

# Potato Pages Review Form

Reviewer Name<sup>1,2</sup>

1. First Affiliation

2. Second Affiliation

Please thoroughly review the submitted article, answer the following questions and record any corrections or suggestions to the author.

1. Does the article begin with the statement "consider a potato?"
2. Please clearly select one of the following regarding publication status for the reviewed article:
  - (a) Accept as is.
    - The article has no found errors.
    - The article is clear and concise throughout.
    - The article has a clear purpose and meaningful conclusion.
  - (b) Accept with minor revisions.
    - Meaning the article has minor spelling, typos or grammatical errors.
    - The article is clear throughout.
    - The article has a clear purpose and conclusion.
  - (c) Accept with major revisions.
    - Meaning there are many mistakes that need addressed with the article.
    - The article is not clear.
    - The article conclusion is not clear or meaningful.
  - (d) Reject with resubmission needed after major revisions/reanalysis.
    - There are clear errors with the logic, analysis, or arguments in the paper.
    - The article has no clear purpose.
    - There is no meaningful purpose to the conclusion of the article.
3. Please provide clear and concise feedback for revisions and issues with the submitted article. These should be listed and attached to this page or submitted as corrections to the original article pdf.
4. Should this article be considered for the Cachet Honors and Innovation Prize (CHIP)? The Potato CHIP is an award given to an individual or team of individuals based on their Potato Page submission. The award signifies a submission of superior quality.
5. Please send completed review to thepotatopages@gmail.com within the allotted time period assigned upon receiving the original article.

## References

- [1] LastName, FirstInitial. "Article Title." Potato Page Submission. Submitted on MM/DD/YYYY. Reviewed on MM/DD/YYYY.