# A trait-based framework for the dynamics of species interaction networks

T. Poisot, K. Cazelles, D. Gravel

#### Introduction

Arguably the biggest challenge faced by community ecologists is to understand, and predict, how ecosystem properties will change in the face of large-scale disturbance events. Ecosystems functions emerge from both the identity of local species, and the way they interact, in a given environmental context. Species range shift, micro-evolutionary changes, and rapid environmental variation, is therefore expected to disrupt the current state of communities, and thus change the way ecosystems function. Although hypotheses have been generated, and data gathered, regarding the relationship between community structure and ecosystem properties, it is not clear whether they are sufficient to predict the outcome of large-scale changes. The core issue lies in the fact that emerging communities, rather than being an additive combination of existing ones (e.g. species from site A relocate to site B to track their temperature optimum), will be entirely novel ones. This novelty will emerge through a variety of ecological and micro-evolutionary mechanisms. (i) Species will use different tactics to cope with change, that can result in any combination of range shift, and rapid adaptation. (ii) Because of the precedent point, this will result in either new species entering existing interaction networks, or establishing different interactions within them. Finally, (iii), the environmental conditions themselves will change, affecting the traits, abundances, and presence of different species. Because all of these mechanisms are interwoven in feedbacks, the way we approach them should incorporate concepts and elements from separate bodies of work, and focus on understanding which are well-articulated, and which are not.

1. Literature on species interactions at the community level neglected variation in either

species, or the way they interact

2. Accounting for species interaction is needed to predict biogeo distribution and re-

sponse to global changes

3. Accounting for micro-evolutionary changes is important to predict response to global

changes as well

4. The establishment of interactions relies on traits (mis)matching, so the consequences

of biogeo/evo changes must be done in a trait-explicit framework

**Put forth a strong trait-based perspective**: env -> traits <-> coevo

Last paragraph: In this paper, we discuss aspects of ecological network theory, envi-

ronmental and historical biogeography, and coevolution, that can be integrated in order

to predict and descrive the dynamics of interaction networks. Interestingly, most of the

groundwork is already present, and we call for a synthesis effort, aiming at the integra-

tion of disparate elements of theory, models, and data. We propose that future research on

the dynamics of networks, be it temporal, spatial, or evolutionary, be guided by the role of

species trait in determining the existence of interactions.

Box:: venn diagram

**Box:** case studies (if any)

The state of the art

In this part, we showcase the elements of network theory, biogeography, and coevolution

studies that are necesary to achieve an integration between the three fields.

we don't discuss the overlap yet: think of this as the basic ingredients of the integration,

not how we will integrate them

2

## **Network theory:**

Dom

Constraints on species presence / absence, species dynamics, involve functional traits

Jenn / Memmott : robustness, species extinction cascades, pressure to select the more robust network (indirectly) – the probability of extinction of one species varies with the risk of extinction of other species below it

### **Biogeography:**

D.J. Kev'

large scale variations, species presence f(environment), predicts community composition, spatial heterogeneity, consequences for species evolution (at the single species scale) evolutionary/historical biogeography: Dom will do a synthesis of the TREE paper Discuss Pillai, Gonzalez & Loreau: interactions constrain co-distribution

#### **Coevolution:**

TP – feedbacks between species traits and interactions: is essentially an evolution of constraints on species distribution

In its cotemporary incarnation, coevolution studies the interactions between pairs of species composed of (potentially) genetically differentiated populations, which may be connected by gene-flow (dispersal). Theory surrounding coevolutions aims at finding mechanisms that links traits and their genetic architecture to the distribution of interaction outcomes (Thompson 1999), so as to predict the impact of trait distribution on species interactions, and the impact of interactions on the evolution of trait distribution. Of particular interest to our goal is the central concept that (i) covariance in trait species will determine the distribution of interaction outcomes (that is the distribution of interaction strength, in a network

perspective), and (ii) the covariance between interaction outcome and trait distribution will drive the evolution of the trait in one or both species (Gomulkiewicz *et al.* 2007)

## The current overlap

The good: biogeographic perspectives on coevolution

TP - GMTC, Nuismer models

The bad: understanding network variation over space

DG/KC - TTIB, beta

The ugly: evolution of networks, evolution in networks

TP - Loeuille, web world, Cattin, Allesina

# The road to synthesis

# Scaling-up coevolutionary concepts

limitation as a micro-evo perspective

## Scaling-down the species interaction network paradigm

interactions are complex probabilistic processes

## **Perspectives**

How do we switch from no network (autotrophs) to a network (heterotrophy): important qualitative change, intermediate steps?

Discuss the relevance of fundamental/realized versus Grinnell/Elton niches (ugly Ecol Lett figure)

Traits are the future, all hail the traits

No grand theory of everything

new family of questions vs. re-visiting standing questions

## References

Gomulkiewicz, R., Drown, D.M., Dybdahl, M.F., Godsoe, W., Nuismer, S.L., Pepin, K.M., Ridenhour, B.J., Smith, C.I. & Yoder, J.B. (2007) Dos and don'ts of testing the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Heredity*, **98**, 249–258.

Thompson, J.N. (1999) The raw material for coevolution. Oikos, 84, 5–16.