

Introduction

Arguably the biggest challenge faced by community ecologists is to understand, and predict, how ecosystem properties will change in the face of large-scale disturbance events. Ecosystem functions emerge from the identity of local species, and the way they interact, in a given environmental context. Species range shift, micro-evolutionary changes, and rapid environmental variation, is therefore expected to disrupt the current state of communities, and thus change the way ecosystems function. Although hypotheses have been generated, and data gathered, regarding the relationship between community structure and ecosystem properties, it is not clear whether they are sufficient to *predict* the outcome of large-scale changes. The core issue lies in the fact that emerging communities, rather than being an additive combination of existing ones (*e.g.* species from site A relocate to site B to track their temperature optimum), will be entirely novel ones. This novelty will emerge through a variety of ecological and micro-evolutionary mechanisms. **(i)** Species will use different tactics to cope with change, that can result in any combination of range shift, and rapid adaptation. **(ii)** Because of the precedent point, this will result in either new species entering existing interaction networks, or establishing different interactions within them. Finally, **(iii)**, the environmental conditions themselves will change, affecting the traits, abundances, and presence of different species. Because all of these mechanisms are interwoven in feedbacks, the way we approach them should incorporate concepts and elements from separate bodies of work, and focus on understanding which are well-articulated, and which are not.

1. Literature on species interactions at the community level neglected variation in either species, or the way they interact
2. Accounting for species interaction is needed to predict biogeographic distribution and response to global changes
3. Accounting for micro-evolutionary changes is important to predict response to global changes as well
4. The establishment of interactions relies on traits (mis)matching, so the consequences of biogeographic/evolutionary changes must be done in a trait-explicit framework

The state of the art

Network theory:

Biogeography:

Coevolution:

The current overlap

The good: biogeographic perspectives on coevolution

The bad: understanding network variation over space

The ugly: evolution of networks, evolution in networks

The road to synthesis

Scaling-up coevolutionary concepts

Scaling-down the species interaction network paradigm

Perspectives