Machine Learning EDS

Tutorial: Week 1

Janneke van Brummelen

Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Period 3.4 2023/2024

Practicalities

- You can find problems that will be discussed during tutorial on Canvas.
- Solutions will be posted after each tutorial.
- Advisable to come to tutorials, because will get more elaborate answers here.
- Strong advice: make the exercises before tutorial.
- Before we dive into exercises: warm-up quiz.

- 1 Warm-up Quiz
- 2 Problem 1
- 3 Problem 2
- 4 Problem 3

Consider the function $f: x \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto |x|$.

Which of the following statements is true?

- \blacksquare The function f is convex and concave.
- \blacksquare The function f is strictly convex.
- The function f is convex, but not strictly convex and not concave.

Consider the function $f: x \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \mapsto \ln(x)$, where $\mathbb{R}_{++} = (0, \infty)$.

Which of the following statements is true?

- \triangle The function f is convex, but not strictly convex.
- f B The function f is concave, but not strictly concave.
- $lue{c}$ The function f is strictly convex.
- ightharpoonup The function f is strictly concave.

Consider some function $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on the closed interval S = [-10, 10]. Is the following statement is true or false?

"The point $x^* \in S$ can only be a global minimum of f if it is a critical point."

True

False

Consider some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Consider two events $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ for which $A \cap B = C$ and $\mathbb{P}(C) > 0$.

Which of the following statements must be true?

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cup B) = \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$$

$$\mathbb{B} \ \mathbb{P}(A \cup B) < \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$$

$$\square$$
 $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) < \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B)$

(Both B and C are correct)

- 1 Warm-up Quiz
- 2 Problem 1
- 3 Problem 2
- 4 Problem 3

Problem 1.1

(Markov inequality) Let X be a real-valued non-negative random variable. Show that:

$$\forall a > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{a}.$$

Problem 1.2

(Corollary for sub-Gaussian random variables) A real-valued random variable X is said to be b-sub-Gaussian, b>0, if for any $s\in\mathbb{R}$ it holds that: $\mathbb{E}[\exp(sX)]\leq \exp\left(\frac{s^2b^2}{2}\right)$. It can be shown that any b-sub-Gaussian random variable X has $\mathbb{E}[X]=0$ and $\mathbb{V}{\rm ar}(X)\leq b^2$. Assume that X is b-sub-Gaussian and let a>0.

(a) First show that

$$\forall s > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq e^{\frac{s^2b^2}{2}-sa}.$$

(b) Deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq a) \leq \exp\bigg(-\frac{a^2}{2b^2}\bigg).$$

Hint: Notice that left-hand side in (a) does not depend on s.

- 1 Warm-up Quiz
- 2 Problem 1
- 3 Problem 2
- 4 Problem 3

Problem 2.1

(Sum of independent random variables) Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be n real-valued random variables. Assume that for any $i \leq n$, X_i is b_i -sub-Gaussian for some positive constant b_i .

Let
$$S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$$
.

- (a) Show that S_n is b-sub-Gaussian for some explicit positive constant b expressed in terms of b_1, \ldots, b_n .
- (b) Deduce the concentration inequalities

$$\forall a>0, \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n \geq a) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(S_n \leq -a) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{a^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2}\right).$$

(c) Deduce a concentration inequality for $|S_n|$. Hint: Notice that $\{|S_n| \ge a\}$ and $\{S_n \ge a\} \cup \{S_n \le -a\}$ are the same event.

Problem 2.2

(Gaussian case)

- (a) Let X be a Gaussian random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Show that $X \mathbb{E}[X]$ is b-sub-Gaussian for some explicit positive constant b expressed in terms of σ .
- (b) Deduce a concentration inequality for a sum of n independent Gaussian random variables $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i \mathbb{E}[X_i]\right)$, where $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$.

- 1 Warm-up Quiz
- 2 Problem 1
- 3 Problem 2
- 4 Problem 3

In this exercise, we will derive **Hoeffding's inequality**: provides an upper bound on the probability that the sum of bounded independent random variables deviate from its expectation by more than some amount.

Say we have n independent random variables $X_i \in [c_i, d_i]$, and we denote their sum by $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$, then Hoeffding's inequality reads for any t > 0:

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n - \mathbb{E}(S_n) \ge t) \le \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - c_i)^2}\right)$$

Let X be a bounded random variable such that $X \in [c,d]$, for some constants c < d, and $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$. The goal is to show that X is b-sub-Gaussian for some explicit parameter b, and deduce concentration inequalities for sum of independent bounded random variables.

3.1 First show that for any $x \in [c, d]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$e^{tx} \le \frac{d-x}{d-c}e^{tc} + \frac{x-c}{d-c}e^{td}$$

Hint: Use $x = \frac{d}{d-c}x - \frac{c}{d-c}x = \frac{d-x}{d-c}c + \frac{x-c}{d-c}d$ and convexity of $f(x) = e^x$.

3.2 Deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq \frac{d}{d-c}e^{tc} + \frac{-c}{d-c}e^{td}.$$

3.3 Letting h := t(d-c), $p := \frac{-c}{d-c}$ and $L : h \mapsto L(h) := -hp + \ln(1-p+pe^h)$, check that $e^{L(h)} = \frac{d}{d-c}e^{tc} + \frac{-c}{d-c}e^{td}.$

which implies that $\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq e^{L(h)}$.

- 3.4 Considering the function $L: h \mapsto L(h)$, show that L(0) = L'(0) = 0, and that for any $h, L''(h) \le 1/4$.
- 3.5 Using a second order Taylor approximation, deduce that for any *h*:

$$L(h) \leq \frac{h^2}{8}$$
.

- 3.6 Conclude that *X* is *b*-sub-Gaussian for some explicit positive constant *b* expressed in terms of *c* and *d*.
- 3.7 How is the previous result modified if $\mathbb{E}[X] \neq 0$?

3.8 Letting X_1, \ldots, X_n be n independent bounded random variables such that for any $i, X_i \in [c_i, d_i]$, deduce concentration inequalities for $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mathbb{E}[X_i])$ and $|S_n|$.