

# Exponential Lower Bounds for Polytopes in Combinatorial Optimization

Vasilis Livanos and Manuel Torres

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

May 2, 2018

# Main Question

# Main Question

- Let's prove  $P = NP$ !

# Main Question

- Let's prove  $P = NP$ !
- Idea: Can we write a polynomial-size LP (Linear Program) for an NP-Complete problem (e.g. TSP)?

# Main Question

- Let's prove  $P = NP$ !
- Idea: Can we write a polynomial-size LP (Linear Program) for an NP-Complete problem (e.g. TSP)?
- Another idea: Encode a problem as a polytope that is the convex hull of the vertices corresponding to the solutions of the problem.

# Necessary Background

# Necessary Background

## Definition (Extended Formulation)

Let  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$  and  $Q = \{(x, y) : Bx + Cy \leq d\}$ . Then  $Q$  is an *extended formulation (EF)* of  $P$  if and only if

$$P = \{x : \exists y, (x, y) \in Q\}$$

The size of an extended formulation is the number of facets (faces of maximal dimension) of  $Q$ .

# Necessary Background

## Definition (Extended Formulation)

Let  $P = \{x : Ax \leq b\}$  and  $Q = \{(x, y) : Bx + Cy \leq d\}$ . Then  $Q$  is an *extended formulation (EF)* of  $P$  if and only if

$$P = \{x : \exists y, (x, y) \in Q\}$$

The size of an extended formulation is the number of facets (faces of maximal dimension) of  $Q$ .

## Definition (Extension Complexity)

The *extension complexity* of  $P$ , denoted  $xc(P)$ , is the minimum size EF of  $P$ .



# Extended Formulations Can Help

# Extended Formulations Can Help

- There are polytopes that have exponential size (i.e. number of facets), but have an EF with polynomial size.

# Extended Formulations Can Help

- There are polytopes that have exponential size (i.e. number of facets), but have an EF with polynomial size.
  - ① The permutahedron polytope, which is the convex hull of the permutation group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

# Extended Formulations Can Help

- There are polytopes that have exponential size (i.e. number of facets), but have an EF with polynomial size.
  - ① The permutahedron polytope, which is the convex hull of the permutation group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
  - ② The spanning tree polytope.

# Extended Formulations Can Help

- There are polytopes that have exponential size (i.e. number of facets), but have an EF with polynomial size.
  - 1 The permutahedron polytope, which is the convex hull of the permutation group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
  - 2 The spanning tree polytope.
  - 3 The matching polytope for planar graphs.

# Extended Formulations Can Help

- There are polytopes that have exponential size (i.e. number of facets), but have an EF with polynomial size.
  - ① The permutahedron polytope, which is the convex hull of the permutation group on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .
  - ② The spanning tree polytope.
  - ③ The matching polytope for planar graphs.
- Thus, even though these polytopes have exponential size, we can write a polynomial-size LP for them, through their EF.

# Prior Work

## Theorem (Yannakakis, '91)

*Every symmetric LP for TSP has extension complexity  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Thus, it also has an exponential number of inequalities.*



## Theorem (Yannakakis, '91)

*Every symmetric LP for TSP has extension complexity  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Thus, it also has an exponential number of inequalities.*

## Corollary

*No symmetric LP can be used to solve TSP in polynomial time.*

## Theorem (Yannakakis, '91)

*Every symmetric LP for TSP has extension complexity  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ . Thus, it also has an exponential number of inequalities.*

## Corollary

*No symmetric LP can be used to solve TSP in polynomial time.*

- Can we use an asymmetric LP to solve TSP in polynomial time?

# More Technical Background

## Definition (Nonnegative Rank)

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n}$ . The *nonnegative rank* of  $M$  is defined as  $\text{rank}_+(M) = \min\{r : M = TU, T \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times r}, U \in \mathbb{R}_+^{r \times n}\}$ .

# More Technical Background

## Definition (Nonnegative Rank)

Let  $M \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n}$ . The *nonnegative rank* of  $M$  is defined as  $\text{rank}_+(M) = \min\{r : M = TU, T \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times r}, U \in \mathbb{R}_+^{r \times n}\}$ .

## Definition (Slack Matrix)

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \leq b\} = \text{conv}(V)$ . Then  $S \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times n}$ , where each entry is defined as  $S_{ij} = b_i - A_i v_j$  with  $i \in [m]$ ,  $j \in [n]$ , is the *slack matrix* of  $P$  with respect to  $Ax \leq b$  and  $V$ .

# Yannakakis's Factorization Theorem

# Yannakakis's Factorization Theorem

## Theorem (Factorization Theorem, Yannakakis '91)

*Let  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid Ax \leq b\} = \text{conv}(V)$  be a polytope, and  $S$  be its slack matrix with respect to  $Ax \leq b$  and  $V$ . Then*

$$\text{xc}(P) = \text{rank}_+(S)$$

# Yannakakis's Factorization Theorem

## Theorem (Factorization Theorem, Yannakakis '91)

*Let  $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid Ax \leq b\} = \text{conv}(V)$  be a polytope, and  $S$  be its slack matrix with respect to  $Ax \leq b$  and  $V$ . Then*

$$\text{xc}(P) = \text{rank}_+(S)$$

- If we want to lower bound  $\text{xc}(P)$ , it suffices to lower bound  $\text{rank}_+(S)$ .



# Lower Bound on Nonnegative Rank

# Lower Bound on Nonnegative Rank

## Definition (Support Matrix)

The *support matrix* of a matrix  $M$  with nonnegative entries is

$$\text{suppmat}(M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & M_{ij} = 0 \\ 1 & M_{ij} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

# Lower Bound on Nonnegative Rank

## Definition (Support Matrix)

The *support matrix* of a matrix  $M$  with nonnegative entries is

$$\text{suppmat}(M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & M_{ij} = 0 \\ 1 & M_{ij} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

## Theorem (Yannakakis, '91)

Let  $M$  be a matrix with nonnegative entries, and  $\text{suppmat}(M)$  its support matrix. Then,  $\text{rank}_+(M)$  is lower bounded by the rectangle covering bound for  $\text{suppmat}(M)$ .

# Lower Bound on Nonnegative Rank

## Definition (Support Matrix)

The *support matrix* of a matrix  $M$  with nonnegative entries is

$$\text{suppmat}(M)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & M_{ij} = 0 \\ 1 & M_{ij} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

## Theorem (Yannakakis, '91)

Let  $M$  be a matrix with nonnegative entries, and  $\text{suppmat}(M)$  its support matrix. Then,  $\text{rank}_+(M)$  is lower bounded by the rectangle covering bound for  $\text{suppmat}(M)$ .

- This provides a connection between  $\text{xc}(P)$  and communication complexity.

# Reminder: Rectangle Covers

# Reminder: Rectangle Covers

## Definition (Rectangle Cover)

Let  $M \in \{0, 1\}^{2^n \times 2^n}$  with indices corresponding to bit strings. A *rectangle* is a subset of  $\{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n$ . We say that  $R \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n$  is a *b-monochromatic rectangle* for  $f$  if  $M_{xy} = b$  for all  $(x, y) \in R$ . We say that a set  $\mathcal{R}$  of *b-monochromatic rectangles* is a *b-rectangle cover* if  $\{(x, y) \in \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n : M_{xy} = b\} \subseteq \bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{R}} R$ .

# A Matrix of Exponential Nonnegative Rank

# A Matrix of Exponential Nonnegative Rank

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix, where each row (resp. column) is indexed by a  $n$ -bit string  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ), with

$$M_{ab} := \left(1 - a^T b\right)^2$$



# A Matrix of Exponential Nonnegative Rank

## Definition

Let  $M$  be a  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix, where each row (resp. column) is indexed by a  $n$ -bit string  $a$  (resp.  $b$ ), with

$$M_{ab} := \left(1 - a^T b\right)^2$$

## Theorem (De Wolf, '03)

*Every 1-monochromatic rectangle cover of  $\text{suppmat}(M)$  has size  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ .*

# TSP( $n$ ) Polytope

## Definition (TSP Polytope)

Let  $K_n = (V_n, E_n)$  be the complete graph with  $n$  vertices. The *Traveling Salesman Problem polytope*  $\text{TSP}(n)$  is defined as the convex hull of the characteristic vectors of all  $F \subseteq E_n$  such that  $F$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $K_n$ . In other words,

$$\text{TSP}(n) := \text{conv} \left( \left\{ \chi^F \in \mathbb{R}^{E_n} \mid F \subseteq E_n \text{ is a Hamiltonian cycle of } K_n \right\} \right).$$

# TSP( $n$ ) Polytope

## Definition (TSP Polytope)

Let  $K_n = (V_n, E_n)$  be the complete graph with  $n$  vertices. The *Traveling Salesman Problem polytope*  $\text{TSP}(n)$  is defined as the convex hull of the characteristic vectors of all  $F \subseteq E_n$  such that  $F$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $K_n$ . In other words,

$$\text{TSP}(n) := \text{conv} \left( \left\{ \chi^F \in \mathbb{R}^{E_n} \mid F \subseteq E_n \text{ is a Hamiltonian cycle of } K_n \right\} \right).$$

- Intuitively, the  $\text{TSP}(n)$  polytope is the polytope that has every Hamiltonian cycle of  $K_n$  as a vertex.

# TSP( $n$ ) Polytope

## Lemma

*For every  $n$ , there exists a positive integer  $q = O(n^2)$  such that  $\text{COR}(n)$  is contained in a face of  $\text{TSP}(q)$ .*

# TSP( $n$ ) Polytope

## Lemma

*For every  $n$ , there exists a positive integer  $q = O(n^2)$  such that  $\text{COR}(n)$  is contained in a face of  $\text{TSP}(q)$ .*

## Theorem

*The extension complexity of  $\text{TSP}(n)$  is  $\text{xc}(\text{TSP}(n)) = 2^{\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$ .*

# QUESTIONS ?

