

Construction of Adjoint Problem

Consider a closed interval $[a, b]$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let the differential operator be defined as

$$L := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^k, \text{ where } a_k(t) \in C^\infty[a, b] \text{ and } a_n(t) \neq 0 \forall t \in [a, b].$$

Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\pi = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b\}$ be a partition of $[a, b]$. Consider a homogeneous multipoint BVP of rank m

$$\pi_m : Lq = 0, \quad Uq = \vec{0},$$

where $U = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ is a multipoint boundary form with

$$U_i(q) = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{ijl} f_l^{(j)}(x_{l-1}) + \beta_{ijl} f_l^{(j)}(x_l)], \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

where $\alpha_{ijl}, \beta_{ijl} \in \mathbb{R}, q \in H^n(\pi)$, as given in Locker's paper [2]. Our goal is to construct the adjoint multipoint BVP to π_m

$$\pi_{2nk-m}^+ : L^+q = 0, \quad U^+q = \vec{0},$$

where L^+ is the adjoint of L , and U^+ is an appropriate multipoint boundary form.

Green's Formula

For any $f, g \in H^n(\pi)$, application of Green's formula yields

$$\langle Lf, g \rangle - \langle f, L^+g \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{p,q=0}^{n-1} [F_{pq}(x_l) f_l^{(p)}(x_l) g_l^{(q)}(x_l) - F_{pq}(x_{l-1}) f_l^{(p)}(x_{l-1}) g_l^{(q)}(x_{l-1})],$$

where $F(t)$ denotes an $n \times n$ boundary matrix at the point $t \in [a, b]$. From [1, p. 1286], the entries of $F(t)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{pq}(t) &= \sum_{k=j}^{n-p-1} (-1)^k \binom{k}{j} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^{k-j} a_{p+k+1}(t), & p+q < n-1 \\ F_{pq}(t) &= (-1)^q a_n(t), & p+q = n-1 \\ F_{pq}(t) &= 0, & p+q > n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that since $\det F(t) = (a_0(t))^n \neq 0$, the matrix $F(t)$ is non-singular.

We let

$$[fg]_l(t) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{n-1} F_{pq}(t) f_l^{(p)}(t) g_l^{(q)}(t),$$

so that the Green's formula can be rewritten as

$$\langle Lf, g \rangle - \langle f, L^+g \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}).$$

Now, we seek another matrix \widehat{F}_l , with which we can associate a *semibilinear* form \mathcal{S}_l . We derive this matrix in the same way as in Linda's capstone [3]. First, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} [fg]_l(t) &= \sum_{p,q=0}^{n-1} F_{pq}(t) f_l^{(p)}(t) g_l^{(q)}(t) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{n-1} \left[F_{pq} f_l^{(p)} g_l^{(q)} \right] (t) \\ &= \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} \left[\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} F_{pq} f_l^{(p)} \right) g_l^{(q)} \right] (t) \\ &= F(t) \vec{f}_l(t) \cdot \vec{g}_l(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{f}_l = (f_l, \dots, f_l^{(n-1)})$ and $\vec{g}_l = (g_l, \dots, g_l^{(n-1)})$. We use this to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) &= F(x_l) \vec{f}_l(x_l) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_l) - F(x_{l-1}) \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1}) & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & F(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vdots \\ f_l^{(n-1)}(x_{l-1}) \\ f_l(x_l) \\ \vdots \\ f_l^{(n-1)}(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} g_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vdots \\ g_l^{(n-1)}(x_{l-1}) \\ g_l(x_l) \\ \vdots \\ g_l^{(n-1)}(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &=: \widehat{F}_l(x_{l-1}, x_l) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $F(x_l)$ is nonsingular for all x_l , it follows that $\widehat{F}_l(x_{l-1}, x_l)$ is also non-singular for all x_l . Finally, with the above in mind, we obtain

$$\langle Lf, g \rangle - \langle f, L^+g \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) = \sum_{l=1}^k \widehat{F}_l(x_{l-1}, x_l) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, expansion of the sum yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=1}^k \widehat{F}_l(x_{l-1}, x_l) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \widehat{F}_1(x_0, x_1) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \widehat{F}_k(x_{k-1}, x_k) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{F}_1(x_0, x_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{F}_2(x_1, x_2) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \widehat{F}_{k-1}(x_{k-2}, x_{k-1}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \widehat{F}_k(x_{k-1}, x_k) \end{bmatrix}}_{2nk \times 2nk} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vec{f}_2(x_1) \\ \vec{f}_2(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vec{g}_2(x_1) \\ \vec{g}_2(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \\ &=: \mathcal{S} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. we managed to express the Green's Formula as in terms of a semibilinear form \mathcal{S} . Observe that the matrix on the second-last line is a block matrix where block matrices are $2n \times 2n$.

Boundary-Form Formula

We turn to characterising an adjoint multipoint boundary condition using an extension of boundary form formula that Linda derived in her work. First, recall that the multipoint boundary conditions are of the form

$$Uq = \begin{bmatrix} U_1(q) \\ \vdots \\ U_m(q) \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0},$$

with

$$U_i(q) = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} [\alpha_{ijl} q_l^{(j)}(x_{l-1}) + \beta_{ijl} q_l^{(j)}(x_l)], \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad \alpha_{ijl}, \beta_{ijl} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that U_1, \dots, U_m are linearly independent when $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i U_i q = 0$ if and only if $c_i = 0$. When U_1, \dots, U_m are linearly independent, we say that U has full rank m . For now, suppose that U has full rank, and define

$$\vec{q}_l = \begin{bmatrix} q_l \\ q'_l \\ \vdots \\ q_l^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}, M_l = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{10l} & \alpha_{11l} & \cdots & \alpha_{1(n-1)l} \\ \alpha_{20l} & \alpha_{21l} & \cdots & \alpha_{2(n-1)l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m0l} & \alpha_{m1l} & \cdots & \alpha_{m(n-1)l} \end{bmatrix}, N_l = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{10l} & \beta_{11l} & \cdots & \beta_{1(n-1)l} \\ \beta_{20l} & \beta_{21l} & \cdots & \beta_{2(n-1)l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{m0l} & \beta_{m1l} & \cdots & \beta_{m(n-1)l} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Uq &= \begin{bmatrix} U_1(q) \\ \vdots \\ U_m(q) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1jl} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{mjl} \end{bmatrix} q_l^{(j)}(x_{l-1}) + \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1jl} \\ \vdots \\ \beta_{mjl} \end{bmatrix} q_l^{(j)}(x_l) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{10l} & \cdots & \alpha_{1(n-1)l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m0l} & \cdots & \alpha_{m(n-1)l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vdots \\ q_l^{(n-1)}(x_{l-1}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{10l} & \cdots & \beta_{1(n-1)l} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{m0l} & \cdots & \beta_{m(n-1)l} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_l(x_l) \\ \vdots \\ q_l^{(n-1)}(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k M_l \vec{q}_l(x_{l-1}) + N_l \vec{q}_l(x_l), \end{aligned}$$

where M_l, N_l are $m \times n$ matrices. In addition, letting

$$(M_l : N_l) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{10l} & \alpha_{11l} & \cdots & \alpha_{1(n-1)l} & \beta_{10l} & \beta_{11l} & \cdots & \beta_{1(n-1)l} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{m0l} & \alpha_{m1l} & \cdots & \alpha_{m(n-1)l} & \beta_{m0l} & \beta_{m1l} & \cdots & \beta_{m(n-1)l} \end{bmatrix},$$

we can write

$$Uq = \sum_{l=1}^k (M_l : N_l) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{q}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we have found 2 compact ways of writing the multipoint boundary forms. Next, we extend the notion of a complementary boundary form.

Definition 1. If $U = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ is any multipoint boundary form with $\text{rank}(U) = m$, and $U_c = (U_{m+1}, \dots, U_{2nk})$ is a multipoint boundary form with $\text{rank}(U_c) = 2nk - m$ such that $\text{rank}(U_1, \dots, U_{2nk}) = 2nk$, then U and U_c are **complementary multipoint boundary forms**.

Note that extending U_1, \dots, U_m to U_1, \dots, U_{2nk} is equivalent to embedding the matrices M_l, N_l in a $2nk \times 2nk$ non-singular matrix, i.e. we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \begin{bmatrix} Uq \\ U_cq \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \overline{M}_l & \overline{N}_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{q}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} M_1 & N_1 \\ \overline{M}_1 & \overline{N}_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{q}_1(x_1) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} M_2 & N_2 \\ \overline{M}_2 & \overline{N}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_2(x_1) \\ \vec{q}_2(x_2) \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} M_k & N_k \\ \overline{M}_k & \overline{N}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{q}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} M_1 & N_1 & M_2 & N_2 & \dots & M_k & N_k \\ \overline{M}_1 & \overline{N}_1 & \overline{M}_2 & \overline{N}_2 & \dots & \overline{M}_k & \overline{N}_k \end{bmatrix}}_{2nk \times 2nk} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{q}_1(x_1) \\ \vec{q}_2(x_1) \\ \vec{q}_2(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{q}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{q}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}}_{2nk \times 1} \\
 &=: H \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{q}_1(x_1) \\ \vec{q}_2(x_1) \\ \vec{q}_2(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{q}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{q}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{rank}(H) = 2nk$ and $\overline{M}_l, \overline{N}_l$ are $2nk - m \times n$ matrices. Just like the boundary form formula proven by Linda, the multipoint boundary form formula is motivated by the desire to express Green's formula as a combination of boundary forms U and U_c . Namely, we have:

Theorem 2 (Multipoint Boundary Form Formula). *Given any boundary form U of rank m , and any complementary form U_c , there exist unique boundary forms U_c^+, U^+ of rank m and $2n - m$, respectively, such that*

$$\sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) = Uf \cdot U_c^+g + U_cf \cdot U^+g.$$

We will use the following proposition from Linda's capstone [3] in the proof of Theorem 2:

Proposition 1 (Prop. 2.12 in Linda's capstone). *Let \mathcal{S} be the semibilinear form associated with a nonsingular matrix S . Suppose $\vec{f} := Ff$ where F is a nonsingular matrix. Then, there exists a unique nonsingular matrix G such that if $\vec{g} = Gg$, then $\mathcal{S}(f, g) = \vec{f} \cdot \vec{g}$ for all f, g .*

Proof. We prove Theorem 2. First, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} Uf \\ U_cf \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

As shown in the subsection on Green's formula, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) &= \sum_{l=1}^k \widehat{F}_l(x_{l-1}, x_l) \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \mathcal{S} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 1, there exists a unique $2nk \times 2nk$ nonsingular matrix J such that

$$\mathcal{S} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \right) = H \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \cdot J \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let U^+, U_c^+ be such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} U_c^+ g \\ U^+ g \end{bmatrix} = J \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) &= \mathcal{S} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \right) = H \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{f}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{f}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{f}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \cdot J \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_1(x_0) \\ \vec{g}_1(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \vec{g}_k(x_{k-1}) \\ \vec{g}_k(x_k) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} Uf \\ U_cf \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} U_c^+ g \\ U^+ g \end{bmatrix} \\ &= Uf \cdot U_c^+ g + U_cf \cdot U^+ g, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2 allows us to define an adjoint multipoint boundary form. Namely,

Definition 3. Suppose $U = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ is a multipoint boundary form with $\text{rank}(U) = m$, along with the condition that $Uq = \vec{0}$ for functions $q \in H^n(\pi)$. If U^+ is any boundary form with $\text{rank}(U^+) = 2nk - m$, determined as in Theorem 2, then the equation

$$U^+q = \vec{0}$$

is an **adjoint multipoint boundary form** to $Uq = \vec{0}$.

In turn, the above lets us define the adjoint multipoint problem:

Definition 4. Suppose $U = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ is a multipoint boundary form with $\text{rank}(U) = m$. Then, the problem of solving

$$\pi_m : Lq = 0, \quad Uq = \vec{0},$$

is called a homogeneous multipoint boundary value problem of rank m . The problem of solving

$$\pi_{2nk-m}^+ : L^+q = 0, \quad U^+q = \vec{0},$$

is an **adjoint multipoint boundary value problem** to π_m .

The preceding construction allows us to state the following:

Proposition 2. Let $f, g \in C^n[a, b]$ with $Uf = \vec{0}$ and $U^+g = \vec{0}$. Then, $\langle Lf, g \rangle = \langle f, L^+g \rangle$.

Proof. We apply Green's formula and multipoint boundary form formula:

$$\langle Lf, g \rangle - \langle f, L^+g \rangle = \sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) = Uf \cdot U_c^+g + U_cf \cdot U^+g = \vec{0} \cdot U_c^+g + U_cf \cdot \vec{0} = 0. \quad \square$$

Checking Adjointness

Finally, we extend Theorem 2.19 on Linda's Capstone [3].

Theorem 5. The boundary condition $U^+f = \vec{0}$ is adjoint to $Uf = \vec{0}$ if and only if

$$M_l F^{-1}(x_{l-1}) P_l = N_l F^{-1}(x_l) Q_l$$

for all $l = 1, \dots, k$, where $F(t)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix as given in Green's Formula subsection.

Recall that just how U is associated with a collection of $2k$ $m \times n$ matrices M_l, N_l , so is U^+ associated with $2k$ $n \times (2n - m)$ matrices P, Q such that $(P^* : Q^*)$ has rank $2n - m$ and

$$U^+q = \sum_{l=1}^k P_l^* \vec{q}_l(x_{l-1}) + Q_l^* \vec{q}_l(x_l).$$

Proof. Let $\vec{f}_l = (f_l, \dots, f_l^{(n-1)})$ and $\vec{g}_l = (g_l, \dots, g_l^{(n-1)})$. Suppose that $U^+f = \vec{0}$ is adjoint to $Uf = \vec{0}$. By definition of adjoint multipoint boundary condition, U^+ is determined as in Theorem 2. Thus, in determining U^+ , there exist multipoint boundary forms U_c, U_c^+ of rank $2nk - m$ and m respectively, such that the multipoint boundary form formula holds. As such, let

$$\begin{aligned} U_cf &= \sum_{l=1}^k \overline{M}_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + \overline{N}_l \vec{f}_l(x_l), & \text{rank}(\overline{M}_l : \overline{N}_l) &= 2nk - m \\ U_c^+g &= \sum_{l=1}^k \overline{P}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + \overline{Q}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l), & \text{rank}(\overline{P}_l^* : \overline{Q}_l^*) &= m \end{aligned}$$

First, note that in the context of semibilinear form, we have $Sf \cdot g = f \cdot S^*g$, as given in Proposition 2.11 of Linda's capstone [3, p.18]. We use this to rewrite the multipoint boundary form formula as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^k [fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) &= Uf \cdot U_c^+ g + U_c f \cdot U^+ g \\
&= \left(\sum_{l=1}^k M_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + N_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{l=1}^m (\bar{P}_l)^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + (\bar{Q}_l)^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \bar{M}_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + \bar{N}_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{l=1}^m P_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + Q_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \right) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^k \left(\left(M_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + N_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \right) \cdot \left(\bar{P}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + \bar{Q}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\bar{M}_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + \bar{N}_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \right) \cdot \left(P_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + Q_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, expanding the l -th term, using that $Sf \cdot g = f \cdot S^*g$, and collecting similar terms, we can write the l -th term as

$$\begin{aligned}
[fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) &= (\bar{Q}_l N_l + Q_l \bar{N}_l) \vec{f}_l(x_l) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_l) + (\bar{P}_l N_l + P_l \bar{N}_l) \vec{f}_l(x_l) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\
&\quad + (\bar{Q}_l M_l + Q_l \bar{M}_l) \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_l) + (\bar{P}_l M_l + P_l \bar{M}_l) \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Recall from Green's formula subsection that the l -th term of the sum can be written

$$[fg]_l(x_l) - [fg]_l(x_{l-1}) = F(x_l) \vec{f}_l(x_l) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_l) - F(x_{l-1}) \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \cdot \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \tag{2}$$

Term-by-term comparison of (1) and (2) reveals that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{P}_l M_l + P_l \bar{M}_l &= -F(x_{l-1}), & \bar{P}_l N_l + P_l \bar{N}_l &= 0_{n \times n}, \\
\bar{Q}_l M_l + Q_l \bar{M}_l &= 0_{n \times n}, & \bar{Q}_l N_l + Q_l \bar{N}_l &= F(x_l).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the boundary matrix F is nonsingular on $[a, b]$, F is invertible. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-F(x_{l-1})^{-1} \bar{P}_l M_l - F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l \bar{M}_l &= E_n, & -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} \bar{P}_l N_l - F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l \bar{N}_l &= 0_{n \times n}, \\
F(x_l)^{-1} \bar{Q}_l M_l + F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l \bar{M}_l &= 0_{n \times n}, & F(x_l)^{-1} \bar{Q}_l N_l + F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l \bar{N}_l &= E_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the systems notation, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} \bar{P}_l & -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1} \bar{Q}_l & F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \bar{M}_l & \bar{N}_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_n & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & E_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \bar{M}_l & \bar{N}_l \end{bmatrix}$ has full rank, two matrices on the left must be inverses of each other. Thus, we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \bar{M}_l & \bar{N}_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} \bar{P}_l & -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1} \bar{Q}_l & F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{m \times m} & 0_{m \times 2nk-m} \\ 0_{2nk-m \times m} & E_{2nk-m \times 2nk-m} \end{bmatrix},$$

which means that

$$-M_l F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l + N_l F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l = 0_{m \times 2nk-m} \implies M_l F(x_{l-1})^{-1} P_l = N_l F(x_l)^{-1} Q_l.$$

Since l is arbitrary, we conclude that the above holds for all $l = 1, \dots, k$.

Now, let U_1^+ be a multipoint boundary form of rank $2nk - m$ such that

$$U_1^+ g = \sum_{l=1}^k (P_1)_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + (Q_1)_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l),$$

for an appropriate collection of matrices $(P_1)_l^*, (Q_1)_l^*$, with

$$\text{rank}((P_1)_l^* : (Q_1)_l^*) = 2nk - m, \quad l = 1, \dots, k.$$

Suppose that

$$M_l F(x_{l-1})^{-1} (P_1)_l = N_l F(x_l)^{-1} (Q_1)_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, k$$

holds. Now, fix l and let u_l be a $2n \times 1$ vector. Then, there exist $2nk - m$ linearly independent solutions of the system $(M_l : N_l)_{m \times 2n} u_l = \vec{0}$. By assumption, we have

$$-M_l F(x_{l-1})^{-1} (P_1)_l + N_l F(x_l)^{-1} (Q_1)_l = 0_{m \times (2nk-m)},$$

so that

$$(M_l : N_l)_{m \times 2n} \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} (P_1)_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1} (Q_1)_l \end{bmatrix}_{2n \times (2nk-m)} = 0_{m \times (2nk-m)}. \quad (3)$$

This means that the $2nk - m$ columns of the matrix

$$(H_1)_l := \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1} (P_1)_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1} (Q_1)_l \end{bmatrix}$$

form the solution space of the system (3). Since $\text{rank}((P_1)_l^* : (Q_1)_l^*) = 2nk - m$,

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} (P_1)_l \\ (Q_1)_l \end{bmatrix} = 2nk - m.$$

Since $F(x_{l-1}), F(x_l)$ are non-singular, $\text{rank}(H_1) = 2nk - m$. Now, if $U^+ g = \sum_{l=1}^k P_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + Q_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) = \vec{0}$ is a multipoint boundary condition adjoint to $Uf = \vec{0}$, then by multipoint boundary form formula we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} Uf \\ Ucf \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} U_c^+ g \\ U^+ g \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} M_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + N_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \\ \overline{M}_l \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) + \overline{N}_l \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + \overline{Q}_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \\ P_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + Q_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \overline{M}_l & \overline{N}_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}_l & \overline{Q}_l \\ P_l & Q_l \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}_l & P_l \\ \overline{Q}_l & Q_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \overline{M}_l & \overline{N}_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, recall from Green's formula subsection that

$$\begin{bmatrix} Uf \\ Ucf \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} U_c^+ g \\ U^+ g \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{l=1}^k \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1}) & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & F(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{f}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{f}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) \\ \vec{g}_l(x_l) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Combining the above yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1}) & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & F(x_l) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}_l & P_l \\ \overline{Q}_l & Q_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \overline{M}_l & \overline{N}_l \end{bmatrix},$$

and applying $\begin{bmatrix} -F^{-1}(x_{l-1}) & 0_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & F^{-1}(x_l) \end{bmatrix}$ to both sides, we obtain

$$E_{2n \times 2n} = \begin{bmatrix} -F^{-1}(x_{l-1})\bar{P}_l & -F^{-1}(x_{l-1})P_l \\ F^{-1}(x_l)\bar{Q}_l & F^{-1}(x_l)Q_l \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_l & N_l \\ \bar{M}_l & \bar{N}_l \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the left operand on RHS is invertible, so it has full rank. This means that

$$H_l := \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1}P_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1}Q_l \end{bmatrix}_{n \times (2nk-m)}$$

has rank $2nk - m$. Thus, columns H_l also form the solution space of the system (3), just like $(H_1)_l$. But this suggests that $(H_1)_l$ and H_l are the same up to a linear transformation, i.e. there exists a non-singular $(2nk - m) \times (2nk - m)$ matrix A_l such that $(H_1)_l = H_l A_l$, i.e.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1}(P_1)_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1}(Q_1)_l \end{bmatrix} = (H_1)_l = H_l A_l = \begin{bmatrix} -F(x_{l-1})^{-1}P_l A_l \\ F(x_l)^{-1}Q_l A_l \end{bmatrix},$$

and so $(P_1)_l = P_l A_l$ and $(Q_1)_l = Q_l A_l$. Since l is arbitrary, this holds for all $l = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore,

$$U_1^+ g = \sum_{l=1}^k (P_1)_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + (Q_1)_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) = \sum_{l=1}^k A^* P_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_{l-1}) + A^* Q_l^* \vec{g}_l(x_l) = A^* U^+ g.$$

Observe that $U^+ g = \vec{0}$ implies $U_1^+ g = \vec{0}$. Since A^* is nonsingular, it follows that $U^+ g = \vec{0}$ if and only if $U_1^+ g = \vec{0}$. Since $U^+ g = \vec{0}$ is adjoint to $Uf = \vec{0}$, $U_1^+ g = \vec{0}$ is adjoint to $Uf = \vec{0}$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators II*. Interscience, 1963.
- [2] John Locker. Self-adjointness for multi-point differential operators. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 1973.
- [3] Linfan Xiao. Algorithmic solution of high order partial differential equations in julia via the fokas transform method, 2018.