

Technische Universität Berlin

Compiler Construction and Programming Languages
Prof. Dr. Peter Pepper · Secr. TEL12-2 · www.uebb.tu-berlin.de



Compiler Construction 1
Pepper · Rohloff

Summer term 2014 Handout: May 15, 2014 Submission: June 4, 2014

Milestone 1

Parser for µ-Opal

Assignment Parser

Implement a parser for the language μ -Opal.

- a) The EBNF of μ -Opal is written with readability in mind, not implementation. Transform it into an equivalent BNF.
- b) Design an abstract syntax for μ-Opal.
- c) Annotate your BNF with semantic actions, eliminate left-recursive productions, and perform left-factorization to obtain a grammar suitable for top-down parsing. Include the resulting grammar in your submission as a text or PDF file.
- d) Implement a recursive-descent parser (combinator-based or manually written) with *minimal* error handling.
- e) Write several test cases to demonstrate the functionality of your parser, especially error detection. Document for each test case the expected result as a comment in the .mo-file.

Hints for all milestones

The compiler project consists of the implementation of a parser, context checker, interpreter, and code generator for μ -Opal. A scanner as well as other parts of the compiler infrastructure are available from the ISIS page of the course.

The project is divided into four milestones:

Milestone 1 (June 4, 2014 10am) Implementation of the parser (25 credits)

Milestone 2 (June 18, 2014 10am) Implementation of the context checker (25 credits)

Milestone 3 (July 2, 2014 10am) Implementation of the interpreter (25 credits)

Milestone 4 (July 16, 2014 10am) Implementation of the code generator (25 credits)

For each milestone you are requested to submit an archive file $\langle group \ number \rangle$. zip on ISIS. Please make sure that the archive extracts to a subfolder $\langle group \ number \rangle$.

To gain full credit, the archive must contain

- all source files to compile that particular milestone with sbt, thoroughly commented, and
- several test cases as .mo-files containing μ -Opal code and comments on the expected test result.

The target and bin-subdirectories and other temporary files do *not* belong into the archive.

The language µ-Opal

 μ -Opal is a tiny functional language with first-order recursive functions over the primitive data types natural numbers and booleans. This is a typical μ -Opal program:

```
-- Calculate 1 * 2 * ... * (n-1) * n
DEF fac(n:nat):nat ==
   IF eq(n,0) THEN 1 ELSE mul(n, fac(sub(n, 1))) FI
DEF MAIN:nat == fac(8)
```

The special definition DEF MAIN: Type = Expr specifies the result value of the program. The string -- indicates a comment up to the end of the line.

Syntax The context-free syntax of μ -Opal is specified by the following EBNF:

```
Prog ::= Def + \#

Def ::= DEF Lhs == Expr

Lhs ::= MAIN : Type

| id ([id : Type (, id : Type)^*]) : Type

Type ::= nat | bool

Expr ::= number | true | false
| id [([Expr (, Expr)^*])]
| IF Expr THEN Expr [ELSE Expr] FI
```

Primitive functions A μ-Opal program may use the following primitive functions:

```
DEF add(X:nat, Y:nat):nat
DEF mul(X:nat, Y:nat):nat
DEF div(X:nat, Y:nat):nat
DEF eq(X:nat, Y:nat):bool
DEF and(X:bool, Y:bool):bool
DEF or(X:bool, Y:bool):bool
DEF not(X:bool):bool
```

Conditions for context correctness A context correct μ -Opal program satisfies the following conditions:

- There exists exactly one definition for MAIN.
- The names of all defined functions and the primitive functions are disjoint.
- The names of the parameters of the left-hand side of a definition are disjoint.
- The type of right-hand side of a definition is the same as the declared result type of its left-hand side.
- All expressions are well-typed:
 - A number is well-typed and has type nat.
 - true and false are well-typed and have type bool.
 - A variable id is well-typed if id is a parameter in the current context. Its type is the declared type of id.
 - A function call $id(expr_1, ..., expr_n)$ is well-typed if id is a defined or primitive function of n parameters of types $type_1, ..., type_n$ and $expr_i$ is of type $type_i$. The type of the function call is the return type of id.

- A conditional IF $expr_1$ THEN $expr_2$ ELSE $expr_3$ FI is well-typed if $expr_1$ has type bool and $expr_2$ and $expr_3$ have the same type. The type of the conditional is the common type of $expr_2$ and $expr_3$.

An assertion IF $expr_1$ THEN $expr_2$ FI is well-typed if $expr_1$ has type bool. The type of the assertion is the type of $expr_2$.

Evaluation We describe the evaluation of μ -Opal programs only for context-correct programs. The evaluation of a μ -Opal program is the transformation of an expression to a value (natural numbers of booleans).

A μ -Opal program returns the value of the right-hand side of the definition MAIN. The value of an expression is defined as follows:

- A number or boolean denotes its own value.
- Assume a function call $id(expr_1, ..., expr_n)$ and let val_i be the values of the argument $expr_i$.
 - If id is a primitive function the value of the call is the result returned by the predefined implementation of the primitive function with $val_1, ..., val_n$ as input.
 - If id is a defined function with right-hand side *expr* and parameters $x_1,...,x_n$ the value of the function call is $[x_1 \mapsto val_1,...,x_n \mapsto val_n]expr$.
- A conditional IF $expr_1$ THEN $expr_2$ ELSE $expr_3$ FI yields the value of $expr_2$ if $expr_1$ has the value true. Otherwise, it yields the value of $expr_3$.

If the ELSE-branch is missing and $expr_1$ has the value false this is an error.