

Assignment 2

Student ID: 480048691

Tutor's name: DMH Nguyen

Tutorial : Carslaw 451 Monday 2pm

Question 2 :

- a, let $P(n)$ be the claim that if n is a positive integer, and X, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are sets, then

$$X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = (X \setminus A_1) \cap (X \setminus A_2) \cap \dots \cap (X \setminus A_n)$$

Base case:

We have $P(1)$ holds : $X \setminus (A_1) = X \setminus A_1 \Rightarrow$ true.

Inductive step:

- . For inductive hypothesis, we assume that the claim holds for any arbitrary positive integer k , that is, if X, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k are sets then $X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k)$
 $= (X \setminus A_1) \cap (X \setminus A_2) \cap \dots \cap (X \setminus A_k)$

- . It must be shown that under this assumption, $P(k+1)$ also holds
i.e. $X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k \cup A_{k+1})$ (*)
 $= (X \setminus A_1) \cap (X \setminus A_2) \cap \dots \cap (X \setminus A_k) \cap (X \setminus A_{k+1})$

- . Let H be a set such that $H = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_k$
then (*) will be
 $X \setminus (H \cup A_{k+1})$
 $= (X \setminus H) \cap (X \setminus A_{k+1})$ (using De Morgan's law)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [X \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k)] \cap (X \setminus A_{k+1}) \\
 &= (X \setminus A_1) \cap (X \setminus A_2) \cap \dots \cap (X \setminus A_k) \cap (X \setminus A_{k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k+1)$ also holds.

\therefore We conclude by induction that the claim is true $\forall n \geq 1$.

b) Let $P(n)$ be the claim that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, 13 divides $4^{2n+1} + 3^{n+2}$.

Base case: We have $P(1)$ holds:

$$4^{2 \cdot 1 + 1} + 3^{1+2} = 4^3 + 3^3 = 64 + 27 = 91 \text{ is divisible by 3.}$$

Inductive step:

- For inductive hypothesis, we assume that $P(k)$ holds for any arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$
i.e. 13 divides $4^{2k+1} + 3^{k+2}$

$$\text{or } 4^{2k+1} + 3^{k+2} = 13d, d \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Under this assumption, we want to show that $P(k+1)$ also holds

$$\text{i.e. 13 divides } 4^{2k+3} + 3^{k+3}$$

Note that $4^{2k+3} + 3^{k+3}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 4^2 \cdot 4^{2k+1} + 3 \cdot 3^{k+2} \\
 &= 4^2 \cdot 4^{2k+1} + 3(13d - 4^{2k+1}) \quad (\text{inductive hypothesis}) \\
 &= 4^2 \cdot 4^{2k+1} + 3 \cdot 13d - 3 \cdot 4^{2k+1} \\
 &= 13 \cdot 4^{2k+1} + 3 \cdot 13d \\
 &= 13(4^{2k+1} + 3d) \rightarrow \text{divisible by 13}
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P(k+1)$ also holds.

\therefore We conclude by induction that the claim is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Question 1:

- a) let P and Q be sets, we have $p(x) : x \in P$
and $q(x) : x \in Q$.
- . Suppose that $(\forall x)(p(x) \Rightarrow q(x))$ is true, this tells that "for all x , if $x \in P$ then $x \in Q$ ".
 - . By the definition of subsets, which follows that "Set A is a subset of B iff every element of A is an element of B ", we can conclude that the above compound proposition tells us that $P \subseteq Q$.
 - . If $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are logically equivalent, i.e. $(\forall x)(p(x) \Leftrightarrow q(x))$ is true can also be written as $(\forall x)[(p(x) \Rightarrow q(x)) \wedge (q(x) \Rightarrow p(x))]$.
Based on the explanation above, this compound proposition tells us that $P \subseteq Q$ and $Q \subseteq P$.
By the definition of two equal sets, if $P \subseteq Q$ and $Q \subseteq P$, then $P = Q$.

- b) let $a(x)$ be the statement $x \in A$,
 $b(x) : x \in B$ and $c(x) : x \in C$.

ii) $S_1 = (A \setminus B) \setminus C$
 $\Rightarrow [a(x) \wedge \neg b(x)] \wedge \neg c(x)$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad S_2 = A \setminus (B \setminus C) \\ \Rightarrow a(x) \wedge \sim [b(x) \wedge \sim c(x)]$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad S_3 = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \cap C) \\ \Rightarrow [a(x) \wedge \sim b(x)] \vee [a(x) \wedge c(x)]$$

$$\text{(iv)} \quad S_4 = (A \setminus B) \cap (A \setminus C) \\ \Rightarrow [a(x) \wedge \sim b(x)] \wedge [a(x) \wedge \sim c(x)]$$

$$\text{(v)} \quad S_5 = A \setminus (B \cup C) \\ \Rightarrow a(x) \wedge \sim [b(x) \vee c(x)]$$

c). Since S_2 gives the compound proposition

$$a(x) \wedge \sim [b(x) \wedge \sim c(x)] \\ = a(x) \wedge [\sim b(x) \vee c(x)] \quad (\text{De Morgan's law}) \quad (1)$$

while S_3 gives

$$[a(x) \wedge \sim b(x)] \vee [a(x) \wedge c(x)] \\ = a(x) \wedge [\sim b(x) \vee c(x)] \quad (\text{distributive law}) \quad (2)$$

Since (1) = (2), we conclude $S_2 = S_3$.

. We have S_5 gives the compound proposition

$$a(x) \wedge \sim [b(x) \vee c(x)] \\ = a(x) \wedge [\sim b(x) \wedge \sim c(x)] \quad (\text{De Morgan's Law}) \quad (3)$$

while S_4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & [a(x) \wedge \neg b(x)] \wedge \neg c(x) \\ &= a(x) \wedge [\neg b(x) \wedge \neg c(x)] \quad (\text{associative law}) \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Since (3) = (4), we conclude that $S_1 = S_5$.

Question 3:

a) Suppose that $a = d \cdot k + b$, where $a, b, d, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Prove that b is divisible by d iff a is divisible by d .

let p be the statement " b is divisible by d "

and q be the statement " a is divisible by d ".

We will break this proof into two proofs to prove logical equivalence:

• $p \Rightarrow q$:

Assume that we have integers a, b, d, k such that $a = d \cdot k + b$

Suppose that a is divisible by d , i.e. $a = dn$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{then } a = dk + b$$

$$dn = dk + b$$

$$b = dn - dk = d(n - k)$$

Thus, b is divisible by d , by definition.

• $q \Rightarrow p$:

Suppose that b is divisible by d , i.e. $b = dm$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{then } a = dk + b$$

$$= dk + dm = d(k + m)$$

This shows that a is divisible by d .

∴ We can conclude that b is divisible by d if and only if
 a is divisible by d . \square

- b) Let $x = abc$ be a three-digit number with digits a, b, c ($a, b, c \in \{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$). Prove that x is divisible by 3 if and only if $a + b + c$ is divisible by 3.

We will use the same approach as the question above.

Let p be the statement that " x is divisible by 3" and q be the statement " $a + b + c$ is divisible by 3"

$\cdot p \Rightarrow q$:

Suppose we have a three-digit number as described above and that $a + b + c$ is divisible by 3

$$\text{i.e } a + b + c = 3m, \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } x &= 10^2 a + 10b + c \\ &= (99+1)a + (9+1)b + c \\ &= 99a + 9b + \underbrace{a+b+c}_{3m} \\ &= 99a + 9b + 3m \\ &= 3(33a + 3b + m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus x is divisible by 3.

$\cdot q \Rightarrow p$

Now we assume that x is divisible by 3

$$\text{that is } 10^2 a + 10b + c = 3n, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{Then } 10^2 a + 10b + c = 3n$$

$$(99+1)a + (9+1)b + c = 3n$$

$$99a + 99b + a + b + c = 3n$$

$$a + b + c = 3n - 99a - 9b$$

$$= 3(n - 33a - 3b)$$

Thus, $a + b + c$ is divisible by 3.

∴ We can now conclude that x is divisible by 3 if and only if $a + b + c$ is divisible by 3. \square