FEARLESS CONCURRENCY

OR HOW CAN THE COMPILER SAVE US?

A PAPER PRESENTATION ABOUT UNIQUENESS AND REFERENCE IMMUTABILITY

Thomas Lacroix KTH – Pardis 18

25 09 2018

MAIN REFERENCE



COLIN S. GORDON, MATTHEW PARKINSON, JARED PARSONS, ALEKS BROMFIELD, AND JOE DUFFY.

UNIQUENESS AND REFERENCE IMMUTABILITY FOR SAFE PARALLELISM. Technical report, October 2012.

Problem

We work for the social care. We would like to sort all the ages of Sweden citizens. Can you do that for us?

Ok, so there are about 10M people in Sweden.


$O(\log(N))$	20
O(N)	10,000,000
$O(N \cdot \log(N))$	200,000,000
$O(N^2)$	100,000,000,000,000


```
class OOneAgeSort implements SortAlgorithm {
   final int MIN AGE = 0;
   final int MAX AGE = 300;
   aOverride
   public void sort(int[] list) {
      int[] age_count = new int[MAX_AGE-MIN_AGE+1];
      for (int age : list) {
         age count[age]++;
      int k = 0:
      for (int i = 0; i < age count.length; i++) {</pre>
         for (int j = 0; j < age_count[i]; j++) {</pre>
            list[k++] = i;
} } }
```




Age	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Count	0	0	1	0	5	2	1	3	4	0	4	2	0	0	1	0

Well done!







No problem, we can just split the list and have multiple threads go though all the data!

```
class ParallelLinearAgeSort implements SortAlgorithm {
     public void sort(int[] list) {
        int[] age count = new int[MAX AGE-MIN AGE+1];
        Thread[] ts = new Thread[NUM THREADS];
        for (int t = 0; t < ts.length; t++) {</pre>
          int begin i = t;
          ts[t] = new Thread(() -> {
            for (int i = begin_i; i < ts.length; i += NUM_THREADS)</pre>
               age count[list[i]]++;
          }):
          ts[t].start();
       for (Thread t : ts) t.join();
        // ...
16
```

Ն



Photo courtesy of National Nuclear Security Administration / Nevada Site Office [Public domain], via Wikimedia Commons

So, what happened?

 \Rightarrow There is a data race.


What is a data race?

Definition


Data races are defined as:

- two or more threads concurrently accessing a location of memory,
- one of them is a write,
- one of them is unsynchronized.

From: Rustonomicon > Races



So far so good, the threads operate on distinct cells.



Oups, they work on the same memory location.

Could we have seen it right away? Yes.

Why?

 \Rightarrow Threads work on the same memory location.

But that's basic stuff, right?

 \Rightarrow So, why does that even compile?

Is racy code desirable? Yes / No.

Why? Because it's Undefined Behaviour.

 \Rightarrow A compiler can **forbid** UB.

Our problem

How can a compiler detect data races?

Definition

Data races are defined as:

- two or more threads concurrently accessing the same memory location,
- one of them is a write,
- one of them is unsynchronized.

From: Rustonomicon > Races

```
class ParallelLinearAgeSort implements SortAlgorithm {
     public void sort(int[] list) {
        int[] age count = new int[MAX AGE-MIN AGE+1];
        Thread[] ts = new Thread[NUM THREADS];
        for (int t = 0; t < ts.length; t++) {</pre>
          int begin i = t;
          ts[t] = new Thread(() -> {
            for (int i = begin_i; i < ts.length; i += NUM_THREADS)</pre>
               age count[list[i]]++;
          });
          ts[t].start();
        for (Thread t : ts) t.join();
        // ...
16
```

age_count is accessed by all threads

age_count is accessed by all threads

In other words, two threads share a writeable reference on the same memory location.

The paper presents a **type system** to **restrict updates** to memory to prevent (some) race conditions.

They provide a novel combination of **immutable** and **unique** (isolated) types that ensure **safe parallelism** (race freedom and deterministic execution).


Reference immutability is based on a set of permission-qualified types. The system has four qualifiers:

- writable: An "ordinary" object reference, which allows mutation of its referent.
- readable: A read-only reference, which allows no mutation of its referent. Furthermore, no heap traversal through a read-only reference produces a writable reference (writable references to the same objects may exist and be reachable elsewhere, just not through a readable reference). A readable reference may also refer to an immutable object.

■ immutable: A read-only reference which additionally notes that its referent can never be mutated through any reference. Immutable references may be aliased by readable or immutable references, but no other kind of reference. All objects reachable from an immutable reference are also immutable.

• isolated: An external reference to an externally- unique object cluster. External uniqueness naturally captures thread locality of data. An externally-unique aggregate is a cluster of objects that freely reference each other, but for which only one external reference into the aggregate exists. All paths to non-immutable objects reachable from the isolated reference pass through the isolated reference.

isolated references must be converted through subtyping to another permission before use.



The objective now is to assemble a set of rules to:

- control where modifications can occur
- guarantee that modifications cannot occur in some situations

For example, a developer can be sure that a library call to a static method with the type signature

```
int countElements(readable ElementList lst);
```

will not modify the list or its elements (through the lst reference). Accessing any field of the argument lst through the readable reference passed will produce other readable (or immutable) references.

For example, a developer could not implement count Elements () like so:

```
int countElements(readable ElementList lst)
{ lst.head = null; return 0; }
```

because the compiler would issue a **type error**. In fact, **any attempt** within countElements() **to modify** the list would result in a **type error**, because lst is **deeply (transitively) read-only**, and writes through read-only references are prohibited.


This can remind you of the const modifier in C++.

The isolated permission is a novelty of this work, and is particularly important for two reasons.

First, they support natural safe parallelism. Here, threads cannot interfere with each other, because they work on distinct memory locations.

```
isolated IntList l1 = ...;
isolated IntList l2 = ...;
{ l1.map(new Incrementor()); }
{ l2.map(new Incrementor()); }
```

Second, as the whole object graph behind an isolated reference is only accessible though an **unique** reference, it can be converted to other permissions, like writable, at once.



The paper provides a set of subtyping rules (remember, it's a type system). Here are a few:

■ it is impossible to acquire a writable reference to an immutable type.

```
immutable IntList l = generateRange(0, 100);

// default permission is writable
IntList mutRef = l; // <- this is forbidden, type error!

mutRef.set(2, -1);</pre>
```

isolated references must be converted before any use, and such a conversion is destructive.

```
isolated IntList l = ...;
// update l's permission to writable
writable IntList l2 = l;
print(l2.get(3)); // ok
l.head = ...; // Type Error!
```

immutable and isolated references can be recovered under conditions.

```
isolated IntBox increment(isolated IntBox b) {
    // implicitly convert b to writable
    b.value++;


// convert b *back* to isolated
    return b;
}
```


Is it safe? Yes: there is only one writable reference to b.

Note: The language doesn't allow mutable global variables.

```
isolated Bar foo(isolated Bar a, isolated Bar b) {
    a.baz = b;
    return b;
}
```

What about this?






The call to foo():

```
isolated IntBox a = ...;
isolated IntBox b = ...;

// here, a and b are consumed
isolated IntBox r = foo(a, b);


a.x; // Type Error, a has been consumed

// only r is valid now
```



The paper presents two forms of parallelism:

- Symmetric: Assuming that at most one thread may hold writable references to an object at a given point in time, then while all writable references in a context are temporarily forgotten, it becomes safe to share all read-only or immutable references among multiple threads, in addition to partitioning externally-unique clusters between threads.
- Asymmetric: If all data accessible to a new thread is immutable or from externally-unique clusters which are made inaccessible to the spawning thread, then the new and old threads may run in parallel without interference.



Symmetric parallelism


```
x = new Integer(); x.val = 3;

y = x; z = x;
// y and z are readable aliases of x

a = new Integer(); b = new Integer();
// a and b are isolated

// frame away writable references (x)
{ a.val = y.val; } || { b.val = z.val; }
// get back writable references (x)

x.val = 4;
```




Asymmetric parallelism

41

1 is the red thread 2 is the blue thread

Then the paper formalizes all the typing rules. For example:



But there are only two rules for parallelism:

$$\frac{\mathsf{NoWrit}(\Gamma_1) \ \mathsf{NoWrit}(\Gamma_2) \ \Gamma_1 \vdash C_1 \dashv \Gamma_1' \ \Gamma_2 \vdash C_2 \dashv \Gamma_2'}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash C_1 || C_2 \dashv \Gamma_1', \Gamma_2'} \mathsf{T-PAR}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{IsoOrlmm}(\Gamma_1) \ \Gamma_1 \vdash C_1 \dashv \Gamma_1' \ \Gamma_2 \vdash C_2 \dashv \Gamma_2'}{\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash C_1 || C_2 \dashv \Gamma_1', \Gamma_2'} \ \mathsf{T-ASYNC}$$

where $\mathsf{NoWrit}(\Gamma) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \forall (x:p\,T) \in \Gamma.\, p \neq \mathtt{writable}$

Figure 8. Type rules for safe parallelism. IsoOrlmm is defined in Figure 7

So, what is the problem here?

```
immutable IntList l = getAgeData();
writable IntList counters = new IntList():
   for (int i = 0; i < l.length / 2; i++)</pre>
      counters.inc(l.get(i));
   for (int i = l.length / 2; i < l.length; i++)</pre>
      counters.inc(l.get(i));
writable Int k = 0;
writable IntList sorted = new IntList();
for (int i = 0; i < MAX AGE; i++)
   for (int j = 0; j < counters.get(i); j++)</pre>
      sorted.set(k++, i);
```

So, what is the problem here?

```
immutable IntList l = getAgeData();
writable IntList counters = new IntList():
   for (int i = 0; i < l.length / 2; i++)</pre>
      counters.inc(l.get(i)); // Type Error
   for (int i = l.length / 2; i < l.length; i++)</pre>
      counters.inc(l.get(i)); // Type Error
writable Int k = 0;
writable IntList sorted = new IntList();
for (int i = 0; i < MAX AGE; i++)
   for (int j = 0; j < counters.get(i); j++)</pre>
      sorted.set(k++, i);
```

```
immutable IntList l = getAgeData();
isolated IntList c1 = new IntList(), c2 = new IntList();
   for (int i = 0; i < l.length / 2; i++)</pre>
      c1.inc(l.get(i));
   for (int i = l.length / 2; i < l.length; i++)</pre>
      c2.inc(l.get(i));
writable Int k = 0;
writable IntList sorted = new IntList();
for (int i = 0; i < MAX AGE; i++)
   for (int j = 0; j < c1.get(i) + c2.get(i); j++)
      sorted.set(k++, i);
```

