

MINUTES OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

In making the decision to support Memorial and its TEs' withdrawal from the denomination, we pray that many of the tensions that have disturbed the peace of our denomination over the past several years might now begin to dissipate and lead to a season of renewal, during which we can focus on our positive mission to make disciples of Jesus Christ in obedience to our Lord's Great Commission. We also pray that the Lord would give wisdom and grace to the leaders of Memorial Church as they walk forward in faith.

Finally, your brothers in Missouri Presbytery want to underline our continuing desire to actively and eagerly participate in the PCA as we move forward into our 50th year celebrations. In this regard, we heartily affirm the report of the AIC on Human Sexuality and continue to strive to protect the peace and purity of the church to the best of our ability.

The Missouri Presbytery

CASE No. 2022-13

MR. WADE MILLER

v.

HILLS & PLAINS PRESBYTERY

DECISION ON COMPLAINT

October 20, 2022

The SJC finds the above-named Complaint Administratively Out of Order, and finds it cannot be put in order, because the Complainant filed his Complaint with the Session after the 60-day deadline of *BCO* 43-1.

Reasoning: The Session action complained against occurred on May 19, 2021 when the Session sent Mr. Miller a letter indicating it stood by its previous decision to permit a certain non-church yoga class to meet on church property. That date began the timing requirement of *BCO* 43-1 for Mr. Miller. He did not file his *BCO* 43-1 Complaint to Session until six months later, on November 20, 2021. There was no new Session action identified on this matter in the 60 days preceding Mr. Miller's Complaint filed on November 20, 2021 with the Session.

APPENDIX T

See also SJC Decision in Case 2001-32: *Session of Christ Covenant v. Central Carolina*, where the SJC unanimously ruled the matter out of order because the original complaint had been filed with the Session one day after the deadline. The original complaint was filed with the Session two-and-a-half years prior to the SJC ruling and was considered by both the Session and Presbytery despite its untimely filing. (M31GA, 2013, p. 107)

This Decision was recommended by the SJC Officers and the SJC approved the Decision by vote of 22-0 with two absent. Ruling Elders indicated by ^R.

Bankson	<i>Concur</i>	Eggert ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Neikirk ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Bise ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Ellis	<i>Concur</i>	Pickering ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Carrell ^R	Absent	Garner	Absent	Ross	<i>Concur</i>
Coffin	<i>Concur</i>	Greco	<i>Concur</i>	Sartorius	<i>Concur</i>
Donahoe ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Kooistra	<i>Concur</i>	Terrell ^R	<i>Concur</i>
Dowling ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lee	<i>Concur</i>	Waters	<i>Concur</i>
M. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	Lucas	<i>Concur</i>	White ^R	<i>Concur</i>
S. Duncan ^R	<i>Concur</i>	McGowan	<i>Concur</i>	Wilson ^R	<i>Concur</i>

CASE No. 2022-14

TE SUNG BOK OH
v.
KOREAN SOUTHEAST PRESBYTERY

DECISION ON COMPLAINT

October 20, 2022

The Complainant withdrew his Complaint on August 29, 2022.