Self-exercise - SRU03
Response to questions

Tuan Vo

09^{th} November, 2022

1 Step-by-step with A3-SRU03

Example 1. *Let the linear m-step method be given by coefficients $\alpha_l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_l \in \mathbb{R}$ where $l = 0, 1, \dots, m$, satisfying the following system of equations*

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m} a_l = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m} (l^q \alpha_l - q\, l^{q-1} \beta_l) = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad q = 1, 2, 3, \dots, p. \tag{2}$$

Then the linear m-step method has the consistency order of p.

Consider the following ordinary differential equation (ODE) taking the form

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad \text{with} \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \tag{3}$$

which may be approximated by a linear multistep method, taking the general form

$$\boxed{\sum_{l=0}^{m} \alpha_l\, x(t_j + lh) = h \sum_{l=0}^{m} \beta_l\, f(t_j + lh, x(t_j + lh)).} \tag{4}$$

Observation 1. *There are 6 symbols arising in (1), (2), (4) to be aware of*

1. *m is the total number of steps used in the multistep method.*
2. *$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is the coefficient for the linear combination of $x(t_j + lh)$*
3. *$\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the coefficient for the linear combination of $f(t_j + lh, x(t_j + lh))$.*
4. *p is the consistency order.*
5. *l is the dummy index, running from 0 to m.*
6. *q is the dummy index, running from 1 to p.*

Observation 2. *The multistep method arising in (4) is a* ***linear*** *multistep method, or specifically* ***linear*** *m-step method. The term* ***linear*** *coming along with m-step method, i.e. linear m-step method, emphasizes the fact that there is actually a* ***linear combination*** *of the term $x(t_j + lh)$ with coefficients α_l on the LHS of (4), and a* ***linear combination*** *of the term $f(t_j + lh, x(t_j + lh))$ with coefficients β_l on the RHS of (4). This linear m-step method uses the information from the previous m steps to compute the value for the next step. In details, let us examine the formula (4) which is written again as follows*

$$\sum_{l=0}^{m} \alpha_l\, x(t_j + lh) = h \sum_{l=0}^{m} \beta_l\, f(t_j + lh, x(t_j + lh)), \tag{5}$$

whose LHS is written in its entirety as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=0}^{m} \alpha_l\, x(t_j + lh) &= \alpha_0\, x(t_j) + \alpha_1\, x(t_j + h) + \alpha_2\, x(t_j + 2h) + \dots \\ &\quad + \dots + \alpha_{m-1}\, x(t_j + (m-1)h) + \alpha_m\, x(t_j + mh),\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

whereas the RHS of (5) has its expansion as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l=0}^{m} \beta_l\, f(t_j + lh, x(t_j + lh)) = \beta_0\, f(t_j, x(t_j)) &+ \beta_1\, f(t_j + h, x(t_j + h)) \\ &+ \beta_2\, f(t_j + 2h, x(t_j + 2h)) \\ &+ \dots + \\ &+ \beta_{m-1}\, f(t_j + (m-1)h, x(t_j + (m-1)h)) \\ &+ \beta_m\, f(t_j + mh, x(t_j + mh)).\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The insertion of (6) and (7) into (5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_0\, x(t_j) + \alpha_1\, x(t_j + h) + \alpha_2\, x(t_j + 2h) \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ \alpha_{m-1}\, x(t_j + (m-1)h) + \alpha_m\, x(t_j + mh) \\ &= \\ &\beta_0\, f(t_j, x(t_j)) + \beta_1\, f(t_j + h, x(t_j + h)) + \beta_2\, f(t_j + 2h, x(t_j + 2h)) \\ &+ \dots + \\ &+ \beta_{m-1}\, f(t_j + (m-1)h, x(t_j + (m-1)h)) + \beta_m\, f(t_j + mh, x(t_j + mh)),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which tells us the fact that the value $x(t_j + mh)$ is approximated by using all information from previous steps, based on

1. $x(t_j)$, $x(t_j + h)$, $\dots$, $x(t_j + (m-1)h)$.
2. $f(t_j, x(t_j))$, $f(t_j + h, x(t_j + h))$, $\dots$, $f(t_j + mh, x(t_j + mh))$.
3. *Coefficients α_l and β_l.*

Observation 3. *The apprearance of the green term* $f(t_j + mh, x(t_j + mh))$ *arising in* (8) *plays a significant role, meaning that*

1. $\beta_m = 0$*: the green term is switched off, and the scheme becomes* ***explicit***.
2. $\beta_m \neq 0$*: the green term is switched on, and the scheme becomes* ***implicit***.

Observation 4. *Specific choices of* α_l *and* β_l *leads to some familiar methods. Especially, when* $m = 1$ *the linear m-step method becomes single-step method, or one-step method. If so, according to* (4) *there will be 4 coefficients* $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1)$ *to be defined. For example:*

1. $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1) = (-1, 1, 1, 0)$ *we obtain explicit Euler.*
2. $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1) = (-1, 1, 0, 1)$ *we obtain implicit Euler.*

2 Step-by-step with A4-SRU03

Example 2. *Examine the consistency order of the following explicit numerical scheme*

$$y^{j+1} = y^j + \frac{h}{2}\Big(f(t^j, y^j) + f(t^{j+1}, y^j + hf(t^j, y^j))\Big), \tag{9}$$

which is used to approximate the ordinary differential equation (ODE):

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)). \tag{10}$$

Approach: The exact solution at time $t+h$ is obtained by Taylor series expansion as

$$y(t+h) = y(t) + h\,y'(t) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= f\big(t, y\big) \\ y'' &= \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}f\big(t, y\big) = f_t\big(t, y(t)\big) + f_y\big(t, y(t)\big)y'(t) = f_t\big(t, y(t)\big) + f_y\big(t, y(t)\big)f\big(t, y(t)\big). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$y(t+h) = y^j + h\,f(t, y^j) + \frac{h^2}{2}\Big(f_t(t, y^j) + f_y(t, y^j)\,f(t, y^j)\Big) + \mathcal{O}(h^3)$$

The approximation can be written as

$$y^{j+1} = y^j + h\,\Phi(h), \quad \text{with} \quad \Phi(h) = \frac{1}{2}\Big[f(t, y^j) + f(t+h, y^j + hf(t, y^j))\Big].$$

We now expand $\Phi(h)$ around 0, i.e., $\Phi(h) = \Phi(0) + h\,\Phi'(0) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$. Here

$$\Phi'(h) = \frac{1}{2}f_t(t+h, y^j + hf(t, y^j)) + \frac{1}{2}f_y(t+h, y^j + hf(t, y^j))f(t, y^j).$$

Thus

$$\Phi(0) = f(t, y^j), \quad \Phi'(0) = \frac{1}{2}f_t(t, y^j) + \frac{1}{2}f_y(t, y^j)f(t, y^j)$$

and

$$\Phi(h) = f(t, y^j) + \frac{h}{2}\Big(f_t(t, y^j) + f_y(t, y^j)\,f(t, y^j)\Big) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

Therefore

$$y^{j+1} = y^j + h\,f(t, y^j) + \frac{h^2}{2}\Big(f_t(t, y^j) + f_y(t, y^j)\,f(t, y^j)\Big) + \mathcal{O}(h^3).$$

The error $\big|y(t+h) - y^{j+1}\big| = \mathcal{O}(h^3)$ and thus the method has consistency order 2.