aut}_C(A) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A)$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** We claim that **Items 1a** to **1e** are indeed equivalent:

1. **Item 1a** \implies **Item 1b**: Clear.

2. **Item 1b** \implies **Item 1a**: Since F is essentially surjective and C and \mathcal{D} are small, we can choose, using the axiom of choice, for each $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, an object j_B of C and an isomorphism $i_B : B \rightarrow F_{j_B}$ of \mathcal{D} .

Since F is fully faithful, we can extend the assignment $B \mapsto j_B$ to a *unique* functor $j : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow C$ such that the isomorphisms $i_B : B \rightarrow F_{j_B}$ assemble into a natural isomorphism $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \xRightarrow{\sim} F \circ j$, with a similar natural isomorphism $\epsilon : \text{id}_C \xRightarrow{\sim} j \circ F$. Hence F is an equivalence.

3. **Item 1a** \implies **Item 1c**: This follows from **Item 4** of **Proposition 1.5.1.3**.

4. **Item 1c** \implies **Item 1a**: Omitted.

5. **Items 1a, 1d and 1e Are Equivalent**: This follows from ??.

This finishes the proof of **Item 1**.

Item 2, Two-Out-of-Three: Omitted.

Item 3, Stability Under Composition: Clear.

Item 4, Equivalences vs. Adjoint Equivalences: See [Rie17, Proposition 4.4.5].

Item 5, Interaction With Groupoids: See [nLa24, Proposition 4.4]. \square

lences (F, G, η', ϵ) and (F, G, η, ϵ') .

0148 **5.8 Isomorphisms of Categories**

0149 **Definition 5.8.1.1.** An **isomorphism of categories** is a pair of functors

$$\begin{aligned} F: C &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \\ G: \mathcal{D} &\rightarrow C \end{aligned}$$

such that we have

$$G \circ F = \text{id}_C,$$

$$F \circ G = \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

014A **Example 5.8.1.2.** Categories can be equivalent but non-isomorphic. For example, the category consisting of two isomorphic objects is equivalent to pt , but not isomorphic to it.

014B **Proposition 5.8.1.3.** Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

014C 1. *Characterisations.* If C and \mathcal{D} are small, then the following conditions are equivalent:

014D (a) The functor F is an isomorphism of categories.

014E (b) The functor F is fully faithful and bijective on objects.

014F (c) For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, X)$$

is an isomorphism of categories.

014G (d) For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(X, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D})$$

is an isomorphism of categories.

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** We claim that **Items 1a** to **1d** are indeed equivalent:

1. **Items 1a and 1b Are Equivalent:** Omitted, but similar to **Item 1** of **Proposition 5.7.1.2**.

2. **Items 1a, 1c and 1d Are Equivalent:** This follows from ??.

This finishes the proof. □

014H 6 More Conditions on Functors

014J 6.1 Dominant Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

014K Definition 6.1.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **dominant** if every object of \mathcal{D} is a retract of some object in $\text{Im}(F)$, i.e.:

(★) For each $B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$, there exist:

- An object A of \mathcal{C} ;
- A morphism $r: F(A) \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} ;
- A morphism $s: B \rightarrow F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} ;

such that we have

$$r \circ s = \text{id}_B,$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{s} & F(A) \\ & \searrow \text{id}_B & \downarrow r \\ & & B. \end{array}$$

014L Proposition 6.1.1.2. Let $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors and let $I: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a functor.

014M 1. *Interaction With Right Whiskering.* If I is full and dominant, then the map

$$- \star \text{id}_I: \text{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(F \circ I, G \circ I)$$

is a bijection.

014N 2. *Interaction With Adjunctions.* Let $(F, G): \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}$ be an adjunction.

014P (a) If F is dominant, then G is faithful.

014Q (b) The following conditions are equivalent:

014R i. The functor G is full.

014S ii. The restriction

$$\upharpoonright \text{GIm}_F: \text{Im}(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

of G to $\text{Im}(F)$ is full.

Proof. **Item 1, Interaction With Right Whiskering:** See [DFH75, Proposition 1.4].

Item 2, Interaction With Adjunctions: See [DFH75, Proposition 1.7]. \square

014T Question 6.1.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

014U 1. For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, X)$$

is dominant?

014V 2. For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(X, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D})$$

is dominant?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

014W 6.2 Monomorphisms of Categories

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

014X Definition 6.2.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **monomorphism of categories** if it is a monomorphism in Cats (see ??, ??).

014Y Proposition 6.2.1.2. Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

014Z 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- 0150** (a) The functor F is a monomorphism of categories.
- (b) The functor F is injective on objects and morphisms, i.e. F is injective on objects and the map

0151

$$F: \text{Mor}(C) \rightarrow \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D})$$

is injective.

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** Omitted. \square

0152 Question 6.2.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

- 0153 1. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{X})$$

is a monomorphism of categories?

- 0154 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is a monomorphism of categories?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

0155 6.3 Epimorphisms of Categories


Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

- 0156 **Definition 6.3.1.1.** A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a **epimorphism of categories** if it is an epimorphism in Cats (see ??, ??).

- 0157 **Proposition 6.3.1.2.** Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- 0158 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:²⁵

- 0159 (a) The functor F is an epimorphism of categories.
 015A (b) For each morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{D} , we have a diagram



in \mathcal{D} satisfying the following conditions:

²⁵Further Terminology: This statement is known as **Isbell's zigzag theorem**.

- 015B i. We have $f = \alpha_0 \circ \phi_1$.
 015C ii. We have $f = \psi_m \circ \alpha_{2m}$.
 015D iii. For each $0 \leq i \leq 2m$, we have $\alpha_i \in \text{Mor}(\text{Im}(F))$.
 015E 2. *Surjectivity on Objects.* If F is an epimorphism of categories, then F is surjective on objects.

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** See [Isb68].

Item 2, Surjectivity on Objects: Omitted. □

015F **Question 6.3.1.3.** Is there a characterisation of functors $F: C \rightarrow D$ such that:

- 015G 1. For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^*: \text{Fun}(D, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, X)$$

is an epimorphism of categories?

- 015H 2. For each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(X, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(X, D)$$

is an epimorphism of categories?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

015J 6.4 Pseudomononic Functors

Let C and D be categories.

015K **Definition 6.4.1.1.** A functor $F: C \rightarrow D$ is **pseudomononic** if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 015L 1. For all diagrams of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & C \xrightarrow{F} D \\ \alpha \downarrow \Downarrow \beta & & \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

if we have

$$\text{id}_F \star \alpha = \text{id}_F \star \beta,$$

then $\alpha = \beta$.

015M 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and each natural isomorphism

$$\beta: F \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} F \circ \psi, \quad \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D},$$

there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C}$$

such that we have an equality

$$\mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of pasting diagrams, i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \text{id}_F \star \alpha.$$

015N **Proposition 6.4.1.2.** Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

015P 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

015Q (a) The functor F is pseudomononic.

015R (b) The functor F satisfies the following conditions:

015S i. The functor F is faithful, i.e. for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the action on morphisms

$$F_{A,B}: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, F_B)$$

of F at (A, B) is injective.

015T ii. For each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the restriction

$$F_{A,B}^{\text{iso}}: \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Iso}_{\mathcal{D}}(F_A, F_B)$$

of the action on morphisms of F at (A, B) to isomorphisms is surjective.

015U (c) We have an isocomma square of the form

$$C \cong^{\text{eq.}} C \times_{\mathcal{D}} C, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C} & C \\ \text{id}_C \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow F \\ C & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

in Cats_2 up to equivalence.

015V (d) We have an isocomma square of the form

$$C \cong^{\text{eq.}} C \times_{\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})} \mathcal{D}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C & \hookrightarrow & \text{Arr}(C) \\ F \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \text{Arr}(F) \\ \mathcal{D} & \hookrightarrow & \text{Arr}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

in Cats_2 up to equivalence.

015W (e) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition²⁶ functor

$$F_* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is pseudomonic.

015X 2. *Conservativity.* If F is pseudomonic, then F is conservative.

015Y 3. *Essential Injectivity.* If F is pseudomonic, then F is essentially injective.

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** Omitted.

Item 2, Conservativity: Omitted.

Item 3, Essential Injectivity: Omitted. □

015Z 6.5 Pseudoepic Functors

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

²⁶Asking the precomposition functors

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

to be pseudomonic leads to pseudoepic functors; see **Item 1b** of **Item 1** of **Proposition 6.5.1.2**.

0160 **Definition 6.5.1.1.** A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **pseudoepic** if it satisfies the following conditions:

0161 1. For all diagrams of the form

$$C \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \beta \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} X,$$

if we have

$$\alpha \star \text{id}_F = \beta \star \text{id}_F,$$

then $\alpha = \beta$.

0162 2. For each $X \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and each 2-isomorphism

$$\beta: \phi \circ F \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi \circ F, \quad C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi \circ F} \\ \beta \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi \circ F} \end{array} X$$

of C , there exists a 2-isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} X$$

of C such that we have an equality

$$C \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} X = C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi \circ F} \\ \beta \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi \circ F} \end{array} X$$

of pasting diagrams in C , i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \alpha \star \text{id}_F.$$

0163 **Proposition 6.5.1.2.** Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

0164 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

0165 (a) The functor F is pseudoepic.

- 0166 (b) For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

given by precomposition by F is pseudomonic.

- 0167 (c) We have an isococcomma square of the form

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{eq.}} \mathcal{D} \coprod_C \mathcal{D}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}} & \mathcal{D} \\ \uparrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} & \nearrow & \uparrow F \\ \mathcal{D} & \xleftarrow{F} & C \end{array}$$

in Cats_2 up to equivalence.

- 0168 2. *Dominance.* If F is pseudoepic, then F is dominant ([Definition 6.1.1.1](#)).

Proof. [Item 1](#), *Characterisations:* Omitted.

[Item 2](#), *Dominance:* If F is pseudoepic, then

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is pseudomonic for all $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, and thus in particular faithful. By [Item 4g](#) of [Item 4](#) of [Proposition 5.1.1.2](#), this is equivalent to requiring F to be dominant. \square

- 0169 **Question 6.5.1.3.** Is there a nice characterisation of the pseudoepic functors, similarly to the characterisation of pseudomonic functors given in [Item 1b](#) of [Item 1](#) of [Proposition 6.4.1.2](#)?

This question also appears as [\[MO 321971\]](#).

- 016A **Question 6.5.1.4.** A pseudomonic and pseudoepic functor is dominant, faithful, essentially injective, and full on isomorphisms. Is it necessarily an equivalence of categories? If not, how bad can this fail, i.e. how far can a pseudomonic and pseudoepic functor be from an equivalence of categories?

This question also appears as [\[MO 468334\]](#).

- 016B **Question 6.5.1.5.** Is there a characterisation of functors $F : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

- 016C 1. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the precomposition functor

$$F^* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{X})$$

is pseudoepic?

- 016D 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition functor

$$F_*: \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, C) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$$

is pseudoepic?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

016E 7 Even More Conditions on Functors

016F 7.1 Injective on Objects Functors

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

- 016G **Definition 7.1.1.1.** A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **injective on objects** if the action on objects

$$F: \text{Obj}(C) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$$

of F is injective.

- 016H **Proposition 7.1.1.2.** Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

- 016J 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- 016K (a) The functor F is injective on objects.
- 016L (b) The functor F is an isofibration in Cats_2 .

Proof. **Item 1, Characterisations:** Omitted. □

016M 7.2 Surjective on Objects Functors

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

- 016N **Definition 7.2.1.1.** A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **surjective on objects** if the action on objects

$$F: \text{Obj}(C) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$$

of F is surjective.

016P 7.3 Bijective on Objects Functors

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

016Q Definition 7.3.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **bijective on objects**²⁷ if the action on objects

$$F: \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Obj}(\mathcal{D})$$

of F is a bijection.

016R 7.4 Functors Representably Faithful on Cores

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

016S Definition 7.4.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably faithful on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is faithful.

016T Remark 7.4.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably faithful on cores** if, given a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \phi & \\ \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}, \\ & \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \beta & \\ & \psi & \end{array}$$

if α and β are natural isomorphisms and we have

$$\text{id}_F \star \alpha = \text{id}_F \star \beta,$$

then $\alpha = \beta$.

016U Question 7.4.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors representably faithful on cores?

016V 7.5 Functors Representably Full on Cores

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

²⁷Further Terminology: Also called a **bo** functor.

016W Definition 7.5.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably full on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, C)) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is full.

016X Remark 7.5.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably full on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and each natural isomorphism

$$\beta: F \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} F \circ \psi, \quad X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D},$$

there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} C$$

such that we have an equality

$$X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} C \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} = X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of pasting diagrams in Cats_2 , i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \text{id}_F \star \alpha.$$

016Y Question 7.5.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors representably full on cores?

This question also appears as [\[MO 468121a\]](#).

016Z 7.6 Functors Representably Fully Faithful on Cores

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

0170 Definition 7.6.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably fully faithful on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, C)) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is fully faithful.

0171 Remark 7.6.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **representably fully faithful on cores** if it satisfies the conditions in **Remarks 7.4.1.2** and **7.5.1.2**, i.e.:

0172 1. For all diagrams of the form

$$\mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \beta \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D},$$

with α and β natural isomorphisms, if we have $\text{id}_F \star \alpha = \text{id}_F \star \beta$, then $\alpha = \beta$.

0173 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and each natural isomorphism

$$\beta: F \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} F \circ \psi, \quad \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of \mathcal{C} , there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C}$$

of \mathcal{C} such that we have an equality

$$\mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of pasting diagrams in Cats_2 , i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \text{id}_F \star \alpha.$$

0174 Question 7.6.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors representably fully faithful on cores?

0175 7.7 Functors Corepresentably Faithful on Cores

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

0176 Definition 7.7.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably faithful on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, C)) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is faithful.

0177 Remark 7.7.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably faithful on cores** if, given a diagram of the form

$$C \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \beta \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} X,$$

if α and β are natural isomorphisms and we have

$$\alpha \star \text{id}_F = \beta \star \text{id}_F,$$

then $\alpha = \beta$.

0178 Question 7.7.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors corepresentably faithful on cores?

0179 7.8 Functors Corepresentably Full on Cores

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories.

017A Definition 7.8.1.1. A functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably full on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, C)) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is full.

017B Remark 7.8.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably full on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and each natural isomorphism

$$\beta: \phi \circ F \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi \circ F, \quad C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi \circ F} \\ \beta \Downarrow \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi \circ F} \end{array} X,$$

there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{X}$$

such that we have an equality

$$\mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of pasting diagrams in \mathbf{Cats}_2 , i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \alpha \star \text{id}_F.$$

017C Question 7.8.1.3. Is there a characterisation of functors corepresentably full on cores?

This question also appears as [MO 468121a].

017D 7.9 Functors Corepresentably Fully Faithful on Cores

Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} be categories.

017E Definition 7.9.1.1. A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably fully faithful on cores** if, for each $X \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$, the postcomposition by F functor

$$F_*: \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Core}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{D}))$$

is fully faithful.

017F Remark 7.9.1.2. In detail, a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is **corepresentably fully faithful on cores** if it satisfies the conditions in **Remarks 7.7.1.2** and **7.8.1.2**, i.e.:

017G 1. For all diagrams of the form

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \Downarrow \beta \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{X},$$

if α and β are natural isomorphisms and we have

$$\alpha \star \text{id}_F = \beta \star \text{id}_F,$$

then $\alpha = \beta$.

017H 2. For each $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$ and each natural isomorphism

$$\beta: \phi \circ F \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi \circ F, \quad C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi \circ F} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi \circ F} \end{array} \mathcal{X},$$

there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\alpha: \phi \xRightarrow{\sim} \psi, \quad \mathcal{D} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{X}$$

such that we have an equality

$$\mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} C \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F \circ \phi} \\ \beta \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{F \circ \psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

of pasting diagrams in Cats_2 , i.e. such that we have

$$\beta = \alpha \star \text{id}_F.$$

017J **Question 7.9.1.3.** Is there a characterisation of functors corepresentably fully faithful on cores?

017K 8 Natural Transformations

017L 8.1 Transformations

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories and $F, G: C \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

017M **Definition 8.1.1.1.** A **transformation**²⁸ $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ **from** F **to** G is a collection

$$\{\alpha_A: F(A) \rightarrow G(A)\}_{A \in \text{Obj}(C)}$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{D} .

017N **Notation 8.1.1.2.** We write $\text{Trans}(F, G)$ for the set of transformations from F to G .

²⁸Further Terminology: Also called an **unnatural transformation** for emphasis.

017P **8.2 Natural Transformations**

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories and $F, G: C \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

017Q **Definition 8.2.1.1.** A **natural transformation** $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ **from F to G** is a transformation

$$\{\alpha_A: F(A) \rightarrow G(A)\}_{A \in \text{Obj}(C)}$$

from F to G such that, for each morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of C , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

commutes.²⁹

017R **Remark 8.2.1.2.** We denote natural transformations in diagrams as

$$C \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{D}.$$

017S **Notation 8.2.1.3.** We write $\text{Nat}(F, G)$ for the set of natural transformations from F to G .

017T **Example 8.2.1.4.** The **identity natural transformation** $\text{id}_F: F \Rightarrow F$ **of F** is the natural transformation consisting of the collection

$$\{\text{id}_{F(A)}: F(A) \rightarrow F(A)\}_{A \in \text{Obj}(C)}.$$

Proof. The naturality condition for id_F is the requirement that, for each morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of C , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \text{id}_{F(A)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{F(B)} \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$

commutes, which follows from unitality of the composition of C . \square

²⁹*Further Terminology:* The morphism $\alpha_A: F_A \rightarrow G_A$ is called the **component of α at A** .


017U Definition 8.2.1.5. Two natural transformations $\alpha, \beta: F \Rightarrow G$ are **equal** if we have

$$\alpha_A = \beta_A$$

for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$.

017V 8.3 Vertical Composition of Natural Transformations

017W Definition 8.3.1.1. The **vertical composition** of two natural transformations $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ and $\beta: G \Rightarrow H$ as in the diagram



is the natural transformation $\beta \circ \alpha: F \Rightarrow H$ consisting of the collection

$$\{(\beta \circ \alpha)_A: F(A) \rightarrow H(A)\}_{A \in \text{Obj}(C)}$$

with

$$(\beta \circ \alpha)_A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_A \circ \alpha_A$$

for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$.

Proof. The naturality condition for $\beta \circ \alpha$ is the requirement that the boundary of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & (1) & \downarrow \alpha_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \\ \beta_A \downarrow & (2) & \downarrow \beta_B \\ H(A) & \xrightarrow{H(f)} & H(B) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since

1. Subdiagram (1) commutes by the naturality of α .

2. Subdiagram (2) commutes by the naturality of β .

so does the boundary diagram. Hence $\beta \circ \alpha$ is a natural transformation. \square

017X Proposition 8.3.1.2. Let \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{E} be categories.

017Y 1. *Functionality.* The assignment $(\beta, \alpha) \mapsto \beta \circ \alpha$ defines a function

$$\circ_{F,G,H}: \text{Nat}(G, H) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(F, H).$$

017Z 2. *Associativity.* Let $F, G, H, K: \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be functors. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Nat}(H, K) \times (\text{Nat}(G, H) \times \text{Nat}(F, G)) & \\
 \alpha_{\text{Nat}(H,K), \text{Nat}(G,H), \text{Nat}(F,G)}^{\text{Sets}} \swarrow \sim & & \searrow \text{id}_{\text{Nat}(H,K)} \times \circ_{F,G,H} \\
 (\text{Nat}(H, K) \times \text{Nat}(G, H)) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) & & \text{Nat}(H, K) \times \text{Nat}(F, H) \\
 \downarrow \circ_{G,H,K} \times \text{id}_{\text{Nat}(F,G)} & & \downarrow \circ_{F,H,K} \\
 \text{Nat}(G, K) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{F,G,K}} & \text{Nat}(F, K)
 \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given natural transformations

$$F \xRightarrow{\alpha} G \xRightarrow{\beta} H \xRightarrow{\gamma} K,$$

we have

$$(\gamma \circ \beta) \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ (\beta \circ \alpha).$$

0180 3. *Unitality.* Let $F, G: \mathcal{C} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

(a) *Left Unitality.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pt} \times \text{Nat}(F, G) & & \\
 \downarrow [\text{id}_G] \times \text{id}_{\text{Nat}(F,G)} & \searrow \lambda_{\text{Nat}(F,G)}^{\text{Sets}} \sim & \\
 \text{Nat}(G, G) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{F,G,G}} & \text{Nat}(F, G)
 \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given a natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$, we have

$$\text{id}_G \circ \alpha = \alpha.$$

(b) *Right Unitality.* The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(F, G) \times \text{pt} & & \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\text{Nat}(F, G)} \times [\text{id}_F] & \searrow \rho_{\text{Nat}(F, G)}^{\text{Sets}} & \\ \text{Nat}(F, G) \times \text{Nat}(F, F) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{F, F, G}^C} & \text{Nat}(F, G) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given a natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$, we have

$$\alpha \circ \text{id}_F = \alpha.$$

0181 4. *Middle Four Exchange.* Let $F_1, F_2, F_3: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G_1, G_2, G_3: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be functors. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\text{Nat}(G_2, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(G_1, G_2)) \times (\text{Nat}(F_2, F_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_2)) & \xleftarrow{\mu_4} & (\text{Nat}(G_2, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_2, F_3)) \times (\text{Nat}(G_1, G_2) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_2)) \\ \downarrow \circ_{G_1, G_2, G_3} \times \circ_{F_1, F_2, F_3} & & \downarrow \star_{F_2, F_3, G_2, G_3} \times \star_{F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2} \\ \text{Nat}(G_1, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_3) & & \text{Nat}(G_2 \circ F_2, G_3 \circ F_3) \times \text{Nat}(G_1 \circ F_1, G_2 \circ F_2) \\ \searrow \star_{F_1, F_3, G_1, G_3} & & \swarrow \circ_{G_1 \circ F_1, G_2 \circ F_2, G_3 \circ F_3} \\ & \text{Nat}(G_1 \circ F_1, G_3 \circ F_3) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & F_1 & & G_1 & \\ & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{F_2} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{G_2} & \mathcal{E} \\ & \downarrow \alpha' & & \downarrow \beta' & \\ & F_3 & & G_3 & \end{array}$$

in Cats_2 , we have

$$(\beta' \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \alpha) = (\beta' \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \alpha).$$

Proof. **Item 1, Functionality:** Clear.

Item 2, Associativity: Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((\gamma \circ \beta) \circ \alpha)_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma \circ \beta)_A \circ \alpha_A \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma_A \circ \beta_A) \circ \alpha_A \\
 &= \gamma_A \circ (\beta_A \circ \alpha_A) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_A \circ (\beta \circ \alpha)_A \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma \circ (\beta \circ \alpha))_A
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, showing the desired equality.

Item 3, Unitality: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{id}_G \circ \alpha)_A &= \text{id}_G \circ \alpha_A \\
 &= \alpha_A, \\
 (\alpha \circ \text{id}_F)_A &= \alpha_A \circ \text{id}_F \\
 &= \alpha_A
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, showing the desired equality.

Item 4, Middle Four Exchange: This is proved in **Item 4** of **Proposition 8.4.1.3**. \square

0182 8.4 Horizontal Composition of Natural Transformations

0183 Definition 8.4.1.1. The **horizontal composition**^{30,31} of two natural transformations $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ and $\beta: H \Rightarrow K$ as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & F & & H & \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{E} \\
 & \alpha \Downarrow & & \beta \Downarrow & \\
 & G & & K &
 \end{array}$$

of α and β is the natural transformation

$$\beta \star \alpha: (H \circ F) \Rightarrow (K \circ G),$$

³⁰*Further Terminology:* Also called the **Godement product** of α and β .

³¹Horizontal composition forms a map

$$\star_{(F,H),(G,K)}: \text{Nat}(H, K) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(H \circ F, K \circ G).$$

as in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H \circ F & \\ C & \begin{array}{c} \parallel \\ \beta \star \alpha \\ \Downarrow \end{array} & \mathcal{E} \\ & K \circ G & \end{array}$$

consisting of the collection

$$\{(\beta \star \alpha)_A : H(F(A)) \rightarrow K(G(A))\}_{A \in \text{Obj}(C)},$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{E} with

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta \star \alpha)_A &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_{G(A)} \circ H(\alpha_A) \\ &= K(\alpha_A) \circ \beta_{F(A)}, \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{H(\alpha_A)} & H(G(A)) \\ \beta_{F(A)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{G(A)} \\ K(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{K(\alpha_A)} & K(G(A)). \end{array}$$

Proof. First, we claim that we indeed have

$$\beta_{G(A)} \circ H(\alpha_A) = K(\alpha_A) \circ \beta_{F(A)}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{H(\alpha_A)} & H(G(A)) \\ \beta_{F(A)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{G(A)} \\ K(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{K(\alpha_A)} & K(G(A)). \end{array}$$

This is, however, simply the naturality square for β applied to the morphism $\alpha_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$. Next, we check the naturality condition for $\beta \star \alpha$, which is the requirement that the boundary of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(F(A)) & \xrightarrow{H(F(f))} & H(F(B)) \\ \downarrow H(\alpha_A) & (1) & \downarrow H(\alpha_B) \\ H(G(A)) & \xrightarrow{H(G(f))} & H(G(B)) \\ \downarrow \beta_{G(A)} & (2) & \downarrow \beta_{G(B)} \\ K(G(A)) & \xrightarrow{K(G(f))} & K(G(B)) \end{array}$$

commutes. Since

1. Subdiagram (1) commutes by the naturality of α .
2. Subdiagram (2) commutes by the naturality of β .

so does the boundary diagram. Hence $\beta \circ \alpha$ is a natural transformation.³² \square

0184 Definition 8.4.1.2. Let

$$\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\phi} \\ \alpha \Downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\psi} \end{array} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{Y}$$

be a diagram in \mathbf{Cats}_2 .

0185 1. The **left whiskering of α with G** is the natural transformation³³

$$\mathrm{id}_G \star \alpha: G \circ \phi \Longrightarrow G \circ \psi.$$

0186 2. The **right whiskering of α with F** is the natural transformation³⁴

$$\alpha \star \mathrm{id}_F: \phi \circ F \Longrightarrow \psi \circ F.$$

0187 Proposition 8.4.1.3. Let \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{E} be categories.

0188 1. *Functionality.* The assignment $(\beta, \alpha) \mapsto \beta \star \alpha$ defines a function

$$\star_{(F,G),(H,K)}: \mathrm{Nat}(H, K) \times \mathrm{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Nat}(H \circ F, K \circ G).$$

0189 2. *Associativity.* Let

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow[F_1]{G_1} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow[F_2]{G_2} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow[F_3]{G_3} \mathcal{F}$$

³²Reference: [Bor94, Proposition 1.3.4].

³³Further Notation: Also written $G\alpha$ or $G \star \alpha$, although we won't use either of these notations in this work.

³⁴Further Notation: Also written αF or $\alpha \star F$, although we won't use either of these notations in this work.

be a diagram in \mathbf{Cats}_2 . The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Nat}(F_3, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_2, G_2) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, G_1) & \xrightarrow{\star_{(F_2, G_2), (F_3, G_3)} \times \text{id}} & \text{Nat}(F_3 \circ F_2, G_3 \circ G_2) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, G_1) \\
 \downarrow \text{id} \times \star_{(F_1, G_1), (F_2, G_2)} & & \downarrow \star_{(F_3 \circ F_2), (G_3 \circ G_2, F_1, G_1)} \\
 \text{Nat}(F_3, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_2 \circ F_1, G_2 \circ G_1) & \xrightarrow{\star_{(F_2 \circ F_1), (G_2 \circ G_1, F_3, G_3)}} & \text{Nat}(F_3 \circ F_2 \circ F_1, G_3 \circ G_2 \circ G_1)
 \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{F_2} & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{F_3} & \mathcal{F} \\
 \alpha \Downarrow & & \beta \Downarrow & & \gamma \Downarrow & & \\
 C & \xrightarrow{G_1} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{G_2} & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{G_3} & \mathcal{F}
 \end{array}$$

we have

$$(\gamma \star \beta) \star \alpha = \gamma \star (\beta \star \alpha).$$

- 018A** 3. *Interaction With Identities.* Let $F: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be functors. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{pt} \times \text{pt} & \xrightarrow{[\text{id}_G] \times [\text{id}_F]} & \text{Nat}(G, G) \times \text{Nat}(F, F) \\
 \uparrow \wr & & \downarrow \star_{(F, F), (G, G)} \\
 \text{pt} & \xrightarrow{[\text{id}_{G \circ F}]} & \text{Nat}(G \circ F, G \circ F)
 \end{array}$$


commutes, i.e. we have

$$\text{id}_G \star \text{id}_F = \text{id}_{G \circ F}.$$

- 018B** 4. *Middle Four Exchange.* Let $F_1, F_2, F_3: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G_1, G_2, G_3: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be functors. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\text{Nat}(G_2, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(G_1, G_2)) \times (\text{Nat}(F_2, F_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_2)) & \xleftarrow{\mu_4} & (\text{Nat}(G_2, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_2, F_3)) \times (\text{Nat}(G_1, G_2) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_2)) \\
 \downarrow \circ_{G_1, G_2, G_3} \times \circ_{F_1, F_2, F_3} & & \downarrow \star_{F_2, F_3, G_2, G_3} \times \star_{F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2} \\
 \text{Nat}(G_1, G_3) \times \text{Nat}(F_1, F_3) & & \text{Nat}(G_2 \circ F_2, G_3 \circ F_3) \times \text{Nat}(G_1 \circ F_1, G_2 \circ F_2) \\
 & \searrow \star_{F_1, F_3, G_1, G_3} \quad \swarrow \circ_{G_1 \circ F_1, G_2 \circ F_2, G_3 \circ F_3} & \\
 & \text{Nat}(G_1 \circ F_1, G_3 \circ F_3) &
 \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e. given a diagram



in \mathbf{Cats}_2 , we have

$$(\beta' \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \alpha) = (\beta' \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \alpha).$$

Proof. **Item 1, Functionality:** Clear.


Item 2, Associativity: Omitted.

Item 3, Interaction With Identities: We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id}_G \star \mathrm{id}_F)_A &\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathrm{id}_G)_{F_A} \circ G_{(\mathrm{id}_F)_A} \\ &\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{id}_{G_{F_A}} \circ G_{\mathrm{id}_{F_A}} \\ &= \mathrm{id}_{G_{F_A}} \circ \mathrm{id}_{G_{F_A}} \\ &= \mathrm{id}_{G_{F_A}} \\ &\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} (\mathrm{id}_{G \circ F})_A \end{aligned}$$

for each $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(C)$, showing the desired equality.

Item 4, Middle Four Exchange: Let $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(C)$ and consider the diagram



The top composition

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G_1(F_3(A)) & & \\
 & \nearrow^{G_1(\alpha'_A)} & & \searrow_{\beta_{F_3(A)}} & \\
 G_1(F_1(A)) & \xrightarrow{G_1(\alpha_A)} & G_1(F_2(A)) & \text{(1)} & G_2(F_3(A)) \xrightarrow{\beta'_{F_3(A)}} G_3(F_3(A)). \\
 & \searrow_{\beta_{F_2(A)}} & & \nearrow_{G_2(\alpha'_A)} & \\
 & & G_2(F_2(A)) & &
 \end{array}$$

is given by $((\beta' \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \alpha))_A$, while the bottom composition

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & G_1(F_3(A)) & & \\
 & \nearrow^{G_1(\alpha'_A)} & & \searrow_{\beta_{F_3(A)}} & \\
 G_1(F_1(A)) & \xrightarrow{G_1(\alpha_A)} & G_1(F_2(A)) & \text{(1)} & G_2(F_3(A)) \xrightarrow{\beta'_{F_3(A)}} G_3(F_3(A)). \\
 & \searrow_{\beta_{F_2(A)}} & & \nearrow_{G_2(\alpha'_A)} & \\
 & & G_2(F_2(A)) & &
 \end{array}$$

is given by $((\beta' \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \alpha))_A$. Now, Subdiagram (1) corresponds to the naturality condition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G_1(F_2(A)) & \xrightarrow{G_1(\alpha'_A)} & G_1(F_3(A)) \\
 \beta_{F_2(A)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_{F_3(A)} \\
 G_2(F_2(A)) & \xrightarrow{G_2(\alpha'_A)} & G_2(F_3(A))
 \end{array}$$

for $\beta: G_1 \Rightarrow G_2$ at $\alpha'_A: F_2(A) \rightarrow F_3(A)$, and thus commutes. Thus we have

$$((\beta' \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \alpha))_A = ((\beta' \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \alpha))_A$$

for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and therefore

$$(\beta' \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \alpha) = (\beta' \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \alpha).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

018C **8.5 Properties of Natural Transformations**

018D **Proposition 8.5.1.1.** Let $F, G: C \rightrightarrows \mathcal{D}$ be functors. The following data are equivalent:³⁵

- 018E 1. A natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$.
- 018F 2. A functor $[\alpha]: C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{1}}$ filling the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \mathcal{D} \\
 & \nearrow F & \uparrow \text{ev}_0 \\
 C & \xrightarrow{[\alpha]} & \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{1}} \\
 & \searrow G & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\
 & & \mathcal{D}
 \end{array}$$

- 018G 3. A functor $[\alpha]: C \times \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ filling the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & & \\
 \uparrow \text{ev}_0 & \searrow F & \\
 C \times \mathbb{1} & \xrightarrow{[\alpha]} & \mathcal{D} \\
 \downarrow \text{ev}_1 & \nearrow G & \\
 C & &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. From *Item 1* to *Item 2* and Back: We may identify $\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{1}}$ with $\text{Arr}(\mathcal{D})$. Given

³⁵Taken from [MO 64365].

a natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$, we have a functor

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha]: C &\longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{1}} \\ A &\longmapsto \alpha_A \\ (f: A \rightarrow B) &\longmapsto \left(\begin{array}{ccc} F_A & \xrightarrow{F_f} & F_B \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_B \\ G_A & \xrightarrow{G_f} & G_B \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

making the diagram in [Item 2](#) commute. Conversely, every such functor gives rise to a natural transformation from F to G , and these constructions are inverse to each other.

From Item 2 to Item 3 and Back: This follows from [Item 3](#) of [Proposition 9.1.1.2](#).

□

018H 8.6 Natural Isomorphisms

Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories and let $F, G: C \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be functors.

018J Definition 8.6.1.1. A natural transformation $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ is a **natural isomorphism** if there exists a natural transformation $\alpha^{-1}: G \Rightarrow F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha &= \text{id}_F, \\ \alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} &= \text{id}_G. \end{aligned}$$

018K Proposition 8.6.1.2. Let $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ be a natural transformation.

018L 1. *Characterisations.* The following conditions are equivalent:

- 018M** (a) The natural transformation α is a natural isomorphism.
- 018N** (b) For each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, the morphism $\alpha_A: F_A \rightarrow G_A$ is an isomorphism.

018P 2. *Componentwise Inverses of Natural Transformations Assemble Into Natural Transformations.* Let $\alpha^{-1}: G \Rightarrow F$ be a transformation such that, for each $A \in \text{Obj}(C)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A^{-1} \circ \alpha_A &= \text{id}_{F(A)}, \\ \alpha_A \circ \alpha_A^{-1} &= \text{id}_{G(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then α^{-1} is a natural transformation.

Proof. Item 1, Characterisations: The implication **Item 1a** \implies **Item 1b** is clear, whereas the implication **Item 1b** \implies **Item 1a** follows from **Item 2**.

Item 2, Componentwise Inverses of Natural Transformations Assemble Into Natural Transformations: The naturality condition for α^{-1} corresponds to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \\ \alpha_A^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_B^{-1} \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \end{array}$$

for each $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C)$ and each $f \in \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$. Considering the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) & & \\ \alpha_A^{-1} \downarrow & (1) & \downarrow \alpha_B^{-1} & & \\ F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) & & \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & (2) & \downarrow \alpha_B & & \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B), & & \end{array}$$

where the boundary diagram as well as Subdiagram (2) commute, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(f) &= G(f) \circ \text{id}_{G(A)} \\ &= G(f) \circ \alpha_A \circ \alpha_A^{-1} \\ &= \alpha_B \circ F(f) \circ \alpha_A^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Postcomposing both sides with α_B^{-1} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_B^{-1} \circ G(f) &= \alpha_B^{-1} \circ \alpha_B \circ F(f) \circ \alpha_A^{-1} \\ &= \text{id}_{F(B)} \circ F(f) \circ \alpha_A^{-1} \\ &= F(f) \circ \alpha_A^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the naturality condition we wanted to show. Thus α^{-1} is a natural transformation. \square

018Q 9 Categories of Categories

018R 9.1 Functor Categories

Let C be a category and \mathcal{D} be a small category.

018S **Definition 9.1.1.1.** The **category of functors from C to \mathcal{D}** ³⁶ is the category $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ ³⁷ where

- *Objects.* The objects of $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ are functors from C to \mathcal{D} .
- *Morphisms.* For each $F, G \in \text{Obj}(\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D}))$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})}(F, G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nat}(F, G).$$

- *Identities.* For each $F \in \text{Obj}(\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D}))$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_F^{\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Nat}(F, F)$$

of $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ at F is given by

$$\text{id}_F^{\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{id}_F,$$

where $\text{id}_F : F \Rightarrow F$ is the identity natural transformation of F of **Example 8.2.1.4**.

- *Composition.* For each $F, G, H \in \text{Obj}(\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D}))$, the composition map

$$\circ_{F, G, H}^{\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})} : \text{Nat}(G, H) \times \text{Nat}(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Nat}(F, H)$$

of $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ at (F, G, H) is given by

$$\beta \circ_{F, G, H}^{\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})} \alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta \circ \alpha,$$

where $\beta \circ \alpha$ is the vertical composition of α and β of **Item 1 of Proposition 8.3.1.2**.

018T **Proposition 9.1.1.2.** Let C and \mathcal{D} be categories and let $F : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor.

³⁶Further Terminology: Also called the **functor category** $\text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$.

³⁷Further Notation: Also written \mathcal{D}^C and $[C, \mathcal{D}]$.

- 018U 1. *Functoriality.* The assignments $C, \mathcal{D}, (C, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ define functors

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Fun}(C, -_2) &: \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Cats}, \\ \text{Fun}(-_1, \mathcal{D}) &: \text{Cats}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cats}, \\ \text{Fun}(-_1, -_2) &: \text{Cats}^{\text{op}} \times \text{Cats} \rightarrow \text{Cats}.\end{aligned}$$

- 018V 2. *2-Functoriality.* The assignments $C, \mathcal{D}, (C, \mathcal{D}) \mapsto \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$ define 2-functors

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Fun}(C, -_2) &: \text{Cats}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cats}_2, \\ \text{Fun}(-_1, \mathcal{D}) &: \text{Cats}_2^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Cats}_2, \\ \text{Fun}(-_1, -_2) &: \text{Cats}_2^{\text{op}} \times \text{Cats}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cats}_2.\end{aligned}$$

- 018W 3. *Adjointness.* We have adjunctions

$$\begin{aligned}(C \times - \dashv \text{Fun}(C, -)) &: \text{Cats} \overset{C \times -}{\underset{\text{Fun}(C, -)}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Cats}, \\ (- \times \mathcal{D} \dashv \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, -)) &: \text{Cats} \overset{- \times \mathcal{D}}{\underset{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, -)}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Cats},\end{aligned}$$

witnessed by bijections of sets

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(\mathcal{D}, \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{E})), \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Cats}}(C, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})),\end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in \text{Obj}(\text{Cats})$.

- 018X 4. *2-Adjointness.* We have 2-adjunctions

$$\begin{aligned}(C \times - \dashv \text{Fun}(C, -)) &: \text{Cats}_2 \overset{C \times -}{\underset{\text{Fun}(C, -)}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Cats}_2, \\ (- \times \mathcal{D} \dashv \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, -)) &: \text{Cats}_2 \overset{- \times \mathcal{D}}{\underset{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, -)}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Cats}_2,\end{aligned}$$

witnessed by isomorphisms of categories

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Fun}(C \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) &\cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{E})), \\ \mathrm{Fun}(C \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) &\cong \mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})),\end{aligned}$$

natural in $C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats}_2)$.

- 018Y 5. *Interaction With Punctual Categories.* We have a canonical isomorphism of categories

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{pt}, C) \cong C,$$

natural in $C \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{Cats})$.

- 018Z 6. *Objectwise Computation of Co/Limits.* Let

$$D: I \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$$

be a diagram in $\mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$. We have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\lim(D)_A &\cong \lim_{i \in I}(D_i(A)), \\ \mathrm{colim}(D)_A &\cong \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I}(D_i(A)),\end{aligned}$$

naturally in $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(C)$.

- 0190 7. *Interaction With Co/Completeness.* If \mathcal{E} is co/complete, then so is $\mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{E})$.

- 0191 8. *Monomorphisms and Epimorphisms.* Let $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ be a morphism of $\mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 0192 (a) The natural transformation

$$\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$$

is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) in $\mathrm{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D})$.

- 0193 (b) For each $A \in \mathrm{Obj}(C)$, the morphism

$$\alpha_A: F_A \rightarrow G_A$$

is a monomorphism (resp. epimorphism) in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. **Item 1**, Functoriality: Omitted.

Item 2, 2-Functoriality: Omitted.

Item 3, Adjointness: Omitted.

Item 4, 2-Adjointness: Omitted.

Item 5, Interaction With Punctual Categories: Omitted.

Item 6, Objectwise Computation of Co/Limits: Omitted.

Item 7, Interaction With Co/Completeness: This follows from ??.

Item 8, Monomorphisms and Epimorphisms: Omitted. \square

0194 9.2 The Category of Categories and Functors

0195 **Definition 9.2.1.1.** The **category of (small) categories and functors** is the category \mathbf{Cats} where

- *Objects.* The objects of \mathbf{Cats} are small categories.
- *Morphisms.* For each $C, D \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}}(C, D) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Fun}(C, D)).$$

- *Identities.* For each $C \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$, the unit map

$$\mathbb{1}_C^{\mathbf{Cats}}: \mathbf{pt} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}}(C, C)$$

of \mathbf{Cats} at C is defined by

$$\mathrm{id}_C^{\mathbf{Cats}} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{id}_C,$$

where $\mathrm{id}_C: C \rightarrow C$ is the identity functor of C of **Example 4.1.1.4**.

- *Composition.* For each $C, D, E \in \mathbf{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats})$, the composition map

$$\circ_{C,D,E}^{\mathbf{Cats}}: \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}}(D, E) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}}(C, D) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}}(C, E)$$

of \mathbf{Cats} at (C, D, E) is given by

$$G \circ_{C,D,E}^{\mathbf{Cats}} F \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} G \circ F,$$

where $G \circ F: C \rightarrow E$ is the composition of F and G of **Definition 4.1.1.5**.

0196 **Proposition 9.2.1.2.** Let C be a category.

- 0197 1. *Co/Completeness*. The category \mathbf{Cats} is complete and cocomplete.
- 0198 2. *Cartesian Monoidal Structure*. The quadruple $(\mathbf{Cats}, \times, \text{pt}, \text{Fun})$ is a Cartesian closed monoidal category.

Proof. **Item 1**, *Co/Completeness*: Omitted.

Item 2, *Cartesian Monoidal Structure*: Omitted. \square

0199 9.3 The 2-Category of Categories, Functors, and Natural Transformations

019A **Definition 9.3.1.1.** The 2-category of (small) categories, functors, and natural transformations is the 2-category \mathbf{Cats}_2 where

- *Objects*. The objects of \mathbf{Cats}_2 are small categories.
- *Hom-Categories*. For each $C, \mathcal{D} \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats}_2)$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(C, \mathcal{D}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Fun}(C, \mathcal{D}).$$

- *Identities*. For each $C \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats}_2)$, the unit functor

$$\mathbb{1}_C^{\mathbf{Cats}_2} : \text{pt} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(C, C)$$

of \mathbf{Cats}_2 at C is the functor picking the identity functor $\text{id}_C : C \rightarrow C$ of C .

- *Composition*. For each $C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E} \in \text{Obj}(\mathbf{Cats}_2)$, the composition bifunctor

$$\circ_{C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\mathbf{Cats}_2} : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(C, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(C, \mathcal{E})$$

of \mathbf{Cats}_2 at $(C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is the functor where

- *Action on Objects*. For each object $(G, F) \in \text{Obj}(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(C, \mathcal{D}))$, we have

$$\circ_{C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(G, F) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G \circ F.$$

- *Action on Morphisms*. For each morphism $(\beta, \alpha) : (K, H) \Rightarrow (G, F)$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \times \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(C, \mathcal{D})$, we have

$$\circ_{C, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}}^{\mathbf{Cats}_2}(\beta, \alpha) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta \star \alpha,$$

where $\beta \star \alpha$ is the horizontal composition of α and β of **Definition 8.4.1.1**.

019B **Proposition 9.3.1.2.** Let C be a category.

019C 1. *2-Categorical Co/Completeness.* The 2-category Cats_2 is complete and co-complete as a 2-category, having all 2-categorical and bicategorical co/limits.

Proof. *Item 1, Co/Completeness:* Omitted. \square

019D 9.4 The Category of Groupoids

019E **Definition 9.4.1.1.** The **category of (small) groupoids** is the full subcategory Grpd of Cats spanned by the groupoids.

019F 9.5 The 2-Category of Groupoids

019G **Definition 9.5.1.1.** The **2-category of (small) groupoids** is the full sub-2-category Grpd_2 of Cats_2 spanned by the groupoids.

Appendices

A Other Chapters

Sets

1. Sets
2. Constructions With Sets
3. Pointed Sets
4. Tensor Products of Pointed Sets

Relations

5. Relations

6. Constructions With Relations

7. Equivalence Relations and Apartness Relations

Category Theory

8. Categories

Bicategories

9. Types of Morphisms in Bicategories

References

- [MO 119454] user30818. *Category and the axiom of choice*. MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/119454> (cit. on p. 49).
- [MO 321971] Ivan Di Liberti. *Characterization of pseudo monomorphisms and pseudo epimorphisms in Cat*. MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/321971> (cit. on p. 60).
- [MO 468121a] Emily de Oliveira Santos. *Characterisations of functors F such that F^* or F_* is [property], e.g. faithful, conservative, etc*. MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/468125> (cit. on pp. 47–49, 54–56, 61, 63, 66).
- [MO 468121b] Emily de Oliveira Santos. *Looking for a nice characterisation of functors F whose precomposition functor F^* is full*. MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/468121> (cit. on p. 42).
- [MO 468334] Emily de Oliveira Santos. *Is a pseudomonadic and pseudoepimorphic functor necessarily an equivalence of categories?* MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/468334> (cit. on p. 60).
- [MO 64365] Giorgio Mossa. *Natural transformations as categorical homotopies*. MathOverflow. URL: <https://mathoverflow.net/q/64365> (cit. on p. 78).
- [MSE 1465107] kilian. *Equivalence of categories and axiom of choice*. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/1465107> (cit. on p. 49).
- [MSE 733161] Stefan Hamcke. *Precomposition with a faithful functor*. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/733161> (cit. on p. 46).
- [MSE 733163] Zhen Lin. *Precomposition with a faithful functor*. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/733163> (cit. on p. 39).
- [MSE 749304] Zhen Lin. *If the functor on presheaf categories given by precomposition by F is $\mathbb{f}f$, is F full? faithful?* Mathematics Stack Exchange.

- URL: <https://math.stackexchange.com/q/749304> (cit. on p. 46).
- [Adá+01] Jiří Adámek, Robert El Bashir, Manuela Sobral, and Jiří Velebil. “On Functors Which Are Lax Epimorphisms”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 8 (2001), pp. 509–521. ISSN: 1201-561X (cit. on pp. 39, 42, 46).
- [Bor94] Francis Borceux. *Handbook of Categorical Algebra I*. Vol. 50. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Basic Category Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994, pp. xvi+345. ISBN: 0-521-44178-1 (cit. on p. 74).
- [BS10] John C. Baez and Michael Shulman. “Lectures on n -Categories and Cohomology”. In: *Towards higher categories*. Vol. 152. IMA Vol. Math. Appl. Springer, New York, 2010, pp. 1–68. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4419-1524-5_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1524-5_1). URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-1524-5_1 (cit. on p. 42).
- [DFH75] Aristide Deleanu, Armin Frei, and Peter Hilton. “Idempotent Triples and Completion”. In: *Math. Z.* 143 (1975), pp. 91–104. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: [10.1007/BF01173053](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01173053). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01173053> (cit. on p. 54).
- [Fre09] Jonas Frey. *On the 2-Categorical Duals of (Full and) Faithful Functors*. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=4c289321d622f8fcf947e7a7cfd1bdf75c95ca33>. Archived at <https://web.archive.org/web/20240331195546/https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=4c289321d622f8fcf947e7a7cfd1bdf75c95ca33>. July 2009. URL: <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1%5C&type=pdf%5C&doi=4c289321d622f8fcf947e7a7cfd1bdf75c95ca33> (cit. on pp. 39, 46).
- [Isb68] John R. Isbell. “Epimorphisms and Dominions. III”. In: *Amer. J. Math.* 90 (1968), pp. 1025–1030. ISSN: 0002-9327,1080-6377. DOI: [10.2307/2373286](https://doi.org/10.2307/2373286). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2373286> (cit. on p. 56).

- [Low15] Zhen Lin Low. *Notes on Homotopical Algebra*. Nov. 2015. URL: <https://zll22.user.srcf.net/writing/homotopical-algebra/2015-11-10-Main.pdf> (cit. on p. 46).
- [nLa24] nLab Authors. *Groupoid*. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/groupoid>. Oct. 2024 (cit. on p. 51).
- [nLab23] nLab Authors. *Skeleton*. 2024. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/skeleton> (cit. on p. 11).
- [Rie17] Emily Riehl. *Category Theory in Context*. Vol. 10. Aurora: Dover Modern Math Originals. Courier Dover Publications, 2017, pp. xviii+240. ISBN: 978-0486809038. URL: <http://www.math.jhu.edu/~eriehl/context.pdf> (cit. on p. 51).