

APPENDIX T

mid-March. Presbytery considered it, and denied it, at its April 2 meeting. At that meeting, there was a motion to "find the complaint in order," which was adopted by a vote of 20-16-3. However, the Complaint should have been ruled out of order at that time for the reason noted above. Below are examples of Cases with similar procedural rulings by the SJC.

- Case 2021-07 *RE Acree v. Tennessee Valley* (March 2022, Report to 49th GA, p. 2144)
- Case 2020-13 *Benyola v. Central Florida* (M48GA, 2021, p. 817)
- Case 2020-01 *Benyola v. Central Florida* (M48GA, 2021, p. 801)
- Case 2012-08 *RE Warren Jackson v. NW Georgia* (M43GA, 2015, p. 568)
- Case 2012-06 *Deacon Don Bethel v. SE Alabama* (M41GA, 2013, p. 614)
- Case 92-9b *Overman v. Eastern Carolina* (M21GA, 1993, p. 223)

The Panel included TE Lucas (chairman), TE Garner, and RE Donahoe. TE Kooistra and RE Dowling were alternates. The SJC vote was 20-1 with three absent.

Bankson	<i>Concur</i>	Eggert ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Neikirk ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Bise ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Ellis	<i>Concur</i>	Pickering ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Carrell ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Garner	Absent	Ross	Absent
Coffin	<i>Concur</i>	Greco	<i>Concur</i>	Sartorius	<i>Dissent</i>
Donahoe ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Kooistra	<i>Concur</i>	Terrell ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Dowling ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lee	<i>Concur</i>	Waters	<i>Concur</i>
M. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lucas	<i>Concur</i>	White ^R	<i>Concur</i>
S. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	McGowan	Absent	Wilson ^R	<i>Concur</i>

CASE No. 2022-09

PETER BENYOLA
v.
CENTRAL FLORIDA PRESBYTERY

DECISION ON COMPLAINT
October 20, 2022

MINUTES OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

The SJC finds that the above-named Complaint is Administratively Out of Order, and cannot be put in order, because Mr. Benyola is no longer a member of any congregation of the PCA, and thus lacks standing in this case.

This Decision was recommended by the SJC Officers, and the SJC approved the Decision by a vote of 23-0 on a roll call vote, with one absent..

Bankson	<i>Concur</i>	Eggert ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Neikirk ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Bise ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Ellis	<i>Concur</i>	Pickering ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Carrell ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Garner	Absent	Ross	<i>Concur</i>
Coffin	<i>Concur</i>	Greco	<i>Concur</i>	Sartorius	<i>Concur</i>
Donahoe ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Kooistra	<i>Concur</i>	Terrell ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Dowling ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lee	<i>Concur</i>	Waters	<i>Concur</i>
M. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lucas	<i>Concur</i>	White ^R	<i>Concur</i>
S. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	McGowan	<i>Concur</i>	Wilson ^R	<i>Concur</i>

CASE No. 2022-10

PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN AMERICA
v.
TE DANIEL HERRON

DECISION ON TRIAL
April 5, 2023

SUMMARY OF THE CASE

This Case came to the SJC from Central Indiana Presbytery (“CIP”) as a *BCO* 41 Reference (request) for the conduct of a trial. The SJC accepted the Reference at its June 2, 2022 meeting, stipulating the Presbytery would be responsible for the prosecution. Following discussions with the parties and disposition of various pretrial motions, the SJC Chairman assessed which SJC