#### Global Exercise - Gue10

Tuan Vo

23<sup>rd</sup> June, 2022

Content to be covered:

- ✓ Programming exercise 02: Explanation.
- ✓ [Q&A] Insight review: Example 3 · Gue08
- $\checkmark$  Euler scheme  $\begin{cases} \text{Explicit} \\ \text{Implicit} \end{cases}$  :  $\sum \longrightarrow \text{Crank-Nicolson scheme}$
- ✓ Iterative solution method
- ✓ [Review HW10] Consistency error

### 1 Programming exercise 02: Explanation

Example 1. Examine the following Convection-diffustion problem

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u(x,y) + \cos \beta \, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y) + \sin \beta \, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = f(x,y), \ (x,y) \in \Omega = (0,1)^2,$$
$$u(x,y) = g(x,y), \ (x,y) \ on \ \partial \Omega,$$

Main points of discretization:

$$-\varepsilon \,\partial_{xx} u(x,y) - \varepsilon \,\partial_{yy} u(x,y) + \cos \beta \,\partial_x u(x,y) + \sin \beta \,\partial_y u(x,y) = 1$$

Since  $\beta = 5\pi/6$ , it goes with  $\cos \beta < 0$  and  $\sin \beta > 0$ . Therefore, we need Upwind for  $\partial_x u(x,y)$  and Downwind for  $\partial_y u(x,y)$ , as follows

- 1. Central difference method applied for  $\partial_{xx}u(x,y)$ ,
- 2. Central difference method applied for  $\partial_{yy}u(x,y)$ ,
- 3. Upwind method applied for  $\partial_x u(x,y)$ ,
- 4. Downwind method applied for  $\partial_y u(x,y)$ .

$$-\varepsilon \frac{u_{i+1,j} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i-1,j}}{h^2} - \varepsilon \frac{u_{i,j+1} - 2u_{i,j} + u_{i,j-1}}{h^2} + \cos \beta \frac{u_{i+1,j} - u_{i,j}}{h} + \sin \beta \frac{u_{i,j} - u_{i,j-1}}{h} = 1$$

...[further steps is followed by Problem 2.41 in the lecture note.]

## 2 [Q&A] Insight review: Example 3 · Gue08

**Example 2.** Examine the consistency order of the following problem

$$f'(x) \approx f(x) + f(x+h) + f(x+2h).$$

(the consistency order is aimed as high as possible)

Approach:

1. Let's expand f(x+h) and f(x+2h) by using Taylor expansion till  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ 

$$\begin{cases}
f(x) = f(x) \\
f(x+h) = f(x) + \frac{h^1}{1!}f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2!}f''(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \\
f(x+2h) = f(x) + \frac{(2h)^1}{1!}f'(x) + \frac{(2h)^2}{2!}f''(x) + \mathcal{O}((2h)^3)
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

which leads to

$$\alpha f(x) = \alpha f(x) \tag{2}$$

$$\beta f(x+h) = \beta f(x) + \beta h f'(x) + \frac{\beta h^2}{2} f''(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right)$$
 (3)

$$\gamma f(x+2h) = \gamma f(x) + 2\gamma h f'(x) + 2\gamma h^2 f''(x) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right) \tag{4}$$

Summation of (11), (12) and (13) leads to

$$\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) f(x) + (\beta h + 2\gamma h) f'(x) + \left(\frac{\beta h^2}{2} + 2\gamma h^2\right) f''(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$
 (5)

Since we would like to approximate f'(x) by the following expression

$$\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) \approx f'(x) \tag{6}$$

Comparison (15) with (14) leads to the following 3 conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\alpha + \beta + \gamma \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\
\beta h + 2\gamma h \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \\
\frac{\beta h^2}{2} + 2\gamma h^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 0
\end{cases}
\Leftrightarrow
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1/2 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha \\
\beta \\
\gamma
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
1/h \\
0
\end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3/(2h) \\ 2/h \\ -1/(2h) \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

which leads the expression (14) to the following equality

$$\alpha(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) = f'(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$
$$= f'(x) + \frac{2}{h}\mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \frac{-1}{2h}\mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$
$$= f'(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(h^2\right). \tag{9}$$

Therefore, f'(x) can be approximated by  $\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h)$ , where the values of  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  taken from (16), with a consistency order of at least order 2, as shown in (18).

- 2. Let's now expand f(x+h) and f(x+2h) by using Taylor expansion till  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ . The approach is the same as the above approach for  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . However, this will lead to contradictory conditions, i.e. 3 unknowns  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  for 4 equations.
- 3. Therefore, the highest consistency order we may obtain is of order 2.

**Example 3.** Examine the consistency order of the following problem

$$f''(x) \approx f(x) + f(x+h) + f(x+2h).$$

(the consistency order is aimed as high as possible)

Approach: The procedure goes as same as Example 2

1. Let's expand f(x+h) and f(x+2h) by using Taylor expansion till  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ 

$$\begin{cases}
f(x) = f(x) \\
f(x+h) = f(x) + \frac{h^1}{1!}f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2!}f''(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \\
f(x+2h) = f(x) + \frac{(2h)^1}{1!}f'(x) + \frac{(2h)^2}{2!}f''(x) + \mathcal{O}((2h)^3)
\end{cases} (10)$$

which leads to

$$\alpha f(x) = \alpha f(x) \tag{11}$$

$$\beta f(x+h) = \beta f(x) + \beta h f'(x) + \frac{\beta h^2}{2} f''(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right)$$
 (12)

$$\gamma f(x+2h) = \gamma f(x) + 2\gamma h f'(x) + 2\gamma h^2 f''(x) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right) \tag{13}$$

Summation of (11), (12) and (13) leads to

$$\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) f(x) + (\beta h + 2\gamma h) f'(x) + \left(\frac{\beta h^2}{2} + 2\gamma h^2\right) f''(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$

$$(14)$$

Since we would like to approximate f''(x) by the following expression

$$\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) \approx f''(x) \tag{15}$$

Comparison (15) with (14) leads to the following 3 conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\alpha + \beta + \gamma \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\
\beta h + 2\gamma h \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\
\frac{\beta h^2}{2} + 2\gamma h^2 \stackrel{!}{=} 1
\end{cases}
\Leftrightarrow
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1/2 & 2
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha \\
\beta \\
\gamma
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
1/h^2
\end{pmatrix}$$
(16)

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ?/h^2 \\ ??/h^2 \\ ???/h^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

which leads the expression (14) to the following equality

$$\alpha(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h) = f''(x) + \beta \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \gamma \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$

$$= f''(x) + \frac{??}{h^2} \mathcal{O}\left(h^3\right) + \frac{???}{h^2} \mathcal{O}\left((2h)^3\right)$$

$$= f''(x) + \mathcal{O}(h). \tag{18}$$

Therefore, f''(x) can be approximated by  $\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x+h) + \gamma f(x+2h)$ , where the values of  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  taken from (16), with a consistency order of at least order 1, as shown in (18).

- 2. Let's now expand f(x+h) and f(x+2h) by using Taylor expansion till  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ . The approach is the same as the above approach for  $\mathcal{O}(h^3)$ . However, this will lead to contradictory conditions, i.e. 3 unknowns  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$  for 4 equations.
- 3. Therefore, the highest consistency order we may obtain is of order 1.

#### 3 Euler scheme · Crank-Nicolson scheme

**Example 4.** Examine the following problem for  $\alpha > 0$ 

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \alpha \ \partial_{xx} u(x,t) + q(x,t), \quad t > 0, \ x \in [0,1], \tag{19}$$

with  $IC u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$ , and BC u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0.

Approach: Vertical line method, i.e. we first discretize space x, we then obtain a system of ODEs w.r.t. time t.

1. Second order discretization in space

$$\partial_{xx}u(x_j,t) \approx \frac{u(x_{j+1},t) - 2u(x_j,t) + u(x_{j-1},t)}{h^2}$$

Assume  $y_j(t) \approx u(x_j, t)$  yields

$$y_j'(t) = \frac{\alpha}{h^2} (y_{j+1}(t) - 2y_j(t) + y_{j-1}(t)) + q(x_j, t).$$

General form y' = Ay + b(t) with

$$A = -\frac{\alpha}{h_x^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & & & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} q(x_1, t) \\ \vdots \\ q(x_{n-1}, t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

where the IC reads

$$y_i(0) = u_0(x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$

2. (a) Explicit Euler

$$\therefore \quad y^{i+1} = y^i + h_t \left( A y^i + b^i \right).$$

(b) Implicit Euler

$$\therefore \quad y^{i+1} = y^i + h_t (Ay^{i+1} + b^{i+1}).$$

(c) Averaging explicit Euler and implicit Euler leads to Crank-Nicolson

$$y^{i+1} = y^i + \frac{h_t}{2} \left( Ay^i + Ay^{i+1} + b^i + b^{i+1} \right)$$
$$= y^i + \frac{h_t}{2} A(y^i + y^{i+1}) + \frac{h_t}{2} \left( b^i + b^{i+1} \right).$$

#### 4 Iterative solution method

**Example 5.** Examine the following problem: The iteration scheme

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\delta a & 1 \end{pmatrix} x^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \delta & \delta a \\ 0 & 1 - \delta \end{pmatrix} x^k + \delta b, \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

is used to solve the following problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ -a & 1 \end{pmatrix} x = b, \quad x, b \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

- 1. Determine the matrix C from the iteration matrix I CA
- 2. Which value of  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can help this method to be converged in case of  $\delta = 1$ ? Approach: Followed by Bemerkung 3.3. from lecture note.
  - 1. The iteration scheme takes the form

$$x^{k+1} = (I - CA)x^k + Cb.$$

which is derived directly from the following iteration setting function

$$\Phi: \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \\ x \mapsto \Phi(x) := x + C(b - Ax). \end{cases}$$
 (20)

Moreover, when  $x^*$  is the solution of the system Ax = b, we should obtain the following expression

$$\Phi(x^*) = x^* + C \underbrace{(b - Ax^*)}_{=0}$$
$$= x^*.$$

Back to the main problem, we now examine the following derivation

$$x^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\delta a & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \delta & \delta a \\ 0 & 1 - \delta \end{pmatrix} x^k + \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\delta a & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} b \tag{21}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \delta & \delta a \\ 0 & 1 - \delta \end{pmatrix} x^k + \delta \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \delta a & 1 \end{pmatrix} b \tag{22}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \delta & \delta a \\ \delta a - \delta^2 a & \delta^2 a^2 + 1 - \delta \end{pmatrix} x^k + \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 \\ \delta^2 a & \delta \end{pmatrix} b \tag{23}$$

Moreover, we also expect

$$x^{k+1} = (I - CA)x^k + Cb. (24)$$

Comparison of (24) with (21) yields the matrix C as follows

$$\therefore \quad \boxed{C = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 \\ \delta^2 a & \delta \end{pmatrix}}$$
 (25)

2. For the case  $\delta = 1$  we obtain the following iteration matrix (I - CA)

$$I - CA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

Observation: This matrix is of upper triangle. Hence, its eigenvalues are those on the main diagonal, i.e. we can recognize immediately the eigenvalues

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = 0, \\ \lambda_2 = a^2. \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

The iteration method is expected to be converged when the spectral radius of the iteration matrix (I-CA) smaller than 1, i.e. we should have the following condition

$$\rho(I - CA) < 1 \Leftrightarrow a^2 < 1$$

which leads to

$$\therefore \quad \boxed{-1 < a < 1} \tag{28}$$

# 5 [Review HW10] Consistency error

**Example 6.** Examine the consistency error of the following problem

$$u''(x) - u'(x) + u(x) = 2x - 1 - x^2$$

with the exact solution is known, i.e.  $u(x) = 1 - x^2$ .

Approach: The consistency error reads

$$\left|\left|-\Delta_h u\right|_{\bar{\Omega}_h} - f\left|_{\Omega_h}\right|\right|_{\infty} = \left|\left|A_h u\right|_{\Omega_h} - f\left|_{\Omega_h}\right|\right|_{\infty}$$

Observation: The consistency error can be foreseen (and indeed) with the value 0, i.e. the numerical solution resembles the exact/analytical solution, since we have been using the following numerical scheme + the given information about the exact solution:

- 1. Second order discretization scheme is used to approximate u''(x).
- 2. Second order discretization scheme is used to approximate u'(x).
- 3. The exact solution, which is given, is of quadratics.