

# Online Appendix to “On the Optimality of Differential Asset Taxation”

Thomas Phelan  
Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

August 30, 2022

This document provides a guide to the code used to produce the figures in the paper “On the Optimality of Differential Asset Taxation”. All code is written in Python 3.6.5 and is located at [https://github.com/tphelanECON/diff\\_cap\\_tax](https://github.com/tphelanECON/diff_cap_tax). If you spot errors or have questions please email me at [tom.phelan@clev.frb.org](mailto:tom.phelan@clev.frb.org).

## Preliminaries

To explain the code construction I recall some algebra from the paper. In Section 2 of the paper I defined a candidate value function

$$\bar{v} = \max_{\substack{\bar{c}, x \geq 0, x\bar{c} \leq \bar{\omega} \\ -\ln \bar{c} + x^2/2 < 1}} \frac{(Sx - 1)\bar{c}}{\rho(1 + \ln \bar{c} - x^2/2)} \quad (1)$$

where  $\bar{\omega} = \sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma/(\rho\iota)$  and  $S := (\Pi - \rho - \tau_I)/(\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma)$ . In Appendix A I defined  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{\bar{x}}$  to be the solutions to  $\bar{x}e^{\bar{x}^2/2} = \bar{\omega}$  and  $\bar{\bar{x}}e^{\bar{\bar{x}}^2/2-1} = \bar{\omega}$ , respectively. Following the explicit maximization in the proof of Proposition 2.3, the right-hand side of (1) may be written as

$$\bar{v} = \max_{x \in [0, \bar{\bar{x}}]} g(S, \bar{\omega}, x) h(S, \bar{\omega}, x) \quad (2)$$

where  $g$  and  $h$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(S, \bar{\omega}, x) &= \frac{1}{\rho}(Sx - 1)e^{x^2/2} \\ h(S, \bar{\omega}, x) &= 1_{x < \bar{x}(\bar{\omega})} + 1_{x \geq \bar{x}(\bar{\omega})} \frac{(\bar{\omega}/x)e^{-x^2/2}}{1 + \ln(\bar{\omega}/x) - x^2/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The stationary form of the resource constraint given in Assumption 3.1

$$(1 - \psi)\bar{C}(S) + \psi = (S\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma/\alpha + \rho_S/\alpha + (1/\alpha - 1)\delta)(1 - \psi)\bar{K}(S) \quad (4)$$

where the expressions for consumption and capital are given in Appendix B.3,

$$\bar{C}(S) = \frac{\rho_D \bar{c}(S, \bar{\omega})}{\rho_D - \mu_c(S, \bar{\omega})} \quad \bar{K}(S) = \frac{\rho_D \bar{c}(S, \bar{\omega}) x(S, \bar{\omega})}{(\rho_D - \mu_c(S, \bar{\omega}))\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma}.$$

I therefore want a root of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(S) = & \alpha\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma \left( (1 - \psi) \frac{\rho_D \bar{c}(S, \bar{\omega})}{\rho_D - \mu_c(S, \bar{\omega})} + \psi \right) \\ & - (S\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma + \rho_S + (1 - \alpha)\delta)(1 - \psi) \frac{\rho_D \bar{c}(S, \bar{\omega}) x(S, \bar{\omega})}{\rho_D - \mu_c(S, \bar{\omega})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

### Code construction

The sole class constructor for the paper is entitled `captax` and is located in `classes.py`. It contains the following methods (in the following, `omegab` is  $\bar{\omega}$ ):

- `xbar(omegab)` and `xbarbar(omegab)`:  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{\bar{x}}$ .
- `g(S,omegab,x)` and `h(S,omegab,x)`: the functions in (3).
- `x(S,omegab)` and `c(S,omegab)`:  $x(S, \bar{\omega})$  and  $\bar{c}(S, \bar{\omega})$  from the main text.
- `mu_c(S,omegab)` and `sig_c(S,omegab)`: mean and volatility of consumption growth, denoted  $\mu_c$  and  $\sigma_c$  in the main text.
- `omegahat(self,S,omegab)`: the constant  $\hat{\omega}$  in the decentralization.
- `S(Pi,phi)`:  $(\Pi - \rho_S)/(\sqrt{\rho}\phi\sigma)$ .
- `f(S,omegab,phi)`: finds root  $\hat{S}$  of the function (5).
- `S_hat(phi)` and `Pi_hat(phi)`:  $\hat{S}$  and  $\hat{\Pi}$  from Section 3 of the text.
- `r(Pi,phi)`: interest rate in benchmark case (no private risk-sharing).
- `r_pe(Pi,phi)`: interest rate with private risk-sharing.
- `taus(Pi,phi)` and `tausW(Pi,phi)`: taxes in benchmark case (no private risk-sharing).

- `taus_pe(Pi,phi)` and `tausW_pe(Pi,phi)`: taxes with private risk-sharing.
- `nu_B(S,omegabar)` and `nu_K(S,omegabar,Pi)`: the wedges from the partial equilibrium setting.
- `check1(S,omegabar)` and `check2(S,omegabar)`: two checks corresponding to the assumptions in A.1 and A.2 of the appendix, which together ensure that the principal's value function is finite-valued.

Figures and methods computed under the assumption of private risk-sharing have a suffix `_pe` (for “private equity”). Figures with no suffix assume that the collateral constraint is set at the most relaxed value possible, while figures with suffix `1.0` correspond to  $\bar{\tau} = 1$  (which gives the tightest collateral constraints possible when  $\phi = 1$ ).

## Figures generation

There are six scripts necessary for the replication of the paper: `main.py`, `classes.py`, `parameters.py`, `tight.py`, `relaxed.py`, and `private_equity.py`.

- `main.py`: runs `tight.py`, `relaxed.py` and `private_equity.py`.
- `parameters.py`: defines the parameters used in the numerical examples.
- `classes.py`: contains the class constructor used in the paper.
- `tight.py`: produces figures pertaining to the case in which collateral constraints are tight ( $\bar{\tau} = 1.0$ ) for the benchmark decentralization. In particular, this produces Figure 1 and Figure 2 from the main text.
- `relaxed.py`: produces figures pertaining to the case in which collateral constraints are relaxed ( $\bar{\tau} \approx 0.5$ ) for the benchmark decentralization. In particular, this produces Figure 3 from the main text as well as the wedges in Figure 4 of Appendix E.
- `private_equity.py`: produces figures for taxes and interest rates for the market structure in which there is private risk-sharing. In particular, this produces Figures 5, 6 and 7 of Appendix E.

Each of above scripts also checks that the assumptions in Appendix A.2 are satisfied and prints this when running.