

Measure Theory - Problem set 1 - Week 3

Thomas Pellet

July 17, 2019

1 Measure Spaces

Exercise 1.3

$\mathcal{G}_1 = \{A : A \subset \mathbb{R}, A \text{ open}\}$ is the set of open sets. It therefore includes the empty set and its complement \mathbb{R} which are both open. The complements of open sets are open. \mathcal{G}_1 is therefore closed under complement. Union of open sets are also open. This set is therefore an algebra. Countable unions of open sets are also open. \mathcal{G}_1 is therefore a σ -algebra.

$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{A : A \text{ is a finite union of intervals of the form } (a, b], (-\infty, b], \text{ and } (a, \infty)\}$ is an algebra because it includes the empty set for $a = b$, includes complements of intervals and by construction includes finite unions of intervals. It is not a σ -algebra because it does not include countable unions.

$\mathcal{G}_2 = \{A : A \text{ is a countable union of intervals of the form } (a, b], (-\infty, b], \text{ and } (a, \infty)\}$ is an algebra because it includes the empty set for $a = b$, includes complements of intervals and by construction includes finite unions of intervals. It is a σ -algebra because it does include countable unions.

Exercise 1.7

Suppose $\exists B$ a σ -algebra in X such that $B \not\subset \mathcal{P}(X)$. Then $\exists A \in B$ s.t. $A \notin \mathcal{P}(X)$.
 $\therefore A \notin X$, contradiction.

Suppose $\exists S$ a σ -algebra in X such that $\{\emptyset, X\} \not\subset S$. If the empty set is not in S we have a contradiction. If $X \notin S$ Then $\emptyset^c \notin S$ and we have another contradiction.

Exercise 1.10

Let $\{S_\alpha\}$ be a family of σ -algebras on X . The empty set is included in all S_α and is therefore part of the intersection. Let $A \in \cap S_\alpha$, A is in all the elements of the family $\{S_\alpha\}$. It is therefore closed under countable union and complement in each S_α , which are therefore part of their intersection.

Exercise 1.22

- monotonicity $\mu(B) = \mu(A \cup A^c \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(A^c \cap B) \geq \mu(A)$
- subadditivity: For $n = 2$

$$\mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) = \mu(A_1 \setminus A_2) + \mu(A_2 \setminus A_1) + 2\mu(A_1 \cap A_2) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mu(\cup A_i) = \mu(A_1 \setminus A_2) + \mu(A_2 \setminus A_1) + \mu(A_1 \cap A_2) \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(\cup_1^2 A_i) \leq \mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) \quad (1.3)$$

By iteration, one can prove that it is true for a countable union of sets.

Exercise 1.23

Let $A, B \in S$ such that $\lambda(A) = \mu(A \cap B)$

- $\lambda(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset \cap B) = 0$
- Let $\{A_i\}$ be a family of disjoint sets in S :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\cup_1^\infty A_i) &= \mu((\cup_1^\infty A_i) \cap B) \\ &= \mu(\cup_1^\infty A_i \cap B) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \mu(A_i \cap B) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \lambda(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.26

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\cap_{i=1}^\infty A_i) &= \mu((\cup_1^\infty A_i^c)^c) \\ &= \mu(X) - \mu(\cup_1^\infty A_i^c) \\ &= \mu(X) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n^c) \quad \text{using } i) \\ &= \mu(X) - \mu(X) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\left[\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\begin{bmatrix}3&4\\4&5\end{bmatrix}\right)^{-1}\right]\right] \tag{1.4}$$

Unfinished.

Theorem 1.1 (Test Theorem). *Hello.*

Definition 1.1 (Test Definition). Hello.

Example 1.1 (Test Examples). My examples are pink-ish.

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{i,j}] \in \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbb{P}(X_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.5}$$

Better math.



Rebekah is amazing!!!!!!

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{j=1}^J d_{njt} \left\{ \log [p_{jt} (x_{nt})] + \sum_{x=1}^X / \{x_{n,t+1} = x\} \log [f_{jt} (x|x_{nt})] \right\} \tag{1.6}$$

Empirical Results. test

$$\{1,\dots,5\} \overset{p}{\rightarrow} 5\mathbf{x} \operatorname{col} A$$

Todo list

 Unfinished.	3
 Better math.	3
 Rebekah is amazing!!!!!!	3