Prof. Dr. Clemens Grelck
Institute for Informatics
System Software Group
Compiler Construction

FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-
UNIVERSITÄT
JENA

CiviC
Language Reference Manual
Document Version 3.3
Clemens Grelck

1 Introduction

The course project is the step-wise engineering of a fully-fledged compiler for a model programming language named CiviC (Civilised C). In this context the term *model programming language* emphasises that CiviC is not meant to be the next big hype in programming language research, but rather it is a programming language that exhibits characteristic features of structured imperative programming languages in general. At the same time its design avoids repetition of features and, thus, is sufficiently restricted in size and complexity to actually succeed in implementing a complete CiviC compiler within the time constraints of an 8-week course.

This document describes CiviC, the source language for the compiler project. The target language is assembly code for the CiviC virtual machine: CiviC-VM: the CiviC-VM could best be described as a simplified variant of the Java virtual machine (JVM). The virtual machine is described in a separate document that will be made available as the course proceeds.

Whenever this document (deliberately or not) leaves certain aspects of the CiviC language unspecified or, more precisely, under-specified, the corresponding rule of the C language shall take effect.

2 CiviC Programs

A CiviC program is a non-empty sequence of function declarations, function definitions, global variable declarations and global variable definitions. Fig. 1 shows the corresponding syntax used.

CiviC supports separate compilation in a similar way as C does. The scope of symbols, i.e. functions and global variables, can either be confined to the compilation unit (i.e. the file or module) they are defined in or their scope can extend to the entire program typically consisting of several compilation units. Unlike C, CiviC is conservative with respect to visibility between compilation units. This means that by default the scope of a symbol is limited to the current compilation unit. Only if the definition of the function or global variable is preceded by the key word `export`, the symbol is visible outside the current compilation unit.

If some symbol defined outside the current compilation unit is to be used, a corresponding (prototype) declaration is required. Like in C, we use the key word `extern` for this purpose. The C

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\textit{Program} & \Rightarrow & \lceil\textit{Declaration}\rceil+ \\[1ex]
\textit{Declaration} & \Rightarrow & \textit{FunDec} \mid \textit{FunDef} \mid \textit{GlobalDec} \mid \textit{GlobalDef} \\[1ex]
\textit{FunDec} & \Rightarrow & \textbf{extern} \; \textit{FunHeader} \; ; \\[1ex]
\textit{FunDef} & \Rightarrow & \lceil \textbf{export} \rceil \textit{FunHeader} \; \{ \; \textit{FunBody} \; \} \\[1ex]
\textit{FunHeader} & \Rightarrow & \textit{RetType Id} \; (\; \lceil \textit{Param} \lceil \, , \; \textit{Param} \rceil^* \rceil \;) \\[1ex]
\textit{RetType} & \Rightarrow & \textbf{void} \mid \textit{BasicType} \\[1ex]
\textit{GlobalDec} & \Rightarrow & \textbf{extern} \; \textit{Type Id} \; ; \\[1ex]
\textit{GlobalDef} & \Rightarrow & \lceil \textbf{export} \rceil \textit{Type Id} \lceil = \textit{Expr} \rceil \; ; \\[1ex]
\textit{Type} & \Rightarrow & \textit{BasicType} \\[1ex]
\textit{BasicType} & \Rightarrow & \textbf{bool} \mid \textbf{int} \mid \textbf{float} \\[1ex]
\textit{Param} & \Rightarrow & \textit{Type Id}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 1: Top-level syntax of CiviC programs

preprocessor is run on each source file by the CiviC compiler. This allows for the use of source and header files just as in C.

A CiviC program, consisting of potentially multiple compilation units, must contain exactly one exported function named `main`, which serves as the starting point of program execution. This function is supposed to take no parameters (no support for command line interpretation for now) and yields an integer value that will be returned to the calling environment, typically a Unix command shell.

Identifiers may consist of letters, both small and capital, digits and the underscore character. However, identifiers must always begin with a letter. This restriction facilitates the introduction of fresh identifiers that are guaranteed not to conflict with any user supplied identifiers.

Function parameters must have pair-wise different names; the same holds for a function's local variables. Moreover, parameters and local variables of a function must also have different names.

Function parameters and local variables may, however, use the same name as some global variable. In this case the same scoping rules as in C apply, i.e. a local definition shadows the global definition. Again all global variables and functions in a compilation unit (or module) must have pair-wise different names.

The syntax of CiviC allows us to easily distinguish between identifiers of variables on the one hand and identifiers of functions on the other hand. We capitalise on this property and permit using the same identifier for referring to a function and referring to a variable. We call this having different *name spaces* for functions and variables.

3 Statement Language

Fig. 2 shows the statement syntax of CiviC. The body of a CiviC function consists of a potentially empty sequence of declarations of local variables followed by an again potentially empty sequence of statements. Like in C, variables can be initialised at the point of declaration. Unlike in C, only one variable can be declared at a time.

CiviC only supports the declaration of local variables in the beginning of function bodies and not in further (nested) blocks. However, if you consider this to be too much 20th century, feel free to support statements and declarations in any order, just as C does since the C99 standard. In this case, regular C scoping rules apply, namely no variable must be declared twice in the same scope, and any variable can only be used from the point of declaration onwards. In particular, this holds in conjunction with nested function definitions (see below).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\textit{FunBody} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad [\textit{VarDec}]^* \; [\textit{Statement}]^* \\[4pt]
\textit{VarDec} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \textit{Type Id} \; [\; = \; \textit{Expr}\;] \; ; \\[4pt]
\textit{Statement} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \textit{Id} \; = \; \textit{Expr} \; ; \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textit{Id} \; (\; [\textit{Expr} \; [\; , \; \textit{Expr}\;]^*\;] \;) \;\; ; \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textbf{if} \;\; (\; \textit{Expr} \;) \;\; \textit{Block} \; [\; \textbf{else} \;\; \textit{Block}\;] \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textbf{while} \;\; (\; \textit{Expr} \;) \;\; \textit{Block} \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textbf{do} \;\; \textit{Block} \; \textbf{while} \;\; (\; \textit{Expr} \;) \;\; ; \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textbf{for} \;\; (\; \textbf{int} \;\; \textit{Id} \; = \; \textit{Expr} \; , \; \textit{Expr} \; [\; , \; \textit{Expr}\;] \;) \;\; \textit{Block} \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textbf{return} \; [\textit{Expr}] \; ; \\[4pt]
\textit{Block} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \{\; [\textit{Statement}]^* \;\} \\
&\quad\;\; | \quad \textit{Statement}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 2: Syntax of CiviC statement language

A statement is either an assignment, a procedure call, a control flow construct or a return. Conditionals and uncounted loops (`if`-, `while`- and `do`-statements) are equivalent to their C counterparts with the exception that any predicate expression must evaluate to a Boolean value. Unlike C, CiviC explicitly distinguishes between integer and Boolean values and variables.

Like in many other programming languages, the syntax of CiviC is ambiguous with respect to the `else`-clause of the `if`-statement as soon as multiple `if`-statements are nested, some with `else`-clause, some without. The well-known *dangling else problem* describes the situation in which it is not clear to which `if`-statement an `else`-clause actually belongs. This semantic issue could be clarified by using statement blocks enclosed in curly brackets, but we still need to define a clear rule in case the programmer chooses not to use curly brackets. In analogy to C and many other programming languages we define that any `else`-clause shall belong to the innermost enclosing `if`-statement.

In addition and in contrast to the C language, CiviC features a proper counted loop with a dedicated induction variable. The three expressions that must be of type `int` denote the (inclusive) start value, the (exclusive) stop value and (optionally) the increment/decrement step value. The default step value is 1. With a positive step value the start value becomes the inclusive lower bound of the iteration space and the stop value the exclusive upper bound. A step value of zero is not permitted and may lead to undefined behaviour. With a negative step value the start value becomes the

inclusive upper bound and the stop value the exclusive lower bound of the iteration space.

All three expressions are evaluated exactly once, before the execution of the loop. Assignments to the induction variable inside the loop body are illegal. Consequently, the trip count of a CiviC `for`-loop is always known in advance. The scope of the induction variable is confined to the loop body. The special nature of the induction variable is emphasised by preceding its definition by the type key word `int`, which resembles the syntax of a variable declaration. Technically, of course, the induction variable must be of type `int` anyways, hence no additional type information is provided.

Like in C, any function, regardless of its return type, can be referred to in a procedure call. A procedure call is a function call in statement position whose result is not stored in a variable. If a non-`void`-function is used in a procedure call, the yielded value is discarded.

In `void`-functions only `return`-statements without expression are permitted. In any other function, the type of the return expression must match the declared return type of the function. In `void`-functions `return`-statements are optional and can merely be used to prematurely terminate the function's execution. In all other functions `return`-statements are mandatory, and every possible control flow must reach a `return`-statement eventually.

Last but not least, CiviC supports single-line as well as multi-line comments in the same style as C and C++.

4   Expression Language

The syntax of the CiviC expression language is shown in Fig. 3. It features the usual arithmetic, relational and logical operators as known from C. All operator associativities and precedences are defined as in C proper.

All binary operators are only defined on operands of exactly the same type, i.e. there is no implicit type conversion in CiviC. Arithmetic operators are defined on integer numbers, where they again yield an integer number, and on floating point numbers, where they again yield a floating point number. As an exception, the modulo operator is only defined on integer numbers and yields an integer number. The arithmetic operators for addition and multiplication are also defined on Boolean operands where they implement strict logic disjunction and conjunction, respectively.

Regardless of their operand types, relational operators yield a Boolean value. The relational operators for equality and inequality are defined on all basic types. On Boolean operands they complement strict logic disjunction and conjunction in supporting all potential relationships between two Boolean values. The remaining four relational operators are only defined for integer and floating point numbers as operand values.

The logic operators are only defined for Boolean operand values. They differ from arithmetic and relational operators in one more aspect: if the value of the left operand determines the operation's result, the right operand expression is not evaluated. This operational behaviour is called *short circuit Boolean evaluation*. The operators are also called to be *non-strict* or *lazy* in their right operand expression. This is more than an (optional) optimisation as the following predicate illustrates: `(x!=0 && y/x > 42)` .

Unlike C, CiviC does not support implicit conversion between values of the different basic types. Consequently, any type mismatch between operand expressions and operator expectations, as detailed above, is a type error. Furthermore, types of argument expressions in function applications must match the corresponding declared parameter types.

Expr	$\Rightarrow$	(*Expr*)
	|	*Expr BinOp Expr*
	|	*MonOp Expr*
	|	(*BasicType*) *Expr*
	|	*Id* ([*Expr* [, *Expr*]*])
	|	*Id*
	|	*Const*
BinOp	$\Rightarrow$	*ArithOp* | *RelOp* | *LogicOp*
ArithOp	$\Rightarrow$	+ | – | * | / | %
RelOp	$\Rightarrow$	== | != | < | <= | > | >=
LogicOp	$\Rightarrow$	&& | ||
MonOp	$\Rightarrow$	– | !
Const	$\Rightarrow$	*BoolConst* | *IntConst* | *FloatConst*
BoolConst	$\Rightarrow$	**true** | **false**

Figure 3: Syntax of CiviC expression language

Cast expressions can be used to explicitly convert values between the three basic types. Conversions between integer and floating point numbers are defined as in C. The conversion of the Boolean value `false` into an integer or a floating point number yields 0 or 0.0, respectively. Likewise, the conversion of the Boolean value `true` into an integer or a floating point number yields 1 or 1.0, respectively. The conversion of both integer and floating point numbers into Boolean values is equivalent to applying the inequality operator to that number and the numerical constant 0 or 0.0, respectively.

The unary minus operator can be applied to both integer and floating point numbers, whereas the unary negation operator can only be applied to Boolean values. Last not least, numerical constants are defined as in C proper.

5   Scoping Rules

The scoping rules in C are fairly odd when it comes to comparing assignment statements and variable declarations with initialisation. Take for instance the assignment statement

```
a = a + 1;
```

that increments the value of integer variable a. In this example, quite obviously, the a on the right hand side of the assignment refers to the old value of a, which is then overwritten by the incremented value. In other words the new value of a is only visible from the subsequent statement onwards.

In a variable declaration with initialisation expression, however, almost the same code example has a very different meaning. In a C variable declaration

```
int a = a + 1;
```

the scope of the newly declared variable a does extend to the initialisation expression. In the above example, the a in the initialisation expression actually refers to the just declared variable a, not a possibly earlier declared variable a. As a consequence, the value of a on the right hand side is undefined no matter what, and hence the attempt to actually initialise it fails as the value of the initialisation expression is again undefined. This is the case regardless of whether or not an outer declaration of a variable named a exists (e.g. as a global variable) and whether or not that has a defined value. Unfortunately, this is a rather subtle bug in practice. Since C does not rule out undefined values, the compiler will simply accept the code without complaints, but values remain undefined and, hence, may be different from program run to program run.

In the spirit of the name Civilised C we decided for a different definition of scopes that treats both examples above in the same way. More precisely, the initialisation expression of a variable declaration is *not* in the scope of the declared variable. Technically, a compiler would always first traverse the initialisation expression in the existing scope before creating a new variable a and updating the current scope accordingly.

Scoping rules for functions are somewhat different from those for variables. Firstly, CiviC syntactically distinguishes between functions and variables, and their scopes are clearly separated. In other words, a function definition never shadows a variable declaration and vice versa.

Unlike in C, all function definitions are visible and thus callable from all other function definitions (on the same level, see extension 1 below). The textual order in which functions are defined does not matter. As a consequence, so-called forward declarations as in C and many other imperative languages are obsolete. Thus, function definitions can be mutually recursive without restrictions and extra precautions.

Why does the textual order matter for variable declarations but not for function definitions?

The main motivation for this choice is that mutual recursion between functions is very useful, see the following text book example:

```
bool odd( int x)
{
  bool odd;
  if (x == 0) odd = false;
  else odd = even( x-1);
  return odd;
}

bool even( int x)
{
  bool even;
  if (x == 0) even = true;
  else even = odd( x-1);
  return even;
}
```

In contrast, the mutually recursive definition of variables makes little sense as the following example clearly illustrates:

```
int a = b+1;
int b = a+1;
```

That is why we use different scoping rules for functions and variables and rather emphasise than hide their differences. Note a particular subtlety of the CiviC scoping rules: as the order of function definitions does not matter, a function can likewise access any global variable, regardless

of whether the global variable is defined or declared textually before or after the function definition in which the access occurs.

6   CiviC Standard Library

In analogy to C, CiviC does not provide any built-in operations to communicate with the execution environment other than the integer value returned by the main function. CiviC support for separate compilation opens an avenue to provide support for input and output through a standard library of functions and global variables. For the time being, assume the availability of the following 6 functions:

```
extern void printInt( int val);
extern void printFloat( float val);

extern int scanInt( );
extern float scanFloat( );

extern void printSpaces( int num);
extern void printNewlines( int num);
```

that write integer and floating point numbers to the standard output stream, read them from the standard input stream or write a given number of space or newline characters to the standard output, respectively. In conjunction they allow you to do simple numerical input/output and provide basic formatting capabilities. The above function definitions can be made available to a CiviC program by including the header file `civic.h`. Remember that the C preprocessor is used by the CiviC compiler.

7   Extension 1: Nested Function Definitions

As an extension to the core language CiviC features nested function definitions according to the extended syntax shown in Fig. 4. With this extension the body of a function may again contain function definitions, located between the local variable declarations and the statement sequence. The scope of these local functions is confined to the body of the outer function, hence the name.

$$FunBody \quad \Rightarrow \quad [\,VarDec\,]^* \; [\,LocalFunDef\,]^* \; [\,Statement\,]^*$$

$$LocalFunDef \quad \Rightarrow \quad FunHeader \; \{ \; FunBody \; \}$$

Figure 4: Extended syntax for nested function definitions

Local functions can be called from within the subsequent statement sequence of the parent function. Local functions defined on the same nesting level can call each other regardless of the textual order of definitions. This is the same as for the flat sequence of function definitions, as defined initially. Local functions may call functions defined in any (directly or indirectly) surrounding scope. The code examples in Fig. 5 illustrate the scoping rules for nested function definitions.

In the example of Fig. 5 we can see two functions that are both named `foo`, but which reside in different scopes, one is globally defined, the other a local function within the globally defined function `bar`. If identifier names are multiply used, the innermost definition is referenced. This

```
void foo( int a )
{
   void bar( int b )   // local bar() coexists with global bar() below
   {
      baz( a, b);      // local baz() below, no forward declaration needed
   }

   void baz( int c, int d )
   {
      bar( c + d );    // local bar() above
   }
}

void bar()
{
  void foo()    // local foo() coexists with global foo() above
  {
    bar();       // global bar() recursive
    baz();       // error: baz not visible outside of global foo()
  }
}
```

Figure 5: Example code illustrating the scopes of function definitions

rule holds for both function applications / definitions as well as function parameters and local variables. Since there are indeed two different functions foo, they may have different parameter lists. In the example, the globally defined foo expects one integer argument, whereas the locally defined foo expects no arguments.

Local functions can access the parameters as well as the local variables of any (directly or indirectly) surrounding function. And of course, local functions can also access global variables. For example, in Fig. 5 we can see how the locally defined function bar calls the function baz with two arguments: its own parameter and the parameter of the outer function foo. Within bar the parameter a of foo is called a *relatively free variable* because it is neither bound inside bar (i.e. *free* within bar, but at the same time it is not globally defined, hence *relatively* free.

8   Extension 2: Arrays

The scalar core of CiviC shall be extended by arrays. Fig. 6 shows the syntactic extensions required. Arrays can be passed as arguments to functions. Parameter passing for arrays is always call-by-reference. Like in C, arrays are indexed from 0 to n-1. Arrays always carry their extent along with them. For example, the function parameter int[n] x denotes an integer vector of n elements. The array size n, which (implicitly) is of type int, can be used in the function body in the same way as any other parameter. CiviC arrays always have one of the basic types as element types, i.e. there is no support for arrays of arrays. Index expressions must be of type int.

The square bracket notation is convenient to define array-valued expressions and to initialise reasonably small arrays with little syntactic overhead. If the array defined using this notation has fewer elements than the declaration of the array it is assigned to demands, some elements of that array remain uninitialised. If the number of expressions within square brackets exceeds the declared size of an array, this is considered a program error. Note that array values are

$$GlobalDec \Rightarrow \textbf{extern } Type \lfloor [\; Id \;] \rfloor Id \; ;$$

$$GlobalDef \Rightarrow \lfloor \textbf{export} \rfloor Type \; Id \lfloor = Expr \rfloor \; ;$$
$$\quad | \quad \lfloor \textbf{export} \rfloor Type \; [\; Expr \;] \; Id \lfloor = ArrExpr \rfloor \; ;$$

$$Param \Rightarrow Type \lfloor [\; Id \;] \rfloor Id$$

$$VarDec \Rightarrow Type \lfloor [\; Expr \;] \rfloor Id \lfloor = ArrExpr \rfloor \; ;$$

$$Statement \Rightarrow \ldots$$
$$\quad | \quad Id \; [\; Expr \;] \; = \; Expr \; ;$$

$$ArrExpr \Rightarrow [\; Expr \lfloor , \; Expr \rfloor^* \;]$$
$$\quad | \quad Expr$$

$$Expr \Rightarrow \ldots$$
$$\quad | \quad Id \; [\; Expr \;]$$

Figure 6: Extended syntax for CiviC arrays

only permitted in the initialisation expressions of variable declarations, not in arbitrary expression positions. Alternatively, an array can be assigned a scalar value. In this case, all elements of the array are uniformly set to that value.

Arrays declared in the scope of a function may have dynamic extents computed at runtime. Memory management for arrays is automatic. They are created (in the heap) as program execution reaches their declaration and their life time is determined by the scoping rules. Arrays cannot be returned by functions.

Note the following difference in the syntax of array types depending on whether they are used in global variable declarations and function parameters on the one hand side or in global variable definitions and local variable declarations on the other hand side. While in the former case array indices are restricted to identifiers, fully-fledged expressions can be used in the latter case. The motivation for this distinction is explained in the following.

Both global variable definitions and local variable declarations define an array object. Hence, we need to specify the shape of that array properly. Fully-fledged expressions in index position support even computations based on the shapes of existing arrays and other values. Variables occurring in these index expressions are read.

In contrast, both global variable declarations and function parameters refer to an object that has been declared before. Hence, the index variables provide access to the shape properties of the array within the current context. These index variables are written (or defined). In other words, variable declarations and function parameters form a simple variant of pattern matching.

9 Extension 3: Multi-dimensional Arrays

Extension 3 is actually an extension of Extension 2 and cannot be pursued individually. CiviC arrays as defined in the previous section are merely vectors. Now, we extend them to fully-fledged multi-dimensional arrays. Fig. 7 shows the extended CiviC syntax for multi-dimensional arrays. In essence, every index position in square brackets may feature a comma-separated list of expressions

or identifiers in place of a single expression or identifier.

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\textit{GlobalDec} & \Rightarrow & \textbf{extern}\ \ \textit{Type}\ \lceil\ [\ \textit{Id}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Id}\ \rceil^*\ ]\ \ \rceil\ \textit{Id}\ \ ; \\[6pt]
\textit{GlobalDef} & \Rightarrow & \lceil\ \textbf{export}\ \ \rceil\ \textit{Type}\ \textit{Id}\ \lceil\ =\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \rceil\ \ ; \\
& | & \lceil\ \textbf{export}\ \ \rceil\ \textit{Type}\ \ [\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \rceil^*\ ]\ \ \textit{Id}\ \lceil\ =\ \ \textit{ArrExpr}\ \rceil\ \ ; \\[6pt]
\textit{Param} & \Rightarrow & \textit{Type}\ \lceil\ [\ \textit{Id}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Id}\ \rceil^*\ ]\ \ \rceil\ \textit{Id} \\[6pt]
\textit{VarDec} & \Rightarrow & \textit{Type}\ \lceil\ [\ \textit{Expr}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \rceil^*\ ]\ \ \rceil\ \textit{Id}\ \lceil\ =\ \textit{ArrExpr}\ \rceil\ \ ; \\[6pt]
\textit{Statement} & \Rightarrow & \ldots \\
& | & \textit{Id}\ \ [\ \textit{Expr}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \rceil^*\ ]\ \ =\ \textit{Expr}\ \ ; \\[6pt]
\textit{ArrExpr} & \Rightarrow & [\ \textit{ArrExpr}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{ArrExpr}\ \rceil^*\ ] \\
& | & \textit{Expr} \\[6pt]
\textit{Expr} & \Rightarrow & \ldots \\
& | & \textit{Id}\ \ [\ \textit{Expr}\ \lceil\ ,\ \ \textit{Expr}\ \rceil^*\ ]
\end{array}
$$

Figure 7: Extended syntax for multi-dimensional CiviC arrays

CiviC supports multi-dimensional indexing into arrays, both in expression positions as well as in assignment statements. The number of indices must exactly conform with the number of dimensions in the declaration of the array.

Multidimensional arrays may be initialised by a corresponding nesting of square bracket expressions. Thus, an array declared to be 2-dimensional can only be initialised by a 2-dimensional array value. Alternatively, an array of any number of dimensions can be assigned a scalar value that is replicated across all elements of that array. The obvious extension that supports the initialisation of a multi-dimensional array by an array value of lower dimensionality through replication along the outer dimensions is not required, but could be taken as a challenge.

10   What is missing in CiviC and why?

As pointed out in the beginning of this document, CiviC is a model programming language rather than a *real* one. In other words, CiviC is not intended to be the next cool language to program in (it hardly is), but to expose a representative set of programming language features found in many (popular and less popular) programming languages in one way or another.

In the same spirit we also limit the number of language features that would be just more of the same. For example, we deliberately leave out characters and strings as basic data types. Whereas, they are highly relevant for a universal programming language, they do not contribute any particular insight into compilation. While it would be both simple and straightforward to add them, as well as various other nice-to-have programming language features, to the CiviC language specification, their implementation would require considerable additional engineering effort. This would rather be more of the same than illustrating additional conceptual issues in compilation as the above extensions do.