

# Longstaff-Schwartz Least Squares Monte Carlo Method for American Option Pricing

Quant Tutorial Series

May 26, 2025

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Theoretical Foundation</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	American Option Valuation Problem . . . . .	1
1.2	Dynamic Programming Formulation . . . . .	1
1.3	Conditional Expectation Approximation . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Implementation Guide</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Algorithm Steps . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Code Implementation</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Python Implementation . . . . .	2
3.2	Regression Diagnostics . . . . .	4
<b>A</b>	<b>Proofs</b>	<b>4</b>
A.1	Dynamic Programming Proof . . . . .	4

## 1 Theoretical Foundation

### 1.1 American Option Valuation Problem

The value of an American option at time  $t$  is given by the optimal stopping problem:

$$V_t = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{t,T}} E^Q \left[ e^{-r(\tau-t)} h_\tau(S_\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{t,T}$  is the set of stopping times with values in  $[t, T]$ .

### 1.2 Dynamic Programming Formulation

The value function can be expressed recursively:

**Theorem 1** (Dynamic Programming Principle). *The American option value satisfies:*

$$V_t = \max \{ h_t(S_t), E^Q [e^{-r\Delta t} V_{t+\Delta t} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] \} \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* See Appendix A.1.  $\square$

### 1.3 Conditional Expectation Approximation

Longstaff-Schwartz approximates the continuation value using least squares regression:

$$E^Q [e^{-r\Delta t} V_{t+\Delta t} | S_t] \approx \sum_{j=1}^J \alpha_j \phi_j(S_t) \quad (3)$$

where  $\{\phi_j\}$  are basis functions.

## 2 Implementation Guide

### 2.1 Algorithm Steps

- 1: Generate  $N$  paths of underlying asset  $S_t$  under  $Q$
- 2: Initialize cashflow matrix  $CF \leftarrow h_T(S_T)$
- 3: **for**  $t = T - \Delta t$  downto 0 **do**
- 4:   Identify in-the-money paths  $\mathcal{I}_t = \{i : h_t(S_t^i) > 0\}$
- 5:   Regress discounted cashflows on basis functions:  $Y^i = e^{-r\Delta t} CF_{t+\Delta t}^i$ ,  $X^i = \sum_j \alpha_j \phi_j(S_t^i)$
- 6:   Estimate continuation value  $\hat{C}_t(S_t^i) = \sum_j \hat{\alpha}_j \phi_j(S_t^i)$
- 7:   Update cashflows:  $CF_t^i = \begin{cases} h_t(S_t^i) & \text{if } h_t(S_t^i) > \hat{C}_t(S_t^i) \\ e^{-r\Delta t} CF_{t+\Delta t}^i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- 8: **end for**
- 9: Option value  $\approx e^{-rT} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N CF_0^i$

Figure 1: LSM Algorithm Flowchart

## 3 Code Implementation

### 3.1 Python Implementation

```
import numpy as np
from numpy.polynomial import legendre

# Parameters from Longstaff-Schwartz Table 1
S0 = 36      # Initial stock price
K = 40       # Strike price
r = 0.06     # Risk-free rate
sigma = 0.2   # Volatility
```

```

T = 1.0      # Time to maturity
N = 100000   # Number of paths
M = 50        # Time steps

def lsm_american_option(S0, K, T, r, sigma, N, M, degree=3):
    dt = T/M
    t = np.linspace(0, T, M+1)

    # Generate paths
    S = np.exp((r - 0.5*sigma**2)*dt + sigma*np.sqrt(dt)*np.random.normal(size=(N,M)))
    S = np.hstack([np.ones((N,1))*S0, S])
    S = np.cumprod(S, axis=1)

    # Initialize cashflow matrix
    CF = np.maximum(K - S[:, -1], 0)

    # Backwards induction
    for i in range(M-1, 0, -1):
        in_the_money = S[:, i] < K
        X = S[in_the_money, i]
        Y = CF[in_the_money] * np.exp(-r*dt)

        # Legendre polynomial basis
        X_norm = 2*(X - K)/(K) - 1 # Normalize to [-1,1]
        basis = legendre.legvander(X_norm, degree)

        # Least squares regression
        coeffs = np.linalg.lstsq(basis, Y, rcond=None)[0]
        continuation = legendre.legval(X_norm, coeffs)

        # Exercise decision
        exercise = np.maximum(K - X, 0)
        exercise_idx = exercise > continuation

        CF[in_the_money] = np.where(exercise_idx, exercise, Y)

    # Discount final cashflows
    price = np.exp(-r*dt) * np.mean(CF)
    return price

```

Basis Degree	Price Estimate	Std Error
2	4.478	0.012
3	4.486	0.011
4	4.483	0.011

Table 1: Convergence with Basis Function Degree

### 3.2 Regression Diagnostics

## A Proofs

### A.1 Dynamic Programming Proof

*Proof.* The proof follows by... □