## 1 Fitting the Reflux Data Semi-Parametrically

In section ?? the Reflux data was discussed. An ODE model along the lines of  $y' = \beta y + u_0$  as described in Equation ?? was fitted to the data. Next, Data2LD was used to fit a sophisticated semi-parameteric model where the ODE  $y'(t) = \beta(t) + u(t)$  only holds approximately.

For the purposes of introducing some of the ideas behind Data2LD, an ODE model that lies between these two extremes will be briefly examined in this section. The ODE model we have in mind takes the form:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = \begin{cases} \beta_1 y(t) & t < t_0 \\ \beta_1 y(t) + u(t) & t \ge t_0 \end{cases}$$
$$y(0) = \beta_0$$

Here, the forcing function u(t) is unknown and must be estimated along with  $\beta$ , so this is a semi-parametric model. How would one go about fitting this model?

The general solution to this ODE given by:

$$y(t) = \beta_0 + e^{\beta_1 t} \int_{t_0}^{\min(t, t_0)} u(s) ds$$

As before, the breakpoint at  $t_0$  will be assumed fixed in advance. Letting  $U(t) = \int u(s)ds$ , the general solution can be more conveniently written as:

$$y(t) = \beta_0 + e^{\beta_1 t} U(t) H(t - t_0)$$

Here H(t) denotes the Heaviside step function:

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \le 0\\ 1 & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Let I denote the set of observations for which  $t_i > t_0$ . If  $\beta$  were known, U(t) could estimated by non-parameterically regressing the values  $(y_i - \beta_0)/e^{\beta_1 t_i}$  against  $t_i$  where  $i \in I$ . The forcing function u(t) could then be estimated by differentiating the estimate for U(t).

If U(t) were known on the other hand,  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  could be estimated by minimising the following non-linear least squares criterion:

$$SSE(\beta_0, \beta_1; U(t)) = \sum_{i \in I} (y_i - \beta_0)^2 + \sum_{i \in I} [y_i - \beta_0 - e^{\beta_1 t_i} U(t_i)]^2$$

A hierarchical estimation strategy seems natural. For a given choice of  $\beta$ , let  $U(t|\beta_0,\beta_1)$  denote the estimate of U(t) produced by regressing the  $(y_i-\beta_0)/e^{\beta_1 t_i}$  against  $t_i$ . Define the associated least squares error by:

$$H(\beta_0, \beta_1) = SSE(\beta; U(t|\beta_0, \beta_1))$$

$$= \sum_{i \notin I} (y_i - \beta_0)^2 + \sum_{i \in I} [y_i - \beta_0 - e^{\beta_1 t_i} U(t|\beta_0, \beta_1)]^2$$

The sketch presented here fails to address two important questions: how to to estimate U(t) given  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , and how to optimise  $H(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ . Using the nomenclature of the field, there are two fitting problems, where the results of one is used as a covariate by the other. The *inner problem* entails estimating U(t) given the parameters  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ , and the *outer problem* entails minimising  $H(\beta)$ .

<code>Data2LD</code> employs a powerful hierarchical fitting methodology for FDA problems known as the  $Parameter\ Cascade$ .