Study	logRR S	E(logRR)	RR	RR	95%-CI	Weight	RoB
62_e 212_e 136_e 118 52_e	0.0583 -0.0677 -0.2155 -0.0206 -0.1449	0.0121 0.0521 0.0323 0.0320 0.0985 -		0.93 0.81	[0.92; 1.04]	18.6% 22.6% 22.6%	NA NA NA
Random effects mo	del			0.94	[0.86; 1.02]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 94^\circ$	$\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0069$ , $p$	< 0.01	0.8 1 1.25 PF:AF				

Study	logRR S	E(logRR)		RR		RR	95%-CI	Weight	RoB
62_e 212_e 136_e 118 52_e	-0.0101 -0.0677 -0.2357 -0.0678 0.0862	0.0130 0.0664 0.0323 - 0.0320 0.0626				0.93 0.79 0.93	[0.97; 1.02] [0.82; 1.06] [0.74; 0.84] [0.88; 0.99] [0.96; 1.23]	24.6% 15.4% 21.9% 22.0% 16.1%	NA NA NA
Random effects mo	odel					0.94	[0.86; 1.02]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 91^\circ$	%, $\tau^2 = 0.0069$ , p	< 0.01	0.8	1 PF:CH	1.25 IO				

Study	logRR S	E(logRR)		RR	
62_e 212_e	-0.0392 -0.0189	0.0196 0.0738 —			
Random effects mode					
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , 1	0.9	1 PF:	1.1 PRO		

RR 95%-Cl Weight RoB


0.96 [0.93; 1.00] 62.9% NA
0.98 [0.85; 1.13] 37.1% NA

0.97 [0.85; 1.11] 100.0%

Study	logRR	SE(logRR)
62_e	-0.0683	0.0136
212_e	0.0000	0.0456
136_e	-0.0202	0.0181
118	-0.0472	0.0287
52_e	0.2311	0.0985

## Random effects model

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 71\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.0069$ , p < 0.01



Study	logRR SE	(logRR)		RR		RR	95%-CI	Weight	RoB
62_e 212_e	-0.0975 0.0488	0.0201 0.0558					[0.87; 0.94] [0.94; 1.17]		
Random effects mo	del	-				0.96	[0.85; 1.10]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 84^\circ$	$\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0.0069$ , $p = 0.0069$	= 0.01	0.9	1 AF:	1.1 :PRO				

logRR	SE(logRR)
-0.0292	0.0206
0.0488	0.0693
	-0.0292

## Random effects model

Heterogeneity:  $I^2 = 14\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.0069$ , p = 0.28

