# Online Appendix to "Applications of Markov Chain Approximation Methods to Optimal Control Problems in Economics"

Thomas Phelan Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland Keyvan Eslami Ryerson University

April 22, 2022

### 1 Introduction

This document provides a guide to the code used to produce the results in the paper. All code is written in Python 3.6.5 and may be found here. If you spot errors or have suggestions for improvements please email the second author at tom.phelan@clev.frb.org.

In the following we use the abbreviations PFI, MPFI and GPFI for "policy function iteration", "modified policy function iteration" and "generalized policy function iteration", respectively. We also write IFP for "income fluctuation problem". There are three folders: IFP, LQ and macro\_finance. Section ?? begins with some general remarks on the code common to all folders. Subsequent sections then describe each folder.

We hope that most of the methods within the class constructors are self-explanatory. Some methods/attributes that are repeatedly used in many of the classes:

- self.trans\_keys. A list indicating the transitions used within the class. E.g. the list [(1,0,0),(-1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,-1,0),(0,0,1),(0,0,-1)] in the class constructor for IFP\_3D indicates that the transitions are up/down in each dimension.
- self.p\_func. A dictionary of probabilities, the keys of which are the transitions in self.trans\_keys. Takes as argument a policy vector and the arrays of indices where the probabilities will be evaluated.
- self.P\_tran. Creates sparse transition matrix using probabilities in self.p\_func.
- self.tran\_func is like self.p\_func for the generalized algorithm, i.e. a dictionary of probabilities divided by  $\Delta_t$ . self.T\_tran is like self.P\_tran for the generalized algorithm.
- self.norm\_func is a dictionary in which self.tran\_func values are divided by the constant C (which depends on the state and control) used in the generalized policy function iteration.

- self.H is the sparse matrix  $H := I + \tilde{T}$  constructed using self.norm\_func.
- self.P\_func and self.T\_func reshape sparse matrices for the modified and generalized policy function algorithms.
- self.mesh takes a list of integers and returns a meshgrid for the rows and columns corresponding to these transitions. E.g. in a 2D problem with transition (1,0), (a shift up in the first component and unchanged second component), mesh[(1,0)] returns the indices used to populate the parts of the associated probability matrix.
- When comparing policy function iteration with modified and generalized policy function iteration, we always begin the algorithm with the value of adhering to a particular policy. The calculation of this initial guess is not included in the running times, and is instead an attribute of the associated class, denoted self.V0.
   For the LQ problems, the initial policy will be identically zero, and for the incomefluctuation problems, it will correspond to zero net saving.

#### 2 IFP

Code for income fluctuation problems and growth model. Contains the following scripts:

- classes.py. Contains the following class constructors:
  - (i) IFP\_2D: IFP with 1D income process and non-durable consumption. Takes a fixed, positive, grid-dependent timestep and contains methods for PFI and MPFI.
  - (ii) GIFP\_2D: IFP with 1D income process and non-durable consumption. Sends timestep to zero and contains methods for PFI and GPFI.
  - (iii) IFP\_3D: analogue of IFP\_2D with 2D income process and non-durable consumption.
  - (iv) GIFP\_3D: analogue of GIFP\_2D with 2D income process and non-durable consumption.
  - (v) DuraCons: analogue of GIFP\_2D with 1D income process and both durable and non-durable consumption. Only contains PFI and GPFI (since the timestep necessary to use MPFI is minuscule).
- main\_2D.py: tests PFI, MPFI and GPFI for the IFP with a 1D income process and non-durable consumption.
- main\_3D.py: tests PFI, MPFI and GPFI for the IFP with a 2D income process and non-durable consumption.
- main\_durable.py: tests PFI, MPFI and GPFI for the IFP with a 1D income process and both durable and non-durable consumption.

- main.py: runs main\_2D.py, main\_3D.py and main\_durable.py and creates folder in which to save tables.
- NCGex.py. solves non-concave growth example from first section of the paper.
- durable\_ex.py: solves the durable consumption example in the paper and creates figures for policy functions and value functions.

## 3 LQ

Code for linear-quadratic problems. These are not covered in the main text of the paper but are included in the appendix to check the accuracy and speed of the algorithms (and hopefully helps the reader with the construction). Contains the following scripts:

- LQ\_classes.py. Contains the following class constructors:
  - (i) LQ\_2D: 2D problem with two controls.
  - (ii) LQ\_3D: 3D problem with one control.
  - (iii) LQ\_3D\_SD: 3D problem with state-dependent timestep.
  - (iv) LQ-3D-GEN: generalized normalized policy function.
- LQ\_degen\_classes.py. Contains the following class constructors relevant for the linear quadratic problems with degenerate covariance matrices:
  - (i) LQ\_degen3.py: three-point algorithm described in main text.
  - (ii) LQ\_degen5.py: five-point algorithm described in main text.
  - (iii) BOZ: implements algorithm of Bonnans et al. (2004) for linear quadratic problem.
- LQ\_3D.py: tests speed and accuracy of code in LQ\_classes.
- LQ\_degen.py: tests speed and accuracy of code in LQ\_degen\_classes.

#### 4 macro\_finance

Code for macrofinance section. Contains the following scripts:

- MF\_classes.py. Contains the following class constructors:
  - (i) MF\_corr: class constructor for the macrofinance problem in the main text with perfectly correlated noise.
  - (ii) MF\_ind: class constructor for a variation of the macrofinance problem in the main text with independent noise.

- (iii) MF\_corr\_var\_dt: class constructor for a variation of the macrofinance problem in the main text in which transition probabilities for the non-local transitions are constant and the timestep varies (in the main text the opposite choice was made: constant timestep and grid-dependent transitions).
- main.py. Uses MF\_corr from MF\_classes to compute the competitive equilibrium example in the macrofinance part of the text and create the figures.
- main\_ind.py. Uses MF\_ind from MF\_classes to compute an example (not included in main text though).
- FT\_PI.py. Compares the policy iteration and false transient approach and produces the final figure in the paper.
- checks.py. Performs three checks on the algorithms:
  - (i) check 1: approximate recovery of log utility values for  $\gamma \approx 1$ .
  - (ii) check 2: recovery of known boundary values in absence of mean reversion.
  - (iii) check 3: approximate agreement of "constant dt" and "variable dt" algorithms using MF\_corr and MF\_corr\_var\_dt.
- draw.py. Creates the figure in the text that that depicts the algorithm for selecting the non-local transitions.

To reduce the possibility of errors, the code breaks up the construction of the transition matrices into steps, first defining

$$H_i(\overline{c}, \overline{k}; \Delta_t, \Delta_y)[V] = \mathbb{E}\left[V(x', \sigma')e^{(1-\gamma)(y'-y)}\right]$$
(1)

so that the operator for the *i*th type,  $T_i(\bar{c}, \bar{k}; \Delta_t, \Delta_y)[V]$ , is given by

$$T_i(\overline{c}, \overline{k}; \Delta_t, \Delta_y)[V] = \frac{1}{\Delta_t} \frac{(e^{-\rho_i \Delta_t} H[V] - I)}{1 - \gamma}.$$
 (2)

Given transition probabilities p for  $(y, x, \sigma)$ , the operator H has off-diagonal elements given by transition probabilities, and main diagonal given by

$$\min = 1 + p(y + \Delta_y, x, \sigma) [e^{(1-\gamma)\Delta_y} - 1] + p(y - \Delta_y, x, \sigma) [e^{-(1-\gamma)\Delta_y} - 1] 
- p(y, x + \Delta_x, \sigma) - p(y, x - \Delta_x, \sigma) - p(y, x, \sigma + \Delta_\sigma) - p(y, x, \sigma - \Delta_\sigma) 
- p(y, x + m_{12}\Delta_x, \sigma + m_{13}\Delta_\sigma) - p(y, x - m_{12}\Delta_x, \sigma - m_{13}\Delta_\sigma) 
- p(y, x + m_{22}\Delta_x, \sigma + m_{23}\Delta_\sigma) - p(y, x - m_{22}\Delta_x, \sigma - m_{23}\Delta_\sigma).$$
(3)

When applying the policy iteration approach we set  $\Delta_t$  to a small number (for the example in the main text this is  $10^{-9}$ ) rather than literally zero. Methods that recur in the macrofinance classes:

- con\_E: creates the constants  $E_1, E_2$  and  $E_c$  appearing in both the policy functions and the updating of the aggregate law of motion.
- log\_quant: calculates aggregate laws in the case of logarithmic utility, where all quantities are attainable in closed-form. These will be used for the initial guess when we solve for competitive equilibria.
- agg\_update: takes pair of value functions and computes new aggregate laws.
- solve\_PFI: solve for the competitive equilibrium using policy iteration.
- solve\_FT: solve for the competitive equilibrium using the false transient approach.
- bound\_adj: function that ensures non-local transitions do not leave grid.
- NL: constructs the non-local transition probabilities, given the interest rates and law of motion of the expert's wealth share.

We emphasize here again (as we do in the paper) that we do not claim our algorithm for the competitive equilibrium necessarily converges for all parameters values. Indeed, when  $\Pi_E$  is high and  $\gamma < 1$ , the individual problem will sometimes fail to be well-defined for some  $(r, \mu_x, \sigma_x)$  as utility can diverge. However, as we noted in the main text, we know of no algorithm for these class of models for which convergence is guaranteed, and so leave further exploration of competitive equilibria to future work.

## References

Bonnans, J. F., Ottenwaelter, É., and Zidani, H. A fast algorithm for the two dimensional HJB equation of stochastic control. *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis-Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique*, 38(4):723–735, 2004. doi:10.1051/m2an:2004034.