

①

The Fokas transform method for linear evolution equations in 1+1

1. The Introduction

1.1 Review of Fourier transform methods for linear evolution equations

Consider 1D linear heat problem:

$$[\partial_t - \partial_{xx}] q(x, t) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, T) \quad (\text{PDE})$$

$$q(x, 0) = q_0(x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$q(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{BC})$$

where $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ known and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \forall j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} x^k \varphi^{(j)}(x) = 0 \}$

ie φ and all derivatives decay faster than all polynomials, the Schwartz space, the space of rapidly decaying functions.

Take Fourier transform in space:

$$\widehat{\partial_t q}(\lambda; t) - \widehat{\partial_{xx} q}(\lambda; t) = 0, \quad \text{where } \hat{\cdot} \text{ is defined as}$$

$$\hat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} \varphi(x) dx$$

Note that the smoothness of q ensures

Fourier transform is a linear operator

(2)

2018-07-12

But "The Fourier transform turns differentiation into multiplication"

"The Fourier transform diagonalises the derivative operator"

Precisely, $\widehat{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \varphi}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} \varphi''(x) dx$

$$= \left[e^{-i\lambda x} (\varphi'(x) + i\lambda \varphi(x)) \right]_{x=-\infty}^{x=\infty} - \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} \varphi(x) dx$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{-i\lambda x} (\varphi'(x) + i\lambda \varphi(x)) \right] - \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \left[e^{-i\lambda y} (\varphi'(y) + i\lambda \varphi(y)) \right] - \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}(\lambda)$$

oscillatory (pointing to the limit terms) and decaying (pointing to the integral term)

$$= 0 - 0 - \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}(\lambda), \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

So $\frac{d}{dt} \hat{q}(\lambda; t) + \lambda^2 \hat{q}(\lambda; t) = 0$. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, this is an ODE for $\hat{q}(\lambda; \cdot)$.

interchange of limits requires some assumption of smoothness in t .

Let's just suppose it works.

Solve ODE: $\Rightarrow \hat{q}(\lambda; t) = e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{q}(\lambda; 0) = e^{-\lambda^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} q(x, 0) dx$

By initial condition \downarrow $e^{-\lambda^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda x} q_0(x) dx = e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda)$

$$\Rightarrow q(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x - \lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

By inverse Fourier transform.

(3)

2018-07-12

All done under assumption \exists such q . But under that assumption, we have

- Solution formula
- Uniqueness of such q

Now, to justify existence, let $u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x - \lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda) d\lambda$ the solution formula we derived

and show u satisfies the problem for q .

IC: $u(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} \hat{q}_0(\lambda) d\lambda = q_0(x)$ by validity of inverse Fourier transform formula on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

BC: $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \hat{q}_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

as Fourier transform is an automorphism on Schwartz space.

$\Rightarrow \forall t \in [0, T] \quad e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$\Rightarrow \forall t \in [0, T] \quad \widehat{e^{-\lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

as Fourier transform is an automorphism on Schwartz space.

$\Rightarrow \forall t \in [0, T] \quad 2\pi u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$\Rightarrow \forall t \in [0, T] \quad u(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

PDE: $u_t = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\lambda^2 e^{i\lambda x - \lambda^2 t} \hat{q}_0(\lambda) d\lambda = u_{xx}$ as integral converges uniformly by $\hat{q}_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

u satisfies the problem, so it is unique by earlier argument, and we have explicit (double integral) expression for u .

④

Now ~~let us~~ consider half line ~~Dirichlet~~ homogeneous Dirichlet heat problem.

$$[\partial_t - \partial_{xx}] q(x, t) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, T) \quad (\text{PDE})$$

$$q(x, 0) = q_0(x) \quad x \in [0, \infty) \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$q(0, t) = 0, \quad q(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{S}[0, \infty) \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{BC})$$

where $q_0 \in \mathcal{S}[0, \infty)$ known and ~~$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) = \{\varphi : \exists \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$~~

$$\mathcal{S}[0, \infty) = \{\varphi = \psi|_{[0, \infty)} : \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})\} \quad \text{i.e. smooth functions on the half line rapidly decaying at } +\infty.$$

Interaction of half line Fourier transform with ~~Dirichlet~~ Dirichlet heat half line derivative operator:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \varphi}(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-i\lambda x} \varphi''(x) dx \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[e^{-i\lambda x} (\varphi'(x) + i\lambda \varphi(x)) \right] - (\varphi'(0) + i\lambda \varphi(0)) \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) \\ &= 0 - \varphi'(0) - i\lambda 0 - \lambda^2 \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

So the ODE from applying spatial Fourier transform to (PDE) is not

so simple: ~~$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{q}(\lambda; t) + \lambda^2 \hat{q}(\lambda; t) + \hat{q}_x(0, t) q_x(0, t) = 0$~~

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{q}(\lambda; t) + \lambda^2 \hat{q}(\lambda; t) + q_x(0, t) = 0$$

⑤

2018-07-13

Try a different idea: Fourier sine transform?

$$[\mathcal{F}_s \varphi](\lambda) := \int_0^{\infty} \sin(\lambda x) \varphi(x) dx,$$

has the property

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}_s \varphi''](\lambda) &= \int_0^{\infty} \sin(\lambda x) \varphi''(x) dx \\ &= \left[\sin(\lambda x) \varphi'(x) - \lambda \cos(\lambda x) \varphi(x) \right]_{x=0}^{x=\infty} - \lambda^2 \int_0^{\infty} \sin(\lambda x) \varphi(x) dx \\ &= 0 - \sin(0) \varphi'(0) + \lambda \cos(0) \varphi(0) - \lambda^2 [\mathcal{F}_s \varphi](\lambda) \\ &= -\lambda^2 [\mathcal{F}_s \varphi](\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

So we get a simple ODE in t for ~~$\varphi(x,t)$~~ $[\mathcal{F}_s \varphi(\cdot; t)](\lambda)$,

and proceed as before.

Similarly, for the half line ~~homogeneous~~ homogeneous Neumann heat problem, we use the Fourier cosine transform, and h-transforms can be used for Robin problems.

Conclusions

Fourier transform methods:

- ① Choose the "right" version of the Fourier transform by studying how it interacts with the boundary conditions.
- ② Assume the problem has a solution. Obtain an explicit formula representing that solution. This argument gives uniqueness for free.
- ③ Take the formula obtained above, and show that function so defined solves the problem.

1.2 Review of Fourier series methods for linear evolution equations

Finite interval homogeneous Dirichlet heat problem.

$$[\partial_t - \partial_{xx}]q(x, t) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T) \quad (\text{PDE})$$

$$q(x, 0) = q_0(x) \quad x \in [0, 1] \quad (\text{IC})$$

$$q(0, t) = 0, \quad q(1, t) = 0 \quad t \in [0, T] \quad (\text{BC})$$

Sketch of argument (partial)

- Separate variables
- Solve temporal ODE
- Solve spatial Sturm-Liouville Problem
- ~~Assume~~ Assume the problem has a solution and that solution is expressible as an expansion in the solutions of the SLP.

ie the eigenfunctions of the SLP are a complete system.

...

But this assumption is not always reasonable. For problems of ~~order~~ spatial order 2, ^{classical} the Sturm-Liouville ~~the~~ theory guarantees the completeness. But not for 3rd order (Jackson 1918, Hopkins 1919)

Conclusions

This argument relies on an extra completeness assumption (disadvantage)

- solving a SLP is algorithmic, while choosing the "right" kind of Fourier transform is difficult.

Aim

Devise a method, applicable ~~to~~ to finite interval problems, but without the "requires completeness" requirement. Should work for problems of arbitrary spatial order with arbitrary linear boundary conditions.

2. The ~~old~~ Edas unified transform method via ad-hoc derivation


2.1 ~~Sketch~~ A 3 stage method.

Here the method is viewed as an ad-hoc extension of the Fourier transform method. Because the "right" integral transform is not known in advance, it is not exactly analogous to the ~~known~~ Fourier transform methods studied in §1.1.

Stage 1 Assume the problem has a solution. Obtain

(i) Ehrenpreis Form: a formula for the solution in terms of complex contour integrals of transforms the initial datum and boundary values.

(ii) Global Relation: an equation relating transforms of the solution on boundaries of the space-time domain.

Stage 2 Continue under the existence assumption.  Use the boundary conditions and global relation to ~~specify~~ obtain expressions for transforms of the boundary values and substitute into the Ehrenpreis form to obtain an effective integral representation of the solution. ~~Then~~ We now have uniqueness & solution representation, under assumption of existence.